

Common Law - B.A.R. Attorneys

Substantive Common Law, is distinguished from mere, "common law procedure". Lawyers and judges are misinformed to think, plead, rule or order that the substantive common law rights and immunities have been abolished in California or any other state.

Only "Common Law procedure" created by the chancel or/chancery has been abolished. That is to say, the "forms" of common law and equity were abolished, (Kimball v. McIntyre, 3 U 77, 1 P 167), or that the distinctions between the forms of common law and equity were abolished by Rule 2 of Civil Procedure (Donis v. Utah R.R., 3 U 218, 223 P 521).

However, the abolition of mere form, does NOT affect nor diminish our SUBSTANTIVE (Common Law and Constitutional) Rights and immunities (USC 78-2-4,S.2) for substantive law, e.g. our UNALIENABLE Rights Immunities, and has not changed with the state's position.

In other words, the states and congress can legislate all they want within the bounds of the law of the land, but if they are contrary to the law of the land (the people) their legislation is void. Essentially constitutional laws of one state must be recognized in another or else the state gets slapped with lawsuits from people and or the federal government.

Constitutions can never establish Common Law, for then all Power would not be in the People, but would be in the Constitution which is only a piece of paper, and the People's right to redress grievances or to amend, change, or address any problem could not be. Governments are mere pieces of paper to be altered and changed to the whim of the living souls. CORPORATIONS are mere pieces of paper that government can change and alter at its whim.

The Definition of a Bar Attorney Is: "From a British Merchant Marine Service Manuel" published in 1801 that yielded the definition of "Withholding Agent" as a Warrant Officer in the British Merchant Marine Service...A Bar Attorney is a Shipping Clerk in the British Merchant Marine Service.

A Shipping Clerk and the numbers on their Bar Cards? Those are shipping ticket numbers. That's how they tag cargo and get credited for their work. It makes perfect sense, too. They are shipping our "vessels" and us as "cargo" as inland pirates and smugglers illegally de-camped on our shores and operating under conditions of fraud and color of law.

They're not lawyers. They never were lawyers.

No wonder they are so ignorant of anything and everything concerning actual law, definitions, jurisdictions, legal research all goes right over their heads for that very reason.

Read the Clearfield Doctrine which most people lack understanding on:

The Clearfield Doctrine arises from a 1943 Supreme Court Case, Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 US 363-371.

The essence of the case is that when an incorporated government uses private bank script as its currency it sinks to the level of any other incorporated entity, loses its sovereign status (if it ever had any) and becomes subject to the same laws as any other corporation. (Think about Keith's private script)

You can look up the actual case but it is also reprised in CJS, Corpus Juris Secundum (CJS) 91 CJS United States sec. 4

".....when the United States enters into commercial business it abandons its sovereign capacity and is to be treated like any other corporation."

Now, if you have followed along you know that All "federal" powers were delegated to them and all federal powers are limited to the international jurisdiction of the sea.

So the Territorial United States was never sovereign on our shores and by operating as an incorporated entity, it suffered no loss of sovereignty it never had, nor did it affect the sovereign status of our lawful government by its actions.

It did however reap the wrath of the court for its self-serving semantic deceptions and corrupt attempts to exercise the coercive powers of our government for its own business advantage and enrichment.

Just like the state doing business as the "State of Nevada" and the Municipal United States franchise doing business as the STATE OF NEVADA.

All these agencies and entities play upon our ignorance and let us assume that they are "government" entities, when in fact, they are commercial corporations in the business of providing governmental services:

The Clearfield Doctrine strips these pretenders of their "government" cloak and when they attempt to use the abusive powers of government to enrich themselves, it's time to remind them of exactly who and what they are.

This can be used against them in any number of contexts.

They claim to have a commercial interest in your marriage and children?
Prove it.

They claim to have a commercial interest in your home and land? Prove it.
They can't if you know what to say and do....