

HAARETZ – WHO LOST ITS WAY ESPECIALLY SINCE THE YEAR 2000. A FRINGE INSIDE THEIR COUNTRY:

LIES, HATE AND FAKE DRAMA LANGUAGE

–

Haaretz Claim That IDF Was Ordered to Fire on Unarmed Gazans Refuted by Translation Discrepancies, Contradictions, and Eyewitness Accounts.

The Algemeiner. June 30, 2025.

By Debbie Weiss.

A recent Haaretz exposé accusing the Israeli military of ordering troops to fire at unarmed civilians near food aid sites in Gaza relied on mistranslation, selective quotes, factual omissions, and contradictions to construct a narrative of unprovoked Israeli violence, according to independent observers interviewed by The Algemeiner.

Debunking the claim of indiscriminate fire by the IDF, the experts instead described widespread fear of Hamas, not the Israeli military.

The Haaretz report quickly gained traction in international media. Titled “‘It’s a Killing Field’: IDF Soldiers Ordered to Shoot Deliberately at Unarmed Gazans Waiting for Humanitarian Aid,” it was cited by outlets such as NPR, CNN, and Reuters.

British military analyst Andrew Fox criticized the article for its framing and language. One of the discrepancies he pointed to was the shift in the English version of the story from soldiers firing “towards” civilians, as stated in the Hebrew original, to “at” them. The original Hebrew subheader also specified that soldiers were told to fire “towards” crowds “to distance them” from the aid sites, suggesting the shooting took place as a means of crowd control.

“It’s a matter of intent,” Fox told The Algemeiner. The phrase “‘at civilians’ means they are trying to kill them. It’s misleading because they’re firing warnings to avoid harm rather than shooting to cause harm.”

“Warning shots are something all armies do — we did in Afghanistan — but when you pull the trigger there’s always a risk of harm, and that’s not great,” explained Fox, a think tank researcher and former British Army officer. “Still, there’s a huge difference between that and deliberately targeting civilians.”

Colonel Richard Kemp, a former commander of British forces in Afghanistan, said that “shooting towards,” as in the original Hebrew, was “quite reasonable as a means to exercise crowd control in a war zone.”

“It is highly unlikely the IDF would be ordered to shoot at unarmed civilians unless they directly endangered them,” Kemp told The Algemeiner, citing Israel’s interest in the success of US-backed humanitarian relief efforts in Gaza. “The IDF rigidly follows laws of war. It makes no sense for the IDF to want to damage aid efforts. They cooperate with and facilitate [the Gaza Humanitarian Foundation] and want it to succeed. The ones who want it to fail are Hamas because it deprives them of control and funds. If anyone has been doing this shooting, it would be Hamas. They have the motive; the IDF do not.”

There were other discrepancies in the original headline and its translation. Whereas the Hebrew version reads “Soldiers testify: IDF deliberately shoots towards Gazans near aid collection points,” the English version not only omitted any reference to mediating testimony or attribution, but also framed the event as an empirical fact: “IDF soldiers ordered to shoot deliberately at unarmed Gazans waiting for humanitarian aid.”

Further, the phrase “waiting for humanitarian aid” may carry specific legal implications under international law, suggesting heightened vulnerability, whereas the Hebrew version referred more vaguely to crowds “near aid collection points.”

The subheader — which claimed soldiers were ordered to fire at unarmed civilians “even when no threat was present” — conflicted with the body of the text, which acknowledged that Israeli soldiers were wounded near the aid distribution zones. One sentence, appearing for the first time in the 21st paragraph, stood out: “There were also fatalities and injuries among IDF soldiers in these incidents.” The piece offered no explanation for how such casualties could occur if, as the article claims, no one else present was armed.

Elsewhere in the article, a soldier is quoted describing the IDF creating a “killing field,” supposedly involving heavy machine guns, mortars, and grenade launchers. But if such weapons were used with lethal intent, as Fox pointed out in a Substack post, the casualty rate would be far higher than the one to five reported per day. “That’s not a massacre,” he wrote, going on to quip that the only massacre to take place was one of “journalistic standards by Haaretz.”

“Could some soldiers accidentally miss and hit someone?” Fox wrote. “Yes. That is tragic and warrants investigation. However, the article itself acknowledges that the IDF is already examining those incidents. To jump from that to ‘deliberate killing fields’ is not responsible reporting. It is narrative laundering.”

The lack of video footage of the alleged mass shootings near GHF sites raises questions, given the large volume of media typically produced from Gaza, according to Fox, who noted that Hamas has repeatedly circulated images and clips for propaganda purposes.

“Every Gazan has a mobile phone, and numerous videos of other events have been released,” he wrote. “Why is there a total absence of any credible footage of these supposed IDF combined arms assaults on queuing civilians?”

Kemp, who visited two of the Gaza Humanitarian Foundation’s distribution sites in the days following the report’s publication, described hearing distant gunfire but reported that the aid operation proceeded mostly without disruption.

“None of the Gazans there showed any concerns [about the IDF] whatsoever,” he said. Many of the civilians identified Hamas, not the IDF, as the main threat to the aid effort — a dynamic not acknowledged in the Haaretz report — telling Kemp they could not return home for fear of being recognized and targeted by Hamas.

“I must have spoken to at least 50 Gazans at each site,” he said. “Many told me they feared Hamas and Hamas threatened them if they used the sites.”

Kemp added that the atmosphere was chaotic but manageable, with GHF workers — most of them local Gazans — interfacing directly with the crowds. He described people smiling, holding up food packages, and expressing gratitude for the aid.

“The overwhelming impression was how grateful they were to be getting free aid for once, as opposed to buying aid looted by Hamas and sold at a premium,” he told The Algemeiner.

Many Gazans at the GHF sites who spoke to Kemp voiced hatred for Hamas and praised the US-backed aid effort, with some chanting “kill Hamas” while others said “I love America” or expressed admiration for

President Donald Trump. The alignment between Hamas and UN criticism of the food program was “shocking,” Kemp added, particularly given the visible gratitude expressed by many recipients.

“They associate this aid program with the US,” he said. “They seem to like it, whereas Hamas and the UN seem to be its greatest enemies.”

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2025/06/30/haaretz-claim-that-idf-was-ordered-to-fire-on-unarmed-gazans-refuted-by-translation-discrepancies-contradictions-and-eyewitness-accounts/>

Israeli mayor bans Haaretz over anti-IDF ‘blood libel’.

“The municipality will not allow false incitement against IDF soldiers and the State of Israel, certainly in times of war,” said Arad Mayor Yair Maayan.

David Isaac.

(June 30, 2025 / JNS)

Arad Mayor Yair Maayan announced on Friday that he would ban the left-wing daily Haaretz from his city over what he said was a “false blood libel” in a feature article accusing the Israeli Defense Forces of “deliberately” firing on Gazans near aid distribution sites.

“The municipality will not allow false incitement against IDF soldiers and the State of Israel, certainly in times of war. The municipality will act to prevent the entry of the inciting newspaper into the city in accordance with the Prevention of Incitement Law,” Maayan told JNS.

<https://www.jns.org/israeli-mayor-bans-haaretz-over-anti-idf-blood-libel/>

The Method: How to Accuse Israel of "Genocide"

(Even When the Legal Definition of Genocide Doesn't Allow It).

Hanan Amior | 13.07.25

[...]

Haaretz claims that Israel is committing [supposed] genocide "on the basis of legal definitions formulated, among others, by Jews, following the Holocaust." And when it turns out that according to those definitions, Israel is actually going out of its way to prevent genocide, a change to the definition, or at least a new and creative interpretation of it, is proposed, with the aim of applying it to Israel after all.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%98%D7%94-%D7%90%D7%99%D7%9A-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%A6%D7%97-%D7%A2%D7%9D/>

Haaretz journalist apologizes to to Simcha Rothman: It shouldn't have been broadcast.

As part of a program on the Knesset Channel hosted by Nir Gontz, a segment was filmed in which MK Simcha Rotman is seen arriving at his chair in the studio, hitting his knees on the table and groaning in pain. Gontz apologized for recording the segment and said: "This was a glitch that was not supposed to happen."

Kippah News.

22/05/24 - 14 Iyar 5784

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%AA/1182285-0/>

Haaretz openly incites the murder of settlers.

Carolina Landsman discusses in Haaretz the question of whether it is legitimate to murder settlers and leaves the question open. It is a serious criminal offense that carries a long prison sentence.

Hanan Amior | 03.17.25

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%99%D7%AA-%D7%91%D7%92%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%99-%D7%9C%D7%A8%D7%A6%D7%97-%D7%9E%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%97%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%9D/>

David Schocken and Aluf Benn – The Confrontation.

In recent days, two opening shots have been fired in an interesting battle between a member of the owner's family and the editor-in-chief, for the soul of Haaretz newspaper.

Hanan Amior | 19.02.25 |

Are we at the beginning of a family battle for the soul of the newspaper?

Last Friday, an unusual article was published in Haaretz. David Schocken, the son of Hillel Schocken and nephew of publisher Amos Schocken, issued a long, pointed, and reasoned critique of the path chosen by the newspaper and its harm to Israeli society.

Under the heading "Not All Wise," David Schocken, a self-described "member of the Schocken family and observer on the board of directors of Haaretz," criticized the family newspaper for losing its way, for the deep loathing that emanates from its pages toward the vast majority of Israeli society, for the silence that characterizes it, such as the decision to stop allowing Gadi Taub to publish articles in the newspaper, and for the fact that it is no longer, in his view, a newspaper for "thinking people."

David Schocken even stated that the articles of his grandfather, Gershom, who was the newspaper's publisher and editor for decades, would not be published today in the newspaper that is closed to any other opinion, because they exude too much of a Jewish and Zionist spirit.

"This approach is tearing our house apart," he concluded.

Immediately on Sunday, at the first opportunity, Haaretz editor Aluf Benn responded in a response article in which he rejected the criticism and declared: "We will not be cowed."

Benn justified the dismissal of right-wing writers such as Gadi Taub, who wrote in favor of legal reform, or Neve Dromi, who wrote in favor of the activities of the right-wing research organization Ad Kan, by saying that "the disqualification was intended to mark the limit of the legitimacy of the opinions that the newspaper gives a platform to."

In other words, from the general 'no,' we can learn what 'yes'. So, to understand what is considered legitimate in the eyes of Haaretz, let us see which opinions are legitimate in the eyes of Haaretz and therefore to which it does give a platform:

*To demolish homes and transfer, provided they are towards Jews,

*To praise, admire, understand and sympathize with the massacre in the [Gaza] envelope, and to mock its Israeli victims,

*To encourage the emigration of millions of Israelis,

*To withdraw funds from Israel in order to threaten the collapse of the economy as a means of political pressure,

*To disband the army and strip it of its equipment through mass refusal,

*To persecute and accuse IDF soldiers and commanders in the Hague Tribunal,

*To outlaw circumcision,

To accuse Israeli society of ...,

*And also to erase the word Jewish from the Jewish and democratic pair that defines the state.

All of this, and many other similar opinions, is legitimate.

To allow voluntary immigration of Gazans, Justifying the actions of the Ad Kan organization, which are all legal and primarily aimed at gathering information to uncover the truth, and criticizing the forceful theft of government powers from the elected level to self-appointed judges – is illegitimate.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%93%D7%95%D7%93-%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%A7%D7%9F-%D7%95%D7%90%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%A3-%D7%91%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA/>

Haaretz moves on to the prophecy phase.
(Prophecies of destruction, of course).

Hanan Amior | 02.16.25 |

Humanitarian aid trucks enter the Gaza Strip (Photo: Abd Rahim Kataib Flash 90)

Last Thursday, Gideon Levy advanced in life and became a prophet of wrath.

And so he prophesied, from the pages of Haaretz:

The renewal of the "war" is the greatest disaster facing us, and it heralds genocide, now without any debate about the definition. And what will the "war" look like now, if not as an attack on tens of thousands of destitute refugees? And what will the stopping of humanitarian aid, fuel, medicine and water mean, if not genocide? Perhaps it will yet appear that its first 15 months were only the appetizer, and the first 50,000 deaths were the forerunner.

"Now without any debate about the definition"!

Well, there is actually a debate. Not just about the definition, but also about the prophecy.

Let's start with the official definition of genocide, as it appears in the 1948 UN Genocide Convention:

Acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group

Everything has already been written and exhausted, but let us recall it again:

Israel, in its official policy, acts in exactly the opposite way of the definition. It carries out actions that are contrary to its wartime interest, with the clear aim of protecting the Palestinians, not eliminating them.

To this end, it safely moves them out of the combat zones so that they will not be harmed, even though it is not obliged to do so, since in a situation of deliberate war action among a civilian population, international law places the blame for civilian casualties on the party that used them as human shields, i.e. Hamas, and not on the party that fought under these impossible conditions, i.e. Israel.

In the language of Article 28 of the Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilians in Times of War:

The existence of a protected area will not serve as a pretext for immunizing certain points or areas against military operations

Israel is taking another military action, which is clearly intended to protect the Palestinians in Gaza and not to destroy them, and it is of course the introduction of humanitarian aid, which it is also not obliged to introduce under international law.

According to the Hague Regulations, the Fourth Geneva Convention and the First Protocol to the Fourth Geneva Convention, the side that is besieging the opposing side in a war is not obliged to introduce food to the enemy's civilians, if the food is also used by the fighting side. And yet, even though Hamas not only uses the humanitarian aid that Israel allows to be introduced, but also takes over it and steals it from its citizens, Israel continues to bring in the trucks, because it wants to protect the civilians and not destroy them. Exactly the opposite of what Haaretz and Gideon Levy claim...

And the question arises, why is Levy writing this and Haaretz publishing it? What is the basis of the matter?

In my opinion, the reason is Levi and Haaretz's basic aversion to Israel and their view of it as a barbaric society, and in contrast, their basic sympathy for the Palestinians.

The same sympathy that caused them, two days after the October 7 massacre, to publish an article of sympathy and even support for the barbaric massacre in the surrounding settlements, wrapped in mockery of the Israeli victims, admiration for the level of carrying out by the terrorists, and understanding of their motives.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%91%D7%A8-%D7%9C%D7%A9%D7%9C%D7%91-%D7%94%D7%A0%D7%91%D7%95%D7%90%D7%95%D7%AA>

'Haaretz' presents: The Battalion That Wasn't.

Military correspondent Yaniv Kubowitz claims that the IDF invented the "Jenin Battalion" in order to justify its activities in the city. If he had checked, he would have discovered that it was a militia that had been active for years under that name.

Shlomi Ben-Meir | 02.03.25

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%92-%D7%94%D7%92%D7%93%D7%95%D7%93-%D7%A9%D7%9C%D7%90-%D7%94%D7%99%D7%94/>

Amos Schocken's lies, Bill Clinton's truths.

The "Haaretz" publisher calls Palestinian terrorists "freedom fighters," while the former U.S. president highlights their history of rejectionism.

By Ruthie Blum

(Nov. 3, 2024 / JNS)

Two speeches last week are worthy of note for the widespread surprise they elicited, causing each to go viral within minutes.

The first was delivered last Sunday by the publisher of the Haaretz newspaper, Israel's equivalent to The New York Times in terms of its increasingly radical content—no longer even disguised as journalistically objective—and shrinking, self-anointed elitist readership.

At a Haaretz-organized conference in London featuring Israeli and British politicians, academics and media figures, Amos Schocken let his lies about the Jewish state rip. Given the bent of the periodical he proudly uses to promote a far-left agenda, the brouhaha his remarks sparked at home—with government ministries canceling their subscriptions to the periodical—was both a bit peculiar and long overdue.

Apparently, the fact that his professed "Zionism" wouldn't extend to telling the truth about his country during an Iran-backed, multi-front war aimed at wiping it off the map constituted the crossing of a line.

Not that his pandering to antisemites gave him a pass with the protesters gathered outside the venue of the event, titled "Israel After October 7th: Allied or Alone?" On the contrary, Schocken's being on their side made no difference. To them, he was just another Israeli Jew deserving of their hatred.

It's the same tragic irony that befell assimilated Jews in Europe, who weren't spared the gas chambers. Ditto for the members of the kibbutzim in southern Israel who sought and worked for peace with their neighbors. Their political support for and actual assistance to Gazans didn't protect them from the enmity that was unleashed in full horrific force on that fateful Simchat Torah holiday.

Nevertheless, Schocken wasn't deterred from spewing his vitriol...

"The only recourse with such a disastrous government is to ask other countries to bring pressure to bear, as they did in order to end apartheid in South Africa," he continued. "In December 2016, the U.N. Security Council passed Resolution 2334, which states that territory cannot be acquired by force; opposes settlement-building, including co-called 'natural growth' of settlements; and stipulates the dismantling of all settlements built since March 2001, within the framework of the two democratic states living in peace, side by side, within recognized borders."

He went on to assert, "Subsequent Israeli governments completely ignored this resolution and acted as though it didn't exist. Not only did they continue building settlements, but the present government also supports the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians from parts of the occupied territories. In a sense, what is taking place now in the occupied territories and in part of Gaza is a second Nakba."

When all hell broke loose over Schocken's mendacious depiction of an Israel that only exists in the minds of those who wish to see it disappear, he issued a clarification.

"I've reconsidered what I said," he announced on Thursday. "There are many freedom fighters in the world and through history, perhaps also on the path to the establishment of the State of Israel, who carried out shocking and dreadful terrorist activities and harmed innocent people in order to achieve their goals. I should have said, 'Freedom fighters who also use terrorist methods and need to be fought against.' The use of terrorism is not legitimate."

The implication was obvious: Jews also employed evil methods to achieve statehood. Whatever neat trick he thought he was pulling flopped at generating sympathy, let alone applause.

Which brings us to the second speech, that also had a jaw-dropping effect, but for the opposite reason. This one was delivered by former U.S. President Bill Clinton.

At a rally on Wednesday for Kamala Harris in the swing state of Michigan, Clinton appealed to the voters who've come out against the Democratic candidate for her administration's ostensibly unforgiveable support for Israel. He did this by setting the record straight about the Palestinians' attitude to the Jewish state.

Though opening with a call for a re-start of the "peace process," he acknowledged the culprit behind its repeated failure.

"I understand why young Palestinian and Arab Americans in Michigan think too many people have died," he began. "But if you lived in one of those kibbutzim in Israel, right next to Gaza, where the people there were the most pro-friendship with Palestine—the most pro-two-state-solution of any of the Israeli communities were the ones right next to Gaza, and Hamas butchered them."

He continued: "The people who criticize [Israel's response] are essentially saying, 'Yeah, but look how many people you've killed in retaliation. How many is enough for you to kill to punish them for the terrible things they did?' That all sounds nice until you realize what you would do if it was your family and you hadn't done anything but support a homeland for the Palestinians, and one day they come for you and slaughter the people in your village. You would say, 'You have to forgive me, but I'm not keeping score that way.' It isn't how many we've had to kill because Hamas makes sure that they're shielded by civilians. They'll force you to kill civilians if you want to defend yourself."

Invoking the authority born of having hosted the 2000 Camp David Summit to forge a treaty that would result in the creation of an independent Palestinian state, Clinton admitted, "Look, I worked on this hard. And the only time [PLO chief] Yasser Arafat didn't tell me the truth was when he promised me he was going to accept the peace deal that we had worked out, which would have given the Palestinians a state on 96% of the West Bank and 4% of Israel—and they got to choose where the 4% of Israel was. So they would have the effect of the same land of all the West Bank. They'd have a capital in east Jerusalem."

Pausing to express sadness mixed with frustration, he interjected, "I can hardly talk about this."

He proceeded to spell out the reality of the situation, emphasizing the details.

"They [the Palestinians] would have equal access, all day, every day, to the security towers that Israel maintained all through the West Bank up to the Golan Heights. All this was offered, including—I will say it again—a capital in east Jerusalem and two of the four quadrants of the Old City of Jerusalem, confirmed by the Israeli prime minister, Ehud Barak, and his Cabinet. And [the Palestinians] said no. I think part of it is that

Hamas did not care about a homeland for the Palestinians. They wanted to kill Israelis and make Israel uninhabitable.”

Well, he declared, “I’ve got news for them. [The Jews] were there first. Before their faith [Islam] existed, [Jews] were there, in the time of King David, and the southernmost tribes had Judea and Samaria.”

He concluded by explaining why destroying Israel isn’t in the interest of either the Palestinians or of the Americans who support them. Whether his argument persuaded some undecideds remains to be seen. It’s hard to imagine the “From the River to the Sea” crowd accepting his historically accurate account.

Too bad he hasn’t been shouting it from the rooftops throughout the past two and a half decades. The same goes for Barak, who’s been too busy bashing and attempting to topple the Netanyahu government to engage in veracity or soul-searching.

Were he and his subversive bubble of Haaretz-reading followers to get their noses out of the air and hang their heads in humility, if not shame, they might understand why the Israeli peace camp has been evaporating over the years, until basically disappearing on Oct. 7, 2023.

<https://www.jns.org/amos-schockens-lies-bill-clintons-truths/>

—

Hundreds of cancellations at Haaretz following Schocken's comments.

Haaretz faces crisis after publisher's Hamas comments, as government and private subscribers abandon paper.

By David Wertheim/Walla!

November 4, 2024.

Hundreds of cancellations and subscription termination requests have hit the Haaretz- The Marker Group since publisher Amos Schocken's comments, in which he referred to Hamas as ‘freedom fighters’ - Walla has learned. Since then, Schocken has retracted and clarified his statements, but the damage has been done.

Since Thursday's publication, several government ministries have requested to cancel their subscriptions, with each ministry having dozens of subscriptions. The Foreign Ministry alone canceled 90 newspaper subscriptions.

In internal meetings at Haaretz Group on Sunday, there was a discussion of "a crazy rate of cancellations and sharp decline in newspaper advertising."

<https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/article-827415>

—

Haaretz publisher apologizes: 'I reconsidered what I said'.

Oct 31, 2024.

After several Israeli government ministries cut ties with the Haaretz newspaper due to comments made by its publisher, Amos Schocken referred to Hamas as freedom fighters, Schocken issued a weak apology.

"I reconsidered what I said. Many freedom fighters in the works and in history, maybe even on the road to the establishment of the State of Israel, committed shocking and terrible acts of terror and harmed innocents to reach their goals. I should have said 'Freedom fighters, who use methods of terror and must be fought. The use of terrorism is not legitimate.'

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/flashes/643736>.

Ministries call to cut ties with Haaretz after publisher calls terrorists 'freedom fighters'.

Ran Boker | 10.31.24.

<https://www.ynetnews.com/article/syvgkxb11jx>

Haaretz: Most of the dead in Gaza are (supposedly) women and children.

This false claim by Hamas has already been refuted by the UN and with it by a number of international media outlets, and only 'Haaretz' continues to echo it.

Hanan Amior 19.08.24 |

Are most of the dead in Gaza women and children?

This is indeed Hamas' claim.

But a series of external tests revealed that this figure is not true and cannot be true.

First, even the UN itself, which initially accepted the false Hamas data, had to publish a clarification [<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/news/geopolitics/article/15740845>] that the number of women and children among those killed in Gaza was not "a majority", but about a third.

Secondly, a statistical study by an expert from the University of Pennsylvania published in the magazine 'Tablet' [<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9D-%D7%A0%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%A0%D7%99-%D7%94%D7%94%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%92%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%A4%D7%A7-%D7%97%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%A1-%D7%91%D7%A2%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%AA/>] [<https://www.tabletmag.com/sections/news/articles/how-gaza-health-ministry-fakes-casualty-numbers>] found that the claim about the majority of women and children among the dead cannot be true and it appears that the ratio between a dead woman and a dead man is in the region of 1:1, and this was by no means the only study that cast great doubt the reliability of the Palestinian reports on the number of women and children among the dead.

Thirdly, as Shlomi Ben Meir has previously published here [<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%9d-%d7%a0%d7%aa%d7%95%d7%a0%d7%99-%d7%94%d7%94%d7%a8%d7%95%d7%92%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%91%d7%a2%d7%96%d7%94-%d7%a9%d7%9e%d7%a1%d7%a4%d7%a7-%d7%97%d7%9e%d7%90%d7%a1-%d7%90%d7%9e%d7%99%d7%aa/>], the American research institute "Washington Institute" [<https://www.washingtoninstitute.org/policy-analysis/gaza-fatality-data-has-become-completely-unreliable>], also stated that the information published by the Hamas authorities should not be trusted - it lacks credibility, and seems to be deliberately distorted to create an image of an indiscriminate massacre of the civilian population, in the study Under the title: "Gaza casualty figures have become completely unreliable."

Fourth, another and interesting analysis of the casualty data in Gaza was carried out by the data analyst Mark Zlochins and published in the British 'Telegraph' [<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/global-health/terror-and-security/unrwa-staff-death-toll-gaza-israel-hamas-war-data/>]. This study examined the data provided by the UN about 150 UNRWA workers who were killed in the war.

The most striking figure is that 62% of the UNRWA workers who were killed are men. This is despite the fact that men make up only 41% of all the agency's workers in Gaza. In fact, the chance of a man working for

UNRWA being killed by the IDF is more than double the chance of a woman. It seems that indeed many UNRWA workers fought in the ranks of Hamas (and thus had a higher chance of being killed). In addition, this is further proof that the figures of the Hamas Ministry of Health (according to which women have a similar chance of being killed by the IDF) are not reliable.

In addition, Zlochin found that there is an almost perfect match between the data of the UN workers (the women) who were killed and the hospital casualty records, which allows him to estimate for himself how many Gazans have been killed since the outbreak of the war: about 18,000 (compared to Hamas's claim of 32,000 dead).

And there was also the AP news agency, which determined that the number of women and children among the dead dropped below 40%. [<https://apnews.com/article/israel-palestinians-hamas-war-casualties-toll-65e18f3362674245356c539e4bc0b67a>]

Why, then, does 'Haaretz' continue to establish a propaganda claim by the enemy as a fact that has already been proven false, and not in the least attributing it to Hamas?

Everyone will give the answer to themselves.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%a8%d7%95%d7%91-%d7%94%d7%a8%d7%95%d7%92%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%91%d7%a2%d7%96%d7%94-%d7%94%d7%9d-%d7%a0%d7%a9%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%95%d7%99%d7%9c%d7%93%d7%99%d7%9d/>

The head of Haaretz's news department and the libel against Netanyahu. Amnon Harari, head of the Haaretz newspaper's news department, published on his account on the x network a quote from Yediot Ahronoth according to which Netanyahu does not release hostages "only because they are leftists." The facts: contrary to the quote provided by the head of the news department at Haaretz , there is no basis of truth in things.

The examiners • 16 Av 5784 • 20.08.24

<https://www.now14.co.il/?p=999370>

40,000 dead in Gaza? That's what the numbers really show.

By Matthew Schultz. Published on 08-15-2024 .

According to a new report in Haaretz by Nir Hasson, as the death toll in Gaza reaches 40,000[sic]...

For many who hear this claim, its veracity will seem obvious. For nearly a year, the world has been consumed by this war. There must be a reason. Surely the absolute numbers justify the riots, the self-immolations, and the accusations of that most vile crime against humanity - genocide.

But reading the report, one sees how quickly it disproves its own claims. Let's look at the numbers, cited by Hasson himself:

In Syria, 405,000 dead.

In Iraq, 210,000 dead.

In Yugoslavia, 100,000 dead.

In Ukraine, 172,000 dead.

The Syrian war also claimed the lives of 2% of the population, but took 13 years.

The Iraq war claimed the lives of 1% of the population, but took 20 years.

The Ukraine war has only claimed the lives of .45% of the population, in 2.5 years.

All of those numbers appear to be much higher than 40,000, so how is Haaretz claiming that this is one of the deadliest conflicts of the century? Well, they don't go by absolute numbers. Instead, they go by pace and by percentage of population.

40,000 is 2% of Gaza's population, and this number of fatalities has occurred in less than a year.

The Syrian war also claimed the lives of 2% of the population, but took 13 years.

The Iraq war claimed the lives of 1% of the population, but took 20 years.

The Ukraine war has only claimed the lives of .45% of the population, in 2.5 years.

This is not usually how we evaluate the size of a war. But it is how we evaluate the size of this war. In December, the Washington Post called the war "one of this century's most destructive," again citing pace rather than absolute numbers. It is as if these reporters are starting with the assumption that the Gaza war is the worst in recent history, and then working backwards to find out how.

But beyond this dishonest analysis, it's unclear what exactly they are implying. Is a war that lasts decades better than one that lasts a year? Is it less "bloody" or "destructive"?

After all, everyone knows that the war in Gaza won't last for two decades like America's wars. Israel is already winding down in Gaza, and while Netanyahu and Gallant may bicker over the exact nature of the end, we all know that it's going to end, and that the lion's share of the IDF's mission in Gaza is done. As for percentage, where did we get 2%?

40,000 is 2% of Gaza's population, but there are also millions of Palestinians in the West Bank and as far as I know, every Palestinian from Gaza and the West Bank considers themselves to be a single people.

So yes, 2% of the residents of the region held by Hamas have died in this war. In fact, nearly half of them were Hamas themselves. But it's not true that 2% of the Palestinian population has died. Far from it.

If this is how people want to analyze this war, so be it, but let's be fair and let both sides use the same metrics. For instance, on October 7th, around 70,000 people lived in the region of Israel known as the Gaza Envelope. By nightfall, 1.7% of them had been slain by merciless invaders.

None of this is to say that 40,000 is a small number. Indeed, reporters ought to be curious about why this number is as high as it is, considering Israel's well-documented efforts to minimize civilian casualties.

There are a number of reasons. For one, generally, when there is a war, people are allowed to flee to safer areas. Palestinians are not. The Egyptians have sealed their border with little to no international scrutiny or condemnation. As for "safe zones" within Gaza, Hamas has a habit of taking them over and turning them into battlefields.

When Mohammad Deif was killed by an Israeli strike, many were outraged that the strike was in a so-called "safe zone." They should have been outraged that Hamas' second-in-command was in a "safe zone" to begin with when he knew that his presence rendered the area a legitimate military target.

There are other ways in which Hamas guarantees civilian deaths. For instance, not wearing uniforms so as to confuse between the civilian population and combatants; or using hospitals as bases; or UN buildings, or hiding Israeli hostages in dense urban areas. People would rather blame Israel. For instance, in January, the Washington Post called the displacement of Gazans "the largest displacement in the region since 1948."

But it's not. Not even close. 1.9 million people live in Gaza. 13 million were displaced by the Syrian civil war. 4 million in Yemen. 9 million in Iraq. So why claim that this is the largest displacement? And why say "since 1948"? Simple: to make it seem like the existence of Israel is the problem. None of this is to minimize the devastating tragedies caused by this war, or the horrifying situation in the Gaza strip.

Yesterday, I saw a gut wrenching video of a Palestinian man whose wife and newborn twins had died in an Israeli airstrike. There is no quantifying such grief and I pray, for his sake and for others like him, that this war ends speedily with a deal that brings the hostages home.

But the world is insisting that Israel is bloodthirsty(sic) - that it is doing something other than what any country would do after suffering the kind of invasion that Israel suffered on October 7th.

This isn't true, and despite what Haaretz says, it's not "what the numbers show."

<https://www.israelhayom.com/2024/08/15/40000-dead-in-gaza-thats-what-the-numbers-really-shows/>

Gadi Taub gatekeeper @GadiTaub1:

The court ruled: Gideon Levi is lying.

Levy - our Arab-affairs-correspondent-who-doesn't-know-Arabic- is a major suspect, on a global scale, of blood-libels against IDF soldiers and the settlers. The Ha'aretz website in English ensures its global circulation. Of course, he does not tell his readers that these are lies...

Here's what you should know:

The rising wave of anti-Semitism...

Jul 8, 2024

<https://x.com/GadiTaub1/status/1810200017040281663>

Haaretz: IDF soldiers don't rape Arab women due to racism.

A Haaretz article sparked outrage online after it claimed that 'IDF soldiers don't rape Arab women due to racism.' Online users criticized: 'How do we get to such a twisted situation?'

Israel National News. Jun 20, 2024.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/391832>

—

"Haaretz" reporter: "IDF soldiers do not rape Arab women because of racism".

Haaretz's architecture reporter, Naama Riba, caused an uproar on the Internet yesterday when she tweeted on Network X that "IDF soldiers do not rape Arab women because of racism."

Maariv Online 06/20/2024.

<https://www.maariv.co.il/news/israel/article-1108570>

Due to misleading advertising: Haaretz will have to pay 20 thousand shekels in compensation.

Yair Altman.

20 Sivan 5784 (06.09.24)

In 2019 Haaretz newspaper published an article in which it was claimed that the house of the CEO of an organization until now, Gilad But, was financed with public funds • The payment after receiving a libel suit filed by the CEO of the organization

The Haaretz newspaper will be forced to pay 20,000 shekels in compensation to the CEO of an organization up until now, Gilad Ech, after the Tel Aviv Magistrate's Court partially accepted the defamation lawsuit he filed. The lawsuit was filed for an article published in a newspaper in 2019 in which it was claimed that the settlement division provided funding for the construction of the private home of the CEO of an organization until now, Gilad But.

As part of the article, Ach's house was photographed and it was claimed that it was built with public funds. The Tel Aviv Magistrate's Court ruled that the article constituted libel and ruled that the Haaretz newspaper must pay NIS 20,000 in favor of the organization.

<https://www.now14.co.il/article/964655> Now

"Haaretz" will pay tens of thousands of shekels in damages: "Publication of lies and slander".

Jun 11, 2024 — "Haaretz" will pay compensation in the tens of thousands of shekels: "publishing lies and defamations". The Peace Court recently ruled in favor of the Ad Kan organization and the head of it, Gilad Ach, in a libel suit against Haaretz newspaper.

In 2019, a news item was published in the Haaretz newspaper, according to which the settlement division provided funding for the construction of the house of 'Ad Kan' CEO Gilad Ach, as part of the article, Ach's house was photographed and it was claimed that the house was built with public funds.

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/1016162>

"You Moron" ["Ya Ahbal"]: Yosef Haddad confronted a "Haaretz" employee in the foreign media.

Yosef Haddad probably never imagined that he would be interviewed by the foreign media and hear an employee of the "Haaretz" newspaper claiming that israel's only goal in the war is to destroy the palestinian people: "nothing but genocide[sic]" • Haddad did not remain indifferent, he explained why he was wrong and attacked live : "oh my god!" • watch.

2 Adar I, 5784, 02.12.24.

"Ya Ahbal": Yosef Haddad confronted a "Haaretz" employee in the foreign media

The Israeli advocacy activist Yosef Haddad is often interviewed by foreign media for the sake of explaining Israel, but he never imagined that another Israeli would be on the air and he would claim that Israel wants to destroy [sic] the Palestinian people. "This is what happened when I was an Israeli Arab and found myself in a confrontation in the foreign media with Jonathan Pollak," shared Haddad, "an anarchist who lives among us and works for Haaretz newspaper. While he defames the state of Israel and speaks like the last of the Hamas terrorists."

Pollak, who went on air, is a political-social activist who belongs to the radical left, one of the founders of "anarchists against fences" and in 2021 he was even convicted of obstructing a police officer while performing his duty and was sentenced to a month in prison. "I think we must not fall for Israeli propaganda," said the Haaretz employee and claimed: "the sole purpose of this war, simply put, is to destroy [sic] the Palestinian people. In some places, over 70 percent of the buildings were damaged, and what Israel is doing in the Gaza strip is nothing but g...e".

Haddad could not hear these delusional claims, and replied: "of the 26,000-27,000 Palestinians who were killed as a result of the Hamas invasion of Israel, there are over 10,000 terrorists from the terrorist organization Hamas-daesh [Hamas-ISIS] who were killed. Why is this relevant and why is this number important? Because it speaks of the relationship, and the relationship it is that every terrorist that Israel has eliminated - there are only two apparently innocent Palestinians who were killed." Haddad asked: "why is this important?", and immediately explained.

"Because the average international ratio is one to 10 and we are talking about all this when the conditions of the war are unfair. Now, he is talking about the destruction of 70% of the buildings in Gaza, perhaps Jonathan should tell everyone that all these buildings lead to terror tunnels from which Hamas cowardly rises and launches missiles towards IDF. If we don't put pressure on Hamas along the way and eliminate and destroy this terrorist organization, what you saw on October 7 will be much worse in the future."

"Because if Hamas is not destroyed, they will remain the authority in the Gaza strip," Haddad explained, "their principles are to continue attacking Israel and they have already said that to the world. They themselves have said that they want to do October 7 again and again and again." Finally he attacked the radical left activist again: "but what Jonathan doesn't tell you is that he is a free man because he actually lives in a Democratic country."

"Because if Yonatan were to speak like this, in the way he speaks about his country, if he were to speak like this in Gaza against Hamas - he would be in prison at best, or underground or hanging in a Gaza square at worst," Haddad reminded, "this is the hypocrisy of man this". Finally, he said to the interviewer: "And by the way, when he talks about all the buildings that the Israeli army attacks, the army hits them because they endanger Israel," and addressed the message to Pollak: "and they also endanger you, moron!" [يا أهبل] [https://www.instagram.com/reel/C3MIMFEIzsf/] https://www.now14.co.il/?p=914917

Amit Segal on X: "*After countless attacks from Haaretz, here is my response..."

And if there is a machine on the right, then Haaretz is an industrial conglomerate of poison from the left. Not a collection of Twitter accounts of right-wing dissenters, but an entire system, with a lot of employees and budgets, whose goal is the long-term smearing of the other camp and its leaders. Not a debate, but silencing.

Not facts, but insults. The newspaper is for "thinking people," but God forbid, not for those who think differently.

When verbal violence is intended to prevent a sharp ideological debate, personal pronouns replace arguments for the substance of the matter. Because if we examine the matter, it will become clear who is the delusional mouth. The support for the PLO was full. Over the years, Haaretz has promoted reaching out to Hamas on dozens of occasions. Here's a little bit of a mini-review: "When to Talk to Hamas, (6.29.2005), "The Nonsense of Not Talking to Hamas", (5.31.2006), "Talk to Hamas" (3.3.2008), "Talk to Hamas Now", (3.8.2010), "Talk to Hamas, (6.27.2011), "Hamas - Is There Really No One to Talk to?" (7.24.2014), "Talk to Hamas", (8.4.2014), "Talk to Hamas in Order to Weaken It" (7.28.2014), "Gather Courage and Talk to Hamas" (5.7.2023), "Talk to Those Behind the Mask" (5.11.2019). From David Grossman to Nehemiah Strassler, from editorials to letters to the editor. And you will label others as "delusional"?

Jan 18, 2024.

https://x.com/amit_segal/status/1747948040894169406

Israeli minister targets Haaretz newspaper for 'anti-Israel incitement'.

By Ariella Marsden. Published: November 23, 2023

Karhi explained that much of the paper's funding comes from ads and subscriptions purchased by the government.

Communications Minister Shlomo Karhi (Likud) seeks to sanction the Haaretz daily, he told Cabinet Secretary Yossi Fuchs in a letter on Thursday.

"Since the beginning of the war, my office has received many complaints about Haaretz taking a harmful direction that undermines the war's objectives and weakens the military effort and our social resilience," wrote Karhi. "It's possible that some of its articles even cross the legal line in the sections of the Penal Code that are unique to wartime."

Karhi went on to explain that much of the paper's funding comes from ads and subscriptions purchased by the government...

<https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/politics-and-diplomacy/article-774848>

Shlomo Karhi @shlomo_karhi:

In light of the consistent distribution of "defeatist and false propaganda, and incitement against the State of Israel during the war" in the Haaretz newspaper, I have now submitted to the government, a resolution proposal to stop the funding of the Haaretz newspaper, including the cessation of publication and the subscription fees of all users of the state service, including the IDF, police, IPS, government offices and any government company.

[https://pbs.twimg.com/media/F_nxZTKW4AAE63N?format=jpg&name=medium].

Nov 23, 2023.

https://twitter.com/shlomo_karhi/status/1727677351083827432

Karhi against Haaretz: "Defeatist and false propaganda"

Amir Levy, 11/23/2023

The Minister of Communications seeks to promote an end to government funding for the newspaper: "undermining the goals of the war and weakening the military effort and our social resilience"

Communications Minister Dr. Shlomo Karhi asked the Cabinet Secretary to advance a proposed resolution according to which the government ministries will cease all contact with the Ha'aretz newspaper, due to what he defines as "an offensive line that undermines the goals of the war and weakens the military effort and our social resilience." According to Karhi , although "it is possible that some of his publications cross the criminal threshold established in the penal law for wartime.."

<https://mida.org.il/2023/11/23/%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%A2%D7%99-%D7%A0%D7%92%D7%93-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%AA%D7%A2%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%94-%D7%AA%D7%91%D7%95%D7%A1%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%AA-%D7%95%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%AA/>

Al Mazen and El Haq - Haaretz sources for what is happening in the Gaza Strip.

Based on reports from "aid organizations", Haaretz accuses the IDF of committing war crimes. Are these "aid organizations"?

Hanan Amior 08.11.23 |

Tell me who your sources are and I'll tell you who you are (Haaretz newspaper)

An article published in Ha'aretz accuses the IDF On this basis, the article calls for the "presence of inspectors and international organizations to document the damage" the IDF causes to the civilian population in the Strip.

It gets interesting when you check the sources of the accusations, referred to in the article as "aid organizations". Are these aid organizations?

Three organizations are cited in the article: Al-Mazen Organization, Al-Haq Organization and Gisha Organization.

The more minor claims, about difficulty in communicating with its operatives in Gaza, are claimed by the organization 'Gisha', which can indeed be called an "aid organization".

But the serious claims, quoted above, are claimed in the ears of the reporter, Jacky Khoury, Al Mazen activists and Al Haq.

But Khoury and 'Haaretz' are misleading the readers, because these organizations are not "aid organizations" as they are presented in the article.

The Al-Mazen organization [<https://www.ngo-monitor.org.il/ngos/al-mezan/>] has already been exposed [<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/news/379775/>] as a Palestinian propaganda organization that spreads lies against Israel.

The Al Haq organization [<https://www.ngo-monitor.org.il/ngos/al-haq/>], which is related to the terrorist organization the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine and actually serves as its hidden arm [<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/news/politics/article/5271456>], is itself defined as a terrorist organization

[<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001388272>] operating under the guise of an "aid organization".

And as if to prove this, activists of these organizations are quoted in the article as insisting on the Palestinian version of the Al Ahli hospital bombing: 'One of the most prominent examples is the controversy surrounding the attack on Al-Ahli hospital in Gaza. In the Gaza Strip they reported 500 dead in the explosion ..

"Backing up the numbers published by the authorities in the Gaza Strip"?

As everyone remembers, it quickly became clear that Israel was not responsible for the explosion at the hospital, but rather a failed rocket launch by the Islamic Jihad, and this is not only the Israeli version, which is supported by unequivocal evidence , but the version that [<https://www.maariv.co.il/news/military/Article-1045764>] is also accepted by the international community .

[<https://edition.cnn.com/2023/10/18/politics/us-intel-gaza-hospital-blast/index.html>]

In 'Haaretz', it turns out, this is still a "controversial" issue, which the "aid organizations" quoted in it, surprisingly, back up the Palestinian version of it, which has been proven to be false.

The same organizations that accuse the IDF of war crimes that were not committed.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%90%d7%9c%d7%9e%d7%99%d7%96%d7%90%d7%9f-%d7%95%d7%90%d7%9c-%d7%97%d7%a7-%d7%9e%d7%a7%d7%95%d7%a8%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%9c%d7%a0%d7%a2%d7%a9%d7%94-%d7%91%d7%a8%d7%a6/>

Do the residents of the Gaza Strip support Hamas or suffer from it?

Haaretz newspaper promotes a claim that the residents of Gaza are victims of Hamas and not those who give it its power. The data (again) show that the reality is different.

Hanan Amior, 31.10.23

Last Friday, an article was published in the 'Haaretz' supplement about the hardships of left-wing activists among the residents of the Otef [Envelope], in view of the new horrific reality.

The repeated refrain in their words was the apparent gap between Hamas and the residents of the Gaza Strip.

The activist Neta Heiman, for example, is quoted as stating that: "The Palestinian people themselves are suffering a lot from Hamas rule."

Activist Yael Noy states that: "The Gazans are held captive by Hamas and fear Hamas more than we do."

And more.

Their words raise a real, important and interesting question:

Do not the residents of Gaza support the actions of Hamas, do not sympathize with the murderous movement and are even its victims? Or is it the opposite - their support for Hamas is strong and it is what gives the criminal terrorist organization its strength?

All the data points to a clear answer. The interviewees for the article in Haaretz newspaper are wrong and misleading. The residents of Gaza are not victims of Hamas but quite the opposite. They themselves are Hamas, they are the ones who give it its power and its legitimacy and they will also choose him again and again if he is nominated for elections.

A relatively recent survey shows that support for Hamas is already high and is even increasing following Guardian of the Walls [<https://twitter.com/galberger/status/1405093777342144516>]. According to this survey, 94% of Palestinians stated that they "feel proud of the performance of the Gaza Strip in the campaign".

The data is not a standard deviation as a result of the 'Guardian of the Walls' clashes. Regularly and for years [<https://www.terrorism-info.org.il/he/%D7%9E%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%90%D7%99-%D7%A1%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99-%D7%93%D7%A2%D7%AA-%D7%A7%D7%94%D7%9C-%D7%A4%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%A9%D7%A0%D7%AA-2022-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%91/>], the residents of the Gaza Strip are more extreme than the residents of Yish in their support for the path of Hamas, even though the Arabs of Yish as mentioned also support Hamas.

The massive support for Hamas in Palestinian society in general and Gazan society in particular, does not come in a vacuum. It relies on explanations and reasons that make a lot of sense.

The assumption that the Gazan public is a "victim" of Hamas is, therefore, an arrogant assumption. It includes contempt for Palestinian society, which stems from the deep difficulty of looking at the present reality and drawing the necessary difficult conclusions from it.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9D-%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%A9%D7%91%D7%99-%D7%94%D7%A8%D7%A6%D7%95%D7%A2%D7%94-%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%9B%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%97%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%A1-%D7%90%D7%95-%D7%A1%D7%95%D7%91%D7%9C%D7%99/>

Haaretz justifies the massacre and mocks the kidnapped victims.

Hanan Amior 23.10.23 |

And again, as always, the issue is not who wrote the article, but the decision of the newspaper's editors that it is worthy of publication

On Monday, October 9, two days after the massacre in the Gaza Strip, Amira Hass published an article in Ha'aretz entitled...

But the article did not describe any circle, but was entirely a song of praise and praise for the barbaric Nazi massacre carried out by Hamas throughout the enclave, and a song of mockery and joy for the IDF's defeat on that blackest of black Sabbaths.

Already at the beginning of the story, Haaretz's story about the IDF is a vile and despicable lie...

I noticed that since the beginning of the war, all daily Israeli newspapers incorporate the Israeli flag in the newspaper logo. Thus Yedioth Ahronoth, Israel Hayom, Ma'ariv, Jerusalem Post.

They do this because they feel Israeli. A part of Israeli society that stands on its own.

The only newspaper that does not do this is Haaretz, for the exact same reason.

He does not feel part of Israeli society. once felt Then he moved to look at us from the side. Then he adopted a critical look from the side. Then a hostile look.

The wagon goes unstoppable and now he, quite simply, sympathizes with the enemy and supports him, even when he commits the most barbaric crimes, which human history will forever remember.

But there is another thing that history, especially Jewish history, will forever remember: and that is the negative, debilitating, inciting, divisive and enemy-justifying content that the newspaper published systematically and consistently from the beginning of the war.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%9e%d7%a6%d7%93%d7%99%d7%a7-%d7%90%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%98%d7%91%d7%97-%d7%95%d7%9c%d7%95%d7%a2%d7%92-%d7%9c%d7%a7%d7%95%d7%a8%d7%91%d7%a0%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%97%d7%98/>

‘Haaretz’: “Israel did nothing to offer a normal life to Gaza. It only made their lives miserable”. Haaretz criticizes Israel for not giving the Gazans any other option. As usual, the newspaper distorts history. Israel offered everything to Gaza, Hamas rudely rejected everything.

Shlomi Ben-Meir 15.10.23 | Presspectiva

... Unfortunately, as usual, in the rest of the article she lies and presents a distorted picture of reality and the conditions that led to Black Sabbath. Of course, in her eyes “the occupation is the root of all evil” and the “inhumane[sic], violent and brutal blockade” is what creates the motivation in the Palestinians to become human animals and support monstrous massacres.

For one moment she does not think that the events of that Saturday actually proved decisively the need to impose restrictions on the Strip, and what happens when the Israeli security measures collapse.

Majadli is allowed to err on the side of illusions, but when she claims that Israel never left Gaza any other option – she is simply recycling a lie that her newspaper has been spreading for years. This is how Majadala claims: “I fell short of offering a solution, but not only did Israel not do anything to offer a prospect of a normal life to the residents of Gaza living under its military blockade, on the contrary. She did everything she wanted and everything she could to make their lives miserable.”

Did Israel never offer the residents of Gaza a different life? The answer :

In August 2005, Israel carried out the disengagement plan, in which it displaced its citizens and withdrew the IDF forces from the Gaza Strip. Although the plan was announced and implemented unilaterally, after that Israel and the Palestinian Authority reached agreements regarding the affairs of the Strip.

In November 2005, the State of Israel and the Palestinian Authority signed the ‘crossings agreement’, which included for the first time an Israeli relinquishment of full control of the outer shell of the Gaza Strip, and a limitation of Israel’s control over the border crossings and its freedom of action in closing and opening them; arrangements for the passage of Palestinian convoys between the West Bank and the Gaza Strip; A commitment to establish a seaport in the Gaza Strip, and to continue the discussions to establish an airport there.

The implementation of the agreement encountered difficulties following the victory of Hamas (which does not recognize Israel, and anyway not the agreements signed with it) in the Palestinian parliamentary elections in January 2006; And due to a wave of kidnappings of foreign citizens (who were supposed to operate the crossings instead of Israel) in the Gaza Strip and incessant attempts to break through the crossings by force. Finally, all hope of its implementation collapsed after Hamas took over the Strip in June 2007. Then a blockade was imposed on the Gaza Strip, which included restrictions on the movement of people and goods to and from it.

In this context, there were proposals from the international community for Hamas – whether they came on behalf of the Quartet or as an American initiative – to commit to ending the violence and to recognize Israel and the agreements signed with it, in exchange for ending the blockade. These proposals have been on the table for years and years, and the Hamas rulers of Gaza have consistently refused them.

You will search in vain among the writings of Hanin Majadli – or in fact, in every article written in Haaretz in the last 15 years – for criticism of the reluctance of Hamas, which rudely rejected the proposal to allow a better life for the residents of Gaza and preferred to drown the entire region in rivers of blood and despair.

For them, criticism will always fall on the shoulders of Israel, which should care more about the Palestinians than the Palestinian leaders themselves. Even over a thousand dead Israelis will not challenge this sacred principle.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%99%d7%a9%d7%a8%d7%90%d7%9c-%d7%9c%d7%90-%d7%a2%d7%a9%d7%aa%d7%94-%d7%93%d7%91%d7%a8-%d7%9c%d7%94%d7%a6%d7%99%d7%a2-%d7%97%d7%99%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%a0%d7%95%d7%a8%d7%9e/>

—

At lying Haaretz, racist-Arab Hanin Majadli, on Oct 13, 2023, propagating veiled terrorists apologetic after paying lip service to be shocked. Her real aim: rewriting history . (Haaretzism: the trend since at least 2000, to explain Arab supremacy and demonize those fearing radical Arab-racism, Arabization and Islamic bigotry). <https://www.jewishrefugees.org.uk/2023/10/arab-facebook-users-exploit-images-of-israeli-hostages.html/comment-page-1#comment-12406>

'Crime Rates' Aren't Soaring in Israel. Arab Muslim Crime Rates Are.
40 murders for over 7 million people. He-ll of a crime rate.
September 28, 2023 by Daniel Greenfield.

...What does Israel's murder rate look like without the Arab Muslim colonial population?

'The number of homicides among non-Arab Israelis has risen by more than 50 percent since the start of 2023, up from 26..'

Haaretz, a leftist anti-Israel paper, is trying to hype the numbers as much as it can, but that's in a nation of 9.3 million. New York City, which is a bit smaller, had hundreds of murders.

Israel has a Jewish population of a little over 7 million. It has 40 murders among "non-Arabs" which includes Jews and potentially a bunch of others.

40 murders for over 7 million people. Hell of a crime rate. Up from 26 too.

Israelis, if you believe the media, are horrible warmongers who live to oppress and kill. Yet their murderous proclivities are up there with a small English village (the real kind, not the fictional kind where someone is always being murdered.)

Israel doesn't have a murder problem. It has a violent outside population problem.

<https://www.frontpagemag.com/crime-rates-arent-soaring-in-israel-arab-muslim-crime-rates-are/>

Haaretz, Hebrew for Inferior Coverage? | CAMERA

By: Tamar Sternthal.
September 27, 2023.

Even as international media outlets answered the call to improve coverage of Palestinian fatalities by noting that those killed by Israeli fire in Jenin last week were confirmed combatants, Israeli daily Haaretz failed to update its English and Hebrew-language reports with this highly relevant information.

<https://www.camera.org/article/haaretz-hebrew-for-inferior-coverage/>

'Haaretz': thwarting armed wanted men in Jenin is "IDF terrorism in the context of protecting the security of the state"

Hanan Amior 06.08.23.

Karma is a b..... A few hours after the newspaper accused the army of "invasion" and "terror" against civilians in Jenin following the latest operation to thwart wanted men, an armed wanted man left Jenin and murdered an Israeli in Tel Aviv.

Last Friday, Haaretz newspaper moved forward in life. He is no longer only attacking "settler terrorism", but has moved on to his next target: "IDF terrorism".

[...]

So much for Haaretz's slanderous slanders of the State of Israel and the IDF, and hence the facts:

This is not a "terrorist, retaliatory and punitive action" by the IDF against a civilian population, but a necessary self-defense action, after the army's long-term refusal to enter Jenin turned Jenin into a terrorist stronghold that unleashed dozens of attacks, brought in hundreds of armed terrorists, He stockpiled hundreds of explosive devices and large quantities of weapons, built up a rocket threat on the Israeli cities in the north and Sharon, and even tried to carry it out by launching them towards the city of Afula and other Israeli towns.

Contrary to Haaretz's claim, the operation was not directed against the civilian population but against armed enemy forces. Therefore, it is logical that of the 12 deaths reported by the Palestinian Ministry of Health during the operation, at least ten were armed enemy fighters who fought intentionally from within a civilian environment, with the aim of causing the IDF to harm their human defenders without any choice, and to give Haaretz its anti-Israeli propaganda weapon.

As mentioned, their attempt was not successful, but that is not what will prevent Haaretz from blaming anyway.

Ha'aretz has no ethics and does not tolerate lies and concealing reality from its readers. That's why he hides from them that, contrary to his claim, the demolition of the roads, including the water and sewer infrastructures, did arise from an operational necessity, since the enemy had planted powerful explosives under them, one of which was even fired at an IDF force a few days before the operation, injuring six soldiers.

In conclusion:

A necessary operation to thwart a terrorist threat that developed into a rocket threat to large areas of Israel, is described in Haaretz as unjustified "IDF terrorism" directed against civilians (which for some reason are not killed during the course of it), and in fact sets a new standard, according to which Israel must not thwart terrorism which is developing against it within the Palestinian cities.

And if you do, you will be accused by the newspaper of "terrorism".

Karma is a b####h. Not a few hours have passed since the publication of the claim that the IDF's action in Jenin is "IDF terrorism" carried out under the "false pretense" of protecting the security of the state, and from that Jenin, an armed wanted man from the Islamic Jihad that the IDF tried to go to Tel Aviv to arrest his "act of terrorism" in Jenin, and the murder of an Israeli citizen.

It should also be noted that hundreds of armed wanted men like him, determined to murder Israeli civilians, are also currently hiding inside Jenin.

Well, according to Haaretz, that they will continue to peacefully organize attacks there. Israel must not act against them. Why? So. Because this is "IDF terrorism"

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%A1%D7%99%D7%9B%D7%95%D7%9C-%D7%9E%D7%91%D7%95%D7%A7%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%97%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%92%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%95%D7%90/>

Haim Ramon attacks 'Haaretz': "Even in a Yated Neeman there is more pluralism"

The Haaretz newspaper published an article: "Netanyahu is interested in the Milchan Wall operation in Jenin, don't show up" - to which Haim Ramon responded in the Patriots: "Haaretz has become a Pravda, which is all recruited to the service of a political line. This is not a newspaper, it is a pamphlet. Even at Yated-Neeman, there is more pluralism" -

Moshi Herman, 21/06/2023

<https://hm-news.co.il/375285/>

—

"Even The Yated Ne'eman Is More Pluralistic!"

June 23, 2023

In an amusing reference, a former left-wing politician slammed the left-wing Haaretz as a "Pravda," saying that "even the Yated Ne'eman is more pluralistic."

Chaim Ramon, a guest on Channel 14's The Patriots, was discussing a recent Haaretz article, entitled: Netanyahu Wants A 'Milchan' Operation In Jenin, Don't Show Up.

The outrageous article made the ridiculous claim that Netanyahu wants to distract Israelis from his legal troubles by embarking on an operation in Jenin and therefore soldiers should refuse call-up orders.

Ramon said: "Haaretz has turned into the Pravda [former official newspaper of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union] – solely used for political purposes. It's not a newspaper – even the Yated Ne'eman is more pluralistic than Haaretz is today."

"Haaretz crosses all red lines. 'Don't obey call-up orders?' It's saying that if tomorrow, there's an operation in northern Shomron, it's calling on IDF reserve soldiers not to obey call-up orders. That's a criminal offense." <https://www.theyeshivaworld.com/news/headlines-breaking-stories/2202493/even-the-yated-neeman-is-more-pluralistic.html>

Haaretz presents: hate-> blindness-> lies

Spreading hatred against settlers and ultra-Orthodox is obscene. Especially if the hatred is supported by lies

Hanan Amior, Presspectiva | 19.06.23

Last Friday, Ha'aretz published a scattered, associative and hateful article for the settlers ("wrongdoers") and the ultra-Orthodox (generalizing as if unemployed; evading the army (conscientious objectors) and (supposed) "blackmailing" (for demanding to support yeshiva students like students)), which "both incite hostility And disgust, horror and anger."

So far everything is normal. Spreading hatred in 'Haaretz' is the regular menu.

The problem is exacerbated by the rickety structure on which the author, Rachel Elior, bases her hatred, for the simple reason that it is a string of lies.

Let's take them one by one:

Elior states: "The occupation is illegal, requires legal [sic Elior:] apartheid between members of different religions and different nationalities, and imposes a military dictatorship on the Palestinians in order to protect the illegal settlements"...

The sentence "The occupation imposes a military dictatorship on the Palestinians to protect the illegal settlements" is a masterpiece of Noah with seven errors, because it is all a pile of lies.

Let's start with the fact that the "occupation" is not illegal, even if some Western European countries choose to interpret international law that way. In fact, these are "disputed territories" and it is not for nothing that the US is careful to define the settlements as "unhelpful" or "obstacle to peace", but not as "illegal".

For those interested in more detail - all the details and references here

[<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%a9%d7%a7%d7%a8-%d7%90%d7%99-%d7%97%d7%95%d7%a7%d7%99%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%94%d7%aa%d7%a0%d7%97%d7%9c%d7%95%d7%99%d7%95%d7%aa/>].

We will move on to the claim about "military dictatorship".

A military dictatorship, in its dictionary definition, is a regime of intimidation that engages in the systematic suppression of citizens who do not endanger other citizens, using methods of torture, kidnapping and mass murder.

None of this is true in the Palestinian context. Those of them who do not take part in terrorism against civilians, and this is of course the vast majority, hardly ever rub shoulders with the IDF and Shin Bet, certainly not directly. It is indeed influenced by the need to thwart Palestinian terrorism, but the fact is that in periods of security peace the IDF and Shin Bet withdraw from the area and their influence on civilian life diminishes. In this state of affairs, which of course depends on the Palestinians' motivation for murder, the great majority of Palestinians who are not involved in terrorism live their lives undisturbed.

Also, the countermeasure activity of the army and the Shin Bet, which Eilior calls a "military dictatorship", is not directed at the defense of the settlements, but at the defense of Israel. Israel, not necessarily settlers.

Is Eilior choosing to present things in this false way, in an attempt to create a conflict between Israeli citizens inside the Green Line and Israeli citizens living in Yosh?

Another claim by Eilior: "Palestinians living in J.S.... are deprived of Israeli citizenship and lack human and civil rights".

The Palestinians are not deprived of Israeli citizenship, for the simple reason that they never held it and therefore it was not deprived of them. They have Palestinian citizenship and data despite their Palestinian self-government, which is supposed to protect their human and civil rights. Indeed, throughout the territory under their control, their civil rights are supposed to be preserved through orderly systems of democratic elections, law enforcement, a court system and punishment.

The fact that their self-government denies them human and civil rights, including the right to democratic elections (the last elections were held in 2005, 18 years ago), is not Israel's fault.

In addition, the free movement rights of the Palestinians are also improving all the time. Every Palestinian citizen who is not involved in violence and terrorism is entitled to full freedom of movement, both within the J.S. and out into the wider world. Of course through the crossings of Jordan and Egypt, but starting recently, also through Israel itself.

From here, Eilior goes to insult the settler community...

The settler public does not create a reality of injustice and lies any more than the non-settler public. If anything, as almost every Arab will say, on the contrary.

In contrast to the settlements and cities within the Green Line, which were built on the ruins of Arab settlements whose residents were expelled, the settlements in the Judea/Samaria were established on barren land for which there is no claimant who holds ownership documents. It is certain that Arab communities and settlements were not destroyed for the purpose of establishing the settlement enterprise.

So a comparison between the settlement inside the green line and the settlement beyond it in terms of plunder and injustice, will not exactly put the settlers on the side of the wronged.

The settler public also does not aspire to replace liberal democracy with a dictatorial messianic and halachic monarchical theocracy. These are complete nonsense. Stupid slogans that come out of the mouth like foam.

These ambitions do not appear, not even hinted at, in any platform of any party that the settlers voted for. They do not have any political support and they are all from Mrs. Eilior's fevered mind with confused hatred.

Also regarding the ultra-Orthodox, "those who are unemployed", as she says, Eilior lies with a determined face.

The latest data show that the employment rate among ultra-Orthodox is on a steady rise and is currently at an employment peak that has not been the same in 25 years. More than half of the ultra-Orthodox men are not "unemployed" and among ultra-Orthodox women the rate of participation in the workforce is very high, and in fact without any significant difference from the rest of the population. (78% of ultra-Orthodox women work)

Let's summarize:

Eilior and 'Haaretz' are full of hate. Hate is blind. Blindness is a fertile ground for lies, and lies must be refuted.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%92-%D7%A9%D7%A0%D7%90%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%95%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D/>

Palestinian terrorists shoot at journalists, Israel blamed
June 20, 2023

Haaretz reported about the clashes in Jenin yesterday, and fully accepted the idea that unseen snipers shooting towards the journalists were Israeli and not Palestinian.

Haaretz reported about the clashes in Jenin yesterday, and fully accepted the idea that unseen snipers shooting towards the journalists were Israeli and not Palestinian. ..

What is certain is that trained, professional soldiers do not fire wildly and randomly. They might mistake a target but they fire 'at' targets. The random fire described in the Haaretz article is far more likely from Jenin terrorists, whom we know will fire without even looking at their target.

<https://elderofziyon.blogspot.com/2023/06/palestinian-terrorists-shoot-at.html?m=1>

Freedom of speech? The Haaretz newspaper in its decision against Channel 14

Srugim news, 12.06.23

The Haaretz newspaper decided on an immediate ban on its writers, the newspaper, which already knew of similar incidents of restrictions on writers in the past, decided to ban its writers from appearing on Channel 14 broadcasts

The newspaper Haaretz recently decided on a blanket ban that applies to all the writers in the newspaper, in which the newspaper forbids the writers to appear on the broadcasts of the channel 'Now 14'.

According to the report of the editor of Walla Barangay, David Wertheim, the newspaper's writers are prohibited from hosting the channel and appearing in its programs officially, and that this was confirmed by the editor of Haaretz, Aluf Benn.

In addition to this, according to the report, the ban has already gone into effect immediately, which caused Haaretz reporter Gideon Levy, who is a frequent guest on the Patriots program on the channel, to suddenly cancel his appearance which was scheduled to take place later this evening on the Patriots program.

This is not the first time that the Haaretz newspaper dealt with prohibitions and restrictions against its writers, just a few weeks ago Dr. Gadi Taub was removed from the ranks of the writers after writing opinion columns and articles for the newspaper for many years. Taub was removed due to his writing in favor of the legal reform and against the way it was presented in other articles in the newspaper.
<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=803808>

How to create an anti-Israel blood libel: Blaming Israel for (fictional?) medicine shortage in West Bank

Elder Of Ziyon - Israel News
Thursday, June 08, 2023.

From Haaretz: 'As Critical Medicine Runs Out in West Bank, Palestinian Authority Points at Israel. An acute drug shortage in the West Bank affects Palestinian hospitals and residents with chronic conditions. The Palestinian Authority blames Israel, saying it is not helping it to supply and fund the medicines. The Gaza Strip is in a similar crisis...'

I cannot find a single article in Palestinian media about a drug shortage in the West Bank from 2023. The Palestinian health ministry adds news articles and reports regularly and they have nothing about this. (Today, Al Quds translated Haaretz' article.)

Sky News Arabia - not a Palestinian news site - wrote about a medicine crisis nine months ago. It did not blame Israel; it said that the health ministry could not pay their debts so suppliers were stopping shipments.

If there is such an acute health crisis, why is this not being mentioned in Palestinian media or by its government websites?

Setting aside that question for now, let's look closer at what the Haaretz article actually says.

While the headline says that the Palestinian Authority is blaming Israel for this shortage, it gives four separate reasons that Palestinians in the West Bank cannot get the medicines they need. The first three are from an anonymous Palestinian official

1. The Palestinian government cannot pay for medicines. Israel's withholding tax revenue is blamed.
2. Pharmacists in the West Bank are on strike.
3. UNRWA has closed down its own medical centers in camps.

There's a pharmacists' strike? UNRWA has closed down medical clinics?

Those seem like pretty big news stories. When has Haaretz reported on them? For that matter, how much has the media altogether reported on them?

There was a pharmacist strike in the territories starting in April, and I don't know if it is still going on.

Also in April, UNRWA's head Philippe Lazzarini spoke about its own budget crisis - but he didn't mention that UNRWA was forced to close medical clinics. One would think he would have highlighted that fact in pressuring the world to pay for UNRWA's budget. I read Palestinian media daily and this is the first I've heard about that, although there have been major strikes by UNRWA workers.

Things are not adding up here.

Now let's look at the fourth reason given that Palestinians cannot get medicines. It comes from an Israeli NGO whose funding is proportional to how much it demonizes Israel:

4. Israeli security blocks Palestinians from reaching large cities where medicines are still available as their own supplies dwindle.

There have been some additional roadblocks in specific instances when Israel is pursuing perpetrators of terror attacks, but it seems highly unlikely that Israel blocks the Palestinian Authority from distributing medicines to the smaller towns, which is the normal procedure. And PHR-I says that the medicines are available in the cities.

This is a logistics issue, not a roadblock issue.

While it is true that Israel is withholding a portion of tax revenue from the PA, that is because the PA spends a significant portion of its budget on paying terrorists and their families, popularly known as "pay for slay." It accounts for some \$350 million annually, which would buy a lot of medicine.

Mahmoud Abbas has said multiple times that these payments are the PA's highest priority.

Which means that the medicine crisis is directly a result of the Palestinian Authority preferring to pay terrorists and families of "martyrs" over medicine for its people.

Taken altogether, this is Haaretz manufacturing a blood libel against Israel. Israel is not telling the Palestinian Authority how to spend its money, nor is it blocking any medicine distribution in the West Bank nor in Gaza (which is how these stories are normally framed.)

In past years the Palestinian Authority itself purposefully blocked medicines from reaching Gaza for political purposes, showing how little it cares about health care for its own people. This is part of the same story: the PA has plenty of money to spend on empty museums or fake jobs for released prisoner terrorists, but health care and teachers' salaries are a much lower priority.

But this Haaretz article also proves other dysfunctionality in the PA, in the media and with NGOs.

There is next to no reporting on important stories like the pharmacists' strike, UNRWA (maybe) closing its own medical clinics, Palestinian teachers' strikes and other everyday stories that the media and NGOs ignore or skate over - even though there are more reporters and NGO employees covering the West Bank than anywhere else in the world, except perhaps Washington DC.

The overarching imperative is to manufacture a narrative where Israel is solely to blame for all of the Palestinian ills, and Palestinian leaders have no responsibility to act like real leaders should. Media and NGOs do not put any pressure on the Palestinian leadership to act responsibly and to prioritize normal governance rather than keeping a system that everyone knows is corrupt and wasting international funds.

Beyond that, this story being in Haaretz and not in Palestinian media, yet heavily dependent on an anonymous Palestinian official, indicates that this is the first salvo of a new Palestinian propaganda campaign against Israel, where Haaretz provides the initial legitimacy that can then be built upon. If true, expect international NGOs to join the bandwagon soon.

This article is part of the collective, international blood libel blaming Jews for Palestinian leaders recklessly endangering their own people's lives.

<https://elderofziyon.blogspot.com/2023/06/how-to-create-anti-israel-blood-libel.html>

At "Haaretz" they present a new standard of hatred of ultra-Orthodox and religion // opinion
The "Haaretz" reporter made a surprise call to the CEO of the Electric Company and attacked him for his decision to connect communities with a religious majority to electricity last Friday while there were self-initiated power outages across the country. "Are you knocking me for his faith?"

Yehiel Galai, 18 Sivan, 5783. 06/07/2023
<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/1552869>

Haaretz feeds global anti-Semitism

May 26, 2023 — The Israeli daily Haaretz published an inflammatory commentary directed against Jews and giving new impetus to anti-Semitism.

<https://www.mena-watch.com/haaretz-laesst-ritualmordlegende-neu-aufleben/>

Because of the exclusion of Shabbat observers: a demand from the Government Advertising Bureau to stop advertising in 'Haaretz'

Haaretz stated in a job ad a condition to work on weekends. In response, MK Avraham Bezalel demands that the Government Advertising Bureau stop publishing in the newspaper.

Itzik Brandwein, 1 Sivan 5783. 21.05.23

The chairman of the lobby for Shabbat, Knesset member Avraham Bezalel (Shas) demands that the Government Advertising Bureau stop government advertising in the Haaretz newspaper and website due to an alleged violation of the law and the exclusion of Shabbat keepers from employment.

We note that in a job advertisement published on Haaretz's Facebook account, it was stated that "shift work in Tel Aviv, including weekends."

In addition, according to the Bezalmo organization, this is the third time in the last year that Haaretz mentions in job ads the obligation to work on weekends, "a condition that excludes the entire religious and traditional Shabbat-observant public," as MK Bezalel noted in a letter to the PM.

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/602239>

R' Shmuel Eliyahu: The modern day blood libel

The press said that there was racism, violence, and hate at the flag march. Lies can kill, but lies do not have legs to stand on. Op-ed.

R' Shmuel Eliyahu, May 21, 2023.

...Today as well, the haters of Israel allow themselves to brazenly lie and falsely accuse the Jewish people. Newspapers discuss the flag march on Jerusalem Day and say there was racism, hate, and violence. The Haaretz newspaper wrote that the Jews rioted in the Arab streets, damaged, broke, and yelled: "Death to Arabs."

This is a lie like no other. I was there, I walked along the entire route in the old city, and I did not see any expression of hatred. Not one person yelled "Death to Arabs." No one broke windows or damaged Arab property. Everyone joyfully sang and danced on the old city's main street, a street where Jews lived in the past and where many Jews still live today.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/371736>

Presspectiva @Presspectiva:

Did you know, according to @Haaretz you are happy and satisfied. Why? Not because the IDF thwarted senior terrorists who plotted to assassinate you, But because you killed children. This, according to the newspaper, is what unites you. Approved for killing children. A classic of anti-Semitism, exactly by definition. (Of course also in English, the message is not really intended for you).

May 15, 2023

<https://twitter.com/presspectiva/status/1658060573387399168>

Haaretz's Blood Libel: Israelis Unite Around Killing (sic) Children

May 17, 2023

With the disgusting headline "Killing (sic) Children Brings (sic) Israelis Together," Haaretz updated the old blood libel that Jews engage in the ritual murder of gentile children.

This false charge has gotten countless Jews killed over centuries.

<https://www.camera.org/article/haaretzs-blood-libel-israelis-unite-around-killing-children/>

Haaretz sets new records of vileness with latest Israel smear.

One is free to debate the elusive morality of the balance between targeted assassinations and collateral damage, but Haaretz went one step further and flat-out claimed the IDF's intention is to kill children to make Israelis happy; So PIJ propagandists can take the day off, Haaretz is on it

Alon Goldstein | published:05.12.23 |

<https://www.ynetnews.com/article/syx2zronn>

The Minister of Communications on Taub's dismissal: "Thank you to Haaretz newspaper for supporting my position that a comprehensive reform is needed"

3 Shvat, 2783. 25.01.23

Communications Minister Shlomo Karhi responded to the dismissal of Dr. Gabi Taub from Haaretz newspaper. "It caught us right in the middle of a professional discussion about diversity and competition in the media market. We thought to ourselves, to what extent such shameful gagging would open today's editions."

"Thank you to Haaretz newspaper for the tailwind [boost] behind my position that a comprehensive reform of the media market is needed. We will work to stop government funding through advertisements for this Bolshevik abomination."

<https://www.inn.co.il/flashes/908953>

Like That? To shoot an "innocent" terrorist!?

What will Haaretz newspaper call someone who appears to be a terrorist, armed like a terrorist and trying to infiltrate a Jewish settlement? "Palestinian".

Shlomi Ben-Meir 14.02.23

Recently the readers of Haaretz woke up to the news about a terrible act that happened in some settlement: [Haaretz vetbatim] "a security person shot at a Palestinian in a settlement."

This is a clearly misleading headline, not to mention false: whoever bothers to continue reading the article will discover that the Palestinian was armed with a gun; and that he tried to enter the settlement through the road that bypasses it.

In other words, every child in the Middle East will know how to say that the innocent Palestinian is actually a terrorist, and what happened here was actually a combat encounter with the security coordinator in the settlement, who prevented a murderous attack and saved the lives of innocent civilians.

But in the 'Haaretz' system it is not so clear. When surfers drew the attention of the publisher Amos Shoken to the wording of the headline, and to the fact that even in the body of the article it was not stated that the Palestinian in question is a dangerous terrorist, Shoken replied:

"I think it's a good report. The Palestinian was not yet a terrorist when he was shot to death, and we wrote that the Home Front Command ordered residents to enter their homes for fear of terrorists infiltrating. Apparently after it became clear that no terrorist had penetrated, they canceled the instruction."

And when he was pressed against the wall a little more due to the absurdity of his words, he continued: "He was not yet a terrorist when he was shot to death. He walked around with a gun and tried to infiltrate a Jewish settlement ..."

Did you understand that? In our opinion, it doesn't take much more than a little common sense to understand that if it looks like a terrorist, walks like a terrorist, is armed like a terrorist and misses like a terrorist - it is indeed a terrorist.

For the people of Haaretz, on the other hand, this is a far-reaching conclusion - after all, the Palestinian has not yet had time to kill anyone, and the evil settlers have already decided that he is a danger and robbed him of the presumption of innocence. A terrorist, it turns out, is someone who was given a fair opportunity to murder Jews without interruption.

And we who write in the newspaper, how will we discredit the "suspect" after his death and call him a "terrorist"?

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%9b%d7%9b%d7%94-%d7%9c%d7%99%d7%a8%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%91%d7%9e%d7%97%d7%91%d7%9c-%d7%aa%d7%9e%d7%99%d7%9d/>

—

The editor of the Haaretz newspaper, an armed Arab will not be defined as a terrorist as long as he does not kill Jews

The editor of the Haaretz newspaper: Amos Schocken, wrote today on Twitter in response to the journalist Hany Vayzer, who quoted an article from the Haaretz newspaper about an armed Arab who entered a Jewish settlement with the intention of killing and was only defined as a "gunman" who is not a terrorist but only a "Palestinian".

According to him, the report in the newspaper that "a security man in the settlement shot dead a Palestinian" is correct because "the Palestinian was not yet a terrorist when he was shot dead".

This response shows the editor's decision regarding additional articles in the Hebrew newspaper and in the English version that have already appeared several times online while making similar statements.

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/779707.shtml>

Ariel Schnabel @ArielSchnabel

Replying to @AmosSchocken1 @HanyVayzer and @margan_ron

Jan 30, 2023:

He was "not" yet a terrorist when he was shot to death. He walked around with a gun and tried to penetrate a Jewish settlement just to oil it up a bit and return home, there is no wd-40 in the village. There really are no words to describe the blindness.

<https://twitter.com/ArielSchnabel/status/1620002532188884994>

'Haaretz': Demanding that the Arab citizens of Israel not support terrorism is a "fascist[sic] act"

According to the newspaper, the state's insistence on a basic law prohibiting support for terrorism is "denying adequate representation" to all Israeli Arabs

Hanan Amior , 06.02.23 |

...According to [Yossi] Verter and Ha'aretz, all Israeli Arabs must be supporters of terrorism..

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5->

[%D7%93%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%94-%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%96%D7%A8%D7%97%D7%99-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A2%D7%A8%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%90-%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%9E/](https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%93%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%94-%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%96%D7%A8%D7%97%D7%99-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A2%D7%A8%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%90-%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%9E/)

Ofri Eliyahu-Rimoni @Ofri1986 Replying to @UriMisgav

Feb 2, 2023:

Hi Uri, this is Ofri speaking for El Al, I would like to clarify that this is incorrect information. The Prime Minister's flight was manned according to normal procedure and there is no dawn for publicity as if the company's pilots refused to man it. This is a special flight of the Prime Minister which was decided two days ago and the flight took off on the scheduled time despite the short notice, just as it is always customary.

[https://pbs.twimg.com/media/FoCV_QTX0AAfTeS?format=jpg&name=900x900]

<https://twitter.com/Ofri1986/status/1621180174246068224>

—

CEO of Netzah Yehuda Association: The headline in Haaretz is simply a lie, fake news, a complete invention.

Channel 7, 9th in Tevet, 5783. 2.01.23

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/587758>

CEO of Netzah Yehuda: The headline in Haaretz is a lie, fake news, a complete fabrication. The decision to move the battalion was made two years ago

Jan 2, 2023

Pay attention to the headline this morning in Haretz, simply a lie, fake news, a complete fabrication.

1. The decision to *upgrade* the battalion was made two years ago! on a professional basis only.
2. Not a single "Druze soldier" is turned away because of the battalion, non-Jewish soldiers also serve at the battalion headquarters.

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/775566.shtml>

(After the publication of a sexual act with a lawyer: the head of the bureau Avi Himi resigns. 31.01.23. — He did not deny the allegations... Himi, one of the leaders of the protest against the legal revolution led by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and Minister of Justice Yariv Levin.

<https://www.ynet.co.il/news/article/syqhk38no>

[Legal reporter, Globes].

Avishai Grinzaig @avishaigrinzaig Tweeted:

Feb 1, 2023

A recent column by Anat Kamm, editor of the opinion section in the Haaretz newspaper: "Stalin defeated Hitler only because he was as bad as he was, and the Allies turned a blind eye to the horrors of his regime because there was a greater evil forever. We now have a greater evil forever, and we need [Avi] Himi with us, even if before joining the struggle he did wrong things."

OK...

<https://twitter.com/avishaigrinzaig/status/1620681919624253441>

Guy Levy @levyguy77 Tweeted Feb 1, 2023:

The spy who writes in Haaretz newspaper @anat_kamm explains that despite what was discovered about Avi Himi, it is forbidden to harm him and keep him away from the protest. Want to know why? 1. Because he gave a sweeping speech at the demonstration. 2. Because in the article in Israel they saw that it was in his soul. 3. "Because Stalin defeated Hitler only because he was as bad as him."

<https://twitter.com/levyguy77/status/1620695733375737856>

Zvi @Zvicohe Tweeted Feb 1, 2023:

Most of the web focused on the fact that Anat Kamm cleared the sex offender Avi Himi. Missed the call to war in the analogy she gave. Anat Kamm gave an analogy ... That the left is murderous Stalinist is clear to me. But the call to war is psychotic.

<https://twitter.com/Zvicohe/status/1620879285744734208>

In 'Haaretz' it is forbidden to tell the truth about the reform - the censored article

Gadi Taub, Mida, 01/25/2023

Dr. Gadi Taub in the article that the left and the High Court judges do not want you to read: How they took over the regime in Israel and why we must correct

There is no doubt that most of the participants of the demonstrations against the reform of the judicial system believe that they are fighting "to save democracy". This is what judges, academics, intellectuals and senior journalists told them. Under this ideological turmoil, it is not surprising that the possibility does not occur to them that they are not fighting to save democracy, but the opposite: to save the minority rule of the leftist elite from democracy.

There are those who buy the "saving democracy" bluff and there are those who create it. This does not mean that the creators are necessarily cynics. Many of them probably consider the deception that they are orchestrating a necessary move to save the unenlightened public from itself. But I have great doubt, whether professors like Barak Medina, Yaniv Roznai or Mordechai Kremnitzer, and Supreme Court Justices like Aharon Barak, Yitzhak Zamir or Esther Hayut - all senior members of the junta who testify to their complicity - are acting in good faith. After all, they are all distinct ideologues of the anti-democratic view that seeks to fortify sovereignty beyond the reach of the citizens. They have been calling the legal oligarchy "essential democracy" for years.

Their arguments against the reform, it must be said openly, are not only misleading but also incredibly shallow. And the first is what they seek to hide: Israel is the only "democracy" in the West where 15 judges hold sovereignty (plus a veto on the appointment of their members).

This is neither a voyage nor a metaphor; This is a fact from the field of political science. The final decision-making authority in Israel passed to the court, in any matter - any matter, including the drafting of an alleged constitution. This "constitution" was created by the words of the court which on its own initiative and without authority gave constitutional status to the fundamental laws. There is no action by the other authorities that the court does not claim the authority to intervene in, including - as it announced in May 2020 in response to petitions against the formation of the government by Binyamin Netanyahu - the power to cancel the election results. This rule of judges has no parallel in any reformed democracy, and Prof. Shlomo Avneri rightly called our strange form of government 'Bjzocracy'.

In a reformed democratic state, each governing body acts by virtue of an authority granted to it by law, and can vote on it. Our court has freed itself from being subject to the law - practically, because it empties laws of their content or alternatively ignores them at will, and formally because it has abducted the power to cancel them without being authorized to do so.

An important part of the illegal revolution through which Aharon Barak's court granted itself the authority to invalidate laws, lies in a declaration devoid of a solid legal basis, according to which the Basic Laws have the status of a constitution in order to invalidate ordinary laws based on them. But from the moment the court took upon itself the authority to limit the constitutive authority of the Knesset (in a strong ruling regarding a fundamental law: Israel, the nation state of the Jewish people) and even authorized itself to abduct fundamental laws (in a benign ruling), it freed itself from any limitation and

placed himself above all norms, including the "constitution". And we haven't even talked about the sparrow he called himself out of loyalty to the explicit meaning of words (through the "purposive interpretation"), and the freedom he gave himself to disqualify even what is legal but not to the taste of the judges, under the elastic title known as the "complex of reasonableness".

Yariv Levin's reform is far from perfect, and there are still too many loopholes left in it that will allow the elite to disrupt the will of the voters. The prosecutor's office, which functions as the Praetorian guard of the Supreme Court, and has undergone far-reaching politicization, managed to dismantle the only body that was supposed to supervise it, and, as expected, completely failed in clarifying the corruption in its midst (Ruth David cases, the Perinian brothers, Abu Al-Kiyan, the concealment of wiretapping in the Ramon trial, Tax and other); Another half-century of radical rulings will pass before the change in the composition of the committee for the selection of judges will introduce some diversity in the activist and "creative" staff of the Supreme Court; And the postmodern theory of interpretation introduced by Barak will not soon make it possible to return legal certainty to the halls of justice.

Behind inflated legal jargon, which often hides ignorance in the theory of the regimes, slogan makers like the 'Israeli Democracy Institute' are asked to issue to journalists demagogic kits full of idle arguments: that "Israel does not have a constitution" (but Barak turned the basic laws into a constitution with his words) , that the reform will "abolish the separation of powers" (but it was the court that trampled it when it invaded the territories of the other authorities and created for itself an unbridled governmental power), that "in Israel the Knesset is controlled by the government" (he told Idit Silman), and that "the system is being crushed The trial is to save one person from prison" (but the reform does not concern the Netanyahu trial that started years ago and there is nothing in it that would allow him to be arrested). And of course, at the top of the parade is the far-fetched claim that in order to prevent the "tyranny of the majority", for some reason we need the tyranny of the minority.

We are short of answers to every slogan issued to us by the representatives of the legal oligarchy and their agents of ignorance. But it is possible to point to the general conceptual framework of going astray: the judiciary is described not as a governing authority, but only as a brake, and therefore there is nothing worrisome in that there is no defined limit to its power, and there is nothing to balance or restrain it.

But the court is not just a brake, it is one of the government authorities and the uncontrolled power it has accumulated, on its own and against other authorities, endangers the sovereignty of the citizens and also cuts the important democratic link between authority and responsibility to the public. This is a situation that is not only corrupting and undemocratic, but also destructive to the entire governmental system and its functioning. All the more so when such a court imposes an agenda and values from above in contradiction to the express wishes of the majority of the public in Israel. On this conceptual deception rests the popular falsehood that claims that without an all-powerful court, nothing will stop the trampling of individual and minority rights. In reality, the opposite is of course true. The unbridled power in the hands of the court and its praetorian guard in the prosecutor's office has given rise to the dismal situation of Israel today in the field of human rights: in the criminal law where these rights are most necessary to protect against the arbitrariness of the government, the Israeli citizen has no real protection.

The presumption of innocence has become an empty shell in courts where skyrocketing conviction rates are recorded. The arrest policy of the police, which the prosecutor's office backs up and the courts approve, has crossed every sane boundary. It was the Knesset that sought to preserve the human rights of the accused, with enlightened and humane legislation; The court trampled the law, and the rights that the law grants. The claim that the court "protects minorities from the tyranny of the majority" also does not stand the test of reality, because it depends on which minority you belong to. Ask the settlers about their right to protest in secession, about arrests until the end of the proceedings of 14-year-old girls, about prolonged administrative arrests or alternatively about the closing of Channel 7. This is evident in the enforcement of the principle of equality (which does not appear in the Basic Laws) unequally on ultra-Orthodox (whose exemption from conscription is not Nice in the eyes of the High Court) and Arabs (whose exemption from conscription is actually nice in his eyes).

The left can continue to deceive itself that "the Likudniks did not vote for the reform", but on the right side of the map it has been clear to all of us for some time that no right-wing policy will pass as long as the legal oligarchy rules over the heads of the elected officials, and draws for them the limits of the policy that the left dictates. Binyamin Netanyahu realized that although the reform would probably turn the system against him, the camp would not forgive him if he tried to stem the tide of anger over the continuous shredding of election ballots by jurists.

But the most blatant of the lies of the propaganda machine to save the minority rule lies in the claim that in the absence of an all-powerful court, there will be only one, all-powerful authority in Israel, and that is the government, which controls, so we are told, the Knesset. Prof. Yitzhak Zamir, invented an innovation in the description of the Israeli regime as a "coalition democracy", and added that the government is controlled by its head, so we actually have a single government in Israel.

It is not clear to me where the owners of this strange theory lived in the last decades. Probably not in real Israel, because in real Israel, in front of the government and in front of the Knesset stands the biggest brake. It's called elections. Not even that: if the owners of the innovative theory had looked at their own message pages from a month ago, they might have remembered that they claimed under every fresh tree that Netanyahu is weak and blackmailed, captive in the hands of a coalition, each of whose members is ripping off a piece of his rule. And maybe they didn't notice that in our system the entire Knesset is full of obstacles in front of the government, since the coalition factions can - and they often do - overthrow governments, and sometimes even a single member of the Knesset can do it.

I will therefore conclude by calling on the Minister of Justice Yariv Levin: do not be impressed by the cry of the Cossacks being robbed. This is the swan song of an elite running amok in the face of the dying throbbing of its undemocratic rule. Act forcefully and return democratic sovereignty to its rightful owners: the citizens of Israel.

<https://mida.org.il/2023/01/25/%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%90%D7%A1%D7%95%D7%A8-%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%AA/>

Gadi Taub should celebrate being 'cancelled' - opinion

Taub was informed on Wednesday by the publication, for which he has been writing for more than a decade, that his articles would no longer be welcome.

By Ruthie Blum, Jerusalem Post, January 27, 2023.

Israel's radical daily Haaretz is calling on the public to "join its struggle for democracy [sic]." The self-described "newspaper for thinking people" has always aimed its content at a certain type of high-brow reader, but it long ago ceased pretending to be a professional broadsheet, opting instead to serve as a proud vehicle for left-wing activism.

Its current campaign is focused on delegitimizing the new government in Jerusalem, led by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu. The slogan of its ad – "Democracy doesn't end with elections" – is perfect for the endeavor.

It also reveals the true nature of the Saturday-night demonstrations in the streets of the country's major cities. Though ostensibly about Justice Minister Yariv Levin's plans to reform the judicial system, they're actually an expression of disappointment at the loss of the November 1 Knesset elections to the Right.

The best way to obfuscate this inconvenient fact is to pull a twofer: denigrate the victors who won through a democratic process, and accuse them of posing a threat to democracy by virtue of their being in the majority. It's a neat trick that has some fellow travelers fooled and many others intimidated.

One example of the latter is the Azrieli Group. The real estate company initially nixed the screening of the "Democracy doesn't end with elections" message on the wall of its Tel Aviv shopping center. It subsequently backtracked due to bullying by Haaretz.

There's nothing like suggesting that the mall owners objected to the word "democracy" to make them shudder with fear – likely over the possibility of being boycotted by customers. Judging by the outlet's firing of weekly columnist Gadi Taub this week, their trepidation is well-founded.

Taub, a senior lecturer in the School of Public Policy and Department of Communications at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, was informed on Wednesday by the publication, for which he has been writing for more than a decade, that his articles would no longer be welcome. According to Haaretz, the viewpoint of the neoconservative commentator – host of the popular podcast "Shomer Saf" (Gatekeeper) – goes against the ideals that the paper is fighting to uphold.

Taub's dismissal followed the rejection of his latest, and what would turn out to be his last, submission: an op-ed criticizing the courts and calling on Levin to stand his ground in the face of pressure from the vociferous minority. (It now appears in the online Hebrew magazine, Mida.)

[...]

Perhaps Haaretz deserves credit for admitting that it sees free speech from the Right, like Zionism, as a failed experiment. It can't be touted as brave, however, since those to whom it caters expect nothing less. In other words, it's playing to its loyal subscribers and echo-chamber politicians now relegated to the back benches of Israel's – yes, democratically elected – parliament.

Taub's "pink slip"

THIS BRINGS us to the second half of the proverbial "pink slip" that greeted Taub on Wednesday. It turns out that his daring to disagree with the chattering classes' hysterical reaction to judicial reform wasn't the sole cause for the removal of his commentary from the pages of Haaretz.

Another was his reported association with the Mathias Corvinus Collegium educational foundation in Budapest. "As far as we know," wrote Taub's editor, "this is an institution that supports and is affiliated with [Hungarian Prime Minister] Viktor Orbán and lauds all the values that we at Haaretz consider dangerous and a threat to democracy.

"It's difficult for us to accept the fact that someone who agrees to be a part of such an institution, and all it implies, has a platform in Haaretz, which is conducting an open battle against these exact positions."

What these "exact positions" are isn't specified, though the Hungarian leader is a pro-Israel conservative. And it happens that those decrying Levin's plans to restore the balance of power between the appointed judiciary and elected legislature have been warning that if the wings of the Supreme Court are clipped at all, Israel will resemble Orbán's Hungary.

The joke is that few of the sheep bleating this nonsense are versed in the workings of their own branches of government, let alone Orbán's. Random surveys at rallies would show that most participants are there for the action and virtue-signaling. Just look at their Facebook entries boasting of attendance, with selfies to prove it.

The rest of the populace already came out in far greater numbers – on Election Day – and cast ballots for parties that vowed to make judicial reform a priority. Indeed, as Taub pointed out on Wednesday in Tablet magazine: "A majority of Israeli voters made clear that they will no longer put up with the hollowing out of Israel's democracy by the administrative state; judges, law enforcement officers, legal advisers and the bureaucracy in general will have to stop substituting their own preferences and dictates for those of the Israeli electorate."

The protest movement against judicial reform, he stressed, "is not an effort to save [Israeli] democracy. Quite the opposite: It is an effort to save the power of the ruling progressive elite from democracy. For anyone willing to look at the details, it should have been abundantly clear that the reform would, in fact, begin to fix the anomaly of the Israeli juristocracy... moving Israel closer to the norm among Western democracies."

Hence the disingenuous Haaretz mantra, plastered on billboards and bus stops, that "democracy doesn't end with elections." Even the creators of the catchy phrase are aware that it's a ploy to cloak the darker purpose of denigrating the essence of the Jewish state.

For evidence, one need only look at the image on the cover of its weekend magazine last Friday: an Israeli flag with the Star of David sinking into the sea. Iran and its Palestinian proxies couldn't have come up with a better graphic to illustrate their hopes and dreams.

Taub should celebrate his cancellation and continue to remind the majority of Israelis that their "lying eyes" are far more reliable than the false truths of democracy-doomsayers with a less-than-noble agenda.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/article-729794>

Taub to Channel 7: I don't read Haaretz because of nausea

Dr. Gadi Taub reacts to his dismissal from Haaretz: a disgusting newspaper, a mouthpiece of the oligarchy that defends minority rule against democracy.

Shimon Cohen, 25.01.23.

The editors of the Haaretz newspaper sent a letter to Dr. Gadi Taub in which they announced their separation from him and his articles because "we find it very difficult to reconcile the dissonance, on the one hand to be at the forefront of this coup and at the same time to publish articles that give support to that coup". This is the time of the defender of democracy.

We talked to Dr. Taub about defending democracy through silencing dissenting opinions, who feels free to express himself harshly towards the newspaper after the separation: "They are not defending democracy. They protect minority rule against democracy. Haaretz is the mouthpiece of the legal oligarchy, it is a senior partner and the designers of the wall of lies for which there is nothing strange in the fact that Israel is ruled by judges and not by elected officials, so when the moment came when the public was fed up with this, they erected the wall of lies of the war on democracy to create the illusion that the public is fighting here Democracy, not the elite, is fighting against democracy for the continuation of its rule, and this truth must not be told."

Taub says that before the notice he received about the end of his employment at the newspaper, he was sent a series of questions about the article he wanted to publish, and from the wording of the questions it seemed that this was a prelude to the disqualification of the article "They have already done such things to me".

"I wrote in the article that Aharon Barak turned the Basic Laws into the Constitution in Israel. They wrote incorrectly, in the Harari decision in 1950 they announced that the Basic Laws are a constitution. These are words of complete ignorance because in the Harari decision it was written that the laws will accumulate and when the move is completed only then will they become the Constitution," presents D. R. Taub is one example of the questions he was asked. I answered every section of the claims and also

wrote to the editor that I remind you that this is just my opinion and it's okay if you disagree. This is my article, not yours. There was silence and then the matter with Hungary became public," he says, referring to the claim that he himself is nothing more than a sponsored emissary of the government in Hungary in order to legitimize the trampling of democracy there.

"They publish something designed to make the reader think that I receive money from the Hungarian ruling party to be its spokesperson in Israel. They stated that I was a research fellow at a research institute affiliated with the government there. They wrote that I have an appointment there but I don't have any appointment there. I was invited as a research fellow for two weeks for free. I did not receive money or daily-allowance. I was there on my own. They paid me a hotel but it was so small that I had to rent another room so I could write in it. They publish this as if Viktor Orban's government is pampering me so that I can write his praises."

"I went to Hungary to learn what's going on there because the left complains about what's happening in Hungary and lies about what's happening there, but in my dismissal letter they write both that democracy is being defended and that in light of my partnership with that research institute they can no longer bear this. It's ridiculous. And after Then Haaretz writes 'we can't be silenced'. You say to yourself that these ultra-tolerant progressives can't really tolerate other opinions. The conservatives and ultra-Orthodox are more tolerant than them. This is the progressive religion, terrible and terrible things and now we see their faces. I'm surprised they tolerated Me until now...".

"In their eyes now it's dangerous and therefore it's forbidden to tell the truth about the reform and the judges' regime and we have to listen to the frauds of Kremnitzer, Pravda mouthpiece", says Taub and we ask how it is that those who talk about freedom of speech do not see their own actions. "I think they are hysterical because this is the end of their rule. These are the last days of an arrogant elite that has been emptied of its assets and has almost nothing left in its power but only naked power, and they are trying to impose power through a wall of lies. Read their articles. They are at Ramat Desha. These are people without any Self-awareness".

So how does it happen that, despite everything, the newspaper 'Haaretz' is considered mandatory reading for every decision-maker in Israel? "First, they are living on the fumes of the past. Second, the right-wing governments continue their stupidity to help them finance themselves by having to publish in the press, even though there is an Internet and there is no need to publish in newspapers. They continue to pay Amos Shoken. Where is your head? In addition, they are not opening up the media market And we see what happens when we try to open this market. We see the case of two thousand and case four thousand. Everything stems from the investigation of the Israel Hayom law. From the moment a right-wing newspaper was founded, the entire elite, the entire press, and the entire bureaucracy and the judicial system rallied against it because it is forbidden to tell the truth, and we You see what the pluralism of the country looks like. I don't read this newspaper. I just write there trying to get something through the wall but I have no desire to read their monotonous articles. I'm glad that I can finally speak my mind because it's a disgusting newspaper. I don't I read it for reasons of nausea." <https://www.inn.co.il/news/590130>

Gadi Taub attacks "Haaretz" after his dismissal: "Engages in inciting anti-Semitism"

The journalist commented on 103FM about the storm that broke out following the cessation of publication of his articles in the newspaper: "The state should not pay a newspaper that is not just criticizing it, it is against it"

Ma'ariv, 103FM, 01/26/2023.

Later, he supported the words of Communications Minister Karhi who said that he would stop the publication of government ads in the Haaretz newspaper. "That the state should not pay a newspaper that not only criticizes it, it is against it, that it supplies most of the incendiary material to neo-Nazi websites by defaming us, this is the most cited source on Nazi websites, because it really deals with inflaming anti-Semitism, so why should the state finance the this? Especially in the age of the Internet" <https://www.maariv.co.il/news/israel/Article-975848>

—

Dr. Gadi Taub: I did not like writing in Haaretz, Haaretz is an anti-Semitic newspaper that pours oil [fuel] on every anti-Semitic fire in the world. Rotter News, Jan 25, 2023.

Saying these things in an interview with Sharon Gal on News 13 after his dismissal from the newspaper... <https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/778942.shtml>

—

Dr. Gadi Taub: "Haaretz" is an anti-Semitic newspaper

Dr. Gadi Taub in an interview with Orna Yashar a day after the Haaretz newspaper decided to stop publishing his column

Tov News Staff, 01/26/2023

"It was like an admission of weakness," says Dr. Gadi Taub, "I wouldn't have expected a newspaper to want to do something like that, instead of answering - you are excommunicating. The newspaper "Haaretz" worked on a massive deception of the public. He set up a wall of lies within which his big pages will hide the reality, they cannot afford someone to make a hole in this screen."

When asked why he wrote in the newspaper in the first place, Dr. Taub answers: "I wanted to influence those on the margins but not completely poisoned, on intelligent people, Zionists with a dovish view, anxious about the fate of the country.. Although the newspaper is against the Zionist enterprise, an anti-Semitic newspaper, provides fuel for anti-Semitic fires in the world, neo-Nazi websites quote from it."

Taub explains why exactly now he was fired: "The elite in Hysteria, they are not saving democracy, they are trying to save their rule. We live in an insane regime. This is the end of their rule. This is a decisive battle between a Deep State and a Democratic State."

Adds Dr. Taub and says: "Publishing my article would have exposed the lie to a lesser extent than my dismissal. I was glad that they are telling the truth - we are not ready to tolerate your opinions. They

protect democracy by saying that it is not allowed to express other opinions and that is not so democratic."

<https://tovnews.co.il/41367>

Gadi Taub @ גדי טאוב GadiTaub1:

Breaking: Haaretz closed the section for me. The official reasons: 1. Democracy is being defended and there is no place for articles in favor of the reform. 2. I am Orban's "agent." The real reason: it is forbidden to tell the truth. The disqualified article immediately increases in size. #There is no press in (lefty) Israel. Details later on.

Jan 25, 2023

<https://twitter.com/GadiTaub1/status/1618169556304039938>

'Haaretz': "The Palestinians reach out for equality"

In the imagined world of 'Haaretz', the Palestinians reach out for equality and cooperation. In the real world there is no barbaric threshold that they did not cross, in their struggle for "equality and cooperation"

Hanan Amior 22.01.23

At the end of the week Hanin Majadli published a typical article in Haaretz. Associative, not focused, skips between different topics and tries to pack all of them together in some way, in favor of the Palestinians and against Israel...

From the first moment of the struggle of the Palestinian national movement in Zionism until this moment, the Palestinians have never reached out for "equality" with Israel, not even for a single moment. The entire Palestinian national campaign is based on the exact opposite of equality. On a decision through violent struggle, as is also written in the commentary in the Palestinian National Charter [<https://lib.cet.ac.il/pages/item.asp?item=12185>]. Equality means two states, but the Palestinians demand one Palestinian state free of Jews, and a second, binational state, to which all the descendants of the '48 refugees and their descendants, millions of people, will be allowed to "return" [<https://mida.org.il/2018/08/26/%D7%91%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%AA-%D7%A1%D7%A4%D7%A8-%D7%9B%D7%A9%D7%96%D7%9B%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%91%D7%94-%D7%A0%D7%AA%D7%A7%D7%9C%D7%AA-%D7%91%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%91%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA/>], to receive back their homes and to rebuild their settlements.

That is, to eliminate the Jewish state by embedding it in millions of Arabs, of course with equality.

Majadli and Ha'aretz have another claim against the Israelis: 'It's hard for them with the flag (the PLO flag, HA)) and what it symbolizes.'

It is strange that this has to be explained to the Haaretz staff, but it is indeed difficult for Israelis with the PLO flag and what it symbolizes.

And this is because this flag symbolizes a total struggle for the expulsion of the Jews from the Land of Israel since the period before the "occupations" of '67, that is, the expulsion of all Jews even from the small Israel of its first 19 years.

And also because in the name of this flag the most Jews have been murdered since the holocaust, in indiscriminate terror, aimed in advance at innocent civilians, while sacrificing unfortunate Palestinian girls and boys who were convinced to explode in crowded places and inside buses and restaurants, while cynically exploiting their plights and with empty promises of life in "paradise" they will be rewarded after they kill themselves.

The worst atrocities of the new human age were committed in the name of this flag [https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/811777]. The wonder is not that the Israelis have a hard time with him and what he symbolizes, but that Haaretz does not have a hard time with him. Rather, he calls for it to be hoisted with pride.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%98%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%99%D7%93-%D7%9C%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%95%D7%99%D7%95%D7%9F/>

Haaretz' does not expect the Palestinians to enter into negotiations with Israel, but rather to strike at it. Political moves against Israel in international institutions are what Haaretz wants to see from the Palestinians. Negotiation is no longer an option for him.

Shlomi Ben-Meir, 15.01.23

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9C%D7%90-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%A4%D7%94-%D7%9E%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%99%D7%9B%D7%A0%D7%A1-%D7%9C%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%9E-%D7%A2/>

Time Contributor Gaslights Honest Reporting After Claiming Ben-Gvir 'Visited Al-Aqsa Mosque'

Akiva Van Koningsveld, January 15, 2023

In a hyperbolic opinion piece for Time Magazine, titled "Israeli Democracy May Not Survive Netanyahu's New Government," Brooklyn-based Haaretz contributor Etan Nechin has a go at members of Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's recently-formed government, including National Security Minister Itamar Ben-Gvir:

'On January 3, newly appointed Security Minister, Itamar Ben-Gvir, a convicted terrorist-sympathizer who in the past incited against assassinated Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin, "visited Al Aqsa Mosque, an act security experts warned might spark a regional war." ' [Emphasis added.]

As HonestReporting outlined in a January 5 critique, the assertion that Ben-Gvir "visited Al-Aqsa Mosque" during his walk around the Temple Mount in Jerusalem is demonstrably false.

In fact, the Islamic structures on Temple Mount are off-limits to Jewish visitors. During his 13-minute walk, Ben-Gvir stuck strictly to the sole, narrow path permitted to Jews. This police-enforced route only passes the Al-Aqsa Mosque briefly, at no point entering the structure:

Related Reading: Temple Mount Turmoil Creates Media Mess Ups (with VIDEO)

The 'Jews Endanger Al-Aqsa' Libel

While criticism of the Israeli government and its policies is entirely legitimate, Nechin's fraudulent claims about Ben-Gvir's visit to the Jewish holy site have the very real potential to ignite Palestinian violence.

Perceived threats to the Al-Aqsa Mosque have long been a rallying cry for terrorism. After a Palestinian gunman murdered three Israelis and injured more than a dozen others in Tel Aviv on April 7, 2022, terror groups in the West Bank and Gaza Strip were quick to link the attack to the myth that the sanctity of Al-Aqsa was being threatened.

HonestReporting drew attention to the error in a widely-shared tweet, tagging Time Magazine and Etan Nechin.

Yet instead of acknowledging his evident mistake, the latter responded by attempting to ridicule our work. "So the 'fact-checking site claimed I suggested Ben-Gvir visited Al Aqsa Mosque. Then they give my quote in which I don't. Great fact-checking!" Nechin wrote, accusing HonestReporting of being "propagandists" in subsequent Twitter posts.

Nechin soon became the subject of ridicule himself, with social media users pointing out that he did claim Ben-Gvir visited the mosque (see [here](#), [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#)). Indeed, the journalist's odd gaslighting makes one wonder whether he reads his own articles.

Meanwhile, Time Magazine quickly issued a [<https://time.com/6245630/israeli-democracy-may-not-survive-netanyahus-government/>] correction reading, "The piece was updated to reflect that Itamar Ben-Gvir visited the Al Aqsa Mosque complex" — still echoing the disingenuous Palestinian propaganda line depicting all of Judaism's holiest site as being part of the mosque compound.

(Related Reading: Before 'Palestine': Exploring the Unbroken Jewish Connection to Temple Mount [<https://honestreporting.com/before-palestine-exploring-the-unbroken-jewish-connection-to-temple-mount/>])

'Jews Have No Business Being in East Jerusalem'

The centrality of the Temple Mount to Judaism is beyond dispute [<https://honestreporting.com/before-palestine-exploring-the-unbroken-jewish-connection-to-temple-mount/>]. During prayer three times a day, Jews have faced the sacred Jerusalem hilltop since time immemorial. Contrary to what some news outlets like to suggest, the Western Wall is not Judaism's "most significant site." Case in point: the wall — the last remaining part of the Second Temple compound — only became a place of importance in the sixteenth century.

However, in Etan Nechin's opinion, "anyone who goes up to temple mount is a sick f*ck and goes against Jewish religion," as Jews have "no business" being in eastern Jerusalem.

In 2021, HonestReporting uncovered dozens of other anti-Israel tweets posted by the Haifa-born journalist, as well as antisemitic slander directed at Jews who disagree with him. For example, Nechin made a vile dual loyalty accusation against the US ambassador to Israel. According to the IHRA [<https://honestreporting.com/media-adopt-antisemitism-ihra-definition/>] working definition of antisemitism, this can constitute Jew-hatred. Nechin, however, blames antisemitism on "Israeli policies."

His blind hatred for the Jewish state, coupled with his complete disregard for the facts, should perhaps disqualify Nechin from commenting on Israeli political developments in international media outlets. <https://honestreporting.com/time-contributor-gaslights-honestreporting-after-claiming-ben-gvir-visited-al-aqsa-mosque/>

Ben Gvir to the "Haaretz" reporter: You don't care about what is happening in Be'er Sheva
Haaretz reporter Josh Breiner asked the Minister of National Security whether protesters should be afraid of coming to a demonstration on Saturday night.
Channel 7
19th of Tevet 5783. 12.01.23
<https://www.inn.co.il/news/588868>

See Entry: Hate

David Grossman needed Kabbalistic terms to express his distaste for the people's choice
Yedidya Meir, 12th of Tevet 5783. 5.01.23
Last Wednesday, the day before the swearing in of the new government in the Knesset, the main headline of the Haaretz newspaper had only one word: "Chaos".
<https://www.inn.co.il/news/588149>

Haaretz, Walla sued for NIS 350,000

Chotam, Bochrin B'Mishpacha organization sue news orgs for defamation for claiming they are politically affiliated with Noam party.

Israel National News, Jan 1, 2023

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/365250>

Israel Hayom journalists against Haaretz: "Poor mind engineers"

Although it is the party with the most functions in Israel that continues to hold primaries, the Haaretz newspaper chose to focus on the leader Netanyahu, and were slammed

Ice staff | 12/8/2022

...: Gideon Levy and Haaretz "fake news gang".

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/876881>

Rabbi Gafni: I Tell All Reporters and Politicians - We Aren't Afraid of You

Dec 27, 2022 — The chareidim pay as much as everyone else does, and the cartoon in the Haaretz newspaper after the article that a chareidi child receives...

<https://hamodia.com/2022/12/27/rabbi-gafni-i-tell-all-reporters-and-politicians-we-arent-afraid-of-you/>

MK Ben Gvir filed a defamation lawsuit against the Haaretz newspaper and against Haim Levinson: "They are running a false smear campaign against me, whoever lies will pay"

Channel 7, 7th in Kislev 5783, 1.12.22

The chairman of Otzma Yehudit, MK Itamar Ben Gvir, filed this morning (Thursday) a defamation lawsuit for about 150 thousand NIS through attorney Hanmal Dorfman against Haaretz newspaper and reporter Haim Levinson after publishing a long series of defamations and lies in Haaretz newspaper against him.

"Haaretz newspaper published a false, falsified article that constitutes severe libel, despite knowing that the facts, to say the least, are not true," it said.

Also, in the statement of claim, it is detailed that Levinson and Haaretz published an article against MK Ben Gvir, according to which MK Ben Gvir objected to filing a complaint with the police due to an incident of sexual harassment, when the things did not exist, were made up and were false and slanderous.

"The Haaretz newspaper forgot that the elections were over and continue to mark me as a target to discredit me and publish slander. The false smear campaign against me will not deter me, and those who lie will pay," said Ben Gvir.

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/584667>

Presspectiva @presspectiva:

Nov 15, 2022

The state of mind of the Arab writers in @Haaretz, as well as of many of their Jewish editors, prevents them from looking at Palestinian barbarism. The solution: blame it on Israel. Ask what Israel has to do with the dog killing spree that is enthusiastically celebrated on Palestinian social media? Easy. So many lies and there is supposedly a "connection."

<https://twitter.com/presspectiva/status/1592428630436896768>

(Arab residents of Hebron gun down stray dogs

Nov 5, 2022 — A statement by the Arab mayor of Hebron has led to residents killing stray dogs by the dozen, hoping for a cash reward...

A number of videos circulating on social media claim to show Palestinian Authority Arabs living in Hebron hunting dogs, hanging them, and shooting them to death.

The driving force behind this trend is a statement by Hebron mayor Tayssir Abu Sneinah that, "Anyone who kills a dog or hands us a stray or dead dog, we are ready to compensate him with 20 shekels." It should be noted that Abu Sneinah is a convicted terrorist appointed to the position by the Palestinian Authority...

The association "Let Animals Live" tried to stop the mass killing of the dogs, and commented: "This is a shocking case, which needs to be stopped immediately. Dogs are creatures with feelings, they are not part of a shooting range, it makes no sense to shoot them just like that in the street, and for everyone to be silent about it. We have to remember that shooting at stray dogs is unfortunately allowed in Israel. It's time to stop shooting at dogs and move to a humane solution of neutering, vaccinating, and returning the dogs to the wild."...

Israel has demanded that the Palestinian Authority act to end this trend, but there has been limited success in controlling it thus far. The story has spread to international media as well, where it sparked a similar wave of condemnation and calls for the mayor to leave office.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/362282>

Haaretz's darling Guy Hirschfeld - another racist guy (this one against people of color) - indicted

Oct 31, 2022

Guy Hirschfeld.

The praise by Haaretz:

* Nov 14, 2018 - he is termed a "human rights activist."

* Jan 15, 2019 - quote: "A left-wing activist who goes out to the wild west regularly with other braves."

The indictment of racist attack:

[mivzaklive.co.il/archives/323671]

Indictment: insulted Ethiopian police officers who came to deal with disturbances

Yitzhak Goldman February 2, 2020

This morning the Central District Attorney's Office filed an indictment against 51-year-old Guy Hirschfeld for insulting a public servant with a racial motive to the Peace Court in Petach Tikva.

[0404.co.il/?p=844379]

The left-wing activist called on an Ethiopian policeman to "go back to the cage" and was convicted of racially insulting a public servant

Eldar Maman, 10/31/2022

The far-left activist, Guy Hirschfeld, was convicted today (Monday) in the Petah Tikva court of racially insulting a police officer after he called an Ethiopian police officer to "go back to the pens" during a disturbance by left-wing anarchist activists near the settlement of Talmon.

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/288093>

—

Haaretz whitewashes 'smite the necks of unbelievers' "Palestinian" Hosam Salem - fired by NYT

Oct 27, 2022

The whitewash:

Sheren Falah Saab,

"A Palestinian photographer claims that he was fired from the New York Times because of anti-Israel posts." Haaretz, Oct 7, 2022.

Now the facts:

New York Times 'Takes Action' vs. Pro-Hitler, Pro-Terror Freelancers Due to Honest Reporting Probe
Aug 24, 2022

[...]

New York Times Photographer Hosam Salem: 'Smite the Necks of Unbelievers'

...on November 18, 2014, Hosam Salem again used Facebook to express his joy over the massacre of four rabbis and an Israeli-Druze police officer in a synagogue in the Jerusalem neighborhood of Har Nof. Citing the Quran, he encouraged his followers to "smite the necks" of unbelievers, adding: "[This is the] summary of the Jerusalem operation [sic] today."

[<https://honestreporting.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/screenshot-4-1-768x653.png>]
[<https://honestreporting.com/honestreporting-forensic-work-exposes-more-new-york-times-freelancers-who-praised-hitler-palestinian-terror/>]
<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/287923>

—

The knitted journalist against Haaretz: "The home of the anti-Semites"

Kalman Libeskind responded to a column written by journalist Yossi Klein from the country where he predicts that in the future the residents of Judea and Samaria will come to the streets of Tel Aviv and terrorize the city's residents

Kipa News, 10/27/22

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%AA/1144226-0/>

An article in 'Haaretz' presents settlers as eating Arabs

Yonatan Gottlieb, 1 Cheshvan 5783, 26.10.22

"Makor Rishon" journalist Ariel Schnabel was shocked by the article. "The most horrifying Christian blood plots accused Jews of using the blood of children," he wrote on his Twitter account. "Even the great anti-Semites did not get to the point of describing the eating of gentile meat by murderous Jews. The publication of B. Michael's 'satirical' 'article' is a moral, ethical and journalistic humiliation of everyone who participated in this sickening thing in Haaretz."

Lawyer David Petar wrote: "I am an omnivore and enjoy every possible genre from Bach to our rabbinical teacher Nicki Minaj 311. Every genre, even 'low', has quality. What is this ridiculous anti-Semitism of B. Michael? Substandard, worn images, banal plot, no added value in the creation of anti-Semitic metaphysics, a shallow cliché. Going to take solace in the pages of Otto Weininger."

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/581026>

'Haaretz must register as a body that is involved in elections'

RZ demands: Haaretz must register as a body that is involved in elections

The letter written to the newspaper's publisher claims that the paper publishes propaganda for specific parties and against others.

Hezki Baruch, Israel National News, Oct 2, 2022.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/360672>

Amos Shoken makes another disturbing statement: "The loathsome traitors are the settlers and their supporters"

Sep 21, 2022 — An anonymous tweeter wrote in one of the responses against Haaretz: "Is there anything else in the Israeli Der Sturmer than loathsome traitors???"

On the Twitter social network this evening (Wednesday) there was a tweeting battle between media personality Yinon Magal and Haaretz journalist Hagar Shezaf...

Magal wrote furiously against Shezaf, calling her "insolent": "The reporter did an article about the Arabs who invaded the area of fire and the court ruled that they should evacuate. She had a lot of patience for the Arabs, less for the IDF soldiers. She probably thinks they work for her."

Shoken responded: "They definitely work for her."

Magal replied: "You're right. It's strange that they didn't make coffee for her."

An anonymous tweeter wrote in one of the reactions against Haaretz: "Is there anything else in the .. Der Sturmer than loathsome traitors???".

Shoken responded to him: "The condemned traitors are the settlers and their supporters.. In Haaretz are the real Zionists..."

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%A0%D7%96%D7%94/1142378-0/>

(Journalist) Yinon Magal @YinonMagal:

The insolent Haaretz reporter, Hagar Shezaf, told an IDF soldier at a checkpoint in the south of Mount Hebron: "on your d#ck that I wait here for an hour and a half, because you're in the army, so your time has no meaning." She had a lot of patience for the Arabs, less for the IDF soldiers. She probably thinks they work for her.

Sep 21, 2022.

<https://twitter.com/YinonMagal/status/1572576674751053826>

Ben Gvir was defamed and threatened with a lawsuit - 'Haaretz' deleted and apologized

News 0404, 08/24/2022

Haaretz newspaper published an article today (Wednesday) about punctured tires and vandalism of Arab property.. However, the photo attached to the article was of MK Itamar Ben Gabir, even though he has nothing to do with the incident.

Following this, the chairman of Otzma Y., MK Itamar Ben Gabir, sent a warning letter before a lawsuit to the newspaper "Haaretz", in which he demanded an apology and compensation in the amount of a quarter of a million shekels, for defamation and slander.

Following this, Haaretz newspaper hastened to delete the tweet, along with an apology that they were "sorry for the mistake".

The warning letter sent by Ben Gabir through his attorney Hanamel Dorfman reads: "The words you published humiliated and embarrassed my client, harmed his good name and defamed him without any reason, and all this with the intention of making him a target of hatred, ridicule and contempt in the eyes of many, and with the aim of damaging his public image and his election campaign."

According to Attorney Dorfman, "There is no doubt that the publications were made maliciously, with the intention of causing damage to my client which was indeed caused, including great damage, damage to my client's ability to participate in the Knesset, and deep emotional distress."

Attorney Dorfman stated his demands, some of which have already been met: "A. Delete the tweet by today at 17:00. B. Publish within three days an apology and clarification in the wording and in a manner that will be coordinated with my client. third. to compensate my client with financial compensation in the amount of NIS 250,000 (two hundred and fifty thousand shekels)".

The chairman of Otzma Y., MK Itamar Ben Gvir, said in response: "The newspaper of hate and lies, Haaretz, continues to consistently defame me. Today it reached a new low when in an article about punctured tires it included my picture, and therefore I sent them a letter warning them to sue." Whoever defames - will pay!".

<https://www.0404.co.il/?p=832982>

Haaretz and Fake news- Opinion

August 16, 2022, myisraelistory

Most people think Haaretz is Israel's A rated newspaper, with a global reach and influence. It's often what citizens of the world use when they want to find something positive about Israel, or any news from there.

Yet the truth could not be further away from it.

Haaretz is a leftist news site that often publishes false information to prop up its liberal agenda.

Previously before Haaretz published an opinion article as if it were a news report, which falsely alleged that some Israeli rabbis and newspapers said Palestinians are not human.

Just like previous times Haaretz released an article claiming Israel has admitted to killing 5 Palestinian children, which couldn't be farther from the truth, IDF's official spokesperson unit released a video of the Palestinian Islamic Jihad failed rocket that ended up killing 5 innocent kids. In a telegram group Palestinian reporters sought to report on the matter yet were threatened not to do so by the PIJ.

This incident is a great risk to Israel's image.

Haaretz is one of Israel's most widely-read newspapers, and earns massive credibility from its coverage of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

Many people who read fake news about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will not be able to tell that it is not true.

Yet it is important to remember to fact check sources. A wide range of Israeli media outlets and radical left journalists have been called out for spreading false information, distorting the facts and even deliberately lying to their audience.

So what can we do when someone brings up Haaretz as a valid source?

We can remind them that a watchdog group that monitors the Israeli media says that Haaretz has engaged in a pattern of spreading anti-Semitic propaganda.

We can remind them previous fake news Haaretz has spread

If you are like me, and many others, then you would have no idea that Haaretz is a source for "fake news." This is why I feel compelled to share this article with you so that your minds are open to new knowledge.

<https://israeli-story.com/haaretz-and-fake-news-opinion/>

The Arab-Israeli activist against Haaretz journalists: "inciting against Israel"

Tom Kleiner, 12 Av 5782 (08/09/22)

The Arab-Israeli activist Yosef Haddad works a lot in advocacy for the benefit of the State of Israel precisely because he is an Arab. Haddad stands against the harsh criticism towards him in Arab society and supports Israel for its actions against Arab terrorism in internal and external security and tries to explain to the world Israel's moral and humanitarian path.

Also this time in the " [Breaking] Dawn" operation, Yosef Haddad worked hard to explain to the outside world Israel's actions against the Islamic Jihad and terrorism in the Gaza Strip and Israel's legitimacy to act in the Gaza Strip in response to the aggression of the various terrorist organizations. Haddad was interviewed, among other things, by the American BBC network and explained Israel's place in the campaign.

At the same time, Haaretz newspaper editor Gideon Levy also gave interviews to international networks where, according to Haddad, he condemned Israel and its attacks in the Gaza Strip and Israel's policy against the terrorist organizations and civilians in Gaza. The social activist Hadad reacted strongly to this and attacked Levy on Facebook in a post he wrote.

[https://www.now14.co.il/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/297011058_609547323873612_5424769031709355576_n-768x546.jpg]

In a post published by Yosef Haddad he wrote: "Here are two Israeli citizens who were interviewed by foreign media during the operation in Gaza, an Arab and a Jew" and presented photos of him and Levi side by side. "But while I was an Arab, I defended the country in an interview on the BBC and reflected the reality and dealing with terrorism, Gideon Levi... was interviewed on Al Jazeera and incited against Israel and in fact attacked it and accused it of everything."

<https://www.now14.co.il/%d7%94%d7%a4%d7%a2%d7%99%d7%9c-%d7%94%d7%a2%d7%a8%d7%91%d7%99-%d7%99%d7%a9%d7%a8%d7%90%d7%9c%d7%99-%d7%a0%d7%92%d7%93-%d7%a2%d7%99%d7%aa%d7%95%d7%a0%d7%90%d7%99-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%9e>

Haaretz admires terrorists and condemns Israel for their elimination

A terrorist who played a key role in one of the most horrific terrorist attacks in the history of the conflict is portrayed as a noble intellectual. Israel, which apparently eliminated him, is portrayed as having assassinated him for no reason

Hanan Amior 07/17/22

At the end of the week, Haaretz readers were bombarded with another Palestinian fabric plot aimed at portraying Israel as an assassin of innocent intellectuals, as usual without any editing and fact-checking. An article [<https://twitter.com/Riklin10/status/1548560129729376256>] published by Hanin Majadli about the terrorist Ghassan Kanafani, managed to rewrite the two main stages of the man's life. His refugees and death.

Let's start with his refugee: Majadli claims that Kanafani "did not exactly leave (his city of Acre in the Nakba) but was left." She further adds that "following the Nakba, he and his family were deported."

This is not true. The comprehensive study, "The Birth of the Refugee Problem," written by researcher Benny Morris, describes in detail the backgrounds of the middle-class and upper-class Arab families, and most likely the Kanafani family.

According to Morris, they were not deported or left. They left voluntarily at the beginning of the war, because they "believed correctly that their exile would be fleeting." (P. 383)

Since the military resistance in Acre was relatively weak, those who did not attack the IDF forces could have stayed in it for sure.

For this reason Acre is a city with a large Arab community to this day. They simply were not deported. Similarly, by the way, to other large Arab settlements in the Galilee, such as Daburiyya, Iksal, Shefar'am, Nazareth and more.

Majadli apparently has a hard time with the fact that the Arab elite was not expelled but left voluntarily, so she invents a deportation that was not and was not created.

Let us proceed to the circumstances of his death: Majadli describes:

'Writer and playwright, member of the Palestinian organization Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine and former spokesman for the organization... who himself did not engage in terrorism.'

She is of course lying here too. In the heyday of the terrorist organization 'Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine' [<https://lib.cet.ac.il/pages/item.asp?item=14887>], Kanafani served not only as the organization's spokesman but also as a member of the headquarters, and had justified and backed serious terror actions, such as hijacking passenger planes and blowing up other planes.

More than that, if it was indeed Israel that eliminated him, she had a good reason for it. The innocent "writer and playwright" was not just a "speaker." Among his other operational roles at the top of the terrorist organization [<https://www.nli.org.il/he/newspapers/mar/1972/07/09/01/article/28/>], Kanafani was entrusted with liaising with other extremist organizations in the world [<https://www.nli.org.il/he/newspapers/dav/1972/07/09/01/article/42/>]. As part of his job, he was the one who planned the recruitment of Japanese terrorists, members of the terrorist organization 'Japanese Red Army', for the horrific massacre at the airport in Lod, in which 22 civilians were killed.

According to press reports after the assassination, Kanafani not only planned the attack, he also met the terrorists several times before the massacre and passed them various instructions, documents and money, through which they reached Beirut. He was also photographed with them.

So if he was indeed killed in the explosion of his car by Israel, as part of her revenge on those responsible for the massacre at Lod Airport, he honestly earned his death.

And he did not die as a "writer," not as a "playwright," nor as a "speaker." He died as a terrorist.

[https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-](https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%9e%d7%97%d7%91%d7%9c%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%95%d7%9e%d7%92%d7%a0%d7%94-%d7%90%d7%aa-%d7%99%d7%a9%d7%a8%d7%90%d7%9c-%d7%a2%d7%9c-%d7%97%d7%99/)

[%d7%9e%d7%a2%d7%a8%d7%99%d7%a5-%d7%9e%d7%97%d7%91%d7%9c%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%95%d7%9e%d7%92%d7%a0%d7%94-%d7%90%d7%aa-%d7%99%d7%a9%d7%a8%d7%90%d7%9c-%d7%a2%d7%9c-%d7%97%d7%99/](https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%9e%d7%97%d7%91%d7%9c%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%95%d7%9e%d7%92%d7%a0%d7%94-%d7%90%d7%aa-%d7%99%d7%a9%d7%a8%d7%90%d7%9c-%d7%a2%d7%9c-%d7%97%d7%99/)

How Haaretz reported on Arab-Muslim shouting at Jews 'Hitler didn't finish the job'

DP, May 30, 2022.

DESCRIPTION

In the middle of the march.

Josh to Arab in the market:

- You are not afraid to be here that they will do something to you?

The Arab implies as if an answer is no.

But Josh tries again ...

(... the Arab then contemplates how to answer in propaganda and begins with a speech ...)

- "Why are they afraid?

They (Jews) are afraid of seeing a shirt on it the (symbol of a rifle) M-16 (- the phenomenon of incitement, sale of shirts in a black / white rifle illustration that the Arabs invented after the murderous attacks on Jews who do not serve in the IDF, Bnei-Brak and Elad, in order to scare. Many see it As swastikas. Thus, the Arab is trying to use this occasion to turn it upside down), 'whoever has a "law" is not afraid. Why should I be afraid?' (Ignoring the Arab lethal attacks, especially weeks earlier).

A group of Jews pass by with flags and one calls out to the Arab: 'Ahlan' [hello], but the Arab curses him: "Son of a b#tch." Only then was he replied with negativity.

Josh who works for Haaretz sees that the Arab is no victim, just the opposite.

As is often the case in that hate and false newspaper, he tries to rewrite and says that the Arab claims that the Jew seemed to have "cursed" him. Yet, there is no such thing in the video.

Thus he tries to cover up what he reported that an Arab in the background shouts at the group of Jews: "Hitler did not finish the job."

And Haaretz knows that the Arabs have nothing to fear from the Jews.

Here's an Haaretz piece:

'The Jewish residents of Lod are organizing to defend themselves. Prominent in their absence: the Arabs. The organizers of the residents' conference held in the city this week presented weapons and security cameras and talked about the possibility that the city would burn again, but clarified that they have no intention of replacing the police.'

Ran Shimoni, Haaretz, 12 May 2022.

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/282160>

Globes publisher in a sharp attack on Shoken and Haaretz: "You utterly went too far"

The publisher of the economic newspaper Globes, Alona Bar On, opposes the words of Haaretz publisher Amos Shoken who attacked the kippah wearers ... and even added that his words allow his journalists "to write without their restraints. This is not journalistic independence , this is anarchy"

Ice staff | 5/31/2022

Bar-On wrote directly to Shoken on her Twitter account: "You completely exaggerated. It's not a shame to apologize and delete," and even accused him of influencing the editors of the Haaretz newspaper by his writing: "Even if that's what you think, and it's not a crooked wording that "got away with you", The very externalization of this thought (horrible in itself!) allows your journalists to write without their restraints. This is not journalistic independence. It is anarchy. Publishers have responsibility for the culture of discussion."

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/860423>

—

Haaretz Publisher: "The kippah wearers are the carriers of the most serious [sic] disease" - now 14
For now 14 he said: "I left it so that you would see, do with it what you want"

Digital 14, 29 Iyar 5782 (30/05/22).

<https://www.now14.co.il/%d7%9e%d7%95%d7%9c-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%97%d7%95%d7%91%d7%a9%d7%99-%d7%94%d7%9b%d7%99%d7%a4%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%9d-%d7%a0%d7%a9%d7%90%d7%99-%d7%94%d7%9e%d7%97%d7%9c%d7%94-%d7%94%d7%a7/>

How Haaretz twists facts. Reporting on racist Arab-Islamic "Palestinian" attackers eliminated

DP, May 26, 2022

THE DANGEROUS RACIST ARAB-MUSLIM. "PALESTINIANS" ON THE ATTACK:

אתר 0404

0404 - כוחותינו חיסלו מחבל סמוך לקבר יוסף בשכם | כל הפרטים

מחבל טרוריסט חוסל במהלך הלילה (רביעי) על ידי כוחותינו, לאחר שסיכן את חיי הכוחות ומתפללים יהודים

באזור קבר יוסף בשכם.

עשרות מחבלים הגיעו למקום, ירו לעבר הכוחות והשליכו מטענים ואבנים. הכוחות כאמור השיבו בירי וחסלו את אחד המחבלים.

לא נמסר על נפגעים בקרב הכוחות.

[0404.co.il/?p=816910]

Our forces eliminated a terrorist near Joseph's Tomb in Nablus All details - 0404

A terrorist was killed during the night (Wednesday) by our forces, after endangering the lives of the forces and Jews praying in the area of Joseph's Tomb in Nablus.

Dozens of terrorists arrived on the scene, fired at the forces and threw explosives and stones. The said forces returned fire and eliminated one of the terrorists.
No casualties were reported among the forces.

וואלה! חדשות

בנה של ח"כ סטרוק וילדיו הותקפו באבנים ונפצעו: "טרור לכל דבר"
השלושה נפצעו באורח קל-בינוני לאחר שרכבם נרגם באבנים. "ערבים ניסו לרצוח אותם", אמרה. סמוטריץ':
"פיגוע לכל דבר ועניין". במקביל, הפלסטינים דיווחו על הרגו בן 16 במהלך עימותים אלימים סמוך לקבר יוסף.
צה"ל: נער השליך בקבוק תבערה במתחם ונורה.
אמיר בוחבוט ויפעת רוזנברג
25/05/2022

חברת הכנסת צייצה בטוויטר את תמונות הרכב שנפגע של בני משפחתה וכתבה: "ערבים ניסו לרצוח את הבן והנכדים הקטנים שלי בחווארה". היא סיפרה כי התקיפה התרחשה לאחר מפגש משפחתי לציון אזכרה. "הם נסעו לביתם בהר ברכה התחבקנו התנשקנו ולא חשבתי שבפעם הבאה שאראה אותם זה יהיה בבית חולים עם חתכים מפחידים", אמרה.
"האכזריות הרצחנית הזו של לרגום רכב עם שני פעוטות. זה דבר שהוא בלתי נתפס, לא יודעת מאיפה שואבים כל כך הרבה רוע", הוסיפה סטרוק. "ההסתה בספרי הלימוד והחוקים שמתגמלים רוצחים הם הביאו אותם לנסות לרצוח את הבן והנכדים שלי. יש לנו עסק עם רשות פלסטינית שהמטרה המרכזית שלה היא לרצוח באכזריות".

[news.walla.co.il/item/3508317]

Walla! news

MK Strock's son and children attacked with stones and wounded: "Terrorism in every sense"

The three were slightly-moderately injured after their vehicle was pelted with stones. "Arabs tried to murder them," she said.

Smotrich: "An attack for all intents and purposes." At the same time, Palestinians reported a 16-year-old man killed during violent clashes near Yosef's tomb. IDF: A boy threw a Molotov cocktail at the compound and was shot.

Amir Bohbot and Yifat Rosenberg, 25/05/2022

The MK tweeted pictures of the injured car of her family members and wrote: "Arabs tried to murder my son and little grandchildren in Huwara." She said the assault occurred after a family reunion to mark a memorial service. "They drove to their house on Mount Bracha. We hugged, kissed and I did not think that the next time I saw them it would be in a hospital with scary cuts," she said.

"This murderous cruelty of smashing a car with two toddlers. It's something inconceivable, not knowing where so much evil comes from," Struck added. "The incitement in the textbooks and the laws that reward murderers have led them to try to murder my son and grandchildren. We are dealing with a Palestinian Authority whose main goal is to brutally murder."

טרור האבנים מתגבר: תינוק נפצע בשומרון, עימותים בדרך לקבר יוסף
בידיו אבנים בכפר חווארה נפצע באורח בינוני בנה של ח"כ אורית סטרוק, ובנו התינוק נפצע באורח קל. במקביל,
מתפללים שנכנסו לקבר יוסף בשכם, בליווי צה"ל, נתקלו במארב מחבלים שפתחו בירי לעברם, בחילופי האש
נהרג מחבל

מאת אסף גבור, כ"ד באייר ה'תשפ"ב (25/05/2022)

[makorrishon.co.il/news/490281/amp/]

Stone terrorism intensifies: baby injured in Samaria, clashes on the way to Joseph's tomb

In the stone-throwing in the village of Huwwara, the son of MK Orit Struck was moderately injured, and his infant son was lightly injured.

By Assaf Gibor, 24 Iyar 5782 (25/05/2022)

—

And now to the hate site, classical skewed, posing as a "news" source, the unreliable: Haaretz. It begins with the title:

'הארץ - פלסטיני בן 16 נהרג ליד קבר יוסף'

Haaretz: 'A 16 years old "Palestinian" was killed near Josephs Tomb...'

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/281869>

—————

The act of Balaam by the Haaretz supplement

Published on May 20, 2022

In 2010, I was a partner in the initiative of Uzi Dayan - a forum that aims to restore the value of settlement to its central place in the public consciousness and public discourse in Israel. Unfortunately, the initiative did not rise. But the good thing that came out of my participation in it, is getting to know a number of people involved in the field of settlement, who do not belong to the settlement movements and I did not know them until then. The most important of all is the Hashomer Hahadash organization (in my opinion, it is correct to call it the Hashomer Hahadash movement, but I will use the common term in the organization itself).

The forum went on a tour following some initiatives in the north (which for me is south...). The highlight was a visit to Sando Hill. This is a hill that dominates Moshav Tzipori, and in its center was a huge flag, which can be seen from all around. We met two young guys there - Joel Zilberman, from Moshav Tzipori, an officer in the naval commando who was released about three years earlier, and established the place, which bears his grandfather's name and lives there, and from there activates activists to protect agriculture from harming and harming it. He did so when his father was about to give up his herd of cattle and pastures, due to agricultural terrorism on the one hand and the helplessness of the state in dealing with the phenomenon, on the other hand. The other, On Rifman, a member of Kibbutz Revivim, a fighter in the General Staff Reconnaissance Regiment, the son of the late Ramat Negev Council Chairman Shmulik Rifman (who was still alive at the time). There, in Givat Sando, the Hashomer Hahadash organization began to operate. We heard Joel and On, wandered around the place a bit and got into a caravan that was there, and on the table was a pile of books, which clearly show that they are not an ornament, but books that are read and studied. The book "Hashomer", the writings of Gordon, the writings of Yitzhak Ben Zvi, the book of the Second Aliyah, etc. Joel said they already have some shinshin, who volunteer in agriculture and defense and conservation of farmland and studying the writings of Gordon and his friends. How did Naomi Shemer write? "I literally rubbed my eyes."

This combination, of settlement, agriculture, security, education, labor movement writings and the values of working settlement captured my heart. I got excited and fell in love. And since then I have

been following the organization and keeping in touch with its leaders. So, I did not imagine that this small organization would be what it is today - a huge organization, with 400 employees, with the highest number of volunteers of any organization in the country, with a network of schools, youth movement and more and more initiatives. This momentum indicates a strong and sweeping spirit of entrepreneurship and leadership, but more than that, a real need for Israeli society, which the organization meets.

Last week, a large article about the organization was published in the Haaretz supplement. To me, this article is an act of Balaam, who came to curse and came out blessing. Throughout the article is woven a pathetic attempt by the writer, Hilo Glazer, to label the New Guard as a right-wing and even far-right organization. They present the fact that the organization defines itself as non-political but as affiliated with the historical Mapai as a disguise. They say that the organization receives donations from bodies that donate to right-wing organizations but also from organizations affiliated with the Zionist left. They present as a misunderstanding of the donors to whom they are donating... They talk about the kibbutz movement's cooperation with the organization and that many of the farmers who benefit from the organization's activities are "left-wing kibbutzniks and moshavniks" and state that "they certainly appreciate their activities" When it tells of farms they set up in Druze and Bedouin villages and of action to protect Bedouin farmers, they say it is contrary to an activity designed to protect agricultural land from the "Arabs" etc. etc. etc. But this experience really sounds ridiculous in light of the facts presented in the article. They tried very hard to curse, but really came out welcome. The article, in the end, presents the organization in its beauty and its great contribution to Israeli society. Over the past few weeks, the Haaretz supplement has talked to a number of farmers in the north and south who said that the organization has done wonders for them in protecting their lands. "

The article said that the organization had introduced the term "agricultural terrorism" (instead of "agricultural crime"). I do not know if this is true, but the concept is true and accurate. This is not only a criminal crime but mainly a violent nationalist crime, designed to deprive the Jews of their agricultural lands. And what is it if not terrorism?

I am proud of the Golan's special connection with the new guard. Joel Zilberman built his home in Moshav Natur in the Golan Heights, as did other people from the organization's leaders. All the secular communities in the Golan educate their children in the "new movement" that works with the new guard.

I am especially proud of Ortal's special connection with the new guard. Ortal operates an agricultural school of Hashomer Hahadash, "Man and Land" - whose students work in agriculture from early morning to noon, after which they study and in the evenings conduct social, cultural and ideological activities. The youth movement in Ortal is the new movement, and ... Tamar, guides it. Military graduates in the organization's program, "Mahal and Migdal," acted and worked in agriculture at Ortal. Three Ortal members hold positions in the organization.

When they left the first meeting on the establishment of "Man and Land" in Ortal, I was told, the people of the New Guard said to each other: "They really speak our language." While Ren, who was then

secretary of Ortal and led the move and today is director of "Man and Earth" noted with satisfaction: "They speak Ortal."

<https://heitner.wordpress.com/2022/05/20/%d7%9e%d7%a2%d7%a9%d7%94-%d7%91%d7%9c%d7%a2%d7%9d-%d7%a9%d7%9c-%d7%9e%d7%95%d7%a1%d7%a3-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5/>

Erel Segal @ErelSegal Tweeted:

Amos Schocken's hilarious thread protects Bennett's government and insists it's a right-wing government and therefore Schocken opposes it but supports it!

May 8, 2022

<https://twitter.com/ErelSegal/status/1523316628624084992>

It took "only" 20 years: the High Court finally stopped believing fake news!

May 8, 2022

The High Court of Justice issued a judgment to the petition that conducted for two decades, and determined that hundreds of Palestinian invaders who invaded fire to fire 918, an IDF training area in southern Hebron. The evaluated case discussed in various Court proceedings for no less than twenty years were based on Pic News who invented the radical left and Palestinian authorities. After repeating the enforcement proceedings against the invaders (which in the meantime built hundreds of structures in the area), the High Court of Justice studied the air and images that proved above any doubt that there was no determination in the area before the area of the area was "fire." The IDF's. The judgment determined the applicant and gave green light to the evacuation of the invaders and returning the area of training to the IDF. Two decades took a ckc to reach the right decision for 30,000 dunams leaving the State of Israel. Two decades too many of the problem grown to grow and intensify under the failure of the legal bureaucracy. The infinite forgiveness against Palestinian invaders, even at the expense of the security of the security of Israel, is revealed once after a disaster. Instead of solving the problem in its infancy, the State of Israel will continue to deal with another reality in the field. The newspaper in the country came out in recent days in a predetermined propaganda campaign, but the facts are very easy to recognize. All details in the first response.

<https://www.regavim.org.il/%D7%96%D7%94-%D7%9C%D7%A7%D7%97-%D7%A8%D7%A7-20-%D7%A9%D7%A0%D7%94-%D7%A1%D7%95%D7%A3-%D7%A1%D7%95%D7%A3-%D7%91%D7%92%D7%A5-%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%A1%D7%99%D7%A7-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%9F>

Ethical Court: Remove racist article by Uri Misgav
Itamar Levin, 29.04.2022

States: Misgav's article in the Haaretz newspaper against the ultra-Orthodox in the midst of the Corona was racist and inciting and called for a civil war - harshly criticizes the newspaper's refusal to respond to the substance of the matter

The Press Council's Ethics Court (28.4.22) ordered the Haaretz newspaper to remove from its website an article by Uri Misgav against the ultra-Orthodox public, after ruling that its content was racist and inciting. The tribunal also sharply criticizes the behavior of the newspaper and Misgav, who did not appear for the hearing even though Haaretz is a member of the council and has signed its code of ethics.

The article was published in February 2021 under the heading "Where is the left that ignited a secular intifada?" According to the complainants - Elad Tzadikov, CEO of Ofek Hasbara Yehudit, and Shai Glick, CEO of B'Tselem, Misgav pointed an accusing finger at an entire public with the aim of harming him, without the required accuracy; And there is incitement in his words towards this public, without mentioning the connection between his actual actions and the corona. They further argued that if the word "ultra-Orthodox" had been replaced by the word "Arabs" or "Jews," there would have been no doubt that it was racism.

Haaretz, Misgav and editor-in-chief Maj. Gen. Ben, declined to comment on the complaint, saying Glick is a serial complainant who uses the Press Council for silencing purposes for political reasons. The tribunal - headed by retired judge Ziva Herman-Hadassi - completely rejects these allegations and says that the complaint was properly filed and the country had to address it in its entirety.

On the article itself, the tribunal says that it was written when some of the ultra-Orthodox listened to the rabbis instead of the instructions of the Ministry of Health regarding the corona and there was a lively public debate about their behavior. A democratic regime and a pluralistic society must allow for some harm to public sentiment, but not real, severe and severe harm to an entire public as in this article. Misgav used the wrong behavior of part of the ultra-Orthodox public and leveraged it "for a general argument against all the ultra-Orthodox in the State of Israel on whom he pours fire of brimstone and brimstone." He turned all the ultra-Orthodox into enemies of the people and his words even constitute an encouragement for a civil war against them, the court adds.

"The use of the term 'secular intifada' which will make those who set it on fire a 'superstar' can only be interpreted as a call for physical harm to an entire public, and we - here we come," the court ruled. "The racist and inciting content of the expressions included in the article take some of it out of the realm of freedom of expression."

The tribunal also ordered that the ruling be forwarded to the Press Council, which will decide how to deal with Haaretz, Ben and Misgav "who are judging themselves and choose not to address the complaint filed against them and not to appear at the hearing to which they were summoned." The other members of the tribunal are Gil Siegel, Aliza Zajik, Michal Golberg and Rami Yitzhar.
<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/001-D-453495-00.html>

The Haaretz newspaper was reprimanded for the inciting hate article against the ultra-Orthodox
The Ethics Court of the Press Council ruled today that an article published by Uri Misgav in the Haaretz newspaper during the Corona period against the ultra-orthodox public is racist and inflammatory, "The use of the term 'secular intifada' can only be interpreted as a call for physical harm to the entire public."
Hezki Neiman, 28.4.2022.

<http://dev.kore.co.il/viewArticle/104019>

MK Distel is suing journalist Haim Levinson

Roi Shusha, Nissan 30, 5782 (01/05/22)

Galit Distel Atbrian in a harsh attack on Haaretz journalist Haim Levinson, according to Distel, the "fake" tweet posted on Twitter by Levinson led to an "unimaginable amount" of curses and insults against her, further saying she severely attacked the journalist and even said that Issued a letter of claim against him.

<https://www.now14.co.il/%D7%9B%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%91%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%A0%D7%AA%D7%A4%D7%A1%D7%AA-%D7%A9%D7%9C-%D7%A7%D7%9C%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%97%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%A0%D7%A1%D7%AA-%D7%AA%D7%95/>

Galit Distel: "Haim Levinson faked tweet in my name. It led to an unimaginable amount of swearing, insults and slander. The lawsuit is on its way."

May 2, 2022

It took me a while to get to the tweet that Chaim Levinson faked in my name.

During this time the fake tweet managed to run in WhatsApp groups and get a life of its own on the left side of the feed.

This thing led to an unimaginable amount of swearing, insults and slander. Speaking of slander: The lawsuit is on its way, Levinson received a letter from my lawyer today. And not just him.

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/741550.shtml>

The illustrator Amos Biderman ("Haaretz") in a sexual allusion to MK Silman

06.04.22

Allegedly this is a criminal offense. To date, no responses have been heard from feminist organizations.

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/738012.shtml>

Dana Betzalel (@danabetzalel) [journalist] Tweeted:

Uri Misgav is just lying, he writes in the Haaretz newspaper that "Miri Regev danced on the blood, celebrated with the mob"

Here is a video I took at the scene of the attack. When people in the crowd shouted... She motioned for them to stop. When it did not help, she directed them to sing "Anachnu Ma'aminim" [We are Believers, children of Believers]. They listened to her. She [rather] calmed the spirits and he [Misgav] turned her into an instigator.

Apr 1, 2022

<https://twitter.com/danabetzalel/status/1509864600778559516>

Hours before the attack in Bnei Brak: a venomous and surreal headline in Haaretz
Hezki Neiman, 27 Adar, 5782, 30/03/2022

"I wonder what would have happened if, instead of the undercover in a restaurant in Hadera, there were some yeshiva students who specialize in Torah studies. Would the event have ended with only two dead even then?" To his readers, some of them may even be potential terrorists since a few hours later, two of the sons of the city of Bnei Brak were killed in a brutal attack.

There were those who blamed Shtrasler no less than responsibility for the attack in Bnei Brak and commented on the network: "It turns out that the terrorist who committed a murderous terror in Bnei Brak today reads the newspaper and the Haaretz website. Otherwise there is no explanation for his geographical choice in committing the heinous and despicable act. "Nehemiah Shtrasler, Hamas and Jihad thank you."

Another surfer reacted bitterly after the horror that took place in Bnei Brak and wrote: "First publication: The Shtrasler battalions took responsibility for the attack in Bnei Brak."

MK Moshe Abutbul responded on Twitter last night: "The people of Israel are in pain, a shocking attack, God, the blood of his slaves will be shed. Amen. "His constant from Abbas & Co. in the coalition. Hopefully, now that he has been killed by an ultra-Orthodox, journalist Nehemiah Stresler from the (Am) Haaretz newspaper has calmed down from the blood flowing like water."

Even before the hard news from the scene of the murderous attack in Bnei Brak, Shtrasler's column provoked many reactions on the Internet.

Media man Yinon Magal also responded and wrote: "The commander of the undercover officers who eliminated the terrorists said that they never eat in Hadera but stop in Ruppin, but that very evening one of them insisted on going to a certain restaurant in Hadera," "Dear Mr. Shtrasler, yeshiva students at least pray every day "To save the people of Israel. It is safer than what you did for the benefit of the event."

Right-winger Menny Assyag wrote: "If anti-Semitism was a thorn, then Nehemiah Shtrasler was a cactus."

<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/1364629>

—

"Hopefully now that an ultra-Orthodox has been killed, Shtrasler has calmed down from the blood flowing like water"

Netanyahu: "Israel in the midst of a dangerous wave of terrorism that we have not seen for many years" - Bennett: "Israel is facing a murderous wave of Arab terrorism" - Yoaz Handel: "We are in the middle of a war. These are the most difficult moments for the nation" - the reactions in the political system to the massacre in Bnei Brak

Aryeh Rivkind, 27 Adar 2, 5763 / 29.03.2022

MK Moshe Abutbul of Shas responded on Twitter: "The people of Israel are in pain, a shocking attack, God, the blood of his slaves will be shed, Amen. Unfortunately and our shame, Bennett = 10 degrees to the right has not yet responded. Or is it just because of his constant fear of Abbas & Co. in the coalition. "I hope that now that an ultra-Orthodox man has been killed, the journalist Nehemiah Shtrasler from the (Am) Haaretz newspaper has calmed down from the blood flowing like water."
<https://ch10.co.il/news/734338/>

MK Moshe Abutbul: Hopefully now Nehemiah Shtrasler has calmed down

MK Moshe Abutbul: "The people of Israel are in the pain of a shocking attack, God, the blood of his slaves will be shed. Unfortunately and to our shame, Bennett = 10 degrees to the right has not yet responded. Has he not yet woken up from a deep sleep? Hopefully now that an ultra-Orthodox has been killed, journalist Nehemiah Shtrasler from the (Am) Haaretz newspaper has calmed down from the blood flowing like water.

Israel Haver, 26 Adar 2, 5782. 22:04 29.03.2022

<https://www.kolhazman.co.il/460200>

—

Bennett Calls Terrorism Cyclical as Israel Is Hammered and Intelligence Fails

By David Israel, 27 Adar II 5782 – March 30, 2022

Here's a sobering note: on Tuesday morning, hours before the third attack, Nehemia Shtrasler wrote in an op-ed in Ha'aretz: "I wonder what would have happened if, instead of the Mista'arvim in the restaurant in Hadera, there were some yeshiva students who specialize in Torah studies. Would the event have ended with only two dead?"

Prophecy? Not really. Shtrasler, who normally covers economic issues for his newspaper, was just using his inquiry as an opening for a litany of Haredi bashing over the draft...

<https://www.jewishpress.com/news/eye-on-palestine/palestinian-authority/bennett-calls-terrorism-cyclical-as-israel-is-hammered-and-intelligence-fails/2022/03/30/>

—

Ben Waxman (@benwaxman) Tweeted:

Nehemia Shtrasler asks what would have happen if yeshiva guys had been in that restaurant in Hadera when the terrorist attack took place (instead of elite undercover soldiers).

Follow up question: What would have happened if Haaretz opinion pieces writers had been there?

Mar 29, 2022 <https://twitter.com/benwaxman/status/1508742552664559622>

—

"If there were yeshiva students": a storm following a column in Haaretz

Srugim News, 29.03.22

Haaretz's economic commentator Nehemiah Shtrasler caused a stir following a column he published, in which he wondered what would happen if there were yeshiva students in a restaurant in Hadera.

Strasler's column provoked many reactions on the net. TV creator Manny Asayeg wrote: "If anti-Semitism was a thorn, then Nehemiah Shtrasler was a cactus."

Media man Yinon Magal also responded and wrote: "The commander of the undercover officers who eliminated the terrorists said that they never eat in Hadera but stop in Ruppin, but that very evening one of them insisted on going to a certain restaurant in Hadera."

"Dear Mr. Shtrasler, yeshiva students at least pray daily to save the people of Israel. It is safer than what you did for the sake of the event."

The ultra-Orthodox journalist Yossi Alitov wrote: "Without hatred of the ultra-Orthodox, Nehemiah Shtrasler has no point in life. Unfortunately, this is a disease that heals Jews who hate their brothers."

<https://srugim.co.il/?p=659671>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/659671-%D7%90%D7%9D-%D7%94%D7%99%D7%95-%D7%A9%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%97%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%99-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%91%D7%94-%D7%A1%D7%A2%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%A2%D7%A7%D7%91%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%98%D7%95%D7%A8-%D7%91>

The Cluelessness of Conviction: Haaretz's Editorial On Citizenship Law

Camera - Committee For Accuracy In Middle East Reporting And Analysis

By: Tamar Sternthal, March 15, 2022

Haaretz editorial writers posit with great conviction that the citizenship law passed by Israel's Knesset last week applies to Arab citizens but not Jewish citizens. In both English and Hebrew, the March 12 editorial (in print March 13, with the headline "The racism law") opens with great certainty and little accuracy.

<https://www.camera.org/article/the-cluelessness-of-conviction-haaretz-editorial-on-citizenship-law/>

Vile unreliable Haaretz: never misses an opportunity to incite anti-Jewish hatred - misleading headline

Mar 6, 2022.

Vile Haaretz: never misses an opportunity to incite anti-Jewish hatred

Haaretz - itself contradicting the headline, only a small portion of "Israelis" side with Putin.

This ability to trigger Jew Haters shows how harmful Haaretz really is.

"I wouldn't Mind if the West...': The Israelis Siding With Putin.' Allison Kaplan Sommer, Mar 2, 2022.

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/279218>

On the distorted comparison on the left between the atrocities in Ukraine and Israel's conduct in Judea and Samaria

This campaign is led by a group of leftists, many of them journalists, whose alienation from Jewish history, and her constant need to portray Israel as representing evil, make her think.

Kalman Liebskind, 05/03/2022

He arrived two or three days after the battle for Ukraine began, and he did not surprise anyone, because he always jumps at such times. This is the same instinct that exists among leftists, which makes them see a raging ruler, or an unstoppable murderer, or a country that behaves cruelly, and immediately make it clear that we, in Israel, are much worse.

Tweeters, commentators, reporters and a chain of journalists from Haaretz led this line this week, who watched the Russian army run over and kill and bomb, and saw us. Exactly so. There is no small group here whose need to present their country and policies as the face of evil, puts them on their minds.

Dr. Hani Zubida, a lecturer in the Department of Political Science at the Emek Yizrael Academic College and a presenter on the Knesset channel, is one of the representatives of this group. A report from KANN, after Moav Vardi interviewed prepares Ukrainian Molotov cocktails with admiring looks in his eyes, and questions like whether it is possible to fight with Molotov cocktails in tanks. Does anyone sell mirrors? ", Mocked and concluded: "The occupation is corrupting."

In the people of Zubida's kind there is no good and bad, there is no just and unjust, there is no sacrifice and aggression, there is no reason and no twist. If the Ukrainians throw a Molotov cocktail and they are the best, then even when the Palestinians throw a Molotov cocktail they must be the best. After all, a Molotov cocktail is a Molotov cocktail. It is difficult to explain how deep self-hatred must be in order to succeed in bringing people into such a distorted worldview.

In fact, according to this way of thinking, it is not clear whether there is any difference between the IDF fighters who released the hostages in Entebbe and the Nazis who shot at tens of thousands of Jews in Babi Yar's pits. Some fired, some fired. It is true that the Palestinians are killing civilians and killing us soldiers, and it is true that for decades they blew up our buses, slaughtered children, and slaughtered Jewish families in their beds, but for people with a poor world of values, a Molotov cocktail is a Molotov cocktail.

And here is another example, this time by Nir Hasson, Haaretz reporter. Hasson saw a report that in the first three days of fighting the Russians killed 64 Ukrainian civilians, and it was immediately important for him to explain that our IDF is much worse than the Russian army. "I checked," he wrote, according to B'tselem, in the first three days of "Cast Lead" [supposedly] killed 115 Palestinians who did not take part in the fighting (including 40 minors) and another 248 Palestinian policemen who stood in line or were at their stations and another 40 gunmen who took part in the fighting."

I would ask Hasson what he would prefer, to be the enemy of the Russian army or to be the enemy of the Israel Defense Forces, but let's put that aside. Let's also put aside the reports from Ukraine that already speak of thousands of civilians killed. That's not really what matters here. What is important is that just like Zubida, Hasson also has nothing to do with anything. The Russians killed 64, we killed 115, the conclusion is clear: we are worse. By knockout.

A pro-Palestinian agenda

And here is Nir Gontarzn, a columnist, also from Haaretz, who addressed Twitter to President Yitzhak Herzog and Foreign Minister Yair Lapid. "I have heard that you are uncomfortable with Russia's actions in Ukraine," he wrote.

"The Russians mention that there is no such thing as a Ukrainian, and that at least the separatist districts are in fact Russian lands. Now, here's the question: let's say a Ukrainian citizen throws a Molotov cocktail at a Russian tank, or throws stones at him, trying to fend off and hit Russian occupiers. "Is it in your eyes? Are you accustomed to us that a citizen who is trying to harm the occupying forces is a terrorist / terrorist with blood on his head. Remember? And now it appears, that this Ukrainian, quite deceptively ... is a freedom fighter in your eyes. Please clarify your position."

So since I do not know if the President and the Foreign Minister really wished to make their position clear to Gontarzn, or Zubida, or Hasson, or their comrades, I will try to do that.

The war with the Arabs, in contrast to the war of the Russians in Ukraine, we did not initiate. And while the Russians are fighting a sovereign state, we are fighting a murderous terrorist organization. An

organization that, unlike the Ukrainians, deliberately uses human shields, just because the lives of its citizens do not interest it. And there is another difference. Hamas is firing on our cities, on our streets, and into our children's bedrooms, practices that we have not really seen the Ukrainians use against the Russians.

Oh, and one more little thing. Our Deed on the places where our presence we are required to fight is the oldest in the world, while no Bible, in any language, gives the historic ownership of Hebron to the Palestinians. That if Hamas had laid down its weapons, we would not have fired a single caption at him, but it does not matter to him.

And here is Odeh Bisharath, another columnist for Haaretz, who read to us this week on Twitter: "Sit still and be ashamed. With the Ukrainians, or condemn Russia." Did you understand that? A member of the suicide bombers on buses, the women and children slaughterers, those who in 1851, long before the excuses for the "occupation" arrived, had already murdered Shlomo Zalman Zoref, one of the innovators of the Ashkenazi Jewish community in Jerusalem.

And it goes on. Jackie Khoury, another Haaretz correspondent, this week saw the police's olive grove spraying water, after violent riots by Arabs at the Nablus Gate, and it "reminded" him of Kyiv. And Gideon Levy, also of course from Haaretz, added "I could continue to quote, but I will spare you here the thoughts of Attila Schumpelby from Ynet, and Zion Nanos from News 12 and Zehava Galon and Yariv Oppenheimer. And of many others.

Doubtful morality

Grows here an entire political wing living in the air. Mobile, as Dr. Gadi Taub called them. People who are alienated from their heritage, and alienated from their tradition and alienated from their Jewish history. And to treat the Jewish presence in Hebron, Shiloh and Beit El as an invasion of foreign territory is another matter.

As mentioned, one can argue about the future of Judea and Samaria, as we argued about the future of the Gaza Strip in general, and Gush Katif in particular. But our comparison to Russia? Someone here fell on his head? Do you really want us to imagine together what would have happened to Ukraine if it had fired one missile at Moscow? How much blindness can this crooked political agenda produce? What other abysses will this gang reach, whose default is to see its country, in every issue and in every matter, as the purpose of evil and cruelty?

Are you talking about morality? Is it not strange that all these moral remarks always come out of the keyboards of those who see Abu Mazen, the one who pays monthly salaries to those who are willing to murder Jews, a loyal partner? Those who lived in peace with Yasser Arafat, the greatest murderer of Jews since Hitler? Those for whom countless terrorist attacks against Jews in Judea and Samaria, on a daily basis, do not move, and their Jewish morality arises only when a 'hill boy' is around?

Jealousy of Ukrainians

Our problem does not lie in our resemblance to the behavior of Russians. It lies in the lack of our resemblance to the spirit of the Ukrainians, at least as they appear these days. A united nation, impressive in its faith, and in its willingness to fight for the justice of its way and also to pay heavy prices for it. It is still too early to assess how this war will end, but even now it can be said that if so far the Ukrainians manage to hold out, it is only out of the same inner strength and an exciting willingness to sacrifice.

Sad to see them and think of us. An ancient people with a legacy of faith and culture and morals and values, who got tired, who lost his national dignity, who has no desire to fight, who all he wants begins and ends with a little instant silence, whatever the price, who managed to convince himself that there is no bad enemy but only enemy It is bad for him, and that his preoccupation with the question of whether his army is the most moral in the world, takes up more time in his schedule than his preoccupation with the need of this army to win.

Did you compare us to Russia? are you serious? We have here, a meter from Sderot, a country controlled by a terrorist organization. A weak country. Very weak. It has no air force and no tanks. A country that if only we were willing to hit, to save the lives of our people, we would put up a fighter jet after the first missile fired at us, and turn the homes of its government members into a dune. But we do not do it because we are afraid. We are afraid they will react. We are afraid that someone will say we are immoral.

And so, instead of fighting, the chosen people decided to pick up the phone to the occupants of its attack targets, as part of the Jewish patent called "Roof Knocking," to inform them that 'in a jiffy' attack was coming, and to allow them to leave the house and save their lives.

Can a life-seeking country, whose enemy is bombing its cities, afford to take up this practice? Would a country with national dignity be sufficient for a country to shoot at it - electricity and cement and parsley and sanitary pads? Have you ever seen a normal country, and another that is said to be one of the most powerful in the world, that fights bombings using tundra, and registers a victory when the enemy fires hundreds of missiles at it and the "Iron Dome" system it invents manages to intercept them?

Do you think that Russia would let Ukraine control its holiest place, in the center of its capital, and restrict the entry of Muscovites into it, and open bags for them when they mumble something there, or when they drink from the tap not according to instructions, as we do to Jews on the Temple Mount?

So yes, we see the Ukrainians and their clear understanding of who the enemy is and how he should be treated, and all we have left is to be jealous. After all, everything is upside down with us. You have no enemy with us who does not have a blue-and-white defense attorney. We have a political wing that blames us for everything the enemy does to us. Are we being murdered? It's because of us. Are Molotov cocktails thrown at us? It's because we did not behave well. Are we being shot? It must be because of a Jewish boy who uprooted a tree.

There is a group here, which is present in the media, and present in politics, and present in the coalition and the government - which sees Jews as responsible for all the troubles of the world. Even when the picture is clear and clear, and thousands of Israeli Arabs are killing and raging and burning and lynching and setting fire to synagogues and setting fire to police vehicles - they know how to explain that we are wrong, because we neglected them and made them hate us.

What other country, knowing what it wants from itself, would be willing for its citizens to absorb, day in and day out, like fate, stones and Molotov cocktails? What other state would send its citizens to hide in the security forces, explaining to itself that if we did not put up fences at the Nablus Gate, and we would not install magnetometers on the Temple Mount, and we would not insist on the legitimacy of Jewish residence anywhere in the Israeli capital, all this would not happen? A sane country would be willing for European countries, its best friends, to fund organizations that work against its policies and file legal petitions against its military?

So yes, when we follow the flood of impressive interviews with Ukrainian citizens who are unwilling to compromise and who believe in a deep belief in the righteousness of their way, while we ourselves live in a dumb being, with youth growing up not knowing what he is fighting for, and what brought him here. Will encounter cries for "national education" or for "religion", the heart is sour.

<https://translate.google.com/translate?hl=en&sl=auto&tl=en&u=https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/Article-901942>

"'Be fruitful, and multiply', and get away from our faces": Haaretz newspaper apologizes to the Efrat Association

Elad Huminer, Kipa News, 02/03/22, 29 Adar 5782.

Haaretz newspaper forced to apologize to Efrat following defamation written in December in a column by blogger Noa Estreicher | Haaretz: "We are sorry about that."

... The original column published on the newspaper's website was edited and corrected, and a clarification and apology was published to the Efrat Association. "Contrary to what was said in an earlier version of this article, there is no known research from recent years in which it was published that the Efrat Association promised pregnant women financial assistance but disappeared after the baby was born. We are sorry about that," the apology said.

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%AA/1129300-0/>

An old new false claim: "water apartheid"

Haaretz claims that Israel is not only discriminating against Palestinians in water allocations, it is pursuing a "cruel policy of thirst". The truth, as usual, is the opposite

Hanan Amior 02/09/22

... And these are the facts that emerge from the study:

Israel has fulfilled all its obligations to the Palestinians in the field of water within the framework of the Interim Agreement, and has even completed the implementation of all the demands of the Palestinians, which are intended for a permanent settlement that has not yet been signed.

As a result, today there is almost no difference in the per capita water consumption of fresh water between the Israeli sector and the Palestinian sector

The large gap that existed in water consumption per capita in 1967, under the rule of the Kingdom of Jordan, narrowed during the forty years of Israeli rule, and became negligible.

Today, the per capita water consumption gap per year is 140 cubic meters of water per capita per year in the Palestinian Authority, compared to 150 cubic meters in Israel.

In 1967, when Israel took responsibility for the territory, four of the 708 Palestinian cities and villages in Judea and Samaria were connected to the water network. When the interim agreement was signed in 1995, 309 cities and villages were connected to the water network. According to publications by the Palestinian Hydrogeological Group, in 2004, 643 cities and villages were connected to the water network, with more than 97% of the Palestinian population living there.

Israel has worked to connect all Palestinian cities and villages to running water

The Palestinians violated all agreements by stating that despite funding from donor countries, they refused to build sewage treatment facilities and allowed sewage to pollute the environment and groundwater

In addition, the Palestinians drilled unauthorized wells and piratedly connected to the Mekorot pipeline, of course in violation of the agreements.

The principles of sustainable development require Palestinians to repair leaks in municipal plumbing, use cost-effective irrigation methods and treat sewage. They have not taken the above steps and do not act in accordance with accepted international principles...

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%98%D7%A2%D7%A0%D7%AA-%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8-%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%94-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A0%D7%94-%D7%90%D7%A4%D7%A8%D7%98%D7%94%D7%99%D7%99%D7%93-%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%9D/>

'Haaretz': "Ofer Kasif is one of the good guys"

Who are the "warrior of justice" Ofer Kasif and his "good guys"? And what does all this mean about Haaretz?

Hanan Amior, 25.01.22

At the end of last week, Haaretz published an article by Eitan Leshem, who expressed disappointment and sympathy towards MK Ofer Kasif, following the incident in which he called MK Merav Ben Ari from the Knesset podium "chicken".

Disappointment at the chauvinist statement, but also sympathy for the work of the Jewish MK from the joint list.

"Fighter of justice", "fighting for a just cause", "one cannot suspect him of not being a humanist", are just some of the superlatives that Malshem Kasif and his "good guys", i.e. his colleagues on the joint list, have won.

And the questions are asked:

Ofer Kasif is a fighter against Zionism and defines it as a (supposed) "racist[sic] practice". Is this a "just goal" in the eyes of Haaretz?

Calling from the Knesset podium for civil unrest and mass violation of the lockdown in the midst of the Corona outbreak is also a "just cause"?

He also claimed ... even "murders on a daily basis", called the IDF soldiers "sluts" and justified Hamas shooting at Israel from population concentrations.

Are all of these already considered by 'Haaretz' to be a struggle for a just cause?

As mentioned, Haaretz also states that "it is impossible to suspect him of not being a humanist".

According to Haaretz's new definition, is it humanism to call the Israelis the world of the Temple Mount "a cancer with metastases that must be eliminated with a strong hand"?

Isn't it possible to be suspicious of someone who calls the Minister of Justice of the Israeli government a "neo-Nazi scumbag" who may not exactly be a humanist?

And about his "best friends":

What is so good about "guys" who express open support for Hamas, Hezbollah and the murderous Assad regime, who salute indiscriminate Palestinian terrorism against Israeli citizens and in some cases even actively assist it?

Does Haaretz see any benefit in inciting Israeli Arabs to violent resistance to the state? With a declared ambition to establish an Islamic Law state on the ruins of the State of Israel? - - -

The truth is that the question here is not at all about Ofer Kasif "the humanist fighting for a just cause" nor about his "good friends". The sad question here is about the loss of the way of the newspaper 'Haaretz', whose editors' decision to publish these things indicates, cannot help but indicate, that he sympathizes with the things.

Otherwise he would not have published them.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%A4%D7%A8-%D7%9B%D7%A1%D7%99%D7%A3-%D7%94%D7%95%D7%90-%D7%9E%D7%94%D7%97%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%94%D7%98%D7%95%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9D/>

Why do neo-Nazis love Haaretz?

The phenomenon is already well-known: anti-Semites from all over the world are celebrating the slander and slander that Haaretz publishes against the Jews and their country. How long? And what does the newspaper editor have to say on the subject?

Presspectiva Editorial, Jan 24, 2022

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%9e%d7%93%d7%95%d7%a2-%d7%a0%d7%90%d7%95-%d7%a0%d7%90%d7%a6%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%90%d7%95%d7%94%d7%91%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%90%d7%aa-%d7%a2%d7%99%d7%aa%d7%95%d7%9f-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5/>

Inconceivable: this is how the neo-Nazis quote the Haaretz newspaper

Yehezkel Laing, Jan 16, 2022

IDF commanders and judges of the Israeli legal system who subscribe to the Haaretz newspaper ... share the same reading material that eagerly consumes neo-Nazi movements around the world. Haaretz editor Haaretz responds: "Anyone can quote from Haaretz publications, I have nothing to add."
<https://www.hidabroot.org/article/1163918>

Why do neo-Nazis love 'Haaretz'?

Does it bother Haaretz journalists that their views find approval among people who openly say that they seek the destruction of the Jewish people and the State of Israel?

By Yehezkel Laing, JNS.org, Jan 18, 2022

<https://worldisraelnews.com/why-do-neo-nazis-love-haaretz-opinion/>

Likud @Likud_Party Tweeted

Dec 29, 2021

The publication in "Haaretz" is complete fake news. This is a recording of a conversation held between Michael Hauser Tov and the former Cabinet Secretary Tzachi Braverman, in which Braverman refers to his personal documents and copies of documents from the Government Secretariat, and not to original documents, which have nothing to do with Netanyahu or his office
https://twitter.com/Likud_Party/status/1476253670178271236

"Settler Violence" Scam: False Targeting Leads to False Outsourcing

Or Issachar 28/12/2021

The "settler violence" trend reflects the politicization of reality in the service of the territorial evacuation agenda. The foolish comparison between individual Jewish criminality and a Palestinian popular and institutional intifada, both quantitative and qualitative, shows: Presenting a fraction of the truth is like lying.

For years, a certain circle in Israeli society has been engaged in the careful cultivation of blind hatred towards half a million other Israelis almost to the level of a mission. Haaretz, for example, regularly strikes at residents of Ariel, Ma'ale Adumim and Givat Ze'ev without skipping any provocative superlatives, such as "settlers' nests," [supposed so-called] "Nazis" or "brown shirts" that must be "required by the IUD" to prevent their birth, shows understanding towards [Arab] terrorists who "have no choice but to shoot at kindergartens" or expresses repugnance and physical disgust at everything related to them - even the "HaShachar" chocolate spread whose owners donate to localities was denounced as [sic] "racist and fascist spread", as accurately described in Hanan Amior's book "The Consciousness Engineering Workshop." Elected officials promote conferences on the subject in the Knesset and raise it in meetings with officials from around the world. Organizations such as B'Tselem

produce reports - to their credit it can be said comprehensive and detailed down to the last lie - with full European funding for a dramatic description of a large-scale phenomenon. Double problem and noisy comparison

The problem with "settler violence" is twofold: quantitative and qualitative. In terms of quantity - the data indicate rampant Palestinian violence, compared to Jewish .. on the margins typical of any society. According to the "Rescue Without Borders" organization, in 2020 alone there were no less than 2,273 terrorist attacks and incidents of violence by Arabs against Jews - an average of more than 6 terrorist attacks a day - in which IDF soldier Amit Ben Yigal hy"d was killed R. Shai, Ohayon hy"d and Esther Horgan hy"d. 276 civilians and soldiers were injured, some seriously. Among other things, 1,884 stone attacks, 495 incidents of Molotov cocktails, 7 incidents, 16 stabbings, 12 shooting attacks and the laying of 65 explosives were reported. An inconceivable number.

Even these amazing data are only partial for two reasons: First, there is good reason to believe that most events are not reported at all and as such do not go into the statistics. Only from the 10-12 terrorist incidents reported in the organization's telegram group can it be concluded that the true number exceeds 3,500 incidents per year. Shooting and 5 kidnapping attempts. In contrast, for Jews, the data show 507 violent incidents in 2020, which included - not killing Palestinian babies with a knife (as Arab attackers do), not throwing batons on school buses and not shooting at kindergartens - but spraying graffiti on vehicles and vandalizing property. For comparison, if, according to police data, 12,421 cases were opened in the SJ district (the "settlers" district) in 2020, while in the Tel Aviv district, 52,972 cases were opened in the Tel Aviv district, a rate twice as high per capita. In the Tel Aviv district, almost 5 times more cases of physical violence and threats were opened than in the SJ district, for a population 2.5 times larger - and there are still no sponsored campaigns or international concern against "Tel Aviv violence." If, in their view, violent friction or puncturing tires are considered "price tags", then in the Tel Aviv district more price tags are made every year than in Judea and Samaria.

Here also comes the question of quality - is it really possible to compare the sword to the neck? To compare a violent, institutionalized and motivated Palestinian intifada, led by the PA, Hamas, the Islamic Movement and others and with generous Iranian, Turkish and Qatari funding, to a handful of Jewish brats spray-painting graffiti on a house? In a postmodern world, is it also possible to compare military operations such as firearms and explosive belts, with the encouragement of the Palestinian Authority, which leads incitement in the education system and distributes NIS 1.2 billion a year from pre-guaranteed salaries to every terrorist who murders a Jew?

<https://mida.org.il/2021/12/28/%D7%94%D7%95%D7%A0%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%90%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%97%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%93-%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%95%D7%90-%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%91/>

Haaretz Corrects After Casting Unverified Claim By Christian Clergy As Fact.

Tamar Sternthal,, 22 Dec 2021 — Referring to the Church leaders behind the campaign, the Hebrew article stated (CAMERA's translation): “They say the number of violent incidents.. This unsubstantiated, vague assertion fails to even specify which time periods are in question or what the alleged figures are.

In contrast, the Hebrew version of the article rightly attributed the unverified claim to the clergymen engaged in the anti-Israel campaign...

Hasson dedicates just two of the total 18 paragraphs to critics of the anti-Israel campaign, noting the Israel’s Foreign Ministry response that the accusations are “baseless and distort the reality of the Christian community in Israel.” Hasson cites neither details nor data to substantiate the Foreign Ministry’s position. (More on that below.)

In addition, the lengthy article notes that the campaign “drew attention from a number of newspapers around the world, including the British Telegraph, Sunday Times and Times,” but ignores that British politicians, along with the British Jewish community, strongly condemned allegations...

Welby himself admitted deep into his column (“Let us pray for the Christians being driven from the Holy Land”), “In Israel, the overall number of Christians has risen.” As CAMERA Christian media analyst Dexter Van Zile noted, “there are more Christians living in Israel today than there were in the 1940s, prior to the War for Independence.”

In a press release published yesterday to mark Christmas, Israel’s Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS) noted that the country’s 182,000-strong Christian population increased by 1.4 percent in 2020. These data points are extremely relevant to any news coverage about campaigns alleging that Israel is driving out Christians. The official figures revealing the population’s growth unmask the counterfactual nature of the “Christians being driven out by Israel” demonization campaign. Haaretz, however, failed to mention the most salient fact that Israel’s Christian population is growing, not diminishing.

The CBS press release also contains an interesting figure which belies the baseless, alarmist claim by Greek Orthodox Patriarch of Jerusalem, Theopilos III, who initiated the campaign. He alleged: “at no time in human history has the future of the Christian communities been shakier.”

Israel’s Christians, apparently, did not receive the Patriarch’s memo about their unprecedented, dire situation and their imperiled future. The CBS found: “84% of Christians are satisfied with their life: 24% answered ‘very satisfied’ and 60% were ‘satisfied’.”

See also [<https://www.camera.org/article/ha-aretz-lost-in-translation/>] “Haaretz, Lost in Translation”.
<https://www.camera.org/article/haaretz-corrects-after-casting-unverified-claim-by-christian-clergy-as-fact/>

— —

When a decorated colonel goes political, and worse, he adopts Haaretzism virus, unable to name Goliath Arab racism inside Israel targeting people who are Jewish.

Dec 17, 2021

Take for instance Omer Bar-Lev, surely, when it comes to military expertise, he achieved quite far.

But tactical experience, military expertise is one thing, seeing societal atmosphere reality is completely something else.

Yet, the decades old routine of destructive Haaretz daily being provided at top military personnel has already twisted much of views.

This is exactly what Bar Lev has been trying to do. Rationalizing, as far fetched as one can, as to "why" Arabs attack Jews (such as stating, ridiculously, an Arab girl stabbed a Jewish mother in front of her kids because she probably had a problem at home - coinciding as Haaretz ran a far worse piece regarding that), instead of seeing clarity: the incitement at the Arab sector and what they "consume" from Imams (such as one in Lod, for example); certain Arab politicians (such as Aida-Touma Suliman, and Sami Abou Shehadeh, etc.) who abuse open free equal democracy in Israel to stabbed it in the back and in the front, and the relentless hate propaganda from PA and Hamas (see for example PMW).

But Haaretzism who, fanatically uses the racism label a lot, but not where it's really applicable, cant find the time of day to name racism when it really is, just thaf. Namely, attacking a Jew, for identified as being a Jew. No matter the excuse. Remember, the terminology among Arab inciters is against the Yahood. 'The Jew.'

How is it any different from a white or black supremacist targeting a person for his or her color/race? Of course all these have their excuses too.

—
[makorrishon.co.il/news/431903/]

Shilo Freid, Makor Rishon, 8 Tevet 5782. (12/12/2021):

'Minister Bar-Lev tried to explain the reason for the attack on "Shimon Hatzadik", the right revolted.' In an interview given by the Minister of Internal Security on Channel 12, he explained that the girl was arguing with her parents, and therefore stabbed a Jewish woman. "If you will" response: "Stop making excuses for antisemitic terrorists" ...

MK Simcha Rotman ... attacked Minister Bar-Lev's statement and said: "I to Tommy thought that the role of the Minister of Internal Security was to thwart terrorist attacks, not to give them excuses. In Israel there are many people with personal problems. They do not go and do "Attacks. The Minister of Internal Security who justifies the attacks is delusional, but apparently those who are in coalition with the Hamas sister movement are very quick to come up with ideological support for terrorism."

—
[makorrishon.co.il/news/433079/]

Makor Rishon, 10 Tevet 5782. (14/12/2021):

'Bennett in response to Bar-Lev's words: "It is forbidden to include an entire public."' Prime Minister Naftali Bennett responded to Bar-Lev's remarks a day after the Secretary of Homeland Security spoke with a representative of the US administration about "settler violence."

—
[now14.co.il/%D7%92%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%98%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%A8%D7%94-

%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%A2%D7%94-
%D7%94%D7%9C%D7%90%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A0/]

Hillel Bitton Rosen, Now 14, 11 Tevet 5782. (15/12/2021):

'Police sources: The statement about the settlers' violence is political.'

Settler terrorism? Nationalist crime? Sources in the police and the defense establishment disagree with Minister Bar-Lev's statements.

Sources in the police: "Our preoccupation with Judea and Samaria is almost exclusively with terrorism and Arab crime. To say that Jewish [sic] nationalist [sic] crime should be dealt with as a central goal is a statement that stems from the change of government and nothing more. The crime of the Jews in Judea/Samaria is not even one percent of the crime and Arab terror, in which effort must continue."

Other members of the defense establishment reinforce the words of those officials in the police and say: "There is no doubt that in Judea and Samaria the main problem is Arab terrorism. We work around the clock to thwart terrorist attacks, and despite this there are hundreds of terrorist incidents."

In recent months, there have been about 400 terrorist attacks per month perpetrated by Arabs against Jews, around the clock, some of which have resulted in bodily and mental injuries.

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/277316>

Amos Schocken, Haaretz publisher: Shalhevet's parents are guilty of her murder
Kipa News, 29/11/21

Uri Zaki, chairman of the Meretz board, tweeted against the president's decision to light Hanukkah candles in the Cave of the Patriarchs, and caused a stir. Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken responded to one of the commenters on Twitter, writing decisively: "Shalhevet Pass was killed because of her parents' irresponsibility."

<https://www.kipa.co.il/amp/1121566/>

The hypocrisy of the newspaper "Haaretz"

The newspaper commentator referred to the news that the Swedish ambassador is not interested in relations with the Swedish Democrats, and presented the neo-Nazi roots of the SD • On the other hand, the "Haaretz" group benefited from the support of the German concern that collaborated with the Nazis, and supports the Holocaust denier who heads the Palestinian Authority

Ariel Kahana, Nov 5, 2021

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/news/politics/article/5510205>

"I have decided to cancel my participation immediately"

Benzi Robin, Miriam Agadi, Srugim News.

26.10.21

MK Zohar decided to cancel his participation in the Haaretz newspaper conference after it became clear that among the funding bodies are left-wing organizations such as the new fund, which has previously been announced that the conference was funded by it.

MK Miki Zohar from the Likud announced that he was canceling his participation in the Haaretz newspaper conference after it became clear to him that it was funded by the new fund and other far-left organizations such as the Zulat Institute...

"I was approached some time ago to participate in the National Democracy Conference ...

I was recently informed that left-wing bodies such as the New Israel Fund (NIF) that supports despicable organizations such as Breaking the Silence and B'Tselem, are funding the conference, so I decided to cancel my participation immediately."

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=611249>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/611249-%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%99-%D7%96%D7%95%D7%94%D7%A8-%D7%94%D7%97%D7%9C%D7%98%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%9C%D7%91%D7%98%D7%9C-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%A9%D7%AA%D7%AA%D7%A4%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%9C%D7%90%D7%9C>

Watch Warning: The massacre of children by the army in Bashar al-Assad, northern "Syria".

Gplanet, Oct 22, 2021

I was debating whether to upload this shocking video, about the massacre of children in the town of Ariha, northern "Syria" by a gang in the rest of Al-Assad. The bombing happened the day before yesterday, the video was posted today. Its publicity in "Networks" was immediately censored. But will the truth be silenced? This means that the greater the atrocities, the greater the censorship, and this is an incentive to be even more cruel.

Where are the "human rights" gangs? The Sunni MKs, these are Sunnis like you, in "B'chelem" (B'tselem as in useless), the Security Council of the United Nations terrorist organization, burying silence, the sanctimonious "newspaper" of trolls, whose name begins with the letter H (he means Haaretz), and there is no law. Where is everybody? Gone. No Israel, no money, so it does not interest them. Understand, if they could, for you they would do worse.

Bashar planes bombed and bombed the town of Ariha, the Idlib enclave. These children were killed and wounded in the bombing. One child crawls, after losing his leg. The names of the children listed here, published ...

<https://www.gplanet.co.il/%D7%90%D7%96%D7%94%D7%A8%D7%AA-%D7%A6%D7%A4%D7%99%D7%99%D7%94-%D7%98%D7%91%D7%97-%D7%94%D7%99%D7%9C%D7%93%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%99%D7%93%D7%99-%D7%A6%D7%91%D7%90-%D7%91%D7%A9%D7%90%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%9C/>

Haaretz Gets Lost On E-1 Construction, Contiguity - CAMERA.org

By: Tamar Sternthal October 13, 2021

Contradicting its own previous coverage as well as the actual geography, Haaretz erroneously reported last week that construction in the area known as E-1, between Jerusalem and Ma'aleh Adumim to the east, would divide the West Bank in two. The Oct. 4 article, "Israel Holds Hearing on E1 Construction Plan Without Palestinian Objectors" errs: "Criticism of the E1 plans stems from the fact that construction in the area will cut off the north of the West Bank from the south, hindering the creation of a future Palestinian state." ...

<https://www.camera.org/article/haaretz-gets-lost-on-e-1-construction-contiguity/>

"Audacity": Tami Arad in attack on Haaretz reporter.

Srugim News.

07.10.21

Tami Arad refers to an article written by Haaretz man Rogel Alpher, refuting all his claims about the risk of fighters in operations to return him: "I stand behind every word I wrote"

Tami Arad, the wife of Israeli navigator Ron Arad, referred in a long Facebook post to the new revelations in the affair, and sharply attacked Haaretz reporter Rogel Alpher, who published a column in which he underestimated the importance of Ron Arad.

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=605115>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/605115-%D7%A2%D7%96%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%97-%D7%AA%D7%9E%D7%99-%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%93-%D7%91%D7%9E%D7%AA%D7%A7%D7%A4%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%9B%D7%AA%D7%91-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5>

Tami Arad against Haaretz reporter: "Looted and humiliated Ron Arad".

The wife of the navigator who has been missing for 35 years in a scathing column on Facebook: "If Alpher had served in the army he would not have found himself in a life-threatening activity because I find it hard to believe that if he had been educated to be a warrior, Let him think beyond provocation and his alter ego".

Ice Staff | Oct 7, 2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/social/news/article/831848>

Haaretz lies about the lands of the Eviatar outpost.

According to the newspaper, the lands belong to the Palestinian village of Beta. But not only have the villagers not presented ownership documents, aerial photographs prove that the area has not worked at all over the past decade and therefore cannot be considered private

Hanan Amior 03.10.21

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8-%D7%91%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%92%D7%A2-%D7%9C%D7%90%D7%93%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%97%D7%96-%D7%90%D7%91%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%A8/>

The escape from Gilboa Prison exposed the decay of the radical left in Israel

The escape of the terrorist Zakaria Zubeidi and his accomplices revealed not only the shame of the IPS (Israel Prison Service) and the filth and decay that pervaded it, but also those of the Israeli radical left and its absurd story.

Prof. Arie Eldad, Oct 2, 2021

The escape of the prisoners from Gilboa Prison

The escape of the murderous terrorist Zakaria Zubeidi and his five accomplices drew public attention to the decay that pervaded the prison service. Decay that will not be removed until all the heads of this messy body are removed and replaced with worthy officers, those who will not be willing to buy "industrial silence" at the cost of benefits and indulgences for imprisoned terrorists and compliance with all their demands.

But on the sidelines of the affair, a week ago (Haaretz supplement, September 24), I came across a list written by Udi Aloni about his acquaintance with Zubeidi in Jenin. It was not a political article from the school of the radical left that Aloni belonged to, but a kind of amused chapter of nostalgia - "Three pictures from an absurd play" Aloni called his article.

Aloni is a left-wing intellectual, film director, author of Messianic manifestos on a bi-national state of Israel liberated from the shackles of Zionism. He calls for "cleansing Zionism from its national elements without relinquishing its messianic zeal for freedom, liberty and equality." In his list of nostalgia and longing, the murderous terrorist is portrayed as a kind of Che Guevara: an armed philosopher, a creative artist and a humorist.

For about a year, Aloni lived in the terrorist's home in Jenin, teaching cinema at the "Freedom Theater" established by the terrorists in collaboration with Israelis, producing Palestinian propaganda devices: plays, feature films and documentaries, aimed at strengthening international sympathy for murderous terrorist organizations in Israel. The killers knew how to use weapons but did not know how to shoot their propaganda films well. Aloni instructed them on how to convincingly shoot a gun. And in his article he tells about it in detail and with pride.

Zubeidi and one of his brothers were trainees of "The House of the Arna," a children's theater founded by Arna Mrs. Jenin. Her son, Juliano Mer, followed in her footsteps and established the "Freedom Theater" in the refugee camp, where Aloni also taught. Juliano Mer was murdered by the Arabs in Jenin. Aloni skips this section of the story. Zubeidi's house, which was then, according to Aloni, a "senior Israeli wanted man," overlooked the refugee camp, and from there you can see when Israeli security forces enter the camp, warn the terrorists and hide.

Shulamit Aloni's red Toyota was used to transport them to the camp and to produce the terrorists' films. Aloni writes that he agreed with Zubeidi that "the armed struggle for freedom is usually justified", but tried to convince him that "it is not always necessary" (and I interpret: let us first try to expel the occupying scores with the help of cinema, and only if it does not succeed - also armed struggle vindicated).

Zakaria Zubeidi is responsible, among other things, for the murder of six Jews at the Likud branch in Beit She'an in 2002. Aloni boasts that he did not agree to drive the killer while armed with a Kalashnikov, "because the weapon may be aimed at my people," but agreed to drive him carrying a gun. Aloni recalled how much he and Zubeidi laughed when he agreed, despite his declared support for an unarmed struggle, to drive an armed killer. He simply believed Zubeidi who told him that the gun would be used only to commit suicide, so as not to be caught alive and returned to prison. And readers will decide whether Aloni is an intellectual with a stature, or a useful idiot who serves as a murderous terrorist and is proud of his actions.

###

Udi is the son of the late Shulamit Aloni. I am not one of those who argue that the sin of fathers or mothers should befall the sons. But understanding Shulamit Aloni's change in her political life makes it possible to understand how Aloni Jr. got to where he came from. And if we use a simple extrapolation - guess where it will go.

Aloni began her political path in Mapai. The Zionist-Socialist ruling party. The center of the political map.

After the Six Day War, Aloni was swept away by the wave of patriotism and joined the declaration that "today Israel is whole within its borders as determined by the League of Nations when it was promised to establish the national home of the people of Israel" (Davar, June 16, 1967). But the left-wing officer gradually moved to the anti-Zionist provinces, until she defined Israel as an ap... state, supported the "right" of terrorist organizations in Gaza to "respond to the transformation of Gaza into a huge detention camp," and equated Israeli politicians with... Is it any wonder that her son put her car in the service of a killer terrorist in the Jenin camp (for artistic purposes of course)?

Drifting in the same nostalgic wave of memories that arose in him with Zubeidi's escape, Aloni Jr. tells us how the killer terrorist arrived one evening holding his baby and Kalashnikov, and announces, in front of Aloni and Juliano Mer, that he would kill his child if he was gay. Aloni's progressive soul was shocked (quote). And only hard work has he and Mr. been able to convince Zbeidi that there is no need to kill gays, on the interesting grounds that sometimes they sleep with men only as part of a role in a theater

or cinema. Zbeidi relented and promised not to kill gays, and Aloni believed him as he believed the gun in his belt was only for suicide purposes.

Aloni as stated - intellectual. Corresponds with important philosophers. Writes articles and essays and books. Makes movies. 'Opposes' violence. Is it possible for an intellectual to believe a terrorist as a serial killer? A murderous homophobe? And suppose 11 years ago he believed he had abandoned the path of terrorism and all his eyes on propaganda and BDS movies. How can he today indulge in longing for his memories as the terrorist's servant after in 2019 Zubeidi was arrested and imprisoned again for being involved in shooting attacks? What about the lofty principles of nonviolence?

It turns out that on the slippery slope of the Israeli left, anyone who agrees that the Arabs have the right to establish a state in the Land of Israel must necessarily become anti-Zionist. Because there is no Zionism without a score. And the Temple Mount and the Cave of the Patriarchs and Bethlehem and Jericho and Nablus are the Land of Zion Jerusalem. And whoever gives up his homeland in Zion cannot be a Zionist. Hence the path to "understanding" the enemy and assisting him - is very short.

And in the case of Aloni and the like - the road also bears fruit and awards and international fame on behalf of haters of Israel. Therefore, the most important litmus test for distinguishing between right and left, and between Zionists and anti-Zionists, is opposition or support for a Palestinian state in the heart of our homeland. Anyone who supports such - is left. And if it is consistent - its end supports terrorism and murderous terrorists.

Not so though: the left that supports a Palestinian state is doomed not just to give up or distort its Zionism. Define it as a kind of "French Revolution" for freedom, liberty and equality, devoid of Jewish nationalism in Palestine. Aloni proves that the radical left is also doomed to sell its humanistic, universal human values - in the name of "revolution". After all, this is how the communist revolution in Russia became a murderer of millions.

When the Israeli left supports the "right" of the Palestinians to a state in our homeland, they also recognize their right to an "unarmed struggle," and inevitably, later on, also recognize the "right" of the Arabs to an armed struggle, which, in Aloni's opinion, is "mostly justified." Then, when you support the terrorists and the fight against Israel, you are also willing to "contain" (how much they like that phrase) the murderous homophobia of these terrorists. Because for an Arab Che-Guevara, forgive everything.

Here is why: The tunnel at Gilboa Prison revealed not only the shame of the IPS, its filth and decay, but, incidentally, also those of the radical left in Israel. It is "a situation that does not reconcile with reason and common sense." This is the story of the left in Israel.

<https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/Article-867788>

Incitement to violence and terrorism.

Demand from the ombudsman: Prosecute journalist Gideon Levy.

B'Tsalmu organization has approached the attorney general to open an investigation against journalist Gideon Levy following an inciting article in which he wrote that the fleeing terrorists are freedom fighters ...

Elimelech Yonah, HaMechadesh, Sep 10, 2021

<https://hm-news.co.il/196772/>

—

Walls of despair

The escape of the six terrorists provoked a comparison to the film "Walls of Hope" - Despite the romanticization embodied in the escape fantasy, a fugitive criminal is first and foremost a criminal, and only then a person seeking freedom

Irit Linor

10/9/2021

The imprisoned person longs for freedom. The free man is afraid of prison. And yet a moral person is supposed to examine himself beyond that and ask himself who escaped. A moral person should treat escape and escape as a reality, not a work of art.

"The six escaped Palestinian prisoners are the most daring freedom fighters imaginable," Gideon Levy wrote in Haaretz...

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/magazine/hashavua/article/4484523>

—

Yoseph Haddad Tweeted (Sep 9, 2021):

Reading Gideon Levy's column in Haaretz. Words that make you vomit. Calling the most daring freedom fighters imaginable for terrorists with blood in their hands is the lowest low there is and a poking the eye for the bereaved families of those killed by these terrorists - in the attack on Line 832, in Beit She'an in 2002 and Eliyahu Asheri who was abducted and killed at 18.

<https://twitter.com/YosephHaddad/status/1435876483977949187?s=20>

Dan Avissar (@DanAvissar) Tweeted (Sep 9, 2021):

@YosephHaddad:

If Gideon Levy had bothered to learn Arabic and also really try to understand what he was hearing, he might have realized that the Islamic Jihad organization was not fighting for freedom. It is an organization controlled by Iran and its sole purpose is to eliminate the State of Israel and establish an Islamist Sharia dictatorship on its ruins, similar to the Taliban and ISIS regimes..

<https://twitter.com/DanAvissar/status/1435924877534502916?s=20>

—

Gideon Levy: "The six Palestinian prisoners who escaped - bold freedom fighters" Journalist of Haaretz, Gideon Levy, calls Zakaria Zubeidi as his friend and he hopes he will succeed in fleeing.

ICE Staff, Sep 9, 2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/830402>

Shefi Paz v. Haaretz journalist "Blogger on a journey of self-glorification".

Vered Lee claimed on Twitter: "I am a journalist and she has been inciting against me for years and sabotaging and threatening and trying to harm my journalistic work," the activist of the neighborhoods of South Tel Aviv promised: Have coffee and rest in the garden "

Kfir Adar | Sep 8, 2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/830351>

Gali Cohen Tweeted:

Amos Schocken, I would be happy for clarification regarding the deliberate lie that was published in Haaretz regarding the budget.

Undoubtedly the deception is intentional and its purpose is to serve an agenda by way of cheating and deceiving the public.

Publishing such a blatant lie with the aim of mobilizing public sympathy for the budget approval process, contrary to journalistic ethics, demeans the newspaper and its readers.

@ AmosSchocken1

Sep 4, 2021

<https://twitter.com/Galicohen33/status/1434035298166583296>

MIDDLE EAST POLITICS.

Haaretz unreliable source, racist hate site [It also pushes Haredi Jews to serve in the military].

Aug 22, 2021

Attribution: Indivisible Truth Brigade Haaretz unreliable source, hate site [It also pushes Haredi to serve in the military] Haaretz can not be forgiven for its anti occupation --of territories-- pieces. For its long bigotry, vile hatred of Jews is hindering any goals which it supposedly aims. Don't be fooled by its supposed liberal mask..

<https://www.dailyadvent.com/news/845cbf63a54a99485ca6fce34020c4a4-Haaretz-unreliable-source-racist-hate-site-It-also-pushes-Haredi-Jews-to-serve-in-the-military>

Following the investigation against Haaretz: A team of experts in the treatment of abuse has been established.

The journalists' organization is taking action in light of the exposure against the old newspaper: "We are working resolutely to uproot the effects of abuse and change the organizational culture that is practiced in significant parts of the industry," who will make up the team?

Kfir Adar | 16/8/2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/career/news/article/828469>

Nadav Eyal: "Roger Alpher is a liar. Haaretz distributes Fake News articles".

The journalist attacks the publicist and the newspaper in which he writes and claims "for over a year his writing has advanced almost every finger-sucking article on the corona. It has a great impact and damage. The country needs to shake off this line. And I write this as a reader".

Ice staff | 13/8/2021

Nadav Eyal recently attacked Haaretz and Rogel Alpher for his column this morning in a newspaper in which he suggested "the use of veterinarians in the treatment of seriously ill human beings." According to Eyal, the things that the publicist publishes in the newspaper that are related to the Corona issue and promoted by the newspaper's system itself are undermining the Israeli struggle against the plague.

"R. Alpher, the author of the important column in the Haaretz newspaper - the one who regularly receives more words than anyone else - this morning promotes the use of veterinarians in the treatment of critically ill human beings. For over a year His writing promoted almost every finger-sucking knowledge about the corona. From "flu" through the virus exhausted its power to Sweden. Without any correction or apology to readers."

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/828290>

Dr. Groiss responds to Haaretz.

By Dr. Arnon Groiss, August 12, 2021

Dr. Assaf David, head of the Israeli Forum for Regional Thinking, has published an article in Haaretz, Aug 10, 2021, in which he reviews the recent report by the German Georg Eckert Institute (GEI) on the Palestinian Authority textbooks...

In sharp contrast to the article's title, there is anti-Semitism in the PA textbooks. The teachers' guides as well show the ways it is inculcated in the students' minds. Following are 2 examples:

A verse within a poem in a seventh-grade textbook calls for the liberation of Al-Aqsa Mosque "from the grip of infidelity and the Devil's aides". Is it not anti-Semitism?

(Arabic Language, Grade 7, Part 1 (2020) p. 67)

A teacher's guide accompanying a grade-10 history textbook features a student evaluation sheet dealing with three topics. The third one (marked in red) evaluates the student's ability to "clarify the Zionist gangs' goal in perpetrating massacres", with three options: Good (3 points), Satisfactory (2) and Unsatisfactory (1). The student who "defined correctly the Zionist gangs' goal in perpetrating massacres" gets the unsatisfactory mark. The student who "connected correctly the thinking of the Zionist gangs to their perpetration of massacres" gets the satisfactory mark, and the one who "accurately connected the perpetration of the Zionist massacres to the Jewish religious thinking" gets the highest mark – Good (3). Again, is the pedagogical attempt to channel the student into combining Judaism with massacres not anti-Semitism of the worst kind?

(Teacher's guide, Geography and Modern and Contemporary History of Palestine, Grade 10 (2018) p. 164)

Even the Georg Eckert Institute's report itself, that tries hard to hide such phenomena in the PA textbooks (the above-mentioned two examples do not appear there), presents a case of anti-Semitic attitude in a PA textbook: "One textbook provides a learning context that displays anti-Semitic motifs" (p. 172).

Dr. David himself eventually admits in his Haaretz article that "examples of anti-Semitism... exist in the Palestinian textbooks but, according to the research team, their scope is limited" (translated from his Hebrew article).

Why, then, is this misleading title of his article? ...

I think that one must not be naïve and talk about the Palestinians' right to act against the occupation so long as they mean the territory "from the river to the sea". Accordingly, one should not justify the hatred and violence expressions against Israel and the Jews that are found in their schoolbooks in the pretext of "the occupation", as done by Dr. David.

He further stresses the report's finding that the PA textbooks "call for tolerance, mercy, forgiveness and justice" but fails to mention that the report specifically states (p. 170) that such pieces refer to the Palestinian society exclusively, with no connection made to Israel or the conflict. Such failure may signify that he did not read the report (or rather the General Conclusion thereof) profoundly enough. I would not be harsh on him and say that he ignored that on purpose.

To sum up, Dr. Assaf David's article is, in my view, a pure political statement with no professional values.
<https://israelbehindthenews.com/2021/08/12/dr-groiss-responds-to-haaretz/>

Haaretz: Only a "tiny handful" of Palestinians want family reunification.

As part of Haaretz's campaign against the law that prevents the unification of Israeli-Palestinian families within Israel, Haaretz is lying to its readers and hiding from them the true meaning of the repeal of the law.

Hanan Amior, 26.07.21

For several weeks now, Haaretz has been running a campaign to lift restrictions on family reunification between Israeli Arabs and Palestinian Arabs living in Judea and Samaria. The citizen of Arabs only ", and" Jewish nationalist legislation that discriminates on the basis of ethnicity so blatantly "and concluded:" This provision should be repealed. "

This position is legitimate, as long as it is based on correct facts and describes to the public the true potential of Palestinian immigration into Israel.

Instead the paper chooses to spread false propaganda...

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%A8%D7%A7-%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A5-%D7%96%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%9D-%D7%A9%D7%9C-%D7%A4%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%A6%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%90/>

HRW director accused of justifying antisemitism on Twitter.

By Jeremy Sharon, July 19, 2021.

Ken Roth, the executive director of Human Rights Watch, shared a report on the spike in antisemitism in the UK.

Human Rights Watch Executive Director Ken Roth got into hot water on Twitter on Sunday after he retweeted a report on the severe spike in antisemitism in the UK during Israel's war with Gaza in May when he implied that Israeli government action was responsible for antisemitism.

"Antisemitism is always wrong, and it long preceded the creation of Israel, but the surge in UK antisemitic incidents during the recent Gaza conflict gives the lie to those who pretend that the Israeli government's conduct doesn't affect antisemitism," tweeted Roth.

Numerous public officials condemned Roth's comments as justifying antisemitism and victim blaming, although Roth rejected these accusations and said he was merely pointing out a correlation.

American Jewish Committee CEO David Harris slammed Roth's tweet, saying "No, antisemitism is always wrong, period. Just as racism is always wrong, period. Coming from an alleged human rights defender, totally & utterly despicable."

The British organization Muslims Against Antisemitism insisted that “The underlying antisemitism IS the problem” and added “Let’s not make excuses for any form of hate.”

American-Jewish actor Joshua Malina of West Wing fame described Roth’s comment as an “horrendous statement” and followed up by tweeting “Jews don’t cause antisemitism.”

Former Israeli Ambassador to the UN Danny Danon retorted, “Antisemitism is bad, period. To justify anti-Semitism as a result of our just operation against Hamas in Gaza is to give it legitimacy. I would suggest focusing on the human rights violations in Gaza under Hamas rule.”

And prominent UK columnist for The Times Oliver Kamm said Roth’s comment was “A shocking remark” and called on him to “retract it & retreat with alacrity”

In response to the massive and biting criticism Roth replied on Twitter, “Interesting how many people pretend that this tweet justifies antisemitism (it doesn't and I don't under any circumstances) rather than address the correlation noted in the Haaretz article between recent Israeli government conduct in Gaza and the rise of UK antisemitic incidents.”

<https://www.jpost.com/diaspora/antisemitism/hrw-director-accused-of-justifying-antisemitism-on-twitter-674346>

—

Human Rights Watch Head Deletes Tweet Pinning UK Antisemitism on Israel, Claims Critics ‘Misinterpreted’

by Algemeiner Staff, July 20, 2021

After facing social media accusations of blaming the Jewish state for rising antisemitism in the United Kingdom, the head of the NGO Human Rights Watch deleted a tweet on Tuesday, while accusing his critics of “misinterpreting” its meaning.

On Sunday, HRW executive director Kenneth Roth tweeted, “Antisemitism is always wrong, and it long preceded the creation of Israel, but the surge in UK antisemitic incidents during the recent Gaza conflict gives the lie to those who pretend that the Israeli government’s conduct doesn’t affect antisemitism.”

He had also shared an article from Israel’s Haaretz newspaper reporting record-high levels of antisemitic incidents recorded in the UK.

That post triggered a furor of responses, with Anti-Defamation League CEO Jonathan Greenblatt charging Roth of “justifying” antisemitism.

On Tuesday, Roth removed the post, writing, “I deleted an earlier tweet because people misinterpreted its wording.” He also linked to the Haaretz article anew, “without commentary.”

Several critics of the original post criticized Roth for the lack of an apology, and for blaming their reaction on a misinterpretation.

Hillel Neuer, head of the NGO UN Watch, wrote, "No, Ken, people didn't 'misinterpret' you. We understood exactly what you said. And you doubled down and reiterated your ugly stance in a subsequent tweet, even after you were called out by leading figures worldwide for legitimizing antisemitism."

In a follow-up tweet on Sunday, Roth had originally responded to the backlash by accusing his critics of "pretending" that his tweet was justifying antisemitism, which "it doesn't and I don't under any circumstances."

He claimed he had merely been noting the correlation between "recent Israeli government conduct in Gaza and the rise of UK antisemitic incidents."

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2021/07/20/human-rights-watch-head-deletes-tweet-pinning-uk-antisemitism-on-israel-claims-critics-misinterpreted/>

Haaretz accused of maligning Haredim in COVID cartoon

By Hanan Greenwood. Published on 07-19-2021

The left-leaning newspaper Haaretz has once again been accused of offensive reporting on Haredim after one of its cartoonists singled them out over coronavirus.

The controversial cartoon from Monday, drawn by Amos Biderman...

The paper and the cartoonist have since come under criticism for ignoring the data showing Haredim are not violating the social distancing rules more than the general population and in fact, Haredi towns and neighborhoods have seen relatively low morbidity in the latest wave.

Ishay Lapidot, a Haredi singer, took to Twitter to express his outrage. "When the government feels threatened, Haaretz and Biderman will always find a way to make fun of someone, even if that is based on lies and hatred that could be taken right out of Der Sturmer," he wrote.

Another journalist, Yishai Cohen from the Haredi news portal Kikar Hashabat, wrote: "What do you do when Haredim do not fit the narrative of a new wave of infections, and when Haredim are rarely seen on planes and when most of the community spread is actually within the secular world when the images from Ben-Gurion International Airport show the entire story and you cannot turn them into superspreaders with a long nose? You call up Amos Biderman."

<https://www.israelhayom.com/2021/07/19/haaretz-accused-of-maligning-haredim-in-coronavirus-cartoon/>

Haaretz: "Antisemitism" - kikar haShabbat

Jun 24, 2021 -

Always haredim are guilty? A cartoon against the ultra-Orthodox in Haaretz: "A real lie and incitement."

The Haaretz newspaper published a cartoon in which Gafni, Deri and Litzman are seen ...

<https://www.kikar.co.il/395048.html>

Daniel @TW3t96d2LrjYmTYB Tweeted Jun 24, 2021.

The Haaretz newspaper in a repulsive cartoon, the 'Der Sturmer' is proud of you.

<https://twitter.com/W3t96d2LrjYmTYB/status/1407992169118453765>

Ariel Elharar @ariel_elharar_ on Twitter:

This is the cartoon that awaits us tomorrow in the Haaretz newspaper, police officers look at crowded ultra-Orthodox and do not follow the instructions at the Western Wall. As if there is no limit? As if it is not clear to everyone that this time the morbidity is not in the ultra-Orthodox sector at all? Just vomit from the amount of hypocrisy and hatred.

July 18, 2021

https://twitter.com/ariel_elharar_/status/1416915186678652929

Context-free Haaretz exposes 1949 discrimination.

July 11, 2021

The Israeli newspaper Haaretz likes to publish context-free articles alleging discrimination by Ashkenazim of Sephardim in order to portray Zionism as a racist, colonial movement. Here is the latest example by Ofer Aderet, based on research by the historian Shay Hazkani. Hazkani discovered thousands of letters from disgruntled Moroccan Jews who fought in Israel's War of Independence, complaining of discrimination by European Jews. Further into the article, it transpires that discontent was also rife among Ashkenazi Mahal volunteers, so this is not simply a binary Sephardi-Ashkenazi issue, more a clash of cultures. We are told that 6 percent of Moroccan Jews returned (i.e an impressive 94 percent stayed), while 95 percent of soldiers from the US and Europe went back to their home countries. The piece fails to report that conditions in Israel were dire in 1949 after it lost one percent of its population; there was rationing and all manner of hardships.

The piece does not reference antisemitism and the deteriorating situation in Morocco in the run-up to independence. In spite of 'selectsya' and 'protectsya', one third of Moroccan Jews made aliya before 1956.

<https://www.jewishrefugees.org.uk/2021/07/context-free-haaretz-exposes-1949-discrimination.html>

Haaretz fires Neve Daromi, Riklin: "Fairy blockers. Stop buying it".

The publicist reported that Haaretz fired the publicist identified with the right-wing side of the political map and attacked: "Haaretz is really not in favor of women."

Ice Staff | Jul 9, 2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/825219>

Ha'aretz is an Enemy of the Jewish People.

By Vic Rosenthal - 27 Tammuz 5781 – July 7, 2021

Ha'aretz is more than just a left-leaning newspaper.

It is an enemy of the state, and in fact, an enemy of the Jewish people, whose future depends on the state.

Today, when Israel and Jews are under attack throughout the world, when international organizations have been turned into lie factories targeting Israel, when the Jewish State is accused of the very crimes – terrorism, murder, apartheid, genocide – that her enemies are either guilty of or aspire to, an Israeli newspaper, owned and operated by Jews, is a primary propaganda organ of those enemies.

Every day, the paper – which publishes both a Hebrew print edition that few Israelis read, and an English internet edition that is read around the world by decision-makers in governments and businesses – pumps out its vileness. Every day its writers present a slanted version of events in which Israel and Israelis are oppressors, occupiers, murderers, racists, thieves, and liars.

By virtue of its Israeli origin, this Stürmer gains credibility. Perhaps you don't believe everything you read on Aljazeera's website, but this is an Israeli newspaper; indeed, it's been called the NY Times of Israel, the paper of record.

Today there is a typical example. In an editorial, they excoriate the Yamam, the Israel Police counterterrorism unit, accusing it of committing a "cold-blooded execution" of a man named Ahmed Abdu. They call it "Israel's own death squad," equating it with the ones operated by South American dictators.

Their accusation is based on a security camera video: it shows someone in a car getting shot. That is all it shows. But let me quote the Ha'aretz editorial:

' The footage and neighbors' testimonies leave no room for doubt: Ahmad Abdu, 25, of the Amari refugee camp returned from a night out with friends. They dropped him off at his car. He entered the vehicle and started it, then a SWAT team emerged in a civilian car, blocking his way. Three Yamam police

officers got out and shot at Abdu point-blank as he sat in his car. They then dragged him out, apparently to make sure he was dead, left him on the road and quickly left the scene.

The response by Border Police spokesman Tamir Faro to the incident is no less shocking and outrageous: He ignored the evidence in front of him and sufficed with the claim that the shooting was done “according to the rules of engagement.” In other words, the execution of an unarmed man in his car, while he’s not endangering or threatening a soul, in a deserted street doesn’t breach Yamam’s rules of engagement. Are we to deduce from this that this special unit is licensed to kill? ’

I can tell you exactly what it is possible to deduce from Tamir Faro’s statement, because I am personally acquainted with officers who serve in the Yamam, which is possibly the most competent police unit of its kind in the world, and their rules of engagement have been explained to me. I deduce that Abdu was not an unarmed man, because had he been unarmed, he would not have been shot.

It is true that the Yamam was charged to do targeted killings during the Second Intifada. They have not had such an assignment since then, and in any event, Ahmad Abdu was not important enough to be the subject of one. Recently the Yamam has arrested some perpetrators of high-profile murders, like the killer of Esther Horgan, and (unfortunately, in my opinion) they were taken into custody unharmed. Abdu was wanted for questioning regarding a non-fatal shooting at a demonstration.

The explanation of why he was shot is found in the rules of engagement, which permit the officers to shoot if the suspect reaches for a weapon, points it at them, or opens fire on them. And this is undoubtedly what happened.

What is the “evidence” that Tamir Faro supposedly ignored? Is it in the video in which Abdu is not even visible until after he is shot? Is it in the statements of family members interviewed by Ha’aretz? The answer is that Ha’aretz has no evidence. Only those who were on the scene know whether Abdu was armed.

These are not rookie policemen who might have panicked. They are professionals who arrest dangerous terrorists night after night, and show great restraint, even when they are arresting someone who they know is drenched in Jewish blood. Why would they suddenly decide to “execute” a small-time terrorist?

The editorial is based on an article over the weekend by Gideon Levy, a specialist in blackening the name of Israel, the IDF, and the Israel Police. It was based on information provided by B’Tselem, an extreme left-wing Israeli NGO dedicated to documenting “Israel’s regime of apartheid and occupation.” For this purpose, it receives more than US \$3 million a year from the EU, various European governments, the Ford Foundation, the New Israel Fund, the UN, and more.

Amos Schocken, the publisher of Ha’aretz is Israel’s modern day version of Julius Streicher, who incited anti-Jewish hate in the Nazi newspaper Der Stürmer. Streicher was hanged for his crimes, but Schocken and his hatchet man Levy are careful to stay within legal boundaries, so they will probably not even be punished with a lawsuit.

<https://www.jewishpress.com/blogs/abu-yehuda/haaretz-is-an-enemy-of-the-jewish-people/2021/07/07/>

Haaretz draws anti-Semitism.

Anti-Semitism is an accepted international definition that also includes examples and characteristics, according to which the cartoon published in Haaretz last Thursday is anti-Semitic.

Hanan Amior, Presspectiva, June 28, 2021

... And it is wrong that it was right to publish it, as it resonates and spreads a classic antisemitic motif. Not according to the best judgment, but according to a recent report published by the Cantor Center at Tel Aviv University, entitled "Corona wave led to a wave of anti-Semitism", as well as the Ministry of Diaspora's latest anti-Semitism report for 2020.

The two reports also make a reference to the unique type of anti-Semitism, which is cartoons that elevate the connection between Jews and the spread of the virus.

The definition of anti-Semitism by the International Alliance for the Preservation of Holocaust Remembrance explains that anti-Semitism, among other things, is "imposing responsibility on Jews for everything that goes wrong," as well as "using symbols or images related to classical anti-Semitism." And what do we have a more classic antisemitic image than the figure of the ultra-Orthodox Jew as a spreader of diseases.

And it turns out that Biderman and Haaretz are not alone. The authors of the two reports identified "a large increase of tens of percent in the consumption of conspiracy theories of various kinds, linking Jews to the spread of the virus."

In other words, in order to overcome the obstacle of accusing secular Israelis, residents of Binyamina and Modiin, of spreading the virus (not to mention about 100,000 participants in the crowded and mass pride march the next day), Biderman and Haaretz chose to spread hatred through the old and bad ultra-Orthodox figure. The ultra-Orthodox for the outburst, nor for the fact that they are committing a classic antisemitic act.

Haaretz is not a newspaper but a propaganda leaflet.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%99%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%95%D7%AA/>

—

Haaretz refuses to apologize for the antisemitic cartoon - Actualik.

Y. Weiss, Jun 24, 2021 - An antisemitic cartoon was published this morning in the Haaretz newspaper showing the leaders of the ultra-Orthodox parties Litzman Deri and Gafni with "long noses", the ultra-Orthodox are angry, but Haaretz refused to apologize.

An antisemitic cartoon was published this morning in the Haaretz newspaper, in which the leaders of the ultra-Orthodox parties, Litzman Deri and Gafni, are seen with "long noses," with the caption next to the cartoon repeating: "Maybe we'll organize a festive meal religious gathering." Many on social media were outraged that Biderman chose to portray the ultra-Orthodox, while the outbursts came from Binyamina and Modi'in, secular areas.

Many surfers demanded an apology from Haaretz, but it was reluctant to come. Biderman himself responded to one of the journalists that the ultra-Orthodox had put a stripe on the guidelines and from his voice would sound like he really despised the ultra-Orthodox public.

The chairman of United Torah Judaism, MK Moshe Gafni, said in response to the antisemitic cartoon of the Haaretz newspaper:

MK Yaakov Litzman said that "this morning's shameful cartoon expresses the 'spirit of the commander' - the same reformist government, of Lieberman, Lapid and Bennett, which excludes the ultra-Orthodox and harms Judaism and Israeli tradition. This is the atmosphere that prepares the incitement and incitement against ultra-Orthodox Jews. Anti-Semitism 2021.

<https://actualic.co.il/%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%A8%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%A6%D7%9C-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%98%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A0/>

—

DOSS POLITE (@dosspolitte) Tweeted:

Haaretz continues to carry out #blackening of the ultra-Orthodox sector.

* #Blackening is an attribution of a negative phenomenon to an individual or community by virtue of being ultra-Orthodox

For comparison # racism.

June 24, 2021

<https://twitter.com/dosspolitte/status/1407969759795294214?s=20>

—

MK Y. Margi @yakmargi (Jun 24, 2021):

I was asked how do you define the Haaretz newspaper? I replied: Antisemitism for people who think. (Themselves enlightened)

[Referring to the paper's slogan: 'The Paper for People who Think']

<https://twitter.com/yakmargi/status/1407963777358761984>

—

Moshe Abutbul (Shas):

Honorable Speaker, Distinguished Knesset, Madam Minister, I would like to talk about something that is very, very sad. We know that the situation is very, very not easy with this whole issue of morbidity, and we also see the government's attempt to deal with these and other waves. But let's see what happens. We really see something very strange - that the Haaretz newspaper chooses to make a cartoon against the ultra-Orthodox, who are not part of the contagion. Today we do not find morbidity in the ultra-Orthodox cities, we do not find morbidity within the ultra-Orthodox public, but rather see it in other districts. And suddenly in the Haaretz newspaper there is a cartoon that really says a lot about how much hatred Amos Biderman has towards those ultra-Orthodox - when the ultra-Orthodox are not part of the wave of infection, because the ultra-Orthodox are hardly on the planes. Most of the infection is actually in the other public, the secular public. The pictures at Ben Gurion Airport tell the whole story. Anyone who saw the pictures yesterday at Ben Gurion Airport saw exactly what it was about. You had to bring a magnifying glass to look for an ultra-Orthodox name. And even today.

It is impossible to turn the ultra-Orthodox into spreaders of disease with a long nose. So what does he do? Amos Biderman is summoned, and inside the Haaretz newspaper he draws - he can't take pictures, so what does he do? He draws the masses that spread the disease at Ben Gurion Airport, and where does he put it? Inside the Western Wall. Then at the Western Wall, when we are there about the destruction of the Temple, then he comes and says there: To sharpen the knife, to turn the knife. What is it called? The engineer's consciousness.

So I want to protest this thing. It is not possible that we are not part of the issue and in any case infect us...

[July 19, 2021]

https://fs.knesset.gov.il/24/Plenum/24_ptm_604544.doc

ilan dayan (@idayan68) Tweeted (June 14, 2021):

@AnshelPfeffer The Haaretz newspaper is entirely a newspaper of Jewish self-hatred, anti-Israel and post-Zionism, so another cartoon of ultra-Orthodox hatred and incitement against them fits in well with the general line of the newspaper.

Beyond the fact that the eruption of the corona is in secular localities, making the cartoon a lie and an engineering of consciousness. <https://twitter.com/dayan68/status/1407951187769430016?s=20>

—

A cartoon against the ultra-Orthodox in Haaretz: "Antisemitism" - Kikar haShabbat.

Jun 24, 2021 - Always Haredim guilty? A cartoon against the ultra-Orthodox in Haaretz: "A real lie and incitement."

Mobi Ettinger

... Journalist Yehuda Schlesinger responded by saying: "Corona eruption in Binyamina. Corona eruption in Modi'in. Corona eruption in Kfar Saba. The cartoon in Haaretz: Haredim. Poor instigators."
<https://www.kikar.co.il/395048.html>

—

Haaretz won't let the facts get in the way.

A cartoon in the Haaretz newspaper that appears to blame a sector that has nothing to do with the recent outbreak of the pandemic for the spike in infections is shameful, insolent, and dangerous incitement.

By Hanan Greenwood
June 25, 2021

Haredim are upset, and rightfully so.

A cartoon by Amos Biderman that appeared in Haaretz on Thursday, depicts Shas party leader Aryeh Deri and United Torah Judaism party head Moshe Gafni and his fellow party member Moshe Litzman under a banner reading: "The coronavirus is back." In the cartoon, a speech bubble has Litzman saying: "We have to organize a festive meal."

The insinuation is clear: It makes no difference that there are no Haredim in Binyamina and Modiin – the centers of Israel's most recent outbreak, and that the variants making their way into the country are being imported by Israelis, a majority of whom are not religious, passing through Ben-Gurion Airport. As far as Biderman and his emissaries at the radical left-wing newspaper are concerned, the Haredim are to blame.

The ultra-Orthodox may not have caused the infections, yet even with the tiniest percentage of infections in the sector, it seems there are those who would blame them for the outbreak, an act any newspaper would be forced to describe as antisemitic had it happened overseas. Antisemitism is the hatred of Jews without regard to what they have done or are guilty of in practice. Here, it seems, a clear, red line has been crossed in such a way that is reminiscent of the kind of antisemitic posters that have been displayed around the world over the last few centuries..

<https://www.israelhayom.com/opinions/haaretz-wont-let-the-facts-get-in-the-way/>

Lesson in anti-Semitism: Haaretz does not let the facts confuse them

Haban Greenwood, Israel Hayom, June 24, 2021.

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/judaism/judaism-news/article/2570303>

—

[UTJ] (@YahdutAtora) Tweeted:

MK Maklev on the hate cartoon this morning in Haaretz: "Unfortunately, we see again and again that those people who have the urge to hate after do not resort to any means to incite against the ultra-

Orthodox public. "Although everyone knows that this is a lie and a real incitement, the platform that provides the stage for this incitement is no less to blame, - the illustrator does the will of his owner."
June 24, 2021

<https://twitter.com/YahdutAtora/status/1407975084413816832?s=20>

Haaretz believes in freedom of expression, until it clashes with the landlord's political positions.

Amos Schocken has no problem with his writers comparing Netanyahu to Hitler and supporting the terrorists, but when the publicist Neve Daromi dared to express support for the right-wing organization "Ad Kan (Up to Here)" on the social network, he decided to stop him from writing for the paper.

Kalman Liebskind

July 10, 2021

<https://www.maariv.co.il/amp/journalists/Article-852054>

Ha'aretz is an Enemy of the Jewish People.

By Vic Rosenthal - 27 Tammuz 5781 – July 7, 2021.

... By virtue of its Israeli origin, this Stürmer gains credibility. Perhaps you don't believe everything you read on Aljazeera's website, but this is an Israeli newspaper; indeed, it's been called the NY Times of Israel, the paper of record..

<https://www.jewishpress.com/blogs/abu-yehuda/haaretz-is-an-enemy-of-the-jewish-people/2021/07/07/>

Gabriel Moked, "On the Nakba", Haaretz, June 8, 2021.

It seems to me that clarifying the discourse on the concept of the Nakba is necessary today in order to remove a rather central obstacle in relations between the two nations in the country: the Jewish-Hebrew nation and the Arab-Palestinian nation. I mean that accepting the content of the Nakba concept as a historical guilt of the State of Israel (which was then quite young, very close to its formation) is fundamentally incorrect, and weighs heavily on the possibility of peace and cooperation between the two nations in the future on the solid foundation of national self-determination in two states. .

The truth is that it is easy to understand the great pain of the Arab-Palestinian nation in general, and of the Palestinian minority within the State of Israel in particular, against the background of the loss of villages, houses and towns in the 1948 war. After all, it is not difficult for a person like me, who lived as a child in the Warsaw ghetto, to understand the pain of loss, the loss of a home and family base, and all this, of course, without drawing a sham line between killing millions of people in gas chambers and victims of civil war. In a land between two peoples that were often mixed with each other.

However, the error in relation to the Nakba, and often also by the representatives of the left and liberal camp in Israel, lies not in showing understanding for the pain of others but in seeing the vulnerability experienced by the Arab community in Israel as something that came entirely through Israel's guilt and perhaps as a result of some deliberate plot. Among many expressions of such an attitude to the pain of the Palestinians symbolized by the concept of the Nakba, an attitude that to some extent condemns the State of Israel, one can find, for example, a very important article by the editor-in-chief of Haaretz, Aluf Ben, recently published.

However, it is an undeniable fact that both the national-Palestinian movement, including its representatives in the Knesset of Israel, and a significant wing of the "left" liberal camp in Israel completely and completely unreasonably ignore the reasons and the general political background of the 1948 war.

It may sometimes be unpleasant (and also unpleasant for the writer of these lines) to say the visible and the known again: the Arab-Palestinian nation and the Arab states attacked contrary to the UN decisions on the state of Israel and the Jewish nation in the country. The only members of the Arab public who strongly opposed the almost fascist nationalism of the Palestinian leadership and the leaderships of Arab states were the Arab Communists, who in fact constituted a small minority among their people. The vast majority of the Palestinian-Palestinian (and all-Arab) movement identified, openly or tacitly, with the position of their World War II leader Mufti al-Husseini, a loyal partner of Hitler, Himmler and Eichmann in the decision to physically eliminate the Jewish people.

As we shouldn't dictate all kinds of national content to a Palestinian state and to Jordan, it is better for Arab representatives in the Knesset not to attack the cornerstone of relations between the State of Israel and the Jewish people: the Law of Return.

Having said all that, I do not ignore the fact that there were circles in the Hebrew settlement that "did not regret" the possibility of eliminating certain Arab settlements and expelling their inhabitants during a bloody defense war. Nevertheless, there is no doubt that the creation of a situation that enabled the partial tragedy of the Arab-Palestinian nation came solely because of the firm nationalist stance of the Palestinian leadership at the time.

Like a former communist movement, I shall be allowed to remind the people of the [Arab] joint list, for example, and especially the Hadash [Socialist / Communist] members, that Marx, Lenin and Stalin always talked about the fact that in any country based on national self-determination the majority nation can choose all its own national symbols and emblems, the anthem, etc., and also to indicate those publics outside its borders with whom it wishes to cultivate a special bond. Like Poland, whose leaders are nationalists and religious, he replied that in the opposite case Rosa Luxemburg would actually serve the great Russian nationalism (because a considerable part of Poland then belonged to the Russian Tsarist kingdom).

Therefore, as we shouldn't dictate all kinds of national content to a Palestinian state and to Jordan, it is better for Arab representatives in the Knesset not to attack the cornerstone of relations between the State of Israel and the Jewish people: the Law of Return. As for the "every step back" of returning

refugees from previous wars, it would be better for the Palestinian leadership to learn from the position of the West German government, which understood that the slogan of returning refugees to what are now Russia, Poland and the Czech Republic would immediately hurt peace in Europe.

It therefore seems to me that a reconciliation narrative regarding the Nakba, ostensibly intended to facilitate the establishment of a joint Jewish-Arab peace front, is in fact a narrative that is far from serving the idea of peace.

<https://www.msn.com/he-il/news/news-middle-east/%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A0%D7%9B%D7%91%D7%94/ar-AAKOnD1>

May/12/21 - several racist Arabs lynching of whom they identified as a Jew, Mor Janashvili - Unreliable Haaretz omits 'racist motives' in the English version... June/24/21.

Oct 13, 2021 .

Acre May/12/21 - several racist Arabs lynching of whom they identified as a Jew, Mor Janashvili - Unreliable Haaretz omits 'racist motives' in the English version... June/24/21.

Omitted words: Charged Kusai Abbas, in a 'racist motive' ...

English version:

Three Arabs Charged With Brutal Beating of Jewish Driver in Mixed City

Footage shows defendants pelting the man's car with stones. When he tried to escape on foot, they beat him to the ground with iron bars, clubs and stones. Two of the three are charged with terrorism

Josh Breiner, Jun. 24, 2021

Three Arabs from Acre were indicted Thursday for brutally beating a Jewish man during last month's riots in the mixed Jewish-Arab city in northern Israel.

The charges against two of the defendants were designated as terrorist acts, which allows the length of the sentencing to be doubled.

[Related Articles

Seven Arabs Charged for Murder in Deadly Mob-attack on Jewish Resident of Mixed City]

According to the indictment, the three men joined other masked Arab youths who had erected a barricade in the middle of a street at the height of the unrest in Acre on May 12. They threw stones at a police car and blocked traffic using furniture and other objects. When they identified a vehicle with a Jewish driver, Mor Janashvili, they pelted it with dozens of stones. Janashvili lost control of the vehicle and crashed into wall, hitting an Arab youth.

Footage of Acre attack (Warning: graphic content)

Janashvili left the vehicle and attempted to flee on foot when he was beaten to the ground by the three defendants, armed with iron bars, clubs, stones and other objects, the indictment said. The defendants kept beating him, with one of them, Kusai Abbas, hitting Janashvili over the head with a table leg. Later, one of the rioters torched the vehicle.

Janashvili was rushed to the hospital in moderate condition. The defendants were arrested a few days later. Abbas was charged with attempted murder as a terrorist act, throwing stones at a vehicle as a terrorist act, sabotaging a vehicle as a hate crime and rioting. Adham Bashir was charged with aggravated assault as a terrorist act and rioting. Bilal Hilwani was charged with rioting. The state has requested to keep the three in custody until the end of legal proceedings.

Hebrew version:

[צוות מד"א מטפל במור ג'אנאשוילי]

שלושה תושבי עכו הואשמו בלינץ' בנהג יהודי: הכו אותו קשות והציתו את רכבו בתיעוד התקיפה נראים הנאשמים מיידים אבנים לעבר מכוניתו של מור ג'אנאשוילי, שניסה להימלט אך איבד שליטה. לאחר שיצא מרכבו הכו אותו במוטות ברזל, באלות ובאבנים. לשניים מהנאשמים מיוחסת עבירת מעשה טרור

יהושע (ג'וש) בריינר, "הארץ"
24.06.2021

הפרקליטות הגישה הבוקר (חמישי) כתב אישום נגד שלושה ערבים מעכו על כך שתקפו יהודי קשות בזמן המהומות שפרצו בחודש שעבר בעיר. כתב האישום מייחס לנאשם קוסאי עבאס עבירות של מעשה טרור של ניסיון רצח, מעשה טרור יידי אבן לעבר כלי תחבורה, חבלה במזיד ברכב ממניע גזעני והתפרעות, לאדהם בשיר מעשה טרור של חבלה חמורה בכוונה מחמירה והתפרעות, ולבילאל חילואני עבירת התפרעות.
<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/275671>

Millions lawsuit against Haaretz and Haim Levinson for defamation
Maj. Gen. (Res.) Israel Ziv is demanding NIS 5 million from the newspaper and the reporter for presenting him as an "arms dealer who earns his living from wars" in an opinion piece published by the journalist...

Ice Staff | 1/6/2021
<https://www.ice.co.il/law/news/article/822015>

[תסריטאי]
@chaimyossi חיים-מימון.

May 29, 2021

למה לתרגם את 'מיין קאמפף', למי שקורא הארץ באופן סדיר ויום יומי?
<https://twitter.com/chaimyossi/status/1398726437608333314>

[Dcripter]

Yossi Haim-Maimon @chaimyossi

May 29, 2021

Why translate 'Mein Kampf', for those who read Haaretz regularly and daily?

Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken makes a shameful statement about the murder of the girl Shalhevet Pass.

May 28, 2021.

In the 'Tzinor' [pipe] program, Haaretz newspaper publisher Amos Schocken referred to the case of the murder of the 10-month-old girl Shalhevet Pass (murdered by an Arab sniper in Hebron in 2001) to other attacks in which Jewish children were killed:

"In a normal country, the Ministry of Welfare would take the children out of the areas where their parents took them."

Schocken added that he can understand Palestinian terrorism...

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/701060.shtml>

May 27, 2021.

J morality .. yes, a false narrative no.

About an important picture that was published, about sadness for the children who were killed and about facts that were "forgotten". Guess some of my followers will be annoyed, but this is the Zionist left and this is my Judaism. On the front page of Haaretz newspaper this morning

A heartbreaking picture posted this morning, and importantly, this is what sets us apart from them.

And a word to the Haaretz newspaper "you forgot" to add some more facts around this important picture, so here they are for the sake of historical truth:

1. Hamas is a murderous terrorist organization that fires from civilian homes and part of its strategy is to harm uninvolved civilians.

2. Hamas does not allow children and women to evacuate and it is used by it when they are killed.

3. The IDF makes great efforts (information) not to kill innocent people and at least from comparisons I have seen the IDF is a very moral army in the field and given the circumstances.

About 1,000 Hamas rockets fired at Israel fell in Gaza, how many of them killed innocent people in the Gaza Strip?

5. We left Gaza 16 years ago and a terrorist state was established there.

6. Finally, there is one child, Ido Avigal by name, 5 years old from Sderot who you forgot to put a picture of him and even in the morning after he was injured you did not put a picture of him at the gate.

J morality ... yes, a false narrative no.

Truth and peace loved.

<https://twitter.com/yayafink/status/1397838543012179968>

<https://twitter.com/yayafink/status/1397838543012179968/photo/1>

<https://m.facebook.com/yayafink1/photos/a.517428635306425/1348598542189426/>

—

Haaretz publisher apologizes for paper's removal of Israelis from story on kids killed in Gaza fighting

By TOI Staff, 27 May 2021

https://www.timesofisrael.com/liveblog_entry/haaretz-publisher-apologizes-for-papers-removal-of-israelis-from-story-on-kids-killed-in-gaza-fighting/

—

When the editors of "Haaretz" cease to be humane from too much effort to be...

Prof. Yuval Elbasha, Globes, 05.28.2021

The publication in "Haaretz" sinned in the sin of the fascist tabloid media that plays with the national blood - It is ridiculous that precisely those who despise the culture of the tabloids are applauding here as if it was a brave act that the newspaper did - But worse than this is the act of erasing the two Israeli children in a deliberate manner and lacking any human emotion.

<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001372525>

—

The New York Times is more demonic every day- and Haaretz is no different.

The fact that too few Jews were killed and that Israel has the power to defend itself against terrorists is what has enraged the NYT. Op-ed.

[Tags: Haaretz, Prof. Phyllis Chesler, New York Times].

Prof. Phyllis Chesler, May 29 , 2021 10:20 PM
<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/307099>

—

May 27, 2021

Ravlin Weiss Hood (@ GEg4EtPk3UJCu8q) Tweeted:

Haaretz newspaper knew it was a lie and ignored it.

[Did Israel (even) kill this Palestinian girl? The girl is even alive...]

<https://t.co/Y9SQccHI94> <https://twitter.com/GEg4EtPk3UJCu8q/status/1397916620438208517?s=20>

—

David (@Duduoppe) Tweeted (May 27, 2021):

Right. Children in Gaza were killed.

And true, no one was happy when a child was killed. Also if he is Gazan.

But the only culprit, the only one! In their death it is Hamas. An organization that sees them as a human shield, a weapon in the war of consciousness against us.

Unfortunately, consciously or unconsciously, HAARETZ is taking an active part in this war, standing by Hamas.

<https://t.co/wXd95ZP12R> <https://twitter.com/Duduoppe/status/1397852242053566464?s=20>

—

Haaretz publisher Riklin's surprising response: "Do not hide".

ICE, May 27, 2021

In response, Shimon Riklin wrote: "It is not that Schocken is lying with his embarrassing apology that the removal of the Israeli children from the picture was due to an editor's mistake. He is simply not in reality. Otherwise he would have remembered "He withdrew under the guise of 'editor.' Please do not hide Schocken. Apologize on your own behalf."

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/821410>

—

Operation Guardian of Walls.

"The media in Israel and around the world cooperate with Hamas propaganda."

Orientalist Dr. Eddie Cohen, who found errors in a New York Times article about the children who died in Gaza during Operation Wall Guard, claims that Israel is losing the battle against false information...

Members of Hamas' al-Qassem al-Qassem Brigades on the streets of Beit Hanun after the fire (Photo: Atia Muhammad / Flash 90)

Uriel Levy

Last update: 01.06.2021 | 13:02

"Six-year-old Rahaf Al-Masri died a year ago. She dropped out of third place at the school where she studied in Gaza. The New York Times decided to 'kill her again' and put her name and picture in an article about the children killed in Operation The Guardian of the Walls," Orientalist Dr. Eddie Cohen tells 'Davar'. "[Try] to know which of the children whose pictures and stories were published were killed in IDF bombings and who were killed for other reasons," he adds.

"I saw on social media and various websites dozens of pictures of children who were allegedly killed in Operation Guardian of the Walls. A quick Google search taught me that the pictures were not taken at all in Gaza but in Syria, Iraq, Greece and even Afghanistan," explains Dr. Cohen...

"Every Hamas report on the number of casualties is immediately published in various newspapers in the country as if it were true." He says, Hamas is making good use of it, and so it turns out that their fighters who were killed are counted as innocent children, or children who were killed for various reasons are added to the list of martyrs killed by our forces."

Last Friday, the New York Times and Haaretz published an article on their front page that featured the stories of the 69 children killed in the latest campaign in Gaza. Haaretz initially published only the stories of the 67 children killed in the Gaza Strip. Following public criticism of the decision, publisher Amos Schocken apologized on his Twitter account, and the stories of the two Israeli children killed during the operation were added to the digital edition.

The New York Times and Haaretz have replaced the picture of Almsari, who according to Cohen died after falling in school, with a picture of another girl who they claim bears the same name and was sent to them by a cousin. In addition, we noted that the previous image was posted by mistake.

Yesterday (Monday) the Times reported that 17-year-old Khaled [al-]Qanou, who appeared in the article, was a member of the Salafi organization Kataeb al Mujahideen, which operates in the Gaza Strip. According to a statement issued by the terrorist organization Qanou, he was killed in airstrikes in the northern Gaza Strip on May 13.

The two newspapers admitted that at least two children in Gaza died from rockets fired by Palestinians at Israel and accidentally exploded in Gaza.

<https://www.davar1.co.il/310378/>

—

Duduououate @realdudau tweeted:

May 27, 2021

The heart aches on these children are innocent and hostage by Hamas who uses them, the world should shake and dismantle Hamas !! Just your headline, you rags one by one, should have been: 68 children that Hamas has murdered ... What about Ido Gloss Z."L.?

A Jew's blood is not considered by you. anti-Israeli garbage.

<https://twitter.com/RealDuduA/status/1397798401325023236>

Dudu Aouate attacks the Haaretz: "Anti-Israel, rags one by one."

The newspaper made headlines with visuals of the number of children killed in Gaza in the latest round of fighting and drew many comments on the net. Former goalkeeper: "Your 'Jewish' blood is not considered. Rubbish, anti-Israel newspaper."

Ice Staff, May 27, 2021

...

Former MK Michael Ben-Ari continued on Aouate's line, writing: "The traitor newspaper: The Hamas Nazis shoot at elderly women and children - the Jews are to blame. Destruction of the State of Israel, and denial of all negotiations. But it is likely that Haaretz sympathizes with this Nazi murderous treaty. " Journalist Haim Etgar also decided to attack the headline and tweeted: "It is horrible and horrible and yet to publish such a cover without stating who uses the population and children as a human shield, who initiates shootings from civilian population centers and ranges almost exclusively civilians and hence this heartbreaking result does not give the reader a picture Complete and balanced "...

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/821331>

Al-Aqsa Mosque: Hamas supporters expel the Mufti of Jerusalem.

In an unprecedented move, Muslim worshipers who support Hamas expelled from the Al-Aqsa Mosque the Mufti of Jerusalem - the high religious authority of the Palestinian Authority. The reason - ignored Hamas and Muhammad Deif in the sermon

Isaac Hildesheimer, May 23, 2021

Unprecedented - and ignoring the Israeli press in the Hebrew language - Hundreds of Muslim worshipers at the Al-Aqsa Mosque expelled the Palestinian Mufti of Jerusalem from the Al-Aqsa Mosque on Friday, in the middle of a religious "sermon" he delivered to tens of thousands of worshipers gathered immediately after Operation Guardian of Walls.

Khaled Abu Toameh, the Arab correspondent of the Jerusalem Post, who is usually very courageous, reported this morning - apparently the only report in Israel - and says that the demonstrators called on the Mufti Muhammad Hussein to support Hamas slogans and condemned his belonging to the Palestinian Authority..

The Mufti lives in East Jerusalem and holds an Israeli identity card. He is considered the most senior representative of the Palestinian Authority in the Al-Aqsa Mosque complex and often appears alongside PA President Mahmoud Abbas (Abu Mazen) at public events.

The correspondent sometimes quotes "internal" reports of what is happening in the PA and the Occupied Territories, and says that the demonstrators claimed that the Mufti ignored Hamas and Gaza and shouted "We are the people of Muhammad Depp" (the top commander of the Hamas military

brigade) ". Other demonstrators shouted in the Mufti's direction: "Get out of here, go here we do not want to see the dogs of the Palestinian Authority." The incident took place immediately after tens of thousands of Palestinians celebrated " Hamas' victory over Israel" in the latest campaign.

At the end of the celebration, several dozen young Muslims threw cans of fuel and large stones at Israeli police officers who entered the Temple Mount to stop the riots. It should be noted that Haaretz, the newspaper of the "open thinkers" that reported extensively on the riot incident against the Israeli policeman, preferred to completely ignore an incident of intra-Palestinian conflict and a shameful attack in terms of religious authority in Jerusalem and the Al-Aqsa Mosque.

As far as we could find out, Khaled Abu Toame lives in Jerusalem.

<https://www.mazav.co.il/%D7%9B%D7%AA%D7%91%D7%95%D7%AA/%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%A9%D7%AA/%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%92%D7%93-%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%90%D7%A7%D7%A6%D7%90-%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%9B%D7%99-%D7%97%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%A1-%D7%92%D7%99%D7%A8%D7%A9%D7%95-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%A4%D7%AA%D7%99-%D7%A9%D7%9C-%D7%99%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%A9%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%9D/>

Judeoespañol @Judeoespanol Tweeted.

May 29, 2021:

The Haaretz newspaper, as recalled, published photos of children who were allegedly killed in Gaza. But it turns out that the country published a fake. The photographed girl fell from the 3rd floor of the school in Gaza a year ago, but the Haaretz newspaper and the New York Times wrote otherwise. A check made with her name on Google shows that the girl Rahaf Elmasri was killed by a fall from the third floor of the school in Gaza.

<https://twitter.com/Judeoespanol/status/1398592322309349376>

—

Haaretz plays Pallywood: a Russian living girl is "converted" into an Arab Palestinian supposed "dead victim" with a name: "Raaf (Rahaf)".

DP , Dec 9, 2021.

Haaretz plays Pallywood: a Russian living girl is "converted" into an Arab Palestinian supposed "dead victim" with a name: "Raaf."

Rivlin Weiss Hood @GEg4EtPk3UJCu8q Tweeted: Haaretz newspaper knew it was a lie and ignored it.

May 27, 2021

[twitter.com/GEg4EtPk3UJCu8q/status/1397916620438208517]

Lorena Khateeb | @الورينا خطيب kh_lorena Tweeted:

Stop spreading lies, this little angel originally from Moscow, and still alive (Alhamdulillah) - Hamas bears responsibility for all civilian casualties in the Gaza Strip because they use them as human shields Glad to be of help.

May 16, 2021

[twitter.com/kh_lorena/status/1393996100244226055]

Stop spreading lies and fake news - share the truth about #Israel

May 15, 2021

[twitter.com/kh_lorena/status/1393691852348526593]

<https://www.danielpipes.org/comments/277191>

Bezael Smotrich tweeted:

May 8, 2021

...Haaretz's industry of lies (mainly in the English version) is the leader in the delegitimization campaign against Israel....

<https://twitter.com/bezaleism/status/1391136401207570436>

Smotrich v. Barak Ravid and the Haaretz newspaper: False lies about the State of Israel

Chairman of the RZ Party Bezael Smotrich v. Journalist Barak Ravid: Spreading lies about the State of Israel and fabrications around the world in English.

Channel 7, 26 Iyar 5781 08.05.21

Chairman of the R.Z. Party Bezael Smotrich against journalist Barak Ravid, who tweeted "The Sheikh Jarrah affair is causing Israel insane political and image damage in America."

Smotrich responded to him "No Barak, this is not the Sheikh Jarrah affair that is causing Israel insane political and image damage in America.

It is you and your friends who are doing everything to cause this damage, slandering the State of Israel, collaborating with its enemies in the delegitimization campaign against it, and spreading lies and lies about it all over the world in English. You slander lies and then lament the damage ... ".

Later, he also attacked the Haaretz newspaper's political correspondent Noa Landau, "When I talked about Barak Ravid's friends who slander the State of Israel and spread lies about it along with its enemies and then roll their eyes when it caused political damage, I also meant you. The Haaretz newspaper's industry of lies (mainly "In the English version) she is the leader in the delegitimization campaign against Israel."

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/491886>

Ad Kan (@adkanorg) Tweeted:

Apr 11, 2021

In recent years, we have been trying to do good for the State of Israel.

Over the years, we have slaughtered several myths for the anti-Israel organizations and more than once we have experienced targeted assassinations, mainly by the Haaretz newspaper.

<https://t.co/yPVDkrWF2T> <https://twitter.com/adkanorg/status/1381172382170284034?s=20>

In recent days, following our recent revelations, a group of far-left organizations have begun to echo a lie published two years ago in the Haaretz newspaper, in which it is alleged that the organization's CEO, Gilad, received mortgage money through the Settlement Division. An outright lie. Nothing of the kind.

Never received a *Shekel* from the Settlement Division.

<https://twitter.com/adkanorg/status/1381172386523926529>

—

The organization Ad-Kan (up to here) is suing the author of the article in Haaretz.

As reported three weeks ago, the organization Ad-Kan threatened a lawsuit against Adv. Carmel Pomeranz after writing a column on the Haaretz website against the organization. In the lawsuit, the organization claims that:

"Defendant's words are not true, acted with express intent to harm."

Avichai Dalal 26/4/2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/law/news/article/822015>

Ha'aretz' hides the Tiktok terror

Haaretz blames Israel for the wave of violence in Jerusalem, but makes sure to hide from its readers the Arab Tiktok terror that started the wave and continues to fuel it every day

Hanan Amior 25.04.21

The moment that started the wave of violence: an Arab youth violently slaps an ultra-orthodox boy on the light rail (from Tiktok)

The wave of violence in Jerusalem began on April 15, when a young Jerusalemite Arab uploaded a video of him violently slapping an ultra-Orthodox boy on the light rail.

Soon the trend spread and many young Arabs began uploading countless videos to Tiktok in which they are seen attacking police officers, humiliating ultra-Orthodox passers-by and beating them with severe violence.

To document the slap in the train, which started the wave, 'Haaretz' in the online edition dedicated a small, modest and marginal news item, by the reporter for ultra-Orthodox affairs. Since then, and throughout the countless documentation of attacks on Jewish passers-by by Arabs, I have not seen any documentation of this phenomenon in Haaretz.

Six days later, in response to the flood of videos of ultra-Orthodox attacks, the extreme right-wing organization Lahava decided to march defiantly in the east of the city. This is where Haaretz correspondent in Jerusalem Nir Hasson, who did not write a single word about Tiktok terrorism, suddenly woke up and sprung into action. The title of his article was "Increasing Attacks" against Arabs in Jerusalem..

The next day his article on the situation in Jerusalem was also published in the English edition of Haaretz. The reason for the outbreak of the wave of violence was hidden from the readers of the English edition around the world - the flooding of the web with dozens if not hundreds of documents of attacks on Jews by Arabs in Jerusalem. Instead they got a shocking headline about "supporters of (so-called) Jewish supremacy", (a distinctly anti-Semitic term by the way) intending to "burn Arabs tonight".

Compared to the 0 articles he published about Tiktok terrorism, Hasson published 8 different articles within a few days, accusing the Jews and the police of harassing the unfortunate and helpless Arabs. Following the criticism he received on Twitter for this, Hasson explained why he does not cover the Tik Tok terrorism: "These are ugly cases but it's not exactly the worst terrorism we've seen... a slap, a cup of coffee and a few stones do not open editions"

I was waiting for the Friday edition of 'Haaretz', which features an expansion on the week's events, to see if the Tiktok terrorism would finally receive any mention in the newspaper. (In the Friday editions of 'Maariv' and 'Yediot Ahronoth' by the way, there was a clear reference to the Tiktok terrorism)

The only headline in 'Haaretz' on the subject, expanded by Nir Hasson and Josh Breiner, continued the clear line of blaming the police and the Jews for the violence: "Tensions in Jerusalem: Severe clashes surrounding the march of extreme right-wing people to the Nablus gate."

Following the continued ignoring of the Tiktok terror, I asked Nir Hasson whether he also reported on the Tiktok terror, or only the intention of "supporters of (so-called) Jewish (as if) supremacy"...

In response, Hason referred me to the same short and modest news item by the ultra-Orthodox reporter of the newspaper, as well as to the Ha'aretz edition from this morning - Sunday, April 25.

I did as he commanded and opened this morning's Haaretz newspaper:

The title of the editorial clarifies who is to blame ("flame of incitement and racism")

The main headline reports on 100 wounded Palestinians and 52 protesters who were "arrested at the Lahava march".

All the content on the subject is worded as an indictment of the Jews and the police: "The Arab attacks are terrifying, but the scariest thing is that this time the rioters were joined by..."

Including speaking services for Jordan: "Jordan: Israel allowed extremists to attack Palestinians in Jerusalem",

And to Hamas: "Hamas spokesman: The Palestinian people and the resistance forces sympathize with the Palestinians in Jerusalem",

And criticism of the police: "Ohana and the district commander referred to the clashes in the capital without mentioning violence against Arabs."

And in the opinion section, of course - a comparison between the Jews (as if, they Gaaretz wrote) and the fascists ("The brown shirts may be replaced by the white shirts") and the Nazis..

Only one thing does not appear in the false picture of reality created by Haaretz: the severe Arab violence that ignited the wave and fuels it every day, with all those Tik Tok videos, which the readers of Haaretz in Hebrew and of course in English have no idea of their existence.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%AA%D7%99%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%98%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%A8-%D7%94%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%98%D7%95%D7%A7/>

Zehava Galon is a holocaust denier – Kipa.
Yehuda Vald, Apr 8, 2021

The comparison made by Zehava Galon Kin of the Nazis and Bezalel Smotrich is no less than a holocaust denial of the severity that was here, the thesis that the IDF soldiers, the great-grandsons of those survivors who muttered "Shema Israel" before they were killed, will commit another holocaust is a dangerous distortion and a crime against the holocaust...

But we are not the story here. I would not respond to this scum to protect our way or the great and wonderful public that they slander. Our light will dispel the darkness with additional action and continue the same path.

I cry out here in the name of the six million who were murdered because they were Jews and cannot cry out for the humiliation of their trampled dignity.

Gal-on's denial does not mean that the holocaust did not happen or that these are fictional stories, but rather a much more dangerous denial. This is an attempt by Galon and her friends on the extreme left to turn the Holocaust of the Jewish people, the systematic and planned extermination of a Jew because of his being a Jew in the quest to eliminate the people of Israel - into another event, into something universal related to the hatred of the other and a serious problem of tolerance that occurred throughout history between humans and can repeat itself for everyone .

This is not an extraordinary one-time event that is not captured by the human mind - but something that can happen in any society. With all due respect to the victims of the Holocaust, Galon says, know that your grandchildren and great-grandchildren who before entering the crematorium you prayed in a low voice that 'the candle will never be extinguished' are the ones who for thousands of years Jews in the diaspora will wish for a generation to come that will return the people of Israel to their land and establish a Jewish government in the land of their ancestors - they are the same Underground fighters, IDF soldiers and settlement people - they can be murderers and commit holocaust.

There is a discourse that is off limits even in a Jewish and democratic country. These statements by Galon and her friends are illegitimate and we must not accept them and continue our daily routine. It has nothing to do with right and left or religious and secular. It threatens our deepest point of commonality. This is a real danger to the State of Israel and the passing of the torch of memory and heroism from generation to generation.

Our mission - that the same torch will not be extinguished.

<https://www.kipa.co.il/amp/1109059>

Former Meretz MK makes blatant comparison between ...

Haaretz opinion piece aired on Holocaust Remembrance Day refers to Religious Zionist Party as 'Smotrich and co. list of hate [sic].'

Arutz Sheva Staff, Apr 8, 2021,

Former Meretz chairwoman and ex-MK Zehava Galon accused the Religious Zionism party, and its leader MK Bezalel Smotrich, of leading the nation down a "slippery slope" which will eventually end in similar actions taken by the Nazis during the holocaust.

"The Holocaust has two lessons," she wrote in a Haaretz op-ed. "Never again, and never again to us." She added: "We must stand up against Smotrich's list of hate and his friends. Now, before the slope...no more."

Journalist Barak Ravid posted the article, adding: "[Treblinka] was built during a decade of hate." Tuvia Smotrich, MK Bezalel Smotrich's older brother, quickly responded by calling Ravid an "evil and pathetic man."

Mk Smotrich then retweeted the post by his brother, claiming he "forgives them. They are infants taken captive and have lost their way, their Jewish and human morals."

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/299877>

Response to Haaretz: Enough with the shallowness and the climate panic.

Yuval Siman Tov 04/04/2021

Instead of taking seriously the claims made by Michael Schlenberger in his book "Apocalypse Never" about the climate panic, Haaretz contented itself with stating that the "conservatives" are "climate deniers".

Lazy intellectual degeneration on the part of Haaretz Michael Schlenberger (screenshot)

Last Friday, the Haaretz newspaper published a review of the book Apocalypse Never, written by American climate activist Michael Schlenberger and translated by the author of these lines into Sela Meir's 'Oat Library'. The book deals with the issue of the environment and climate change and claims that the discourse on the subject has gotten out of hand; That it has become too extreme and too ideological; That the scientific facts do not reach the public, and that in their place comes incorrect, confusing and alarming information; Hysterical, and irrational.

On 350 pages and another hundred pages of footnotes and references to press articles and scientific studies, Schlenberger deals with a variety of issues: the disadvantages of renewable energies (solar and wind), the benefits of nuclear energy, fires in Australia and rainforests in Brazil, meat industry and its impact on the environment, The impact of climate change on the Third World and more.

<https://mida.org.il/2021/04/04/%D7%AA%D7%92%D7%95%D7%91%D7%94-%D7%9C%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%B3%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5%D7%B3-%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%A4%D7%99%D7%A7-%D7%A2%D7%9D-%D7%94%D7%A8%D7%93%D7%99%D7%93%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%95/>

Zehava Galam-on in Haaretz: Stop Smo... Before There's another Treblinka - Kipa, Apr 8, 2021.

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%AA/1109048-%D7%96%D7%94%D7%91%D7%94-%D7%92%D7%9C%D7%90%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5--%D7%9C%D7%A2%D7%A6%D7%95%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%A1%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%98%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%A5-%D7%9C%D7%A4%D7%A0%D7%99-%D7%A9%D7%AA%D7%94%D7%99%D7%94-%D7%9B%D7%90%D7%9F-%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%93-%D7%98%D7%A8>

Zehava Galon is a holocaust denier – Kipa.

Yehuda Vald, Apr 8, 2021

<https://www.kipa.co.il/amp/1109059>

Tzipori vs. Levinson: "A smug and self-absorbed charlatan from the newspaper for arrogant people".
The veteran journalist did not take the colleague's announcement hard because he was about to leave Haaretz ..

Kfir Adar | 23/3/2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/social/news/article/814880>

Pfizer and fake news

March 10, 2021 by Ruthie Blum - JNS

... The move to keep Bourla from coming began in mid-February, when a couple of “anybody but Bibi” academics directly urged the CEO not only to postpone his trip until after the election, but to refrain even from making “statements about new Pfizer initiatives in Israel.”

You know, otherwise, Netanyahu might take deserved credit for purchasing the vaccines early, above cost, and conducting the world’s most successful inoculation drive. Heaven forbid he reminds voters of that accomplishment.

“[O]ur coming election is so incredibly critical that I find myself gasping for breath, day and night,”
Weizmann Institute computer scientist and Israel Prize laureate David Harel wrote to Bourla.

Such a visit, he argued, could “turn out to be a disastrous contribution to the destruction of Israel as a democracy, and indeed as the startup nation, with great contributions to science, medicine and technology.”

No wonder Haaretz gleefully served as a mouthpiece for the missive...
<https://www.jwire.com.au/pfizer-and-fake-news/>

Haaretz Op-Ed Misleads to Defend SNL “Joke” | CAMERA.

BY: ZAC SCHILDCROUT MARCH 10, 2021.

Satire is meant to be funny. Many popular, long-running comedy shows relentlessly caricature and mock almost every imaginable group. But there’s a difference between playing on a stereotype—as characters in “The Simpsons” do, for example—and accusing people of murder. Saturday Night Live (SNL) comedian Michael Che effectively did the latter, implying Israel has only vaccinated its “Jewish half.”

Unsurprisingly, many people were outraged by this, not only because it is outrightly false—Israel’s vaccines are available for all its citizens, Jewish and Arab alike—but because it evokes antisemitic blood libels and conspiracy theories about Jews purposely spreading illness or otherwise bringing death upon non-Jews. ...

Nevertheless, Israel’s detractors tend to become very upset when Jewish organizations publicly object to the proliferation of lies about the country—particularly, lies that mirror age-old antisemitic tropes. To them, the truth is but an inconvenient distraction from the righteous quest to fulfill a higher calling—to redeem Israel from its allegedly evil ways and rescue the blameless Palestinians.

Professor Joshua Shanes’ recent op-ed in Haaretz is an example in point, as he expresses anger that the American Jewish Committee (AJC) dared to set the record straight following Che’s joke.

The tweet that prompted Shanes’ column:

Last night, SNL falsely accused Israel of vaccinating only its Jewish citizens. @NBCSNL’s “joke” is a modern twist on a classic antisemitic trope that has inspired the mass murder of Jews. Sign AJC’s petition urging @NBC to retract and apologize.

This, Dr. Shanes implies, is an overreaction...
<https://www.camera.org/article/haaretz-oped-misleads-to-defend-snl-joke/>

For the information of "Haaretz": freedom of the press does not have to translate into propaganda that will serve enemies.

From last week's publication, "Haaretz" may be seen as sympathizing with Hamas and opposing the protection of the Jewish people in their country. In doing so, no matter what they say, "Haaretz" is apparently participating in the psychological warfare against Israel.

Adv. Aharon Papo. Mar 6, 2021.

<https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/opinions/article-844566>

Globes publisher against Haaretz journalist: "Under the guise of a liberal sits a merger that is racist".

Alona Bar-On in serious allegations against Uri Misgav: "Israel today includes many people who do not look like Misgav. And it's good that way, read his obsessive tweets towards me and Naama Sikular.

Kfir Adar | 4/3/2021

...Bar-On wrote: "One of the problems with the Israeli left is that people like Uri Misgav are perceived by the public as our representatives. Under the guise of a liberal sits a merger that is racist over ultra-Orthodox and Ethiopians in favor of continuing to prefer the secular man who looks like him." "Today includes a lot of people who do not look like Misgav. And that's good."

<https://www.ice.co.il/media/news/article/812763>

Uri Misgav will get embroiled? The Deputy State Attorney will investigate cases of incitement against the ultra-Orthodox.

The senior journalist from Haaretz and a surfer who wrote "to put gas in Talmud Torah" are facing a complaint of incitement: "The ethics rules of the Press Council forbid any incitement to racism or a call for violence.

Kfir Adar | 12/2/2021

<https://www.ice.co.il/law/news/article/809523>

Israel Cohen (@Israelcohen911) Tweeted:

Jan 21, 2021

Uri Misgav had to be arrested this morning on incitement against a whole sector of a million man. Because of texts like his, Haredim can not walk on the street and are encountered in violence against them. We are worse than Hezbollah and the Iranians ?? Are you crazy ?? The ultra-Orthodox blood will be on your hands !!

<https://t.co/KpSPQHb1hh> <https://twitter.com/Israelcohen911/status/1352167085531652097?s=20>

Secular Intifada: An appeal to the ombudsman to open a criminal investigation against the Haaretz newspaper

Last weekend, senior journalist Uri Misgav published an article saturated with incitement and racism against the ultra-Orthodox public in the Haaretz newspaper, B'Tselmo decided that they are no longer silent in the face of clear media incitement against the ultra-Orthodox public.

Aharon Miller, 11th Shvat, 5781 - Jan 24, 2021 [Bechadrei].

Words can kill: Last weekend, senior journalist Uri Misgav published an article full of incitement and racism against the ultra-Orthodox public in the Haaretz newspaper, B'Tselmo decided that they are no longer silent in the face of clear media incitement against the ultra-Orthodox public. Avichai Mandelblit.

The appeal quoted the "pearls" that Misgav wrote against the ultra-Orthodox public, "The ultra-Orthodox are hard hit, the ultra-Orthodox are more dangerous than the missiles of Hezbollah and the Iranian nucleus," and the call for a secular intifada against the ultra-Orthodox was expressed. .

<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/1177361>

Anti-Semitism in Haaretz: "The ultra-Orthodox are more dangerous to us than Hezbollah missiles" - Channel 2000

21 Jan 2021 - Journalist Uri Misgav published in Haaretz an anti-Haredi opinion piece with an antisemitic scent in which he blamed the "Haredi" for the eruption of the corona...

<https://www.radio2000.co.il/article/20553>

Dismissal of Doctors - News1 First Class

Jan 28, 2021 - Eliyahu Kaufman

The article by the doctors from Ichilov Hospital, against the treatment of ultra-Orthodox Jews, is not only a political distortion and gross generalization, but a crime of violating the "doctors' oath" that requires the immediate dismissal of these by Ichilov Hospital in Tel Aviv and the confiscation of Haaretz newspaper. Who provided a hostel for those criminals.

... No wonder these doctors found a home for their incitement article in Haaretz - an anti-religious newspaper that has no trace of expression for trivial Jewish views. Everyone who believes in the God of Israel should boycott this newspaper and its churches.

The opposite attitude

On the secular street, which is the anti-religious of the immigrants from the Commonwealth of Nations, quite a few anti-religious and even anti-Semitic people are emerging. On the other hand, ultra-Orthodox Judaism brought out of it the largest medical aid organizations in the country - which "Red Shield" was a course, mercy and peace, without their existence. These are "Rescue", "Rescue Union", ZAKA and charitable organizations of food and clothing that help hospitals, for the debilitated, destitute, etc. Beilinson "in Petah Tikva," Chasdei Naomi "and many others.

Imagine what a scandal would have arisen if one of these organizations had turned to the treatment of non-Jewish immigrants, if these organizations had boycotted the treatment of politicians ... if these organizations had examined who is anti-religious and then decided not to treat him. There is no commitment to the "Doctors' Oath" but they have a commitment to "supervising the soul that rejects everything," that the Torah is behind this order. He died at the hospital, by the "Rescue" organization. <https://m.news1.co.il/Archive/0026-D-146108-00.html>

So who did they say to hate this morning?

Hanan Amior

Tuesday, January 19, 2021

"Vine Spring Fu#ked." This is the title chosen by the editors of Haaretz for an article that is full of burning hatred for Aviv Geffen, by one of the newspaper's top writers. And so why? Because they agreed to meet with the Prime Minister and the Ministers of Finance and Culture, in order to speed up the transfer of government assistance to the world of culture and to the veteran artists, who were affected by the Corona crisis.

It matters not only that the article was approved for publication, but also that it was the title chosen for it. This is evidence that the abysmal and insane disgust that a journalist brings to crown such a distorted outpouring with such extreme profanity is also shared by his newspaper system.

It also means that this is neither the first nor the second, third or fourth time that the newspaper has erupted so rudely on anyone who dares to appear in the company of the Prime Minister. But a few weeks ago, the Prime Minister's criminal duet with singer Eden Ben Zaken, who performed a song by Arik Einstein together, was savagely attacked in Haaretz (in my opinion in at least four different articles). As punishment for being sung by Netanyahu, the song immediately became one of the singer's most beloved hits, "anthemic, greasy and rotten New Age chatter," and more and more insults and expressions of disgust.

What can be learned from the speed and determination with which the newspaper immediately and without atonement crushes anyone who dares to call, directly and indirectly, with the Prime Minister? After all, Einstein was and remains an iconic symbol of the first Israeli culture, as was Aviv Geffen, a clear

leftist who did not change his mind, God forbid, and have mercy on Letzen. He was only photographed with a prime minister and two cabinet ministers, one of whom was a representative of the left-wing bloc.

What can be learned is that under the liberalism and tolerance that characterized Haaretz in the past, although it maintained a distinctly leftist stance, Stalinist indoctrination took over, marking for the community what is unclean, what is hated, who should be silenced and who should be boycotted, For a moment, even at a tiny interval, from the dominant ideological order. And the order that currently dominates Haaretz is an order of burning, passionate and ugly hatred for the prime minister.

We all talk in private with leftists and know that they are certainly capable of giving credit to the Prime Minister at certain points. But only in private. God forbid not in the newspaper. He is currently "f#cked up."

And so why? Because Haaretz and Alper are right. And where we are right, we already know what it is. Yehuda Amichai taught us: a trampled and difficult place, like a courtyard. Like Haaretz, which has also become a courtyard, in more ways than one.

Haaretz laments the lynching culture that has spread on social media, without noticing how it itself positions itself with a pitchfork at the head of the herd and gives intellectual and moral legitimacy to herd hatred. And to think that once the newspaper effectively influenced the national being. This is how world fame passes. <https://www.israelhaom.co.il/opinion/841593>

Excuse Us, but the Fake News Is Yours, Not Facebook's

Jordana Cutler - Jan. 3, 2021

I read with shock the editorial in Haaretz ("A Free and Fair Election in the Digital Age: Can Israel Do It?" Dec. 29), baselessly claiming that Israel is too small for Facebook to care about election integrity. Nothing could be further from the truth. And speaking of fake news, nothing could be less based in facts. <https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/.premium-excuse-us-but-the-fake-news-is-yours-not-facebook-s-1.9418771>

Sela Meir Publishing (@SellaMeirPress) Tweeted (Jan 14, 2021):

Wow! Apparently Amos Schocken, publisher of the Haaretz newspaper, took the book The Workshop for Consciousness Engineering hard ...

<https://twitter.com/SellaMeirPress/status/1349653413215281153?s=20>

–

'The Consciousness Engineering Workshop'

Author: Hanan Amior (2020)

The workshop for the engineering of consciousness is a thorough, reasoned, angry document, and no less - amusing, about the complete failure of the institutionalized media in Israel to carry out its mission. Hanan Amior invites the reader on a behind-the-scenes journey of the newspaper systems and news studios, and provides a rare glimpse into the variety of measures that journalists take to distort the description of reality and use the stage given to them for their personal and political needs.

Throughout the book, the reader will find a wealth of sources, stories and anecdotes that will teach him about the poor ethics of Israeli journalists and the lies, rhetoric, conflicts of interest and radical agendas that prevail above and below the surface of the Israeli media. Amior knows these things personally, and he combines in the book many passages he made with the "heroes of the book": Nahum Barnea, Amnon Abramovich, Ben Caspit and many others.

- What is the connection between the organizations "Breaking the Silence" and "B'Tselem", to the exposures that are published in the major newspapers?

- What an embarrassing incident happened to the Haaretz newspaper with a neo-Nazi website?

- Who is behind the anonymous sources quoted by Nahum Barnea and Amnon Abramovich in their reports?

This is an eye-opening book: if you read newspapers, listen to the radio or stay up to date on news sites - you are about to discover with it the "secrets of the profession" and all the ways in which journalists engineer the public consciousness: using anonymous sources, collaborating with civic organizations, closing mouths, ignoring Basic rules of ethics and more.

<https://sellameir.com/products/sadna>

https://www.e-vrit.co.il/Product/19813/%D7%94%D7%A1%D7%93%D7%A0%D7%94_%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%A0%D7%93%D7%A1%D7%AA_%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%93%D7%A2%D7%94

–

Book Review: The Consciousness Engineering Workshop

Moshe Ifergan 12/01/2021

In the book, Amior presents a serious indictment of the media in Israel, and contributes to the development of a sense of criticism and skepticism among his readers, consumers of the media. If you have not read yet, it's time.

Hanan Amior's book, "The Workshop for the Engineering of Consciousness," deals with media criticism in Israel, especially in recent years. Hanan Amior is the editor of the media review site Perspective, and has been involved in media research and politics for more than two decades. He brings to writing a great deal of practical experience, of one who follows the media regularly and for a long time and comes in contact with the media and its people, whom he criticizes. The book also includes media responses to Amior's questions, which make an important contribution to the credibility of the author's facts and claims.

The book's subtitle is "The 6 Ways of the Israeli Media to Work on You", and indeed, the book has six main chapters: 1. Anonymous sources, used unprofessionally and non-transparently, raise suspicion to the point of inventing sources to produce information that fits the journalist's position. 2. Clogging of mouths, which has been a tradition since the establishment of the state, and is currently used against the right-wing camp. 3. Psychological warfare, in which Amior blames the media, as the one waging a campaign against the public. 4. Cooperation with civic propaganda organizations, a method by which left-wing organizations exploit the economic weakness of the media and its political bias to advance their agenda. 5. Ethics that is ethics, a chapter that indicates the lack of any commitment to media ethics. 6. The best 'Haaretz' opinions, which presents the section that has become the lodge of the most advanced radicals.

<https://mida.org.il/2021/01/12/%D7%91%D7%99%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%AA-%D7%A1%D7%A4%D7%A8-%D7%94%D7%A1%D7%93%D7%A0%D7%94-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%A0%D7%93%D7%A1%D7%AA-%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%93%D7%A2%D7%94/>

Continues to make us happy: The anti-Israel newspaper in Hebrew - eliminates its local newspapers. The collapse there continues: | Gplanet

Dec 26, 2020 - The anti-Israel hate newspaper in Hebrew, continues to disappear from within...

<https://www.gplanet.co.il/%D7%9E%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%A-%D7%9C%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%97-%D7%90%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%95-%D7%94%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%98%D7%99-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C%D7%99-%D7%91/>
[https://m.facebook.com/GuyBechor/posts/3647386505344076?_se_imp=0q2aSl16XqXDxBuwC]

The web is angry: Is Trump worse than Hitler?

Israel Cohen, Nov 2, 2020

Rage on social media against the Haaretz newspaper that published an opinion column by Rogel Alpher under the title: "Trump is worse than Hitler and Stalin." The reactions are furious...

<https://buzznet.co.il/news/local/86208>

ZomerG @zomer_g

Today, the Haaretz newspaper, sponsored by a publicist from the Ministry of Health, published the sensation that there is more sticking to public transportation than synagogues. So it is important to say that this is a complete lie, that no one has any idea, and to illustrate a little bit the understanding of the places of infection, I have created a very sophisticated graph of the number of cases by each category published in the country.

Oct 9, 2020

https://twitter.com/zomer_g/status/1314595672558112768

Israel Police @IL_police Tweeted:

Following the false publication this morning in Haaretz, regarding alleged agreements with Hasidim in Jerusalem regarding violations of the law, we would like to clarify: these are false allegations and we reject them outright. The police did not allow the Hasidic representatives to hold mass events during the holiday and did not condition any permission.

Oct 6, 2020

https://twitter.com/IL_police/status/1313371225385820160

Zvi Houser @ZviHauser Tweeted

Sep 1, 2020:

'Fake-news for thinking people'. Things that were never said became a title in my direct quote. (You are welcome to listen to the podcast) The Haaretz newspaper.

<https://twitter.com/ZviHauser/status/1300790625902776322>

[Globes publisher]

Alona Baron @alonabaron

Apr 30, 2020:

In my eyes, over the years Haaretz has become an organization that chooses not only to allow and finance this autoimmune hatred, but to produce it and make it stand out on purpose. Self-hatred against our parents, against our fallen and against our symbols.

<https://twitter.com/alonabaron/status/1255758130585223168>

Simon Riklin @ Riklin10 Tweeted:

Hanan Amior compiled the way Haaretz marks Holocaust Remembrance Day:
Sympathy for the Nazis.

And this terrible confusion happens because Schocken and his friends are unable to say a bad word about the Germans.

Only about the Jews.

Because hatred of the Jews is all that is left for them from the desperate and miserable desire to be German.

Haaretz as a machine of self-destruction.

Haaretz as a machine of self-hatred

April 21, 2020

<https://twitter.com/Riklin10/status/1252479431412789250>

DESPICABLE! Haaretz Caricature With Age-Old Anti-Semitic Trope Of Jews Carrying Disease

August 4, 2020

<https://www.theyeshivaworld.com/news/headlines-breaking-stories/1889318/despicable-haaretz-caricature-with-age-old-anti-semitic-trope-of-jews-carrying-disease.html>

Again: Storm over a cartoon in the Haaretz newspaper: "Apologize"

Srugim News

04.08.20 |

Although thousands of non-Haredi people are also expected to enter the country in the near future, the Haaretz newspaper chose to present the Haredim as the ones who bring the corona with them in their suitcases.

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=476630>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/476630-%d7%a9%d7%95%d7%91-%d7%a1%d7%a2%d7%a8%d7%94-%d7%91%d7%92%d7%9c%d7%9c-%d7%a7%d7%a8%d7%99%d7%a7%d7%98%d7%95%d7%a8%d7%94-%d7%91%d7%a2%d7%99%d7%aa%d7%95%d7%9f-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%aa%d7%aa>

Watch: MK Pindros "Haaretz newspaper spreads lies and there is no compensation here and beeps"

MK Pindros in a speech today in the plenum said that "The people of Yisrael Beiteinu spread lies yesterday and saw it as a miracle that the Haaretz newspaper turned this lie into a caricature. Haaretz is not interested in the truth. They published a cartoon about how the ultra-Orthodox bring the corona to Israel, and no one protests. " | Watch

Chezki Lifshitz -

HaMechadesh, Aug 4, 2020

<https://hm-news.co.il/72167/>

–

Storm: The antisemitic cartoon and the answer from Yated - in ultra-Orthodox rooms

[BeChadrei]

Aug 4, 2020 - An antisemitic cartoon from the brain of Haaretz illustrator Amos Biderman caused a big stir this morning on social media, Margie: "Be ashamed"...

<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/1124389>

A lawsuit in the amount of NIS 8 million against Haaretz: "Journalists threatened the subjects of the investigation"

The businessman Dan Gertler filed a lawsuit against the publication of Haaretz newspaper - In the lawsuit a transcript was brought from which it appears that the journalist Haim Levinson urged one of the subjects of the investigation to sign a false affidavit against him - "Globes" has information that proves the opposite

Avishai Grinzaig, 21.07.2020 <https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001336683>

Neo-Nazi website shared hundreds of anti-Israel content published on the Haaretz website

One cartoon that Y. N. shared (and later deleted) was indeed a partner on a neo-Nazi site. And how many times did anti-Israel content published in Haaretz on the same site?

This is the website The daily stormer (its name is based on the Nazi propaganda magazine 'Der Sturmer').

Rotter News, 06.05.20

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/626486.shtml>

Incitement Live: Nehemiah Shtrasler uses Deri's remarks to defame

[May 10. '20]

Minister Deri was interviewed over the weekend and admitted that the ultra-Orthodox are to blame for the outbreak of the corona in their concentrations. Haaretz's economic commentator used his remarks to incite severely against the ultra-Orthodox public...

<https://hm-news.co.il/43636/>

The pictures in Haaretz's or Der Sturmer's exhibition

Jacob Kory, News 1, 22/02/20

Close to International Holocaust Day, I went to a lecture in the Rappaport Hall in Haifa, when I saw a display of photos in the exhibition that I was convinced were taken from Der Sturmer or another anti-Semitic newspaper and were displayed to illustrate the hatred of the Jews. After I asked, it became clear to me that it was a journalistic photo exhibition in honor of the 100th anniversary of Haaretz newspaper..

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/0026-D-139320-00.html>

Haaretz is not in favor of terrorism, only giving a free stage to justify it

Akiva Bigman, 09.01.2020

The dangerous anarchist Yonatan Pollak, who is employed by the newspaper, documented a participant in riots against IDF soldiers, and published an article calling for joining the "Boys of Stones and Molotov cocktails" - Any wonder that these are the publisher's opinions...

The "Haaretz" newspaper suffered a severe blow a few years ago, when following the coverage of Operation Tzuk Eitan (and especially Gideon Levy's .. column) many subscribers left it. The newspaper has since thought, changed its course and decided that instead of justifying Hamas and supporting terrorism, it should play more to the .. taste and support Hamas while it justifies terrorism.

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/722921>

Amos Schocken does not disregard the provocative words of Jonathan Polak

The anarchist Polk published an article in Haaretz in which he called for breaking the law and joining the Arab rioters. The hard lines were deleted but the publisher of the newspaper emphasizes that the deletion was made due to "change of work arrangements" only and that the content of the article has not changed.

Yehuda Pearl, HaKol haYehudi, Jan 7, 2020

The publisher of Haaretz does not disregard the provocative quotes.

During the arrest of the anarchist Yonatan Pollak yesterday, the Haaretz article was published on the Haaretz website, at the end of which he called for joining the "Palestinian struggle" and breaking the law. The organization 'Up to Here' has filed a complaint with the State Attorney's Office. Meanwhile, Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken does not shake things off at all.

After a long period in which Polak escaped from his court hearings, Polak was arrested on Monday at the entrance to his workplace, at the Haaretz newspaper's offices. This follows a criminal complaint filed by the organization 'Up to Here' in which Polak is accused along with other activists in the organization 'Anarchists Against the Fence' of the organization and participation in violent demonstrations on Fridays in Arab villages...

https://www.hakolhayehudi.co.il/item/security/%D7%A2%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%A1_%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%A7%D7%9F_%D7%90%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%95_%D7%9E%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%A2%D7%A8_%D7%9E%D7%93%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%95_%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%A1%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%99%D7%9D_%D7%A9%D7%9C_%D7%99%D7%95%D7%A0%D7%AA%D7%9F_%D7%A4%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%A7

Season of Plagues and Freedom | The Jewish Press - JewishPress.com | Vic Rosenthal

Apr 12, 2020 · But Israel also has (in Ha'aretz, naturally), Gideon Levy, the anti-Jewish Jewish journalist..

<https://www.jewishpress.com/blogs/abu-yehuda/season-of-plagues-and-freedom/2020/04/12/>

Haaretz and Der Sturmer: Take a magnifying glass and find the differences

Haaretz is once again setting a record this morning in factions and incitement. Back to the cluster of their crocodile howls about the incitement on the right from recent times, illuminating the newspaper in a ridiculous light

Hanan Amior 04.02.20 |

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%95%D7%93%D7%A8-%D7%A9%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A8%D7%9E%D7%A8-%D7%A7%D7%97-%D7%96%D7%9B%D7%95%D7%9B%D7%99%D7%AA-%D7%9E%D7%92%D7%93%D7%9C%D7%AA-%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%90/>

Haaretz: Israel has prohibited on parents of a patient Palestinian girl to accompany her in the last moments, the claim in the headline is shocking in its cruelty petition. Did it publish it around the world in a clear knowledge that there was not even one word of truth? Following the publication here, Haaretz corrected the mistake.

Hanan Amior |

Mar 12, 2020

A story of a Palestinian child from Gaza, Miral Abu Amsa, 10, who died recently carcinogenic in the Hospital in Nablus. The heart goes out. However, the journey of propaganda is a continuous lies that the Administrative 'Haaretz against Israel also raised this sad story, as usual in distributing false glazing on

Israel, as usual in English edition in a more nasty form in Hebrew.... In the version published in the English edition of Haaretz, as is known to defame Israel globally, its cruelty is even more shocking. Already in the title notify the country to the world not only from the father of Israel prevented the entry...

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%90%D7%A1%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%99-%D7%99%D7%9C%D7%93%D7%94-%D7%A4%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%AA/>

Palestinian television uses a cartoon in the Haaretz newspaper promoting a blood libel in which Israel uses body parts to tarnish Israel

Official PA television - 26/02/2020

Palestinian TV, "Palestine This Morning" program

The Haaretz cartoon promotes a blood libel according to which Israel uses body parts (just want to take a cornea).

Faiz Abbas, an expert on Israeli affairs: "Cartoonist Eran Wilkowsky expressed in this cartoon that Israeli crimes are unprecedented even in the most horrific regimes in the world."

https://www.palwatch.org.il/main.aspx?fi=776&doc_id=27856

Criminal probe launches against Haaretz writer for incitement to terror

Yonah Jeremy Bob, Feb 20, 2020

The Attorney-General's Office on Thursday ordered a criminal probe of left-wing activist Jonathan Pollack for an article he published in Haaretz, calling it incitement to terrorism and violence. It also froze a private criminal-style proceeding against Pollack by right-wing NGO Ad Kan. There is no probe against Haaretz... Nizri reached the decision after Pollack published multiple controversial pieces in Haaretz and based on allegations of violent or illegal actions he has taken. In one article, Pollack calls for Israeli citizens to "cross the lines and break the law. Despite the price, we need to join up with the teens throwing rocks and Molotov cocktails." Pollack has also been the head of digital graphics for Haaretz.

<https://www.jpost.com/arab-israeli-conflict/criminal-probe-launches-against-haaretz-writer-for-incitement-to-terror-618193>

Yaron Saban - (@SabanYaron) Tweeted:

[Feb 4, 2020]

Haaretz newspaper in one cartoon shows more than 1,000,000 Israelis as bitter religious monsters. Incitement, anti-Semitism and a little more racism for "thinking people."

<https://t.co/doLGNxM8sh> <https://twitter.com/SabanYaron/status/1224766717768929283?s=20>

Haaretz website: Trump is the enemy of democracy more than Hitler and Stalin

Feb 11, 2020

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/664561.shtml>

Ricklin: For Haaretz, Trump is worse than Hitler

Storm on Social Networks Right-wing media outlets attack Haaretz's decision to compare US President Trump to Hitler and Stalin: "Haaretz systematically forgives the Nazis and downplays their horrific actions"

Yehuda Levinger 2/11/2020

... in the opinion section, Rogel Alpher compared the president of the United States to Hitler and Stalin. Yonon Magal commented on this on his Twitter account: "Damn villains", and Shimon Riklin also called the site: "Smartuton" (rag).

<https://www.ice.co.il/social/news/article/790703>

Left-wing activist probed over op-ed backing Palestinian violence

Jonathan Pollak investigated for inciting terror after calling on people to 'join the children of stones and Molotov cocktails'

By TOI Staff, 24 February 2020

A left-wing activist and Haaretz employee was questioned by police on suspicion of incitement to terrorism, after publishing an opinion piece in which he called on people to join those who attack Israeli soldiers with rocks and Molotov cocktails.

Haaretz reported that Jonathan Pollak was being investigated after he said in a piece that appeared on the Haaretz website: "We must cross the lines and break the law. Despite the price, we must join the children of stones and Molotov cocktails. We must walk in their path."

Haaretz noted that the piece was accidentally uploaded to the site without staff editing it. It said the sentences were removed from the piece shortly after it went online and did not appear in the paper's print edition.

The paper itself is not being probed in the case.

Pollak is also currently being investigated over allegations for years that he participated in violent protests against security forces organized by an anarchist group.

Pollak is a longtime campaigner against Israel's policies toward the Palestinians, and is the founder of "Anarchists Against the Wall," an anti-Zionist group opposed to the security barrier in the West Bank and to the fence separating Israel from the Gaza Strip.

In January, Defense Minister Naftali Bennett ordered security forces to issue restraining orders barring members of Anarchists Against the Wall, including Pollak, from the West Bank.

Bennett accused the group of initiating "violent provocations" each week in a number of Palestinian villages. He said that according to information he was shown, including by disabled IDF veterans, the alleged violence was aimed at hurting Israeli soldiers, damaging property, and causing "serious public relations damage" to Israel across the globe.

Pollak has participated for many years in weekly Palestinian protests near Nabi Saleh in the West Bank, and in 2011 served three months in jail for taking part in illegal gatherings. He supports the boycott, divestment and sanctions (BDS) campaign against Israel.

He serves as a graphic designer for Haaretz.

<https://www.timesofisrael.com/left-wing-activist-probed-over-op-ed-backing-palestinian-violence/>

—

The anarchist Jonathan Pollak was arrested, at the same time the inciting article in Haaretz was altered
Srugim News

07-01-2020

The arrest of left-wing activist Jonathan Pollak, who called for joining the stone-throwers and Molotov cocktails in the Palestinian struggle has been extended. Meanwhile, a criminal complaint was filed against him alleging that he attacked soldiers in protest demonstrations in Judea and Samaria...

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=407502>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/407502->

[%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%A8%D7%9B%D7%99%D7%A1%D7%98-](#)

[%D7%99%D7%95%D7%A0%D7%AA%D7%9F-%D7%A4%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%A7-](#)

[%D7%A0%D7%A2%D7%A6%D7%A8-%D7%91%D7%9E%D7%A7%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9C-](#)

[%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%A8](#)

—

MyIsrael (@MyIsraelorgil) Tweeted:

Jan 6, 2020

Haaretz encourages terrorism, employs terrorists and gives them a free platform to incite.

This hate article was written by Jonathan Pollak, an anarchist who has been running away from the police for 6 months. Today he was arrested, at a good time.

And in Haaretz? .. gave him a free stage.

Listen, it's unbelievable. @Haaretz <https://t.co/2U2YqZk3Nd>

<https://twitter.com/MyIsraelorgil/status/1214276752710815744?s=20>

—

Does not stop in red: Jonathan Pollak's activities will lead to a trial

After years of participating in violent demonstrations against the IDF, an article published on the Haaretz website in which an explicit call for violence led to the interrogation of the extreme leftist

By Yishai Friedman --- Monday, 27/02/2020.

Jonathan Pollak, one of the founders of the Anarchists Against the Fence organization, has been spending almost twenty years in violent demonstrations against the IDF throughout Judea and Samaria. Following his activities, Pollak is familiar with the Israeli prison cells, but now he may also appear in court following an article he published in Haaretz, in which he called for joining the violent Palestinian struggle against Israel, last week was questioned by the police about his article, which caused a stir on social media...

In the past, he even sat in jail for several months for sabotaging a security fence.

But Pollak refused to appear in criminal proceedings in court and to recognize his authority, following which he was sent to prison. As part of his struggle, he published the article in question on the Haaretz website, entitled "Why I refuse to cooperate with the court." Among other things, he expressed his view that Jews in Israel must join the violent Palestinian struggle themselves.

After a few hours, Haaretz censored Polk's violent reading, and the convicting lines were deleted and not even published in the print edition of the newspaper. However, the storm surrounding the article quickly flared up on social media. Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken, who is also Polak's employer who works as a designer on the Haaretz website, came to his defense. ...

the Attorney General, it seems, was not impressed by the words of the defense attorney of the publisher of Haaretz...

Not theoretical statements

Following the decision to open an investigation against Pollak, Haaretz published an article reprimanding the Attorney General. Under the headline "Persecution in the Spirit of Time," it is written that Nizri's decision is "extreme in its severity" and "severely infringes on freedom of expression." Regarding the publication of Polak's call to join the stone throwers and dumpsters and Molotov cocktails, Haaretz's editorial stated that this was done by mistake and mistake. "Once the mistake was clarified, it was uploaded to the edited website, which may not be construed as a call for violence. However, the very accidental publication of the article in its initial version, for several hours, is, in the opinion of the Ministry of Justice, sufficient cause to open a criminal investigation." ...

But the claim of bias in favor of the right in this context is inaccurate, to say the least. Investigations have been opened and indictments have also been filed against right-wing elements following the publication of articles that implied a call for violence. For example, the prosecution filed an indictment against Yosef Elitzur following an article he published after the murder of Eviatar Borowski, which implied support for 'price tag' actions. The prosecution filed indictments and even convicted two of the founders of ... website of publishing content it defined as supporting violence and racism.

The State Attorney's Office rejects the accusations of the Haaretz newspaper. According to them, the reason for opening the investigation against Pollak is his explicit and clear statements in favor of acts of violence, and the blatant and unusual call he made to act by violent means against the State of Israel, the security forces and even civilians. Polk expressed himself clearly and left no room for doubt as to his intention. From the general level ("should actually support the struggle") he went on to state that one should "cross the lines" and from there to precise detail: "We must join the children of the stones and the throwers of Molotov cocktails."

Another important point: the law against incitement, which was amended a few years ago, stipulates that calling for violence is a violation of the law even if it is unlikely to have a real impact on one of the readers. According to the amendment to the law, the very act of calling for violence constitutes an offense. Indeed, some indictments have been filed against those who published inciting posts, although the number of likes they received was meager and they did not receive significant exposure. Pollak's original article was actually published on a watched stage and received significant exposure, as evidenced by the storm that followed...

An explicit call for joining the Palestinian violent struggle is also an unusual matter in Haaretz, but over the years an understanding and even justification for Palestinian violence has been expressed between its pages. In 2013, Waal al-Arja, a Palestinian Authority policeman was convicted of murder, who threw stones at the car of the late Asher Palmer and caused his own death and that of his toddler son Jonathan. Haaretz journalist Amira Hass wrote at the time that One who is under foreign rule. "Throwing stones as simple as necessary, a metaphor for resistance."

Recently, Prof. Mordechai Kremnitzer wrote in Haaretz, following the harsh words of the Supreme Court president against MK Hiba Yazbek, that "Palestinian terrorism that does not belong to Hamas is not directed against Israeli democracy, but rather the opposite: against an occupation regime in which there are no civil rights." The passage, which implies that Fatah terrorism ("which does not belong to Hamas") is legitimate, was later changed to a text that states that "Palestinian terrorism that does not seek to destroy Israel is not directed against Israeli democracy."

"Pollak's statements are not just a 'theoretical discussion,'" says Gilad Aach, founder of Ad Kan [Up to Here]. ...

In 2011, in a discussion held at the University of Cape Town in South Africa between Pollak and a pro-Israel representative, Pollak clarified that, just like Hamas, he too believes that "Israel should not exist." The remarks were made in response to the pro-Israel representative's statement that organizations such as Hamas and Islamic Jihad do not want Israel to exist. "I can show you the Hamas charter, and I am

sure Jonathan will agree with me that the Hamas charter states that Israel has no right to exist," the same representative said. To this, Polak replied simply: "I also say that Israel should not exist."
<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/news/207461/>

The Arab MKs and Haaretz repress the arrest of the senior terrorist who previously won their support

At least five editorial articles were dedicated in Haaretz for the release from prison of Khalida Jarrar, who this week turned out to be the head of the network that murdered the late Rene Shenrav

By Yishai Friedman ---

21 Kislev 5780 (19/12/2019)

It now turns out that the "freedom fighter," the object of Haaretz's concerns, was at the head of the terrorist organization that is responsible, among other things, for the murder of the young Rene Shenrav in Maayan Dani near the settlement of Dolev last summer. She allegedly took advantage of her role as a politician to obscure her involvement in illegal activities.

Khalida Jarrar is the representative of the Popular Front organization in the Palestinian parliament. In 2015, she was imprisoned for 15 months after preaching the abduction of IDF soldiers for the purpose of releasing terrorists.

... According to the GSS announcement on Tuesday, among the many detainees who operated under Jarrar's leadership were a number of other members who worked simultaneously in human rights organizations, such as Squad leader Samar Arbid, who actually carried out the attack in which the late Rina Shenrav was killed. Arbid and Jarrar worked for the human rights organization Adamir, which is affiliated with the Popular Front.

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/news/191587/>

Why is the Haaretz newspaper so anti-Israel, and why is it so un-newsworthy?

Argarar Leibovitch, Dec 19, 2019

(Former Israel Retired Telecommunication product manager 1968–2007 ; Lives in Israel 1945–present).

For several years I was subscribed to Haaretz. Daily I got the newspaper.
No anymore...

Haaretz has a political agenda. It is OK to have a political agenda - but not to invent fake news, and ignore my people suffering done by the Arabs. It is not my cup of tea.

So - Why I am not anymore, an Haaretz subscriber?

I found out that Haaretz fabricates news to support its political agenda and I don't like to support fake news.

Examples:

1: Shimon Peres was Israel president and as such he flew to USA for meetings- including presided Obama. Haaretz was against Netanyahu policy regarding Islamist Iran NUKES.

On the day Simon Peres departure, the main title was that the president is going to tell Obama a long list of points he stands against Netanyahu policy.

In evening the presidents meet. The news agencies published that Shimon Peres told Obama that he supports Netanyahu policy to contain Islamist Iran.

The next day Haaretz didn't print a single word about Peres' visit.

2: Haaretz published an in deep reportage of an international anti-terrorist convention.

Most of the coverage was dedicated to the Israeli representative speech. From my point of view the Israeli speech was not in line with Israel policy.

Only on the last sentence of the long article I found out something like this:

All those points the repressive was obliged to say- but he preferred present others ideas. Even a single idea of what the Israeli rep said was not included.

3. I attended the France- Israeli relation conference in Tel Aviv sponsored by Haaretz.

The opening was done by one of Haaretz directors.

His opening was something like this:

France betrayed Israel with De Gaule arms embargo.

Since that time Israel is "betraying" France with the settlements policy.

4: PLO general meeting (rarely occurs) was in Ramallah. One of the PLO representative was a terrorist who was a member of the terror group who committed the main terror attack in Israel- where 35 civilians were murdered – including many children, women and old people.

The terrorist was caught, sentenced to life – but freed in a prisoner exchange. I don't remember where to he was expelled.

During the PLO convention, Haaretz published 2 large interviews with the terrorist.

Haaretz didn't publish even a small interview with one of the family members of the 35 murdered.

I was furious.

5: Once I opened the Arab league web site. They have an international news papers archive.

I browsed the archive and find out the Haaretz articles were one of the main starts of the anti-Israeli publications.

I ended my Haaretz subscription. I will not fund fake news and anti-Israeli policy. (It is not anti-government policy, which i can accept - it is against my own people policy, which I cannot.

<https://www.quora.com/Why-is-the-Haaretz-newspaper-so-anti-Israel-and-why-is-it-so-un-newsworthy>

Haaretz propaganda leaflet presents: 3 lies in one sentence

Is there anything false, ridiculous and easy to refute as it may be, that the superficial Arab nationalist Oudeh Basharat will still write and Haaretz will not print?

Hanan Amior - Dec 11, 2019

We have previously seen Haaretz state that most Arabs in the country did not oppose the partition plan, that Hezbollah is a defensive organization, suffering from harassment by the Israeli occupier, who murdered three members of the Solomon family inside their home in Halamish was a "peaceful" protest And for its editors at Haaretz.

But what makes this week's performance spectacular is the success of putting three different lies into one sentence.

The first lie: "Most of the Palestinian people were deported from their homeland to Arab states"

Not true. A large majority, about two-thirds of the refugees from 1948, actually remained within the borders of Israel, and only about a third found themselves at the end of the war in Arab countries. (I intentionally write 'found himself' and not 'expelled', because that is already the next lie) Refugees. 530,000 of them, about two-thirds, remained here, in the Gaza Strip and in Judea and Samaria, 280,000, about a third, exiled to Jordan, Syria and Lebanon. Not a majority but a minority.

The second lie: "Deported from his homeland"

Not true. A large majority was not expelled at all but left on his own initiative, or for fear of the impending war or at the behest of the Arab leaders, who encouraged the Arabs to leave so as not to complicate the war effort, and even assured them that they would return after the great victory, not only to their settlements. Morris describes the escape of the Arabs as follows:

'Infectious disease, which spread from house to house, from neighbor to neighbor, from street to street, from neighborhood to neighborhood and later also from village to village.'

The first months of 1948 for the Arabs of the Land of Israel, Morris described as follows:

'Feelings of general breakdown and disintegration. In many places a small spark was enough to motivate the residents to pack their belongings and flee for their lives. The residents of the Arab cities were miraculous for their lives and thus dragged with them the entire rural environment of the city, whose residents joined the escape.'

And in this article published in the British Guardian newspaper, he even adds an interesting statement about the impact of the escape on the results of the entire war, according to which the Palestinian leadership:

'It encouraged or ordered many villages to send the women, children and the elderly, to get them out of danger. Entire villages, especially on the coastal plain controlled by the Jews, were also ordered to evacuate. There is no doubt that in general, the abandonment of the dependents [i.e., the non-combatants] harmed the morale of the men who remained and eventually paved the way for their own abandonment as well.'

If Oudeh Bisharath and his editors are not impressed by Prof. Beni Morris (who by the way was described in Haaretz as "the pioneer of Israeli-Palestinian conflict investigators, one of the most prominent Israeli historians of his generation"), should look here [<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%94%d7%90%d7%95%d7%9e%d7%a0%d7%9d-80-%d7%9e%d7%94%d7%a4%d7%9c%d7%a1%d7%98%d7%99%d7%a0%d7%99%d7%9d-%d7%92%d7%95%d7%a8%d7%a9%d7%95-%d7%9e%d7%91%d7%aa%d7%99%d7%94%d7%9d-%d7%91-1948/>] at a collection of 1948 Arab international reports The largest from the country.

To sum up: the Palestinian people have not been "expelled from their homeland" nor have shoes. The vast majority fled on his own initiative before and during the War of Destruction, which he initiated and lost.

The third lie: "Still sitting in the refugee camps in appalling conditions"

The conditions in the refugee camps are indeed shocking, but why are they shocking? Is it the fault of the occupier, the Zionist governor? Here too the answer is no. In a despicable and cynical decision on the backs of the camp residents, the Palestinian Authority deliberately prevents any restoration of the infrastructure in the refugee camps, out of a strategy that leaving the unfortunate residents in appalling living conditions would be a propaganda weapon among the imaginary and useless they run for the right of return.

And in the words of researcher Michael Milstein, head of the Palestinian Studies Forum at the Dayan Center at Tel Aviv University: ...

'The refugee camp is actually a living memory of '48, a place that is supposed to preserve the same reality but in a very sad state, in distress, in a very poor infrastructural reality, to remind those who are there that it is not their natural place'

And to sum up: not a majority, not expelled, and in his appalling living conditions to blame only himself.

We turned to Oudeh Bisharath and asked for his response. He chose not to comment.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%A2%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%A2%D7%9E%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%94-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5->

%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%92-3-%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D-
%D7%91%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%A4%D7%98-%D7%90%D7%97/

Self-hatred, destructive growth

A very specific group of academics, media and intellectuals identified as members of the extreme and dogmatic left, which conducts a campaign of hatred and wild incitement against the state and its government

Mati David, 17/11/2019

... The writer "man of letters" from Haaretz newspaper - Yitzhak Laor

Most of his articles in the Haaretz newspaper are soaked and soaked in hatred and lethal, venomous and extreme criticism against almost everyone. against the right and the left. Against religious people, settlers, generals and politicians. He refused to serve in the territories and sat in the military prison. He was active in the Siach (new Israeli left) with extreme anti-militaristic and anti-establishment views. He was active in the "Yesh Gvul" organization. He defined himself as a pro-Palestinian, anti-Zionist leftist, and even criticized the intellectuals of the Zionist left. He is identified with the Hadash party. That. Wrote a venomous song against religious Zionism in the newspaper 77 - "Hymn to the Gush" (Amonim) and in it the line: "The badge of our chastity ... the blood of Palestinian boys"! An anti-Semitic expression of an anti-Semitic Jew. In the German media he said that in his opinion Israel is the last bastion of colonialism in the world. He published an article in which he claimed that Israel does not need gas chambers to exterminate the Palestinians. It does this through high infant mortality.
<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/0026-D-137501-00.html>

Amnesty lied: "Israeli attack" on Gaza office was from Palestinian missile - UN Watch

13 Nov 2019 — Yet in a report today by Amira Hass, Haaretz's veteran correspondent in the Palestinian territories, the explosion was caused by a "missile ..

<https://unwatch.org/amnesty-lied-israeli-attack-on-gaza-office-was-from-palestinian-missile/>

UN Watch's Top Tweets in November 2019 - UN Watch

22 Nov 2019 — As Haaretz reports, the "attack" on the Gaza human rights office was not from an "Israeli missile" but from a Palestinian terrorist group

<https://unwatch.org/un-watches-top-tweets-in-november-2019/>

Doesn't give a...: Did Gideon Levy publish false propaganda serving the Palestinian Authority?
Rotter News

Sep 25, 2019

"The fake olive trees of Gideon Levy in Haaretz

Gideon Levy in Haaretz writes about how cruel Israelis destroy Palestinian olive trees, ostensibly for no other reason than to persecute their owners

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/577822.shtml>

Our understanding of Ethiopian Israelis must improve

Jul 5, 2019

Statistically, Arabs who get furious might kill; Jews who get furious damage property. But anti-Zionist Haaretz lies as if the police have shot at violent Arab mobs because they were Arabs, not because they were a danger to life.

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/our-understanding-of-ethiopian-israelis-must-improve/>

An Essential "Privilege"

By Vic Rosenthal, 24 Sivan 5779 – June 27, 2019

Gideon Levy is an antisemitic misozionist* who is paid for his poison by an Israeli newspaper. He is a truly despicable human, but sometimes he's useful as an exemplar of the extremist Israeli please-cut-my-throat Left.

<https://www.jewishpress.com/blogs/abu-yehuda/an-essential-privilege/2019/06/27>

Haaretz presents: Hatred of the ultra-Orthodox under the auspices of liberal values

April 7, 2019

The Ha'aretz newspaper, which boasts of being the "newspaper for people who think", is again raising wild incitement against the ultra-Orthodox public in Israel. In an editorial published by the newspaper over the weekend, it was claimed that the ultra-Orthodox are "parasites."

"Netanyahu hides from the public the prices of his election for a fifth term, which all Israelis will pay," Haaretz states. "Expanding ultra-Orthodox parasites, trampling on Arab society, deepening the mouths of mouths, crushing the law and justice authorities and justifying corruption as a way of life."

<https://www.hidabroot.org/article/1123660>

Haaretz newspaper in an editorial dripping with hatred

Apr 3, 2019

The Haaretz newspaper in an article dripping from hatred in the Haaretz, an article was performed this morning with difficult incitement against the ultra-Orthodox public, with the peak of the article, they define the segment "Parasites" ... Despite the requests, publisher Amos Schocken refused to apologize.

<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/988760>

Avri Gilad to Haaretz journalist: "Not being interviewed by enemy media" revolting...

July 8, 2019

...And I tried for you, Mr. (Oudah) Bisharath, and you got a super pampering interview, and a delightful gargle, and thanked in every language. And you just got a false chance to stab me in the back, that's what you did. You used my disconnected statement from a bad hand to sew a "racist" case for me, and you attacked me, even though you yourself experienced my non-racism on your flesh. revolting.

Yesterday, a journalist from Haaretz approached me and asked for an interview. I told her I was not being interviewed for this newspaper and the Al Manar network. I am not interviewed by the enemy's media. And the truth that calling the Diaspora newspaper a media outlet is an exaggeration, and in fact it is a newsletter that is losing its readers, and ends up closing, and its writers will travel to Berlin, where they will continue to publish Israeli libel in broken German...

https://m.facebook.com/permalink.php?story_fbid=2357783467647903&id=442617815831154

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/562567.shtml>

Haaretz the real villain between the Israeli news outlets? I think so

Mar 6, 2019 · The headline of the latest anti-Semitic story in Haaretz is ...

How does Haaretz manage not only daily to spread it's extremely anti-Zionist views around the globe but also lies, propaganda and anti-Israel blood libels with impunity? And the handful of loony leftists could never finance such an enterprise. It has several tricks.

1. It has a Hebrew version printed and delivered in Israel that pays for its hate-filled English online edition. It tickles intellectuals with excellent stories about archeology, medicine, science and art. It also has hateful headlines as the online version but less so. And it doesn't matter anyway because intellectual academic subscribers take extremism from the left with a truckload of salt as just youthful rebelliousness, it seems.

2. The English online version hides all its anti-Zionist articles behind a paywall. This way, paying subscribers can read as many lies and fables about Israel and the Middle East as they want while the average Internet browser only sees the decent or intellectually tantalizing articles. Just go to its homepage and click on any article about Israel; almost always: only for pay. The rest can be read by anyone. Try it yourself at any day.

3. It is extremely difficult to prosecute hatred. Freedom of the Press in the democratic world is so holy – and rightly so – that slander and lies generally go unpunished. That is self-defeating but that's the situation. The Press is important in the free world but it answers to no one.

Forget about Haaretz having a democratic bent. It never allows any comment by me on its site, even on non-political articles.

And now they want us to believe that Haaretz is going to permit the academic world to put all their hundred years online for all to see. It will not happen. The older issues, why not? But the recent news, no way. And not for the money. It asks a truly minimal fee for reading their anti-Zionist propaganda. But it will not go public. That would reveal for all to see that what many outside of Israel regard as critical but fair Middle-East reporting is, in fact, worthless hatred put to words. You can quote me.

Maybe you would think that I'm a rabid hater of the left. That would be strange because I blog all the time against sexism, racism, homophobia, etc. And you should see my seething blog posts against the Jerusalem Post. No, I hate dishonesty and Jews' hatred (as my bio below says) from wherever it comes. I have nothing against an honest extremist pose (if it's not oppressive or violent). But I can't stand people who defend their positions with lies or oppression.

I did a lot of hasbarah and so I learned how much damage Haaretz does abroad. That deserves to be fought, like any bigotry or slander.

Haaretz is Haman.

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/is-haaretz-the-real-villain-between-the-israeli-news-outlets-i-think-so/>

Unreliable Haaretz deceiving headline about Arabs who fought on British side in WW2 (Abbasi theory)

Tuesday, October 26, 2021

Unreliable Haaretz deceiving headline about Arabs who fought on British side in WW2

On (2019) Haaretz "celebrating" a headline about Arab Palestinians fighting on British side based on shaky "research".

Many Arabs were not from Palestine but came over from Jordan, Syria and Lebanon.

Many did so with the intention to get arms. Jews paid Arabs to be enlisted. Abbasi chose selective sources, yet neither the quantitative nor the qualitative aspect of his theory is supported by the evidence.

Shukairy's own testimony in his book states that (1940) almost no one adhered Britain's call to join a Palestine force to help the Allies.

Conclusion: The patched up concocted Abbasi theory is so weak, with so many holes.

And it can never even poke a hole in the over 80% of Arab Palestinians pro Nazis - per February 1941 poll for example.

<https://beforeitsnews.com/tea-party/2021/10/unreliable-haaretz-deceiving-headline-about-arabs-who-fought-on-british-side-in-ww2-abbasi-theory-2659172.html>

—
Palestinian Arab Volunteers in the British Army in WWII: A Reality Check

By Col (Res.) Dr. Raphael G. Bouchnik-Chen, December 9, 2019

professor Mustafa Abbasi views that history differently. In April 2019, he published an article (in Hebrew) titled “Palestinians fighting Against Nazis: The Story of Palestinian Volunteers in the Second World War” (Cathedra Quarterly).

Taking this claim for granted, the Israeli leftist daily Haaretz seized the opportunity to ridicule PM Benjamin Netanyahu’s ...

Notwithstanding Abbasi's claim to have based his research on a variety of primary and secondary sources, he seems to have chosen his sources selectively, presumably to service the theory of a significant degree of Palestinian Arab resistance to the Nazis. Neither the quantitative nor the qualitative aspect of this theory is supported by the evidence.

Gen. Archibald Wavell, commander of the British forces in the Middle East, opposed the formation of a Jewish regiment in the British army. According to historian Marcel Roubicek, the British High Commissioner for Palestine also feared that Jewish enlistment would inflame Arab anger. To solve that problem, he made it a condition that Jews wishing to join up find an equivalent number of Palestinian Arab volunteers to join up as well.

To accomplish this, the Jews of the Yishuv offered financial compensation to Palestinian Arabs to enlist. They ultimately succeeded in raising enough manpower from both communities to permit the formation of a Jewish regiment.

The opportunity for Palestinian Arabs to join the ranks of the British Army was thus a direct outcome of the Jewish desire to render its utmost assistance to Britain in every sphere of war activity, a point Abbasi ignores.

He is similarly fuzzy on Palestinian Arab motivation. He states, “Most of the [Palestinian Arab] volunteers were villagers and of the urban lower class, and...the economic motive played a central role in volunteering,” noting that these “motives...differed from [that of] their Jewish friends, who enlisted in the army mainly because of opposition to Nazi Germany and its racial policy toward their people, besides other motives such as the revival of a Jewish army, and the serious employment situation in the country at the beginning of the war.”

Compensation as the prevailing motivation for Palestinian Arab enlistment is supported by the evidence, but Abbasi claims their motives were in fact manifold and varied. Some Palestinian Arabs, he states, enlisted for ideological reasons, to express their opposition to Nazi ideology and loyalty to the British

and their values. This motive was especially true of the urban elite and the intellectuals, he alleges, who were highly influenced by British education and culture. He does not substantiate this point sufficiently and ignores available evidence documenting contemporary Palestinian contempt for the British Army (see, for example, Prof. Kimberly Katz's *A Young Palestinian's Diary 1941-1945, The Life of Sami Amr*).

Abbasi laments that "there is hardly any reference to the thousands of Palestinian volunteers, some of whom fell in battle, while others are still listed as missing in action, and no commemoration of the fallen can be found anywhere." He suggests this "evil" is explained by "what the Palestinian people experienced during the Nakba and its aftermath, the destruction of archives and records in addition to the loss of personal documents, and the fact that no organization was established to commemorate the volunteers and their deeds." He thus accuses Israel of covering up the Palestinian Arab role in defeating the Nazis.

It should be noted that Abbasi persistently uses the term "Palestinians" rather than "Palestinian Arabs" in his article, starting with the title. This manipulation services the popular narrative denying any linkage between the Jewish People and Palestine. In her book *World War II – The Story of a Jewish Soldier, Jewish Women of Mandatory Palestine Serving in the British Army*, Esther Herlitz (later an Israeli diplomat and politician who served as a member of the Knesset) wrote, "As far as the British were concerned, we from the Jewish Yishuv, and some Arabs, were Palestinians."
<https://besacenter.org/palestinian-arabs-british-army/>

"Haaretz": one hundred years of seclusion - first source

Yair Sheleg, Jun 16, 2019

Today, Ha'aretz, the oldest of Israel's newspapers, celebrates one hundred years since its founding; A newspaper that Zev Jabotinsky was one of the founders of, and probably also gave it his name. In a normal situation this should be a celebration for every Israeli. One hundred consecutive years of a daily newspaper in Hebrew are signs of the miracle of the revival of the Hebrew language, which itself is an important pillar in the miracle of the Zionist revival. This should surely be the celebration of every person who holds a free press dear to his heart: in 2019, it is no small thing that a newspaper manages to survive a hundred years.

But in practice, over the years Haaretz has become one of the most controversial institutions in Israeli society. Full disclosure: I worked in Israel as a journalist for ten years, and for another 14 years I also wrote opinion pieces there. Most Jews in Israel, including those who have never read it, are sure that it is a newspaper that "hates Israel," if not downright anti-Semitic.

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/opinion/146415/>

The editor of 'Haaretz', Aluf Benn Shuleh, attacks the ultra-Orthodox - and does not apologize
The editor of 'Haaretz', Aluf Benn, wrote an inflammatory article this morning against the ultra-orthodox public...

Hanani Breitkopf, kikar haShabbat, 08.05.19. <https://www.kikar.co.il/haredim-news/316165>

Haaretz presents: To tell the truth is racism

Amir Levy 30/05/2019

TV critic Ariana Melamed attacked the news company for broadcasting an article in which an infiltrator was seen rioting in south Tel Aviv, and wondered where "his right to compassion and inclusion" was.

Who is to blame and who is the victim? The infiltrator and the vehicle damaged in south Tel Aviv | Screenshot

Is it more forbidden in the State of Israel of 2019 to tell the truth? According to the TV critic and columnist for the Haaretz newspaper, Ariana Melamed, the answer is yes.

In a particularly angry text published this morning in the newspaper, Melamed refers to a short article that aired last night on the news margins in which an infiltrator (police claimed he was unsettled) was reported to have rioted in south Tel Aviv and documented jumping on a car roof and then landing directly in the driver's seat.

So what did Melamed's foam come out of? Did she mourn the bitter fate of the residents of southern Tel Aviv groaning under the yoke of the illegal infiltrators and the abundance of negative phenomena they brought with them? Did it come out with an excited call on the Israeli government to resolve the issue once and for all and return the infiltrators to their countries? Not this time.

Melamed's holy wrath focused precisely on the very broadcast of such an article ("a sickening, racist story, unrelated to anything and crazy in itself") as part of a news release, "all of a sudden out of nowhere and without warning." In other words, the TV critic states that there are news worthy of broadcasting and some that are not, and disturbing documentation of daylight crime in the heart of the first Hebrew city is simply not important enough for you to know about. Moreover - according to Melamed, broadcasting the documentation and bringing it to the public's attention is the really troubling issue.

<https://mida.org.il/2019/05/30/%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%92-%D7%9C%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A8-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%AA-%D7%96%D7%95-%D7%92%D7%96%D7%A2%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%AA/>

G. Arwell @AlloftheTruthIS Replying to @amiramlevin:

Denying history? What an audacity! How stupid, oh Gd? Is the IDF indiscriminately killing Palestinians? Did the Jews in Germany declare their intention to occupy all of Germany? Did they murder Germans indiscriminately? Did Hitler offer them a third of Germany for "peace with them and they refused?" Incomprehensible.

May 2, 2019

<https://twitter.com/AllOftheTruthIS/status/1123867049682526213>

2019

<https://zh-cn.facebook.com/456088887935762/posts/%D7%90%D7%AA%D7%94-%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%9C%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%90%D7%94-%D7%A6%D7%9E%D7%9C-%D7%96%D7%95%D7%9B%D7%94%D7%99%D7%A9-%D7%93%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%A9%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C%D7%94-%D7%9E%D7%9E%D7%A4%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%99%D7%A1-%D7%9C%D7%90-%D7%AA%D7%AA%D7%A7%D7%A9%D7%A8-%D7%9C%D7%A1%D7%A4%D7%A8-%D7%9C%D7%9B%D7%9D-%D7%A2%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%94%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%9C-%D7%A9%D7%9B%D7%9C/1026770874200891/>

United for Israel Salvation, June 24, 2019.

You pay, Leah Tzemel wins, there are things that Erella from the Lottery will not call to tell you about ...

In a recent interview with Haaretz, she was asked about the lynching in Ramallah; Tzemel's answer was: "Yes, Lynch, you really think?"

—

Sara Ha'etzni Cohen (@SaraHaetzniCohe) Tweeted (May 15, 2019):

<https://t.co/6dfVo6HYLk> <https://twitter.com/SaraHaetzniCohe/status/1128743748245049344?s=20>

Okay, okay, I just read the interview with (lyncher's lawyer) Adv. Leah Tzemel in Haaretz.

So here's the punch that sums it all up:

"Such a poor man."

-

Erel Segal (@ErelSegal) Tweeted (May 15, 2019):

<https://twitter.com/ErelSegal/status/1128754608879353856?s=20>

"What lynching? you really think?"..."

That's it. There is no point

Haaretz Newspaper is Fake News - The Weekly Report* [16th-32rd May 2019]

Boomerang Fighting for Israel. May 23, 2019

IDF troops shot at terrorists who threw stones at Jews, but the Haaretz newspaper presented them as boys who went on a picnic and were shot in cold blood.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IbB847pCefs>

<https://m.facebook.com/Boomerang2017/videos/haaretz-newspaper-is-fake-new/611026566040492/>

Anti-Haredi incitement

Disgrace: Haaretz in a cartoon about the R. of Gur

The degrading cartoon (Photo: from Haaretz. Illustration: Amos Biderman)

Against the background of the 'Phenicia' factory storm and its desecration of the Sabbath, the Haaretz newspaper publishes an article attacking Gur Hasidism and Yaakov Litzman, with a contemptuous and humiliating figure beside him, the Rebbe himself (News)

May 15, 2019

<https://www.kikar.co.il/316954.html>

Notable German journalist under fire for comparing Israel to its enemies

"If you are judged by the company you keep, Gideon Levy has aligned himself with some rather unsavory fellow travelers... It is no surprise that anti-Israel Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy is touring the UK to spread his hatred and misinformation..."

Notable German journalist under fire for comparing Israel to its enemies

Benjamin Weinthal, April 7, 2019

Prof. Gerald Steinberg, the head of the Jerusalem-based NGO Monitor, told The Jerusalem Post: "Unfortunately Esther Schapira failed to fact check the propaganda claims in the Haaretz article. Haaretz echoes the false claims of an NGO linked to the PFLP terror network (Defense for Children International Palestine). And the 'evidence' from the local UNICEF branch (from 2013) is also based on the same DCIP demonization."

Steinberg, who is a political studies professor at Bar Ilan University, added "If Schapira is seriously interested in the treatment of minors worldwide, including by Israel, she should look at the facts, and not propaganda. And she should apologize."

Esther Schapira, a journalist with the German public television network, Hessischer Rundfunk, tweeted on March 16: "I love Israel and I hate when it is acting like its enemies. Heartbreaking and appalling. Israel jails hundreds of Palestinian boys a year. These are their testimonies."

<https://www.jpost.com/middle-east/notable-german-journalist-under-fire-for-comparing-israel-to-its-enemies-586045>

The Haaretz newspaper presents an editorial dripping with hatred: "The ultra-orthodox are [Haaretz. sic] parasites"

Apr 3, 2019 — Haaretz newspaper presents an editorial dripping with hatred: "The ultra-orthodox are parasites". This morning an editorial appeared in Haaretz newspaper containing harsh incitement against the ultra-orthodox public.

<https://www.kore.co.il/viewArticle/50558>

Amit Segal is suing former "Haaretz" executive Yitzhak Laor - Israel Hayom

25 Feb 2019 —

A News 12 person filed a lawsuit for NIS 140,000 after Yitzchak Laor called him "A. Hitler"

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/635969>

[Israeli literary editor, translator, blogger, publicist and social activist]

Dalit (Laub) Soter Bat Horin - Ms. @nfsgalibh Tweeted:

So, what do you say - Haaretz newspaper manages to produce an incited Nazi mob? In the next stage - readers of the country will take part in the pogrom. The Israeli government, how much longer will it finance the newspaper out of its own pocket and who there thinks that decision-makers should be exposed to the propaganda that produces such commentators? By the way, in this world it is the favorite newspaper of all the Nazis.

[<https://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy9ESK0X0AAruAy?format=jpg&name=small>]

Feb 9, 2019.

<https://twitter.com/nfsgalibh/status/1094168745117974528>

Haaretz (Arab) journalist: The Jews are the only people who are ordered to destroy babies and keep the order.. - Schocken's brother gave him a Like.

01/22/19

Reporter - ISRAELY

Abed L. Azab posted on his Facebook page a post full of hatred and lies about the Jewish people: "He is killing the babies in Gaza. His leaders are proud of it, show their crimes in videos, and his people are dancing around them."

Abed L. Azab, an Arab journalist from the Haaretz newspaper, posted a post full of hatred and lies about the Jewish people on his Facebook page tonight (Monday) - this is what the 'Palestinian Lies' website revealed for the first time.

<https://www.patrioti.co.il/Article.aspx?guid=b67be2e9-b987-412e-bd40-4d48f8263a40&app=1>

Haaretz journalist Abed L. Azab posts lies on Facebook about the State of Israel and Judaism...
"... The Mongols roasted Arab babies and ate them. We are not far from that today."

And look well (at the bottom of his post) who liked him, brother of Amos Schocken, the publisher of the Haaretz newspaper... shocking...

There is no command to destroy other peoples, we are not Arab Muslims. The commandment is:
"Whoever comes to kill you, kill him".

21-01-2019

<https://www.fxp.co.il/showthread.php?t=19423827>

Thank you Gideon Levy, keep on writing!

You have exposed the untrammled hate of the hard Left better than any pro-Israel writer or investigative journalist ever could.

[Tags: Haaretz Gideon Levy Left Wing Ngo's]

Daniel Pinner, 2018-12-20

[Haaretz Q: with New Israel Fund event at Roosevelt Hotel in Manhattan]

Recently in these columns (/Articles/Article.aspx/23172), veteran journalist and commentator Yochanan Visser wrote an article under the headline "Why Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy should be fired".

He cited Levy's recent scurrilous – indeed, obscene – article written the day after the young couple Shira and Amichai Ish Ran, married for less than a year, were shot and badly wounded in a terrorist attack. Shira was 30 weeks pregnant; and the doctors in the Sha'arei Tzedek Hospital in Jerusalem did sterling work, delivering the tiny baby prematurely and desperately fighting to keep him alive.

Tragically, the infant died, less than four days old, surrounded by his parents' love, and the prayers of countless Jews and supporters of Israel, both in Israel and abroad.

When the baby died, Levy wrote a now-notorious op-ed in Haaretz under the headline "I feel no sympathy for the settlers":

"I have no sympathy for the settlers, not even when they are hit by tragedy. A pregnant woman was wounded and her newborn baby died of its wounds – what can be worse than that? Driving on their roads is frightening, the violent opposition to their presence is growing – and I feel no sympathy for their tragedy, nor do I feel any compassion or solidarity."

Of course any decent person shudders in disgust at Mr Levy's callousness, his sheer inhumanity, his empathy with murder. You don't have to be Jewish, or to support Israel or Zionism, to regard My Levy and his ideology as beneath contempt. You have only to be human.

And of course Israel National News's op-ed writer Yochanan Visser's reaction that Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy should be fired is eminently understandable.

But with all due respect to Mr Visser, I beg to disagree.

First of all, it is unrealistic to expect Haaretz to fire Levy. Haaretz is, after all, the putrid swamp from which noxious weeds like Gideon Levy and other hate-filled fanatics sprout and flourish. Why should this gutter-rag fire one of their own for expressing their very own poisonous ideology?

Seven years ago, the Jerusalem Post fired a fanatically left-wing op-ed writer, Larry Derfner, after he wrote an article justifying Arab terrorism against Jews and blaming Arab terrorism on Israel.

But the Jerusalem Post is a serious newspaper has some sense of national responsibility. Haaretz, apparently, doesn't even understand what the words "national responsibility" mean. Of course they won't fire a writer for being anti-Israel and pro-terror.

Gideon Levy, on the other hand, has done us all an invaluable service. Through his writing, he exposes the utter evil of the extreme Left in Israel. The Jew-hatred, the treason, the embracing of Jew-killing terrorists, the ultimate betrayal of everything Jewish – Mr Levy lays this bare for all to see.

He has exposed the untrammelled hate of the hard Left better than any pro-Israel writer or investigative journalist ever could.

In this context one remembers the once-famous and popular Israeli entertainer Dudu Topaz, who took centre stage in a Labour Party rally in Tel Aviv three days before the 1981 elections...

By exposing Labour's real attitude towards non-Ashkenazi Jews, Dudu Topaz led Shimon Peres and Labour into yet another election defeat, and handed Menachem Begin and the Likud to yet another election victory.

(It was also the beginning of the end of Dudu Topaz's career.)

Gideon Levy, with his forthright honesty about his feelings, not even bothering to try to conceal his hatred for Jews and his contempt for human life, exposes the real face of the Left – the face which

other, more polished and less honest, writers and politicians on the Left attempt to conceal. Gideon Levy is doing to the Left today what Dudu Topaz did to the Left 37 years ago.

Gideon Levy has made a career of writing articles designed to infuriate Israelis and Jews everywhere. His lies, his distortions, his rants against Israel – these have contributed in no small measure to tens of thousands of ordinary Israelis cancelling their subscriptions to Haaretz over the years.

Haaretz has made gallant efforts over decades to acquire its reputation as an utterly untrustworthy publication. Few Israelis believe anything they read in its pages.

It is no wonder that without its English-language online edition, Haaretz would long ago have gone bankrupt. And it is no coincidence that Haaretz's English-language readership consists largely to self-professed Jew-haters, Holocaust-deniers, Hamas-supporters, and the like.

(To verify this, just go to any article and read the comments from the readers. Never a pleasant bunch.)

About the only Israelis who still trust Haaretz are the outer fringes of the anti-Zionist Left – those who inhabit a bubble so impenetrable that they are not even aware of the contempt with which the vast majority of Israelis regard them.

In this, they are eerily reminiscent of white supremacist and neo-Nazi groups in Europe and the USA. The kind who chant slogans denigrating African-Americans (of course, they use far more offensive terms than "African-Americans"), and fail to grasp that far from convincing anyone, they succeed merely in alienating virtually everyone whom they encounter.

This is what Haaretz in general, and Gideon Levy in particular, succeed in accomplishing.

So no, Gideon Levy shouldn't be fired. With all the pain and hurt which he deliberately causes, he is ultimately doing far more good than harm.

In other countries, at other times, this was the sort of rhetoric which influenced the masses and brought some of the vilest and most destructive governments ever into power.

But the Israeli public is better than that. Gideon Levy's violent and hate-filled words will convince virtually no one of the righteousness of his cause.

He will – and indeed does – succeed, however, in discrediting his repugnant ideology and the equally loathsome newspaper which publishes him.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/Articles/Article.aspx/23193>

[Relatives of Amichai and Shira Ish-Ran attend the funeral of their baby, who died after being delivered prematurely after Shira was wounded in a drive-by shooting by a Palestinian. Dec 12, 2018. AFP]

Opinion Gideon Levy's Views on Dead Settlers Are Incomprehensible, Inexcusable and Unforgivable

It is clearly possible to bear vehement political hostility to the political settlement movement, but not to murdered settlers. That Levy is no longer able to do so indicates he's lost his humanity

James Adler, Dec. 18, 2018

I often admire Gideon Levy's columns and their forthright, outspoken courage. I can also see how his countless experiences and the countless events on which he has reported with such justified outrage, and seemed to have had no effect, have perhaps even made him bitter. And even this is actually understandable.

But I found his recent op-ed, I Feel No Sympathy for the Settlers, humanly incomprehensible and - to the deepest possible extent - objectionable.

There is a fundamental difference, which Levy seems to overlook, between no political sympathy for the Settlement Movement – for which I have none either, nada, zilch - and no human sympathy for most of the individual settlers.

Some settlers live in the West Bank for reasons of ideology, some for economic reasons, some didn't go there of their own accord - spouses, children, teens, young adults who were taken or born and raised there. Most have, or were raised with, political opinions that are not saturated by hate of the Palestinians; some do, of course, embrace execrable hatred.

But in most cases it is a question of settlers holding different political opinions from us. And for that they putatively deserve to be stabbed in bed in their beds at night, as were three children from the Fogel family in 2011 in Itamar?

[The victims of the Itamar settlement attack, 10-year-old Yoav Fogel, Udi Fogel, 37, four-year-old Elad Fogel, three-month old Hadas Fogel and Ruth Fogel, 36. Emil Salman.

Related Articles: Settlers' Lives Matter Too.

Amjad and Hakim Awad were convicted of murdering Ehud and Ruth Fogel, along with three of their six young children, Yoav, 11, Elad, 4, and Hadas, 3 months old (the infant was decapitated) before fleeing the scene.]

Whatever mindset was behind was unimaginably monstrous. I have zero sympathy for the political settlement movement - in fact, I bear vehement political hostility to it. But on the human level, my sympathy for innocent civilian victims and their families and loved ones is, well, infinite. Just as my human sympathy for the - many - Palestinian victims in this conflict is, well, also infinite.

Soon after the attack on the Fogel family at Itamar, Haaretz reported that Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas "condemned the murder of five members of a single family in the West Bank settlement of Itamar over the weekend," telling Israel Radio that it was "a despicable, immoral, and inhuman act. 'A human being is not capable of something like that. Scenes like these - the murder of infants and children and a woman slaughtered - cause any person endowed with humanity to hurt and to cry.'"

In an op-ed, Larry Derfner wrote that the "cold-blooded murder of children cannot be mitigated by anything. It is outside of politics, outside of anything the 'other side' does, no matter who the other side is and what he does. When children are murdered, the blame belongs to the murderer, not to any enemy he might have had."

Sara Hirschhorn wrote: "Today, settlers are barely viewed as human beings to many on the left...Believing that all human beings - even those with controversial ideologies - deserve equal protection doesn't mean one subscribes to ultra-nationalist politics. You are lying to yourself if you say you are a liberal but don't actually think all peoples are deserving of the same dispensations..."

'Thou shalt not kill' isn't conditional: The fresh graves of Haya, Elad and Yossi, 3 members of the Salomon family stabbed to death in the Neve Tsuf settlement. Modiin, Israel. July 23, 2017 RONEN ZVULUN/REUTERS

"It is sheer hypocrisy to decry the death and disenfranchisement of a [Palestinian] population under occupation on a daily basis, while turning a blind (even benevolent) eye to [Palestinian] terrorists who would do the same to their neighbors in their own homes...If we allow human rights to be applicable only to some of history's subjects, we will all have lost our humanity."

That Levy can't even bring himself to make this simple distinction, between the political tragedy and the human tragedy, is beyond my own ability to imagine.

Levy writes: "I have no sympathy for the settlers not even when they are hit by tragedy. A pregnant woman was wounded and her newborn baby died of its wounds - what can be worse than that? Driving on their roads is frightening, the violent opposition to their presence is growing - and I feel no sympathy for their tragedy, nor do I feel any compassion or solidarity."

So please let me say, both with frequent disagreement but also often - at least back in the past - admiration for some of what Levy writes: This is unconditionally inexcusable and unforgivable.

James Adler holds a Master's Degree in World Religions from Harvard Divinity School, is a religion cataloger in a university research library and lives in Cambridge, Mass.

<https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/.premium-gideon-levy-s-take-on-dead-settlers-incomprehensible-inexcusable-and-unforgivable-1.6759892>

Manufacturing Falsehoods

By Jeffrey Herf

December 12, 2018

...Yemini is furious with the editors and writers of Haaretz:

In the last decade, Haaretz, the most widely read Israeli daily newspaper in English, has become a central pillar for the industry of lies. The problem is not the opinion pieces. The problem lies in the biases, distortions, and falsehoods, as well as in the encouragement of Palestinian intransigence and violence that Haaretz supports.

While parts of paper remain serious, “unfortunately, the core of writing on the conflict” in recent years has been “dominated by people on the outer margins of the radical Left.”

“While they cloak themselves as progressive and democratic,” Yemini writes, “Haaretz seeks to ‘detour around’ Israelis’ decision-making machinery (in essence, to cancel-out self-determination by the body public and its elected leaders) by selling movers and shakers abroad (both opinion-makers and policy-makers and world public opinion) a bill of goods with three messages about Israel, that need refutation: (1) that Israel commits war crimes (2) that Israel is an apartheid state (3) that Israel refuses to make peace, not the Palestinians.”

Yemini’s examples of erroneous reports along these lines in Haaretz include Gideon Levy’s report of Israeli border patrol soldiers tying prisoners to donkeys that are then sent running until the victims die of wounds and Yitzak Laor’s claim that Israeli soldiers blew up a mosque “with hundreds of people inside, including children.” Yemini also cites Levy’s claim in 2012 that a survey showed that most Israelis supported an apartheid regime and his favorable articles about Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad, after the latter made widely-reported anti-Semitic comments. Then there is Amira Hass’s 2008 comment in the face of the Palestinian intifada that “[t]hrowing stones is the birthright and duty of anyone subject to foreign rule.” Fourteen Israelis had been killed by rocks. Yemini cites Levy’s claim in an interview with the British paper, the Independent, that during Operation Cast Lead in 2008-09, a picture of a dog appeared on front page of “the most popular newspaper in Israel” but nothing was there about the “tens of Palestinians killed” that day. None of the authors were fired or disciplined. He adds that Haaretz “no longer represents the liberal or left-wing of the Israeli public—wings that support both human rights and a Jewish and democratic state. There is a Left that rebuts lies and falsehoods about Israel, and there is a Left that disseminates them.” Yemini may exaggerate somewhat about Haaretz, but it doesn’t matter as much as it did when the book was written—not outside of Israel anyhow. For many years now, between the conservative Jerusalem Post and the centrist, increasingly comprehensive Times of Israel, not to speak of the explosion of social media, English readers have other sources.

Some readers may be put off by the strength of Yemini’s rhetoric, but the facts are on his side and, unfortunately, little has changed since he wrote his book. If anything, at least on American campuses, the false image of Israel as a uniquely malevolent state has gained even more ground over the last few

years, and Yemini's review of the evidence up through 2014 remains deeply instructive about how that has happened.

<https://jewishreviewofbooks.com/uncategorized/4892/manufacturing-falsehoods/>

According to Israeli Writer, West Bank Jews Have It Coming

Dec 18, 2018 · Gideon Levy hates Jews living in the West Bank. He hates them so much that he feels no sympathy for them when they

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2018/12/18/according-to-israeli-writer-west-bank-jews-have-it-coming/>

Haaretz should be stopped

Nov 18, 2018

...And outside of Israel, it gets respectability because it's written from Israel, Jews themselves say so and outside, few know the real situation here. It turns respectable people into anti-Semites. Its anti-Israel Internet pieces in English can only be read by whom pays for it, so that they reach the motivated anti-Zionists, hidden from everyone else.

Because it presents itself as serious? That also could play a role. It has always lots of excellent archeological and scientific pieces, especially those that would make fundamentalist Bible-thumpers squirm.

Because of freedom of the press? That's a tough one. Our laws make it hard to silence journalists — and they should. But agitation, hatred and libel should not fall under such protection. Just like anti-democrats should not be allowed to be on the ballot. Those who use democracy to fight democracy itself should not be entitled to democratic privileges.

Besides, Haaretz on Israel does not report the news but creates it.

Don't confuse Haaretz with what I call Israel's Loony Left. The latter are IMHO idealistic idiots, but the former are clearly self-hating Jews. There is a giant difference, though Israel's far-right doesn't think so.

Besides, Haaretz does not report the news on Israel but rather creates it.

Pity that Israel lacks an English left-wing daily. TOI, I see as mostly central.

Let me suggest that there is another reason, possibly more important than all of the above together. Same reason why hasbara has such poor government support. Haaretz generates and stirs up anti-Semitism in the whole world. This makes Jews outside of Israel feel unsafe and move to the Jewish State. That's a clear motive for Israel...

I want publications like Haaretz to be shut down. It will then probably start publishing from London or Teheran but that's OK. It will have lost much of its undeserved credit for being Israeli and become clearly a voice of the enemy – which it is. Like Hamas TV and any other form of hate speech. So please, outlaw Haaretz and any other anti-Semitic publication.

In my experience as relationship counselor, when I would be tough on a tough client, the timid partner would get afraid that I would be tough on both of them. I won't. Same thing here for all Israeli news outlets. I'm not pleading to close down any other one, including those that I dislike, that manipulate the reader, print falsehoods without corrections, eject slurs against certain politicians, or Arab papers being anti-Zionistic, etc. I'm not railing here against unreasonableness, dishonesty, slander, etc. I don't want a certain political view silenced. I only want an end to the daily unrestrained spreading of Jew hatred by one exceptional Israeli Jewish paper that frankly puts all other media and journalists to shame.

It's not clear to me if many countries would do such a thing of closing down an anti-Jewish paper. But Israel takes the lead in many ways, so why not here? Listen to how antipathetic Europe is about people in public or at work wearing religious symbols while we Israelis don't get excited about them at all. Look at how much trouble good-meaning people have with racial profiling while it works well for our security.

The same democracy that will not allow racist parties should also ban anti-Semitic publications. Particularly, when they stir up the whole world.

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-should-be-stopped/>

In the fight against the right-wing government, it is permissible to whitewash American anti-Semitism

Haaretz newspaper published articles claiming that the right-wing government is closer in its values to the killer from Pittsburgh than to the murdered, but actually Gideon Levi was a guest of honor at conferences where distinct anti-Semites spoke.

by Yishai Fridman, 20 in Marchasvan 5779, Oct 29, 2018

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/news/87301/>

Shaked calls Haaretz article 'anti-Semitic disgrace'

Justice Minister attacks Yossi Klein's Haaretz article that claims national religious judge cannot judge fairly.

Mordechai Sones, Sep 27, 2018

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/252479>

Gideon Levy on a killer terrorist: "A brave warrior"

The far-left man is furious at the decision to demolish the building where the terrorist who murdered Ronen Lubarski lived. Levy claims that the terrorist "tried to protect his home."

Ido Ben Porat - Channel 7, 15 Tishrei 5778 - Sep 24, 2018.

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/382824>

Israel's Left Discovers a New Hero: Jeremy Corbyn

In 'Haaretz' and on campus, nothing but love for the embattled Labour leader

Bu Liel Leibovitz

Sep 07, 2018

... Also in Haaretz, the columnist Gideon Levy made his admiration for the dear leader known in stentorian terms...

<https://www.tabletmag.com/sections/news/articles/israels-left-discovers-a-new-hero-jeremy-corbyn>

The Protocols of the Elders of Zion published by Schocken

Lavie organization, Sep 9, 2018

Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken justifies the antisemitic display in Khan al-Ahmar, and claims that an octopus painting with a Star of David is a poignant description of Israel's actions, "which sends arms everywhere in the world."

In recent days, the NGO-MONITOR organization and the journalist Yishai Friedman firsthand have revealed the existence of an exhibition of antisemitic cartoons in the village of Khan al-Ahmar that is to be evacuated. The exhibition, which presented Israel as a formidable octopus, was attended by representatives from European countries and UN organizations such as UNESCO and UNICEF.

An antisemitic cartoon in Khan al-Ahmar

In response to the exposure of the antisemitic exhibition and the participation of a UNICEF representative in the exhibition, the organization condemned the exhibition and announced that "the art exhibition is shocking and very unworthy, and more so because this exhibition was presented in close proximity to the school. "Children must be protected from violence and not be exposed to violent representatives or messages that promote hatred and intolerance." According to UNICEF, the organization's representative, Genevieve Botin, who was present at the performance, "expressed her concerns to the event's organizers."

Despite all this, the exhibition received a recommendation of honesty in the form of the publisher of the Haaretz newspaper, Amos Schocken, who wrote last night - September 8, 18 that "drawing an octopus with a Star of David is a good description of Israel sending arms everywhere in the world."

<https://www.lavi.org.il/%d7%9e%d7%95%d7%9c-%d7%a2%d7%99%d7%aa%d7%95%d7%9f-%d7%94%d7%90%d7%a8%d7%a5-%d7%a2%d7%9e%d7%95%d7%a1-%d7%a9%d7%95%d7%a7%d7%9f-%d7%9c%d7%a6%d7%99%d7%99%d7%a8-%d7%aa%d7%9e%d7%a0%d7%95%d7%9f-%d7%a2/>
[https://m.facebook.com/lavi.cr/posts/2226658190901819/?refsrc=deprecated&_rdr]
[<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/500246.shtml>]

See this title in Haaretz? It is false! To believe in the version of a shameless and arrogant German family and not the GSS version, is one thing, legitimate. To present a denied claim as a fact, is another matter, completely not legitimate.

Hanan Amior | 28.08.18.

...And only he who crosses all the first 1500 words of empathy and reaches the back of the story, discovers to his surprise two interesting things:

The first - that the headline presents an undisputed, false fact. There is an argument and a counter-argument here. The GSS, in its counter-argument, flatly rejects the allegations, meaning that the whole story is a lie, adding that the citizen we thought was innocent, refused to cooperate with his interrogators, treated them aggressively and hostilely and aroused suspicion that he was involved in hostile activity against Israel.

The second: What described all the article was a migrant shrub and intensified between the facts of the Neighborhood in the role of aggressive villain and the unfortunate family, which fell victim to their nation, was not exactly that. Her marginalization was so extreme until it was created to consider calling for a police place. This subject, incidentally, is not a "claim" fence and does not meet the debate, since it is refined, following the State's response to the story, the family admits it, although in the citrus, things were said, "the moment of boiling and frustration." Thus, that 'Haaretz lies twice:

First, in the wording of the headline, according to which the German citizen's version of Palestinian origin is a fact, while it should have been presented as an argument, and already in the subheading, at the latest, to bring the GSS 'denial into account.

Second, in the impression created by the text, according to which the German citizen and the family with whom they behaved exemplary, when it finally turns out, according to their confession, that he is far from it.

"Haaretz is allowed to decide that he believes the grassy Palestinian family version of the burning and the mash, not the version of the Sheva and the Population Authority and the Immigration Authority.

What is forbidden, is to prevent its reader in a manipulative way the full picture, according to which the newspaper does not describe anything in happening, but rather a position on the issue of debate. This is not a press.

This is already propaganda.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%A8%D7%95%D7%90%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A8%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%96%D7%95-%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%94%D7%99%D7%90-%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%AA/>

Column - Martin Sherman

'Haaretz' vs. Sherman: The anatomy of 'fake news'

Had the newspaper wanted to give a fair portrayal of my policy proposal, instead of alluding to "Transfer," the headline would have read "Evacuation-compensation for Arabs: An answer to Israel's geographic and demographic imperatives."

(August 23, 2018 / JNS)

<https://www.jns.org/opinion/haaretz-vs-sherman-the-anatomy-of-fake-news/>

INTO THE FRAY: Haaretz vs. IISS-The anatomy of "Fake News"

Had Haaretz wanted to give a fair portrayal of my policy proposal, instead of alluding to 'Transfer,' the headline would have read 'Evacuation-compensation for Arabs: An answer to Israel's geographic and demographic imperatives?'

Dr. Martin Sherman

Aug 25, 2018

Truncated and twisted

The almost 5000 word article (almost 4000 in Hebrew) appeared in the weekend supplement of Haaretz (August 18 in the electronic edition)—which included reports on other proposals from Education Minister Naftali Bennett, columnist Caroline Glick, Islamic scholar Mordechai Kedar, Jerusalem Affairs Minister Zeev Elkin, veteran publicist Elyakim Haetzni, Ambassadors Alan Baker and Yoram Ettinger and economist Amatzia Samkai: .

I was shocked and appalled at the grossly truncated and twisted manner in which my views were conveyed to the reader.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/Articles/Article.aspx/22651>

Sagiv Steinberg @sagivsteinberg Tweeted:

There is no danger to democracy (in Israel), there is freedom of expression, there is incitement on the left, there is no apartheid ... At Schocken they do not know how to 'eat' the demonstration that proves that all the things they shout (I forgot the expression 'Nazi') do not exist in reality. I wonder if such a thing (demonstration) could happen in "Palestine."

Aug 11, 2018

[Quote Tweet Barak Ravid @BarakRavid

Haaretz editorial: The demonstration led by the High Monitoring Committee of the Arab Public in Israel, which will be held tonight in Rabin Square in Tel Aviv, is the most important demonstration in wave... ..

Aug 11, 2018]

<https://twitter.com/sagivsteinberg/status/1028349258624389122>

[Marketing writing/linguistic editing specialist].

Enta G. @enya1911 Replying to @Leallris_ @IRISLEAL15 and 2 others

Jul 7, 2018:

You should spell it correctly ... before spouting the word with the rest of your despicable hate speech. Shame on you! This is the current generation of Haaretz newspaper - full of hate. Journalists on their own behalf who are unable to fulfill their role professionally. In my day they would not be accepted into any newspaper.

<https://twitter.com/enya1911/status/1015612742508666881>

Chabad: "Antisemitic cartoon in Haaretz"

Haaretz newspaper as a policy, despises everything that is sacred

Zeevi Segal , 13 Tammuz 5778 - 26.06.2018

This morning, the newspaper "People Think" publishes an antisemitic, shocking and humiliating cartoon against the late Chabad Rebbe following the storm caused by the court ruling...

<http://www.kolhazman.co.il/317300>

May 13, 2018

Michael Ben Ari (@drmichaelbenari) Tweeted:

Haaretz knows this is a lie, but the command to incite exceeds any ethics or commitment to truthfulness.

No way.., the social coordinator of the secular high school, decided on her own initiative to sit the boys next to the boys of the Noam seminary and the girls next to the girls of the Harel studios, that's the whole disaster.

On her own initiative! And no. They were not removed.

<https://t.co/nFtInMoN8W> <https://twitter.com/drmichaelbenari/status/995746384958640133?s=20>

The flood disaster, there are also rejoicings

Ofer Drori, May 1, 2018.

An entire country sank into deep sorrow over the hard loss of ten boys. The disaster is known to have resulted from the unfortunate decision of elements in the military preparatory school who ignored all the warnings and allowed this journey to take place and its tragic consequences.

But the very next day, before the victims were laid to rest, Haaretz published an article on its website and the comments that the newspaper is known to have responsibility for their contents testify to a thousand witnesses about Haaretz readers and the newspaper's system that leaves comments

Haaretz is a newspaper for "thinking" people (Elik) and its readers reveal hatred, rage, brutality and racism that are hard to find in the media today, perhaps in "Der Sturmer". The hateful readers and the newspaper that does not bother to delete such abusive words and hatred are among the worst haters of Israel and the joke that they consider themselves enlightened and thinking. How blind the stupidity and condescension ...

<https://www.offerd.org/a343697-%D7%90%D7%A1%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%98%D7%A4%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%99%D7%A9-%D7%92%D7%9D-%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%9D>

Amos Schocken's Shocking Racism

Haaretz's publisher takes to Twitter to accuse a Mizrahi woman of swinging from trees

By Liel Leibovitz, April 16, 2018

As Haaretz is fond of reminding its readers and its critics alike, the Israeli broadsheet is the voice of the nation's embattled intelligentsia, an unabashedly progressive publication that spends much ink criticizing Israel's faults, small or large, real or imagined...

<https://www.tabletmag.com/sections/news/articles/amos-schockens-shocking-racism>

Haaretz publisher derided for 'racist' tweet

Responding to commenter criticizing a Haaretz weekend supplement that crowned Israel's national anthem the 'most hated Israeli song,' publisher Amos Shocken tweets, 'My family was part of Zionism's leadership while you were still climbing trees'; after public outcry, Shocken backtracks and claims he meant to point out 'ignorance.'

Raz Shechnik | Published: 04.15.18

Scathing criticism on social media over a Haaretz article prompted publisher Amos Schocken to tweet a racist attack over the weekend, only to delete it later.

<https://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-5230971,00.html>

Haaretz publisher removes tweet after charges of racism

Amos Schocken says 'swinging from trees' jab was meant to demonstrate reader's ignorance, not a racial slur

By JTA - 17 April 2018

The publisher of the left-wing Israeli newspaper Haaretz deleted a response to a critical reader that many took as racist.

Amos Schocken was responding on Twitter to reader Ravit Dahan, who tweeted at him that it was because of the ideology of those on the right that he was able "to continue and live here like a king and publish your surreal newspaper without interruption."

Schocken responded: "Insolent woman. My family led the Zionist movement when you were still swinging from trees. The Schocken family has been here for 83 years, and we got along very well without your ideology, and we will continue to do so."

Readers suggested that Schocken had chosen the insult because Dahan is a traditionally Sephardi surname.

He later deleted the tweet, though it was captured in screenshots by several Twitter users.

<https://www.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-publisher-removes-tweet-after-charges-of-racism/>

Senior minister slams 'antisemitic' Haaretz over 'Israel Massacre Forces.'

Gill Hoffman

Apr 1, 2018 · Strategic Affairs Minister Gilad Erdan (Likud) wrote a scathing letter to Haaretz publisher Amos Shocken Sunday, expressing outrage at a headline in the newspaper calling the IDF "The Israel Massacre Forces."

In the column of Haaretz editorial board member Gideon Levy, he wrote that “the shooting on the Gaza border shows once again that the killing of Palestinians is accepted in Israel more lightly than the killing of mosquitoes” and “there’s nothing cheaper in Israel than Palestinian blood.”

Levy complained that Palestinians who returned fire at Israeli soldiers were termed “terrorists” by the IDF Spokesman’s Unit, and accused Israelis of being brainwashed and “enthusing the mass murder of Egyptian babies” at their Passover Seders, referring to the 10th plague: the killing of the Egyptian firstborn.

Erdan, whose ministry fights efforts to boycott, divest from, and sanction Israel, accused Levy of adopting the narrative of Hamas, comparing the column to the antisemitic blood libels of Jews during Passover. He said Shochen’s father and grandfather, who bequeathed the paper to him, would be ashamed to find out that an antisemitic headline was printed and circulated in English to the world..
<https://m.jpost.com/arab-israeli-conflict/senior-minister-slams-antisemitic-haaretz-over-israel-massacre-forces-547642/amp>

Haaretz: Abu Mazen is not anti-Semitic. (We are actually a bit yes)
Haaretz states that Abbas is not anti-Semitic. An examination of the official definition of anti-Semitism reveals that it is impossible to be more anti-Semitic than Abu Mazen, and that Haaretz itself also publishes anti-Semitic content.

Hanan Amior
March 26, 2018

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%90%D7%91%D7%95-%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%96%D7%9F-%D7%90%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%95-%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%99-%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%97%D7%A0%D7%95-%D7%93%D7%95%D7%95%D7%A7/>

Didi on Haaretz: "A newspaper that cultivates hatred, it should not have readers who justify its existence"

Didi Harari talks about the competition held in the Haaretz newspaper to choose the most hated song on the newspaper's editorial board

15/04/2018

<https://103fm.maariv.co.il/programs/media.aspx?ZrqvnVq=HFJGKL&c41t4nzVQ=EG>

An agreement without understanding

Netanyahu's show makes it clear that the heads of the IAEA signed the nuclear agreement knowing it to be false - Despite this, the Iranians will not withdraw

Amnon Lord, 5/3/2018

... The pro-Iranian lobby that arose in liberal circles in Israel and the US brings us back to the betrayal of John Amery, the British broadcaster who called to switch sides and join the Nazis.

A note to the candidate for Chief of Staff Major General Yair Golan: Here is the similarity to the 1930s. The newspaper "Haaretz" supports Iran's position. The segments of the population that are fed by "Haaretz" support Hamas and the organizations that represent Hamas...
<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/553205>

[Israeli literary editor, translator, blogger, publicist and social activist]
Dalit (Laub) Soter Bat Horin - Ms. @nfsgalibh Tweeted:

Goebbels' propaganda apparatus did not rest for a moment. Look at how they brainwash people's with an image designed to evoke salute associations with a (fake) raised hand. Antisemitic slime.
Feb 14, 2018
<https://twitter.com/nfsgalibh/status/963730458667376641>

Chargé d'Affaires
@USAmbIsrael tweeted:

What has become of .
@Haaretz? Four young children are sitting shiva for their murdered father and this publication calls their community a "mountain of curses." Have they no decency?
Feb 9, 2018
<https://twitter.com/USAmbIsrael/status/961838004305149952>

U.S. Ambassador Slams Haaretz Writer for 'Har Klalah' Comment | Hamodia.com

Feb 9, 2018 · U.S. Ambassador to Israel on Friday slammed far-left Ha'aretz columnist Gideon Levy for his comments on the terrorist attack in Samaria this week, in which Rabbi Itamar Ben-Gal of the Samaria town of ...
<https://hamodia.com/2018/02/09/u-s-ambassador-slams-haaretz-writer-har-klala-comment/>

The Difference Is Heart | Hamodia.com

Feb 13, 2018 · Gideon Levy, the far-leftist columnist for Haaretz, took offense at the ambassador's donation of an ambulance to Har Brachah, which he blasphemously dubbed "Har Klalah." ...
<https://hamodia.com/2018/02/13/the-difference-is-heart/>

Israel News | News From Israel, Middle East, Jewish Politics | Hamodia - Hamodia.com

Feb 11, 2018 · U.S. Ambassador to Israel on Friday slammed far-left Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy for his comments on the terrorist attack in Shomron this week, in which Rabbi Itamar Ben-Gal, Hy"d, of...
<https://hamodia.com/category/israel-news/page/430/>

"Defamatory lie": Makor Rishon correspondent against Haaretz newspaper - Kipa, 23 Jan, 2018 -
"Disgraceful lie": Makor Rishon reporter against Haaretz newspaper.

The editorial in Haaretz criticized "journalists identified with the right" who allegedly incorrectly reported on the demonstration against the ombudsman on Saturday, and ignited a riot about nothing. Ariel Schnabel, who reported from the scene, attacked back

Kipa News, 23/01/18

"Haaretz crossed the line this morning. An editorial is published in the editorial that concerns me personally, which is all a disgraceful and disgusting lie," Makor Rishon correspondent Ariel Schnabel accused this morning (Tuesday) following criticism of the transfer of reports from the demonstration in front of the synagogue of Spokesman Mandelblit. Saturday night.

"I demand from Amos, who is responsible for this disgrace, to read my tweets and reports from the synagogue in Petah Tikva, and that after realizing that there was no siege or security, no riots, no rescue or disaster - to apologize publicly," Schnabel tweeted.
<https://www.kipa.co.il/amp/920065>

Avitan Dudi @avitandudi Tweeted:
Jan 12, 2018

Haaretz newspaper is lying again. And this time: in Lebanon they managed to put all conflicts aside and in Israel dangerous and unsafe following the occupation of the territories. So I went and checked the Peace Rank of the Institute of Economics and World Peace. There Israel is ranked as safe in every parameter above Lebanon, which is divided by many wars and casualties. Including the assassination of the Prime Minister in '05.
<https://twitter.com/avitandudi/status/951773270302515200>

Pini Ezra @e_pini Tweeted (Jan 4, 2018):

Haaretz reveals a new low of hatred and incitement against anyone who is not part of the Tel Aviv state, where have all the heart bleeding who shout about incitement gone? It seems that it is okay against the ultra-Orthodox.

The racist criterion borders on incitement to assassinate a minister in Israel.

https://twitter.com/e_pini/status/948912835568029708?s=20

"Incitement to serious murder"

Storm: Shas chairman Aryeh Deri was presented in Haaretz as a suicide bomber.

Amos Biderman published in Haaretz a cartoon of Minister Aryeh Deri arrives with an explosive belt at the AM: PM store, which is open on Saturday, but eventually explodes on his own.

Yair Toker, 17 Tevet 5778, 04.01.18

<https://www.kikar.co.il/262422.html>

Hanan Amiur @hananamiur:

MK Hanin, if you have already mentioned the story, at least tell it all. Muhammad Tamimi participated in the attack on the IDF post. Hit by a rubber bullet while attempting to penetrate the post.

Feb 26, 2018

<https://twitter.com/hananamiur/status/968041374129049601>

—

Yaara Zered (@YaaraZered) Tweeted:

@Ahmad_tibi @gideonle

Not to believe that Haaretz is cooperating with this wretched propaganda. It's embarrassing @ AmosSchocken1. Why did you not bother to attach the IDF response? Why present Muhammad Tamimi as a poor boy and not tell how many actions he took against the IDF fighters, he and his antisemitic family?

Jan 5, 2018 <https://twitter.com/YaaraZered/status/949221647805972482?s=20>

Amit Segal on Haaretz: "a journalistic trash can"; Shoken: "a liar in the guise of a journalist"

The commentator of "The News" [haChadashot] was outraged by a headline of the Haaretz website about the incident in which Palestinians attacked settlers. The publisher of the newspaper did not remain liable, called him a "propagandist" and claimed that it was a mistake.

A confrontation on Twitter between the political commentator of "HaHadashot", Amit Segal, and the publisher of "Haaretz" Amos Shoken. The background: the title given on the "Haaretz" website to a news story about the settlers who were attacked by Palestinians south of Nablus. Segal uploaded a photo to Twitter with the title in English, which (falsely) said that "a Palestinian was shot and killed by a settler in the West Bank" and said that this was a "journalistic trash can".

Ma'ariv Online, 11/30/2017

<https://www.maariv.co.il/news/israel/Article-612022>

"A woman who has been harmed by harassment should know how to deal with it in real time..."

Nava Boker broke into our lives involuntarily, after losing her husband, Lt. Gen. Lior Boker, in the Carmel disaster • She entered the Knesset in 2015, and since then she has not had a moment of silence • It's convenient for them to take me out, because I'm a Likud MK.

Nitzhia Yaakov, Dec 6, 2017

I received quite a few threats on my life following the flag law, and it came back even when I supported Alor Azaria. They wrote to me, 'You stinking J.. whore' ...

"A few weeks ago I threatened Haaretz with a lawsuit because of unprofessionalism and dishonesty, after they attached a quote to me that was not and was not created, as if I said the president is a 'stinking traitor'. In the end they apologized, but why do it in the first place? And lie?"

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/519947>

Nava Boker threatens to sue Haaretz: I never said of Rivlin a "stinking traitor"

Deputy Speaker of the Knesset: "The newspaper and commentator Zvi Barel mislead the public and attribute to me serious things that I allegedly said against President Reuven Rivlin. There is no connection between the things attributed to me and the truth, and if necessary, things will be clarified and clarified in court. "

Noa Price, November 23, 2017

<https://b.walla.co.il/item/3113867>

Before a defamation lawsuit: Nava Boker demands an apology

Srugim News, Nov 23, 2017

An opinion piece published in the Haaretz newspaper under the headline: "Give us a F..rer" quoted statements directed against President Reuven Rivlin that was allegedly said by MK Boker. In response, Boker goes against the newspaper and demands an apology before a libel suit

An opinion piece published yesterday (Wednesday) in the Haaretz newspaper under the headline: "Give us a F..rer" quoted statements directed against President Reuven Rivlin that the deputy speaker of the Knesset, Nava Boker, allegedly said.

Among other things, the newspaper wrote: "'He is the president of terrorists,' 'he is a stinking traitor,' in the heavy language of MK Nava Boker, he abandoned our soldiers on the battlefield."

In a letter before a lawsuit sent today by MK Boker to Haaretz, she demanded the apology of the editor and commentator Zvi Barel for deliberately or accidentally attributing to her the statements: "He is a president of terrorists," "He is a stinking traitor."

Boker came out strongly against the allegations, claiming that Haaretz and the commentator, Zvi Barel, are misleading the public and attributing to me serious things that I allegedly said against President Reuven Rivlin.

There is no connection between the things attributed to me and the truth, and if necessary, things will be clarified and clarified in court. "

She said, "This is a malicious attempt to attribute to me vile statements that never came out of my mouth. Haaretz has proven over the years that it misleads and feeds the public with lies and lies. And as in many other times in which the Haaretz newspaper and the Schocken network were forced to leave the courtrooms covered with heads, so it will be this time as well. "

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=224256>

<https://www.srugim.co.il/224256-%D7%9C%D7%A4%D7%A0%D7%99-%D7%AA%D7%91%D7%99%D7%A2%D7%AA-%D7%93%D7%99%D7%91%D7%94-%D7%A0%D7%90%D7%95%D7%95%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%95%D7%A7%D7%A8-%D7%93%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%A9%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%A6%D7%9C>

Why did an Israeli newspaper blame black women for long lines at checkpoints?

November 20, 2017 · by Seth Frantzman · in Racism. ·

By Seth J. Frantzman

"The young women do everything intentionally more slowly. The most politically incorrect thing to say is that when the young woman checking the cars is of Ethiopian origin, the line gets even longer," reads an article at the Israeli newspaper Haaretz on November 20th. Titled 'Politically Incorrect in Palestine,' the article consists of a series of observations about the West Bank. One of these has to do with checkpoints.

The author asks "How is it that a young woman – a member of the Military Police or a security company – is stationed at a checkpoint and her line of cars is always longer than the nearby line, where a young man is stationed?"

Then the author goes on:

"The young women do everything intentionally more slowly. The most politically incorrect thing to say is that when the young woman checking the cars is of Ethiopian origin, the line gets even longer. We're talking about the checkpoints where only cars with Israeli license plates are allowed to pass...The soldiers and security people stationed at the checkpoints must develop skills in the realm of racial doctrine and a canine sense of smell to distinguish between a Jew and an Arab...But leave it to the young women at the checkpoints. They'll check the accent, slowly open the trunk or send the car for a check for explosives, stare with hostility at the occupants, all the while chewing gum with their mouths open, talking on their cellphone and giggling."

The passage appears to focus on women and stereotype them...

<https://sethfrantzman.com/2017/11/20/why-did-an-israeli-newspaper-blame-black-women-for-long-lines-at-checkpoints/>

Fake News: Netanyahu speaks and mocks news in Haaretz newspaper that he will not speak - JDN News Nov 15, 2017 -

Fake News: Netanyahu spoke and mocked an article in the Haaretz newspaper that reported that he would not speak...

<https://archive.jdn.co.il/video/908112/>

Shlomi Benschabats [the Likud]

Oct 24, 2017

Haaretz sows hatred destroys the state does not like right-wing religious and ultra-Orthodox Jews, yesterday it was Tzadika Sivan Rahav Meir who took the hit... The loathing and hate newspaper ... and most of the things in the article are false.

<https://www.facebook.com/shlomi300508/posts/1845113992485752>

"Religious people will receive money to host secular people": Haaretz newspaper lies

The newspaper for thinking people published that the Ministry of Religious Affairs would pay families for proper Jewish hospitality. Ran Khoury thought, explaining why this is not true and what the newspaper's interest is in the conflict between the factions

Ran Khoury, Mako, Judaism | Published 11.06.17

The feed shouted, the feed rumbled. It seems that all the people of Israel, religious, traditional and secular, have united in their disgust at Or Kashti's publication in Haaretz about funding from the Ministry of Religious Affairs, which states that religious people will be paid for hosting secular Shabbat meals.

"Mom, you promised us a seculars for Shabbat." "I am willing to host even without receiving money from the state." "Enough of the commercialization of religion." There is nothing left to say.

We tend to be sensitive to patronage. There is something annoying about this superiority, the feeling that the other side is better, the absolute and unequivocal recognition of an unfounded priority. But in this case it is not the religious who are the patrons. The real patronage in this story is that of the media. To be more precise: of the Haaretz newspaper, which lied to its readers. Stay with us.

The agenda of the distribution of funds

For those who have somehow missed out: Government ministries distribute money, lots of money: to public bodies. Museums, women's organizations, proud youth aid organizations, Torah nuclei, theaters, yeshivas, associations to commemorate prime ministers, public libraries, and a very large variety of associations of all types and genders. There will be those who will argue that the state should not spend money on such activities, at all. Completely legitimate. But in practice, in the reality of the State of Israel in its seventy years, it spends, and a lot. This column was written against the factual background.

This column was written against the factual background ...

Instead of presenting the public with a clear and true picture - Haaretz chose to wear the hat of the omniscient, and lie to the public in a particularly patronizing pose...

<https://www.mako.co.il/judaism-religious-news/Article-87da7006c469c51006.htm>

Haaretz's Textbook Case of Media Bias | Jewish & Israel News Algemeiner.com

Sep 27, 2017 · Haaretz reports: Over 50 Christian and Muslim sites have been vandalized... since 2009, but...

Here is the bias:

At no point does Haaretz investigate how many times the arson of Jewish sites resulted in indictments or convictions.

Haaretz is trying to say that the Israeli police do not prioritize hate crimes against Muslims and Christians, but the implication is that this isn't the case for Jews.

Haaretz is also trying to say that the 53 incidents over 8 years is a huge number — but it doesn't give a comparable accounting of how many anti-Jewish incidents there were...

Perhaps there is bias in favor of crimes against Jews. Certainly more resources could be used to find these criminals. But without knowing how many Jewish sites were attacked and how many attackers were caught, this story is innuendo — not news...

In 2007, I visited the burial place of Samuel the Prophet, right after it was vandalized by Arabs. Torahs were desecrated, books were destroyed, the Ark badly damaged. The story was barely reported in Arutz Sheva, and ignored by all of the other Israeli English language media outlets. Haaretz certainly didn't

report on it. The people at the site described the attack to me as a “pogrom,” and told me that this wasn’t the first — or only — time that it had happened.

Were the vandals caught? I have no idea. But I doubt it.

There are plenty of arson and vandalism attacks against Jewish sites in Israel, from the desecration of graves on the Mount of Olives by Arabs, to attacks by bored teens, to attacks by atheists against synagogues, and intra-Jewish attacks as well. I don’t know how many are committed by Arabs, and how many by Jews. I don’t know how many attacks were only graffiti, and how many resulted in actual damage done to holy objects on either side.

But that’s the whole problem. A real newspaper would compile all these statistics. A newspaper with an anti-Jewish bias, however, would do exactly what Haaretz did.

Not surprisingly, the Arab media is republishing the Haaretz report as gleeful proof that Jews are constantly attacking Muslim holy sites — using the below photo as being representative of “Jews.”

While any attack is too many, 53 attacks over 8 years is about one every two months — hardly an epidemic.

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2017/09/27/haaretzs-textbook-case-of-media-bias>

Begin annexes the Golan to cancel the peace agreement with Egypt [sic] - Fake News in Haaretz
Conspiratorial nonsense in the Haaretz newspaper

In the Saturday issue of Haaretz, journalist Amir Oren published a crazy conspiracy theory that Begin's goal in annexing the Golan was to get Mubarak to cancel the peace agreement and thus avoid completing the withdrawal from Sinai - the fantasy continues to hope that the Syrians will attack Israel and thus accept the law. Israel has reason to invade Lebanon.

Uri Heitner, September 17, 2017.

<https://www.begincenter.org.il/%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D/%D7%A4%D7%99%D7%99%D7%A7-%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%95%D7%96-%D7%91%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5/>

"Haaretz newspaper suffers from auto-anti-Semitism"

Channel 7, 5 Elul 5777 - 27/08/17

Education Minister Naftali Bennett, chairman of the Jewish Home Party, today (Sunday) sharply attacked the Haaretz newspaper, claiming that the newspaper deviates from the legitimate discussion space between right and left.

Bennett wrote on his Facebook page that "this morning the newspaper attacked Miriam Peretz (!) Who lost her two sons (the late Uriel and the late Eliraz) for the existence of the State of Israel and so that we can all live here.

Haaretz compares it to the mother of Muslim jihadists, and at the same time despises IDF casualties. "From the point of view of this newspaper, there is no difference between the bereaved mother of an Israeli hero and the mother of a killer-terrorist," Bennett said.

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/353920>

"In 1947 non-racist Zionism died" [sic]

A series of tweets that Asaf Ronel, Haaretz's foreign news editor, managed on Twitter this week opens a window into the post-Zionist radical consciousness at the top of the newspaper, and raises the question of what all of this is expressed in the publications.

Shlomi Ben-Meir 18.07.17

... Haviv Rettig Gur: You misunderstand. The these are your authentic views is what makes this an important argument about Haaretz's editorial vision.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%d7%91-1947-%d7%9e%d7%aa%d7%94-%d7%94%d7%a6%d7%99%d7%95%d7%a0%d7%95%d7%aa-%d7%94%d7%9c%d7%90-%d7%92%d7%96%d7%a2%d7%a0%d7%99%d7%aa>

Haaretz Editor: I'm Anti-Zionist and Yes, Israel=Ap...

Daniel Pomerantz, July 17, 2017

<https://honestreporting.com/haaretz-editor-im-anti-zionist-and-yes-israel-apartheid/>

Shocken's silencing tactic?

What is the real reason for the recycled questions about Eliezer Fishman that reached the "Globes" editorial from "The Marker"?

Eli Tzipori, Globes, 06.30.2017

This happened 24 hours after I exposed here the conduct of Amos Shokan, the publisher of "Haaretz-De Marker"; one day after the version of Amir Zohar, a former "Haaretz" investigator, was published here (Sunday evening), who claimed that an investigation His 7-chapter pen, which exposed serious flaws in the conduct of attorney Ronal Fisher, underwent editing, graphic design and was on its way to print - then it was stopped and its publication was blocked by "above" officials. Not only that, Zohar added that "the investigation included all the accepted journalistic evidence, official documents, written complaints, recordings and interviews with the 'victims' of attorney Ronal Fisher." Indeed, Zohar presented an impressive array of detailed evidence.

<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001194898>

Are Beatles to Blame for the Occupation?

Yes, says a Haaretz writer. Sgt. Pepper, apparently, is to blame for the Six-Day War.

By Liel Leibovitz

June 13, 2017

If Sgt. Pepper symbolizes anything," Shor wrote, "it symbolizes the Israeli culture of uniformity and its absolute normative sense, not to say hetero-normative, of hegemonic groups within the mainstream that seek to defend their values in an ever-changing reality in which nothing is obvious."

<http://www.tabletmag.com/scroll/237199/are-beatles-to-blame-for-the-occupation>

Shouts of contempt and salute to Bennett: "You would let Barghouti speak"

Dana Yarkechy, June 12, 2017

Education Minister Naftali Bennett said this morning (Monday) at the Haaretz conference that a united Jerusalem is better than a political agreement. "We need a right-wing peace, out of power - not a political settlement, but a lack of war." Bennett made the remarks at the Haaretz peace conference. He received contempt from the crowd - and said: "You would let Barghouti speak."

"The Jewish people do not have the authority to give up any part of the country," Bennett quoted Prime Minister David Ben-Gurion. "No one has the authority to give up this right, until redemption. "A second Palestinian state will not be established."

<https://news.walla.co.il/item/3072410> June 2017

Camera - Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting and Analysis

Lost in Translation: Haaretz English Omits That Fatal Strike Aimed at Islamic Jihad Target

By Tamar Sternthal, June 12, 2017

In a column looking back on 30 years of reporting, veteran Haaretz writer Gideon Levy last week described the particularly heartrending case of the 2006 Israeli air strike in Gaza that killed multiple members of the Aman family and left then 4-year-old Maria Aman with critical injuries, leaving out entirely that the key information that the strike was aimed at Islamic Jihad commander Mohammed Dadouh, who was also killed in the attack ("No greater lie," print headline, available online..

<https://www.camera.org/article/hebrew-haaretz-says-what-english-won-t-islamic-jihad-man-was-target-of-fatal-strike/>

The People vs. Haaretz

By Shmuel Rosner

May 11, 2017

TEL AVIV — Haaretz is an Israeli newspaper. Admired by many foreigners and few Israelis, loathed by many, mostly Israelis. Read by few, denounced by many, it is a highly ideological, high-quality paper. It has a history of excellence. It has a history of independence. It has a history of counting Israel's mistakes and misbehavior. It has a history of getting on Israel's nerves.

Still, it is just a newspaper. The story of the people vs. Haaretz — that is, of a great number of Israelis' growing dislike for the paper — is worth telling only because it tells us something about Israel itself: that the country's far left is evolving from a political position into a mental state and that the right-wing majority has not yet evolved into being a mature, self-confident public.

Consider an incident from mid-April. Haaretz published an op-ed by one of its columnists. It made a less-than-convincing argument that religious Zionist Israelis are more dangerous to Israel than Hezbollah terrorists. And yet, the response was overwhelming. The prime minister, defense minister, education minister and justice minister all denounced the article and the newspaper. The president condemned the article, too. The leader of the centrist party Yesh Atid called the op-ed "anti-Semitic." Leaders of the left-of-center Labor Party called it hateful. The country was almost unified in condemnation.

Of course, not completely unified. On the far left, a few voices supported the article and the newspaper. Some argued that the article was substantively valid. Others argued that whether the article was substantive or not, the onslaught on Haaretz is a cynical ploy to shake another pillar of the left — maybe its most visible remaining pillar.

If there is such ploy, it doesn't seem to be working. Last week, on the eve of Israel's Memorial Day, a day of somber reflection, Haaretz was at it again. One article by a leading columnist explained that he could no longer fly the Israeli flag. Another seemed to be calling for a civil war. These are not exceptions; they are the rule for a newspaper that in recent years has come to rely on provocation.

Its provocations aim to serve its ideology. Haaretz and its core readership are fiercely opposed to Israel's occupation of the West Bank, to the government's support for settlers there, to the government's recalibration of the High Court, to Israel's state-religion status quo and to other conservative trends.

Four factors have converged to make Haaretz more annoying to Israelis today than ever before. First, the country is less receptive to a left-wing agenda as most of its citizens tilt rightward. Second, the country feels it is under an unjustified and hypocritical international siege and so is less forgiving when Israelis are perceived to be providing Israel's critics with ammunition. Just recently, Jewish Israelis ranked "left wingers" as one of the groups contributing least to Israel's success. Third, Israel's left is very small, and also feeling under siege. Fourth, the left's frustration with Israel makes it bitter and antagonistic. It makes it more prone to test the patience of other Israelis by upping the rhetorical ante in its criticism of country, leaders and groups.

The result of this increasingly provocative discourse is often pathetic, at times comical and occasionally worrying. Haaretz irks the majority of Israelis by giving voice to preposterous descriptions of what Israel

is or does (“fascism,” “apartheid”), and the majority and its leaders never fail to take the bait and fly into a rage. It is a childish game and, in the long run, Israel loses. Its quality newspaper of coherent dissent, necessary in a pluralistic society, has become a platform for juvenile contrarianism. Its left-wing opposition, to which Haaretz gives voice, has become synonymous with needless antagonism; public debate has been made blunter and less constructive; the public is angrier and less tolerant of dissent.

Tempting as it is, the story of the people vs. Haaretz is not a story of a country whose public is no longer willing to tolerate debate. It is a story about a group within Israel that is losing its ability to communicate with the rest of society and have any chance of influencing its future. It is a story about a group within Israel that finds its relief in provoking the rest of us until we snap.

I worked at Haaretz for more than a decade, as features editor, head of the news division and, for three years, chief United States correspondent. My stint in Washington ended in 2008 when my employment was terminated. But I always valued Haaretz’s independence from dogma and its professional excellence, even though I wasn’t always comfortable with its ideological bent. The fact that I no longer consider it a must-read paper is probably for the same reason most Israelis are uncomfortable with it: Haaretz still employs good journalists, and on some of the issues these writers make strong cases, supported by evidence. But all in all, reading Haaretz in the last couple of decades is increasingly an exercise in anticipating a nearing demise.

The paper gets many specific stories right, but it gets the larger arc of Israel’s story wrong. It tends to paint a bleak picture of Israel’s actions, and it goes overboard in predicting grave consequences for Israel that rarely materialize. It tends not to notice that Israel today is a country more powerful militarily, economically and culturally than it was when the newspaper and its circle of loyal readers began explaining how almost every choice that the country is making is wrong.

And maybe that’s the source of Haaretz’s frustration: It is not that Israel does not listen. It is that Israel does not listen and still succeeds.

Shmuel Rosner is the political editor at The Jewish Journal, a senior fellow at the Jewish People Policy Institute and a contributing opinion writer.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/11/opinion/the-people-vs-haaretz.html>

Haaretz analyst taken in by joke column about Jared Kushner (update)

Apr 22, 2017.

Amir Oren is a senior correspondent and columnist for Haaretz and a member of the newspaper's editorial board.

He writes in Haaretz:

'Foreign visitors stream to the White House, but there is an embarrassing emptiness there behind the power of Trump’s son-in-law-adviser, Jared Kushner, who boasted that, while waiting to board ski lifts on vacation, he read up on the Middle East on his smartphone.'

The source for this story? A joke column written in The New Yorker
[http://www.newyorker.com/humor/borowitz-report/jared-kushner-says-he-read-up-on-middle-east-during-minutes-waiting-for-ski-lift?mbid=nl_040417] by Andy Borowitz:

This is the quality of Haaretz' analysts... Some say Haaretz is an actual newspaper.
<https://elderofziyon.blogspot.com/2017/04/haaretz-analyst-taken-in-by-joke-column.html?m=1>

Israeli journalist calls religious Zionists more dangerous [sic] than Hezbollah

By Gil Hoffman, April 13, 2017

Defense Minister Liberman called for a boycott against Haaretz...

<https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/israeli-journalist-calls-religious-zionists-more-dangerous-than-hezbollah-486929>

—

News1 First Class

Boaz Shapira

Please meet: Haaretz newspaper in its ugliness

April 16, 2017

It is clear from the publications that the composition of the newspaper's controlling entity included in the past and perhaps even today a family with a Nazi past and an oligarch who was defined as a fugitive criminal at the time.

Haaretz newspaper desk

I do not remember that anyone bothered to concentrate on one list the abominations associated with the "newspaper for thinking people" that in recent days has once again increased to publish in his article an inciting hatred against the Jewish faith, pioneers of the generation and builders on the way to redemption. These were "described" by the author of the article - verbatim: "National religious people are more dangerous than Hezbollah." By the way, as far as the "danger" of eradication of evil, burglary, abomination and hatred of Judaism and Jews from our holy land is concerned - the author is right. But that is not the intention ...

Anyone who follows this manifesto of hatred, which calls itself a newspaper, easily discovers an ugly face, incitement and stir-up, an anti-Jewish, anti-Zionist and certainly anti-religious line. Identifying with the "narrative" of the various Arab murderers, from Arafat to Mahmoud Abbas, both will be erased there, the newspaper has long since crossed all lines and there are still Jews who purchase it and support its owners. Among the buyers of the newspaper for its "thinking" commanders is the Israel Defense Forces ... Oh, dressed.

I will approach the matter - warning !! - For those 'faint hearted' and their mental strength is low - it is recommended to read after consulting a doctor

I will open with the ownership of the newspaper. Similar to the entire industry that ran into financial difficulties, owner Amos Schocken joined the newspaper about ten years ago (at a rate of 20%). As was announced at the time, the new partner in Haaretz is the German press concern Dumont Schauberg. It was widely reported that this concern had previously collaborated with the Nazis and even received from Goebbels an erased name and high decoration, such as the one awarded to the head of the Gestapo.

In 2011 another partner was announced for the Knesset - Leonid Nevzlin, who compared to the above German partner seems like an angel on earth. Da-Aka said that this "angel" had previously been convicted in Russia of murder and other serious offenses. His appeal was rejected there and Russia's request to extradite him was rejected. I did not continue to follow the descent of Danan, may have won and proved that we are dealing with a great righteous man.

I do not know exactly what the state of ownership is today, but it is clear from the publications that the composition of the controlling shareholders in the newspaper included in the past and perhaps even today a family with a Nazi past and an oligarch who was defined as an escaped criminal.

Let's continue: did we talk about crossing lines? And you have more than that. A well-known journalist and publicist, Amira Hass, became very famous for being associated with the Haaretz newspaper. In her writing, she covers the Arabs whom the world calls distortedly "Palestinians" and is politically identified with the radical left. How radical? The lady also lives in Ramallah and most of her writing supports the famous "narrative" of the murderers ... (Abbas & Co. ...). Among the "pearls" that Hess managed to produce was her support for stone-throwing, which she called "the innate right and duty of one who is under foreign rule" (she later added reservations to her sweeping and outrageous statement). Whoever wants will search and find many more examples.

The name Gideon Levy who is very much associated with this newspaper is very familiar. I will not go into detail, just saying that it is clear that his identification is with the Arab enemy. Wearing glasses that reflect their "distress," "their hardships," and the "injustice of occupation," he often presents positions that describe the IDF as an immoral army that kills Arabs, children and infants. The "root of the problem" and the forceful rule of the "army of settlers" according to his distorted view are the causes of all this (needless to say that He does not engage himself in the Arab terror before "occupation" in 1967 ...). "In 2013, Levy wrote that he was waiting for a" violent revolt of the Palestinians and Israeli Arabs against the Israeli regime ... which is more cruel than the regime of Libya and Syria. "Levy often expressed support for the boycott. On Israel (who "will stop the occupation"),

Levy also published lies, such as in 2005 when he wrote that Border Police officers abused a "Palestinian" to death by tying him to a donkey...

In a candid letter written by Irit Linor to the publisher of Haaretz, this article about Gideon Levy "In a private conversation with him, he once told me (Gideon Levy-B.S.) that he would not travel a hundred meters to save the life of a settler." This is Levy and this is his newspaper. ...

A newspaper is allowed to have an agenda. He must not shed poison, incite hatred, instigate and slander, and identify with the enemy. He must not exclude himself by completely neglecting fair coverage and editing accordingly. I remember that after the shocking murder of members of the Fogel family from Itamar, the headline in the Haaretz newspaper reported that tens of thousands were missing in the disaster in Japan...

The language used by Haaretz is usually harsh, false and inciting, many times against IDF soldiers.

The newspaper also has a sharp anti-religious and anti-ultra-Orthodox agenda, in this way the description with which we have opened above reflects the tip of a glacier of burning hatred that is consistently expressed over days. This is how the newspaper reported in the past that an ultra-Orthodox student receives a monthly allowance of six thousand shekels (I wish), and is constantly at the forefront of a defamatory power struggle bordering on anti-Semitism against believing-Jews wherever they are. Thus, the "newspaper" did not find it difficult to publish a headline in which in a single strike of a pen is mentioned the coming of the Messiah and quotations from Hitler. more and more...

It is simply that Haarerz leads in a permissive and postmodern line with break-ins, sexual promiscuity, abnormal "family cells" and so on. All of these in his eyes are legitimate and even desirable. Since this is how I even hint at the next story that is not pleasing to the ear ...

Some time ago, a friend sent me a video that was perceived as "underground" ...Disgusting. Did I already said that?

In light of the above, the fact that Haaretz chose a pornographic video (in which a half-naked couple is having an affair) is seen as a sales promotion for its website - as trivial ...

In the above-mentioned open letter written by the municipality of Linor, the lady concludes as follows: "After decades of daily reading in Haaretz, I come to the conclusion that you and I do not live in the same place. "A growing part of the articles and articles in your newspaper smell of foreign press, which treats Israel as another distant and repulsive territory ... and I do not want to subscribe to a newspaper that tries in any way possible to make me ashamed of my Zionism, my patriotism and my intelligence."

Here seems to me the place to end, hoping the message is well understood!
<https://m.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-119375-00.html>

Haaretz must not be silenced, even while the left is sinking

Yossi Klein's opinion column is a shame and a disgrace. The entire chain of command of Haaretz has failed here. The elite of the left is collapsing. It is a sad and spectacular spectacle at the same time, but it is important to remember that sinking elites can still do a lot of damage

Ariel Schnabel 13/4/2017...

Not many people read Haaretz. Whenever verbal feces of this kind are published there, many dismiss the matter with a dismissive hand gesture and say - "Leave you, who reads this at all". So it is, that the decision-makers and the Israeli elite still read in the newspaper every morning. A matter of tradition, of conservatism, of cultural codes that takes time to change.

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/873/887.html>

The HAARETZ has turned from just a racist newspaper into an antisemitic pamphlet.

The same demagoguery of Schocken the father on the eve of the Six Day War also characterizes Schocken the son. Yossi Klein should have given the balance and sanity after the generation of veterans left, but it turns out he just wants to be famous

Amnon Lord 13/4/2017

Haaretz's history is replete with scandalous, racist, and often tedious writing. As early as the late 1940s and early 1950s, the most prominent writers in the newspaper at the time - Aryeh Gelblum and Amos Ayalon - published a series of articles on immigration from Arab countries, especially Morocco.

These articles smelled of racism and disgust towards the immigrants from Morocco. This of course does not prevent the descendants of immigrants from North Africa from pushing elbows in a pathetic attempt to get a few inches of opinion column in Haaretz, the newspaper that documented in detail the hygiene habits of their parents.

An article like that of Yossi Klein is both an overly visible sign of the role of the newspaper today and a testament to its deterioration. As long as the paper had senior functionaries like David Landau or Zeev Schiff, or even when Ari Shavit and Joel Marcus were in...

Today it is fashionable to ask - who gives the money? So if a German publisher whose family has a Nazi past controls a quarter or more of the land, there is no reason why he should not get his money's worth. Who is Nevzlin?

People were excited about the blatant attack on religious Zionism, but earlier this week Gideon Levy wrote an article that actually downplayed the chemical attack in Idlib, and the transfer of accusations against the United States means he supports the gas attack. Because just like Hadash and the joint list, those who are unable to condemn the use of weapons of mass destruction support the act...

https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/HP_0.html

Play: Anti-Semitic incitement or an excerpt from an article in Israel?

Apr 13, 2017 —

Srugim | Following the column of reporter Yossi Klein from Haaretz newspaper...

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=191616>

[News 13 reporter and commentator on legal matters].

Baruch Kra @baruchikra

Apr 12, 2017:

Klein's article in "Haaretz" is a racist, despicable article, full of hatred for humans. He is not attacking an ideology, not even a camp, but people, beliefs, appearance. Sad.

<https://twitter.com/baruchikra/status/852233111370956801>

Because of Rogel Alpher's column: "Haaretz" will compensate an engineering company with 50 Thousand Shekel.

The subject is a column published by Alpher in December 2015, in which he called to contact the Paz Engineering company with the demand to remove an advertising sign of the ImTirzu "If You Will" movement from its construction site. According to Paz Engineering, following the column, company personnel were harassed and threatened.

Yonatan Kitain, 04.04.2017

"Haaretz" newspaper will pay NIS 50,000 to the Paz Engineering and Building Company and its owner Yosef Paz, due to an article written by Rogel Alpher - this is what the Tel Aviv Magistrate's Court ruled last month, based on a settlement reached by both parties.

<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001183996>

Yoav Yitzhak@YoavNews1

Mar 24, 2017:

The double standards of the Schocken group: when Arden calls Haaretz newspaper fake news, it's a threat; And when they call Arden a fake-minister that's a legitimate criticism. Did we say hypocrisy?

<https://twitter.com/YoavNews1/status/845259707157811201>

Gilad Arden - Haaretz newspaper is disconnected from reality in Israel. A newspaper that calls to Israelis...

March 27, 2017.

(Haaretz - Also fake news and also backing the BDS

[https://scontent-mia3-1.xx.fbcdn.net/v/t1.18169-9/17457511_1570811912958914_5936851775257964008_n.jpg?stp=cp0_dst-jpg_e15_fr_q65&_nc_cat=108&ccb=1-7&_nc_sid=2d5d41&_nc_ohc=kLygjH66nB4AX_nfc4v&_nc_ht=scontent-mia3-1.xx&oh=00_AfCI8G8GrrPOoml-GSmNbxu3fX05JR-15L42_fKTAbw1qg&oe=640778DF]

<https://www.facebook.com/gilad.erdan/photos/a.225201850853267/1570811912958914/?type=3>

<https://www.facebook.com/gilad.erdan/photos/a.225201850853267/1570811912958914/?type=3>

<https://www.facebook.com/gilad.erdan/photos/a.225201850853267/1570811912958914/?type=3>

MK Glick: "Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken asked me to support laws that would help him"

Arik Bender, Jan 14, 2017

<https://www.maariv.co.il/news/politics/Article-570232>

Hamas connected very much with an anti-Semitic cartoon by "Haaretz" and used it - Rotter Aug 2, 2016.

Yishai Friedman editor of "BeSheva":

The "mysterious" ways of anti-Semitism. A Hamas-affiliated website uses a Haaretz cartoon to express the (supposed) "control" of the (supposed) extremist[sic] rabbis[sic] in the country (ie, Hamas' deep affection for the Protocols of the Elders of Zion). Haaretz used this cartoon to express the ultra-Orthodox (supposed) "extortion"[sic] of budgets. Hamas was very connected to the cartoon.

[<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10208140894892874&set%3B=a.1261811538162.40682.1015902265>]

<http://web.archive.org/web/20160802141527/https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/335753.shtml>

[Journalist Haviv Rettig Gur]

To my liberal friends abroad who fear Israel is collapsing into fascism because, well, they read Haaretz...
- Haviv Rettig Gur | Facebook [January 28, 2016 at 12:45 PM]

To my liberal friends abroad who fear Israel is collapsing into fascism because, well, they read Haaretz, here's the latest evidence that reading Haaretz can be hazardous to your capacity to actually understand (as opposed to just rail hysterically about) Israeli society and politics.

Haaretz, like others in that wing of the left, are fighting a vindictive, bitter culture war, that is emphatically and explicitly disdainful of Israeliness itself. Here is one of Haaretz's senior columnists arguing without the slightest sarcasm or irony that an Israeli NBA coach **should** be fired and explicitly for his Israeliness, because - are you sitting down?- Israelis emotional attachment to other Israelis in the NBA is ipso facto fascism.

Last month, Haaretz used the word "fascist" five different times in a two-week period to describe Israel. For many Haaretz writers, Israeli cultural touchstones are fascist not because they are fascist, but because they are Israeli.

It is often argued among Israelis that Haaretz has adopted this strange political identity for sheer clickbait, prostitution their intellectual integrity and journalistic seriousness for greed. That, at any rate is the optimistic interpretation.

As long as Haaretz itself isn't ashamed of its willful decline, no real harm can come to Haaretz from this sort of journalism.

But, inasmuch as it is identified with the broader Israeli left, it is hard to think of any single voice or political actor that has done more damage to that left's prospect in the only arena that counts: the mind of Israeli voters.

In Haaretz, the right is given, miraculously, reification of its own caricature of an incompetent, intellectually dishonest, utterly unelectable, jealously vindictive Israeli left.

I have no evidence that Rogel Alpher and his like-minded friends work for Benjamin Netanyahu. But they do. They are the enemy he yearns for, the enemy that makes his campaign slogan of "It's me or them" an election winner.

I hope he at least goes to the trouble of sending them flowers every time he wins another round at the ballot box.

<https://www.facebook.com/274139392720570/posts/to-my-liberal-friends-abroad-who-fear-israel-is-collapsing-into-fascism-because-/728853997249105/>

https://www.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=728853997249105&id=274139392720570&refid=52&__tn__=-R

The Haaretz team, which preaches peace with the enemy, carries the banner of hatred towards its people

Amos Schocken (Photo: Flash 90)

Yossi Klein is not the issue, but the fact that in Haaretz it is allowed to write about Jews what is forbidden to write about terrorists. A self-respecting newspaper does not publish everything that freedom of expression allows to say Kalman Liebskind, 15/04/2017

<https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/Article-581271>

Haaretz newspaper: Tolerance of the enemy, hatred of his people

Yossi Klein has the right to say his opinion, and his outrageous expression does not incite. But "Ha'aretz" is becoming the marginalized newspaper by the day.

Kalman Liebskind, April 13, 2017

<https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/Article-581200>

Haviv Rettig Gur

July 17, 2017 at 10:32 AM

Continuing yesterday's saga, Haaretz's world news editor literally declares himself an anti-Zionist.

Progressive friends, critics of Israel who nevertheless respect and love this nation, Haaretz is not your newspaper. Keep reading it, certainly, but don't make the mistake of thinking what you're reading is filtered through editorial judgment that deserves your trust or agrees with your ideals.

Something dishonest is happening at Haaretz, and it's a damn shame. We need a progressive voice in this country that doesn't let itself turn into a fawning parrot for the latest anti-Zionist fashion abroad, but speaks to us Israelis, to our experience and concerns, and tries to convince us its vision is better. That's not Haaretz, at least not anymore.

If all you know about Israel is filtered through Haaretz, then alas, despite some wonderful and indispensable journalists who work there, your view of Israel is being shaped by a disdainful elitism that has largely given up on this country and is uninterested in explaining it beyond the bounds of its narrow ideology.

<https://www.facebook.com/haviv/posts/10155329844880792>

Haviv Rettig Gur (@havivrettigur) Tweeted:

I know, I know, it's getting tiresome. Just pointing out that Haaretz's world news editor literally declares himself anti-Zionist. <https://t.co/Xom8CEZLqy>

<https://twitter.com/havivrettigur/status/886891001738133504?s=20>

Jul 17, 2017

Judean Peoples Front (@JudeanPF) Tweeted [July 17, 2017]:

@havivrettigur Not just anti-Zionist, but claims it's racist for Jews to marry other Jews.

<https://t.co/roPm2B0itW> <https://twitter.com/JudeanPF/status/887065911462678533?s=20>

Is Haaretz hated by Israelis? [2017

Elke Weiss, lived in Israel (2014-2015)

Haaretz enjoys the freedom of the press, a fundamental right guaranteed in Israel.

A lot of people criticize Ha'aretz for basically abandoning the Israeli left and becoming a paper that no longer represents those like myself who want democracy, and a two state solution, and freedom of speech. It's basically a paper that is the Israeli version of Electronic Intifada.

[...]

Let's play a game. I'll give you two titles.

"Stop living in denial, Israel is an evil state."

"Israel: The house of hate"

Without Googling, which one was a feature in an Israeli newspaper of Haaretz and which one was written in a foreign press by the counsel for Hamas?

If you are unsure...that's a problem

<https://www.quora.com/Is-Haaretz-hated-by-Israelis>

Sheftel on Haaretz: "How much anti-Semitism and hatred of Israel, what a scoundrel"

The lawyer opened his program on 103FM in reference to the storm surrounding Yossi Klein's article: "How much moral filth, even Al Jazeera would not dare make such a comparison"

103FM 13/04/2017 12:32

The storm surrounding Yossi Klein's column in Haaretz on the issue of national-religious people is not off the agenda, and today (Thursday) Adv. Yoram Sheftel addressed this in his program on 103FM.
<https://www.maariv.co.il/news/politics/Article-581151>

Storm following a column in Haaretz against "Hezbollah's national religious people"

Politicians on the right and left condemned things. Minister Shaked quoted an SMS from the mother of the late Banya Rein: "Tell him that my son was killed by Hezbollah so that he can continue to be a journalist"

Ran Boker - 13/04/2017

The Minister of Justice, Ayelet Shaked from the Jewish Home, wrote: "While a 'journalist' was writing, I received this text from Hagit Rein, the mother of the late Major Banya Rein, who was killed in the Second Lebanon War: 'I do not know the racist reporter from Haaretz. If you know him, tell him in my name that my son, Major Banya Rein, is a settler... killed by Hezbollah, when he volunteered for the war to save the residents of the Galilee and all the people of Israel, including him, so that he could continue to be a journalist ...' "Shaked added: "And I tell Haaretz, apologize to Hagit and remove the disgrace."

<https://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-4948596,00.html>

Burning hatred: It is impossible to read Yossi Klein's words without being ashamed.

Meir Uziel 23/04/2017

In the ... daily newspaper Haaretz, the editors decided to publish an article sent to them by the Klux Klein line. This is an article in which Yossi Klein claims with foam on his lips and steam from his nostrils that the national religious are more dangerous than Hezbollah and the terrorists who stab Jews in the streets of our cities and villages. I did not invent. Here is the opening quote that has become infamous: "National religious are dangerous. More dangerous than Hezbollah, more rapacious customs and girls with scissors. The Arabs can be neutralized, they are not. "

By the way, he originally wrote to kill, not neutralize, but censored this passionate antisemitic text a bit. Klein is small, as is well known, and I would not discuss his article, but the publicity that things received and the support for him made it part of a dangerous trend for Israel and freedom-seekers. The Ku Klux Klan are also sure that they are working for America, and Klein probably thinks so too, but it is accepted in the cultural world that ardent sacred thought is not a reason why responsible editors will publish general hate speech in print.

<https://www.maariv.co.il/journalists/Article-581994>

—

Baruch Kra (@baruchikra) Tweeted:

Klein's article in Haaretz - A racist, despicable, hateful article for human beings. It does not attack ideology, not even camp, but people, beliefs, looks. sad.

Apr 12, 2017

<https://twitter.com/baruchikra/status/852233111370956801?s=20>

Amos Schocken on Twitter: "The right and duty of the conquered and dispossessed to throw stones (and to ignite fire)."

In response to Amir Ayelet's tweet: "Hagai Segal brings Shabbat edition in "Makor Rishon" an excerpt from a letter published in the Haaretz letter section," A letter of sympathy for the latest wave of arson "written by a resident of Omer Dov Seton":

Dec 10, 2016

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/366312.shtml>

Sources Slam 'Nazi Haaretz' Over PM's 'Media Obsession'

Dor Halavy, Nov 3, 2016 — In response, sources close to Netanyahu were quoted by Israel media as saying that they hoped the newspaper's "Nazi ties" were not influencing

<https://hamodia.com/2016/11/03/sources-slam-nazi-haaretz-over-pms-media-obsession/>

Sep 2016

CAMERAorg @CAMERAorg Sep 21, 2016

Haaretz smears CAMERA and makes it free to read - and then hides CAMERA's rebuttal behind a paywall. <http://bit.ly/2cpOLSe>

<https://twitter.com/CAMERAorg/status/778640929662070785>

CAMERA Response to Haaretz: We're Not a Right-Wing Media Watchdog

Haaretz is out of touch with the non-profit world realities if it expects organizations like CAMERA are obliged ethically or professionally to disclose their roster of donors. This is an absurd expectation.

Andrea Levin, Sep. 19, 2016

Haaretz's September 5 front-page story ("Times of Israel Cofounder Gave \$1.5 Million to Right-wing Media Watchdog That Routinely Goes After News Outlets") attacking CAMERA offers not a single fact to buttress its claims the organization is politically "right-wing" or motivated by financial incentives to criticize certain media outlets.

The assertions are false. First, CAMERA's non-partisan stance is evident in the literally thousands of media critiques and other material on the CAMERA website by staff writers. Nothing there advocates politics or policy – not regarding preferred solutions to the Arab-Israeli conflict, settlements, political candidates and so on. We advocate only for the media's accurate rendering of events. Naturally, this applies to Haaretz coverage as well.

Likewise, the claim that CAMERA's criticism of Haaretz coverage is guided by its having received support from one of the founders of The Times of Israel (launched February 2012) or the publisher of Israel Hayom (launched July 2007) is belied by, among other things, the fact that long before either of them existed we were actively criticizing Haaretz. The first item on the CAMERA website about Haaretz is dated 2001, six years before Israel Hayom was founded, eleven years before Times of Israel appeared on the scene.

And CAMERA's "Lost in Translation" articles – about Haaretz stories that are straightforward in the Hebrew original and become skewed against Israel in the English version – were first published in 2005, again years before the debut of the two new Israeli media outlets. Yet a key allegation of the article is that proof of CAMERA's compliance with donor agendas is that the advent of these "right wing" media outlets supported by some of the same donors who give to CAMERA — essentially prompted CAMERA's criticism of Haaretz.

The article deceptively states that "for the past four years" CAMERA has targeted Haaretz for its Lost in Translation distortions. What about the previous seven years?

Moreover, while Haaretz's story mentioned the "Lost in Translation" phenomenon, it notably ignored the public uproar and widespread criticism of Haaretz it generated. No doubt, it's uncomfortable to be challenged on the air by fellow journalists in Israel indignant that the nation is being smeared internationally by false assertions in Haaretz. Responding to CAMERA's work, an interviewer on Army radio declared on May 2 that "Haaretz in English is the fuel of BDS and anti-Semitism."

The tortured nature of Haaretz's attempt to tie an objective monitoring process to alleged donor preference can be seen in a further observation. It's been reported that Times of Israel co-founder Seth Klarman has endorsed and contributed substantial financial resources to Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign. If he has also supported CAMERA does that mean the organization must, therefore, have a left-ward pro-Democratic Party bias?

Haaretz seems equally out of touch with the realities of the non-profit world in its notion that organizations such as CAMERA are obliged ethically or professionally to disclose their roster of donors, which number in the many thousands of individuals. This is an absurd expectation.

Two final points about the Haaretz story on CAMERA. It relied on multiple anonymous sources to malign the organization. One nameless foreign journalist charged that CAMERA staff “browbeat,” “intimidate” and “harass” journalists. This cartoonish picture bears no resemblance to the normal, courteous relationships CAMERA has with many journalists.

At most media institutions, the use of anonymous critics is disallowed. The New York Times’ policy is that “a denigrating, anonymous quote” would be excised. Haaretz allowed anonymous voices to denigrate CAMERA extensively.

It’s also routine to include balance. Did the story’s author seek comment from anyone admiring of CAMERA’s work and its right to speak out and hold journalists to their own codes of ethics? There are many such individuals. Reporter Uri Blau’s quote from a lengthy 2003 Boston Globe feature on CAMERA by media critic Mark Jurkowitz suggested the story shared Haaretz’s derogatory view of CAMERA. In reality, it was clearly respectful of the organization’s professionalism and impact. We’ve reproduced thousands of the Globe story to distribute to the public.

The hollowness of the Haaretz story, its hyperbole and timing point to the true motivation of the piece. The intent is evidently to smear CAMERA because the organization has alerted Israelis to the damaging impact globally of defamatory falsehoods in Haaretz.

Andrea Levin is the Executive Director and President of the Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting in America (CAMERA).

<https://www.haaretz.com/opinion/.premium-camera-response-to-haaretz-we-re-not-a-right-wing-media-watchdog-1.5439080>

Surprise, Surprise. JStreet U Officer Supports Black Lives Matter Platform on Israel

Posted on the 22 August 2016 by Mikelumish @IsraelThrives

Sar Shalom

Tablet Magazine ran a few great takedowns of Black Lives Matter's platform as it concerns Israel. Unfortunately, they were followed by an article by Daniel May, a past Director of JStreet U, essentially saying that Israel's occupation policy is responsible for BLM's platform. While nearly every paragraph of May's deserves criticism, in particular his parroting of Haaretz's lies, I'd like to focus on his original sin. In the final paragraph, May writes:

'Palestine will never advance so long as independence was won only through the dispossession of another nation.'

Everything in the case against "the Occupation" stems from the accusation the Jewish sovereignty was won by dispossessing another nation. From the dispossession narrative comes the "right to resist" which

justifies Palestinian terror and, with such actions being justified, delegitimizes Israel's countermeasures. Hence we see the one-sided description from JStreet and their ilk.

To understand dispossession as it pertains to the "Palestinians," consider a counterfactual from American history. Suppose that when the Pilgrims came to Massachusetts (for simplicity, I will be using present-day names for places), the population of Indian tribes native to Massachusetts was small. However, just before then, a handful of tribes from Quebec had started migrating to Massachusetts and accelerated during the Pilgrims' lifetimes. Subsequently, the Pilgrims' descendants stopped the inflow from Quebec and imposed population controls on the Indian population in Massachusetts, affecting the Quebec tribes because they were the larger presence. Would such an action constitute dispossession for the Quebec tribes? Such is the case with the Palestinians.

While it is true that Arabs were the majority of the population of the southwest Levant before the advent of Zionism, it does not follow that all non-Jewish population change was the result of natural growth. In the decades before the first Aliya, the Ottomans started moving population from other parts of its empire to the southwest Levant. A larger impetus for immigration was the economic development created by the Zionists. The result is that as the Jewish population rose due to Zionist immigration, so did the Arab population due to Arab immigration. Neither the Ottomans nor the British attempted to document how many Arabs thus entered Palestine. Thus, we have no reliable numbers for how many entered or what percentage of those claiming to be Palestinian have actual ties to the southwest Levant from before the first Aliya.

Thus, the dispossession narrative claims that denying sovereignty to immigrants from Arabia and Egypt is dispossessing those immigrants.

A larger flaw in the dispossession narrative is common accounts miss in how the conflict started, where "how the conflict started" means what changed from when there was relative calm. ...

<https://en.paperblog.com/surprise-surprise-jstreet-u-officer-supports-black-lives-matter-platform-on-israel-1530543/>

You'll manage without us.

Even hard-core and prominent left-wingers are becoming fed up with Haaretz newspaper and with its editorial content that bashes Israel .. Haaretz blusters: Anyone who doesn't read Haaretz isn't worthy of reading Haaretz.

26/8/2016.

The people at Haaretz don't understand how it happened. They could more or less accept subscribers abandoning them: The paper is the knight of conscience and those who cancel their subscriptions are crazy right-wingers, and shallow, of course. Sometimes the paper's response is more childish than condescending: Anyone who doesn't read Haaretz isn't worthy of reading Haaretz..

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/408455>

Jeffrey Goldberg causes stir with online jabs at Israeli media

In Twitter fight, US journalist says he may stop reading left-leaning Haaretz; calls Jerusalem Post 'nuts' and Times of Israel 'very reliable'

By JTA - 2 August 2016

— In Twitter fight, US journalist says he may stop reading left-leaning Haaretz; calls Jerusalem Post 'nuts' and Times of Israel 'very

"I like a lot of the people at Haaretz, and many of its positions, but the cartoonish anti-Israelism and anti-Semitism can be grating. Jeffrey Goldberg (@jeffre Goldberg) | TweetSave
<https://tweetsave.com/jeffre Goldberg>
<https://www.timesofisrael.com/jeffre Goldberg-causes-stir-with-online-jabs-at-israeli-media/>

Senior journalist: "Articles in 'Haaretz' are used by neo-Nazis"

The Haaretz newspaper is under attack because it was not expected The senior American journalist Jeffrey Goldberg, who is associated with the left, attacked the newspaper's reporter and claimed that "too many absurd articles" are published there - He pointed out that neo-Nazis send him links to articles and base their claims on them.

Chaim Friedlander 27 Tammuz 5776 - 02.08.2016

<https://www.kolhazman.co.il/133868>

Jeffrey Goldberg: "To read anti-Semitic articles I do not need the 'HAARETZ'" Aug 9, 2016 - In a conversation with Walla Branja The senior commentator of Atlantic who tweeted last week against the newspaper Not only does he not retract, but even basing them with additional arguments...
<https://b.walla.co.il/item/2986807>

—

'Haaretz legitimizing Neo-Nazis' anti-Semitism'

American journalist rips left-wing Israeli daily for visceral anti-Israel articles, delegitimization of Jewish state.

Tags: Haaretz Jeffrey Goldberg

Arutz Sheva Staff , Aug 10 , 2016

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/216179>

News Israel 13 (@newsisrael13) Tweeted (Oct 30, 2016):

Following the exposure of the alleged harassment he committed, Ari Shavit ends his work at Haaretz and Channel 10: "I am ashamed of the serious mistakes I made in my relationship with men in general and women" <https://twitter.com/newsisrael13/status/792699788399632385?s=20>

Leading Israeli Politician Slams Haaretz Newspaper for Appointing New Editor With Ties to Radical Anti-Israel Group Breaking the Silence

by Lea Speyer, July 7, 2016

Lapid accused Haaretz... of spreading lies about Israel, including that "the majority of Israeli citizens support apartheid and that Israeli soldiers slaughter Palestinian children."
<https://www.algemeiner.com/2016/07/08/leading-israeli-politician-slams-haaretz-newspaper-for-appointing-new-editor-with-ties-to-radical-anti-israel-group-breaking-the-silence/>

Translation errors or trending editing? "Haaretz", in English it sounds bad

The gaps in the versions between "Haaretz" in Hebrew and English provoke criticism of the extreme left line that sometimes appears again and again in the English version

Yonatan Kitain, 14.07.2016

When Amior is asked to point out obvious mistakes he and his men found in Haaretz in English, he does not know where to start. "They constantly make mistakes, and always ones that create a bias against Israel compared to the original report in the Hebrew version. They correct many times after we comment on them, but unfortunately we catch them with one mistake without much time."

Indeed, entering the presspectiva site quickly leads to pages where you can find many dozens of translation errors, which the site has reported over the years. The topical one of them - which may also clarify something about the differences between Haaretz in Hebrew and its English counterpart - concerns a soldier who shot to death a terrorist in Hebron, whose case is currently being discussed in a military court.

The Perspective website provides examples that show how headlines in the Hebrew edition, from which it can be understood that Azaria shot a terrorist, are presented in such a way that it will not be possible to understand this in English. One time "killing the terrorist" becomes "shooting in Hebron", another time the "terrorist" in the Hebrew title is "the wounded Palestinian" in English, and so on.

And if that's not enough to convince you that it's a consistent editorial decision, you can look at the tag that combines these stories on both sites. On the site in Hebrew it is "The soldier shot a terrorist", while in English it is called "The shooting in Hebron".

Amior mentions another notable case. In 2014, Amira Hass and Yair Ettinger published an article stating that settlers chose to mark Lag B'Omer by lighting a fire in an olive grove belonging to a Palestinian family. In English, however, the headline reported that "settlers burned an olive grove in Hebron."

The site published a correction to the article following a request from Perspective, but in the meantime Peter Bainert, a renowned American journalist who also writes for Haaretz, has already sent more than 24,000 followers on Twitter to the article, which he crowned with his own headline: "Lag B'Omer Pogrom" Later he also returned to it.

"I mean," says Amior, "we have settlers here who lit a Lag B'Omer bonfire in an olive grove, not a single tree was burned there, as is known in Hebrew, and within two steps, it becomes no less than a pogrom."

- Could it be that the problem is simply a not good enough translation from Hebrew to English?

"This is what they tried to claim, that it is random. But it is impossible to continue to claim it when all the biases are always in one direction, alongside more criticism of Israel."

- And when you turn to them, do they correct?

"In a large part of the cases, yes, we had an open line with them. If you read our review, you see that this is not an inciting talkback, it is a matter-of-fact discussion. And they really corrected many times, until Amos Schocken (Haaretz publisher, Y.) "He told me that he was opposed to it at all, and that if he had a claim, it was not towards the editors in English - but towards 'Haaretz in Hebrew'."

Schocken confirmed that he said the things about two cases.

"Attempt to pass journalistic criticism to politics"

Another scathing critic of the English edition of Haaretz is Yedioth Ahronoth journalist Ben Dror Yemini. Yemini, who in recent years has been involved in pro-Israel propaganda around the world, knows how to tell that the difficult questions he encounters during his lectures on campuses around the world are often based on things that the questioners read in Haaretz. "I come across questions that are between difficult and hostile, and in many cases, when you want to prove the claim, the reliance is on articles from Haaretz."

- If there are biases in Haaretz in English, why do you think this is happening?

"I do not know, I do not understand them, as I do not understand the Israeli left. After all, there are enough good arguments against the right and against what the right does to us. I am not a right-wing man, I wrote against the settlers more than anything I wrote against the Israeli left. "Favors. Making Israel a monster only distances peace and only perpetuates the occupation."

- And in "Haaretz" do not understand this?

"I think they think this is the right thing to do. I mean, for them we are forces of progress, we belong to the international community that is very hostile to Israel, and we provide it with exactly what it wants, because we are the main source of information. They are not fighting the occupation, they are fighting Israel. "

When Schocken is asked about the gaps, he explains an important point: "The sites are edited separately. When a site or newspaper has an English title, it is not necessarily a translation of the Hebrew title, because the Hebrew article reaches both sites, is sent for English translation. That she thinks it suits her audience. "

- And yet, as the follow-up shows, there are a lot of mistakes in the English edition.

"I think there are really not many such mistakes. In general, looking only at headlines is incorrect, we are not a headline newspaper, and any content can be given more than one headline. In any case, I completely deny that there is a trend in the English language to make Israel worse or be More critical, because the Hebrew newspaper is critical enough.

"We know what Israel's main problem is, and Haaretz criticizes it in Hebrew and English. Because they can not attack us for the serious stuff, we are looking for this nonsense.

Schocken is a publisher whose media openness is exceptional in the local media landscape. Needless to say, he is an eloquent and monolingual man.

This is how it sounds in necessary abbreviations:

Is it not a problem that in English they insist on not calling a "terrorist" a Palestinian whom Azaria killed?

"What do you think it should be called?"

- Why do you need my opinion, I'll tell you what it's called on the Haaretz website in Hebrew. The tag is "The soldier shot the terrorist."

"The term 'terrorist' is attributed in the Anglo-Saxon world to someone who does what they did in Paris or Belgium or something like that, right? It's not attributed to someone who does, let's say what this soldier did. Who do it.

"And if you are already careful about this, then decide: you could have defined the soldier as a 'killer', and then you can at the same time call the Palestinian 'the terrorist.' Judgment before a lawsuit. And the terrorist already had a lawsuit? "

- But there is no dispute that he stabbed a soldier.

"No dispute? I do not know if there is no dispute, there was no legal discussion about it."

- According to Haaretz in Hebrew there is no dispute, such a thing has never been claimed there.

"I agree with you, but I say there is also no dispute that the soldier killed in cold blood."

A legal proceeding is currently underway in this regard.

"So there's a legal proceeding, and there's no dispute that he killed him in cold blood. There can be all sorts of disputes about motives, about things like that, but there's no dispute that that's what was there."

- But it is a bit difficult to reconcile these two approaches, the consistency, of the Hebrew edition with the English edition. Maybe your criticism is actually about the Hebrew version?

"There is no need to settle. Both versions are possible and acceptable in journalistic work. If the English system made a decision not to call him a 'terrorist' - although I'm not sure, because there are also examples who called him an 'attacker' - then it is a decision "Professional is legitimate in my eyes. I do not think this is a decision that cannot be defended."

<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001139726>

Analysis: Can Ha'aretz Be More Racist than Donald Trump? You Betcha

By David Israel - 4 Sivan 5776 – June 10, 2016

Late last month, Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump was condemned universally, when everyone but Ann Coulter called him a racist and a bigot for suggesting federal Judge Gonzalo Curiel should have recused himself from the Trump University trial because his parents were born in Mexico, and he, Trump, as he so aptly put it, is "building a wall." Trump went on to tell various reporters that although the judge was born in Indiana, he must be a Trump hater, on account of "I'm building a wall." He also told one reporter that the same obligation to recuse themselves should also apply to Muslim American judges in Trump-related cases (the candidate generates thousands of them, literally).

The fact that both House Speaker Paul Ryan (R-Wisconsin) and Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R- Kentucky) called on their party's nominee to tone down the racism should tell us just how much they loathed his outburst.

On Friday morning, Ha'aretz op-ed writer Uri Misgav, in reviewing the recent Supreme Court decision that sided with the Chief Rabbinate and against the AG in prohibiting "alternative" kosher certifications, wrote the following:

"The ruling was by a majority of two to one. The two judges who preserved the corrupting power in the hands of the Rabbinat were Rubinstein and Noam Sohlberg. Both wear a yarmulke, [and are] religious Orthodox, who grew up and developed on the high road of Religious Zionism. They put the cats in charge of the cream. This was a very strangely composed panel. In fact, it was so strange that it's not strange at all: of course it was intentional. With the assumption that it's better to let the religious handle these issues which are close to their hearts. Except that the logic should have been the complete opposite of that. There's a clear conflict of interests here. At stake was the tension between state and religion. The secular judge, incidentally, had the minority opinion."

The paragraph above is dripping bigotry, not only accusing supreme court judges of being unable to examine a case on its merits, suspending their personal views—which is something we expect of every judge in every trial—but that somehow the powers-that-be on the court assigned the two religious Orthodox judges because the case belongs in their ghetto. The root of Trump's bigotry and the root of Misgav's bigotry are the same: they both assume that judges belonging to the group they hate are inevitably partial, interested parties in the cases they try.

But then Misgav focuses on Judge Sohlberg, calling him a criminal, because he resides in Alon Shvut, at the heart of Gush Etzion, an area which even Misgav agrees will never be handed over to Arab rule, even as part of a two-state agreement. Writing for a newspaper that has printed many miles of allegations against rightwing activists and politicians who have threatened the Supreme Court for its unprecedented activism, Misgav actually exposed Sohlberg to prosecution by a European court as a war criminal. The scenario is simple: Judge Sohlberg lands in Brussels, someone on the same El Al flight identifies him and calls over the Gendarmes, showing them the English translation of Misgav's attack, demanding that Sohlberg be taken into custody until the war crime charges against him are verified. Unrealistic? Probably, but when MK Moti Yogeve (Habayit Hayehudi) last summer announced, "We have to take the blade of a D-9 [bulldozer] to the High Court of Justice," Ha'aretz took his expression of rage at face value.

It appears Ha'aretz is willing to see Israeli high court justices' lives be put in jeopardy just to advance the paper's political ends. So much for tolerance and liberalism.

<https://www.jewishpress.com/news/breaking-news/analysis-can-haaretz-be-more-racist-than-donald-trump-you-betcha/2016/06/10/>

Ayelet Shaked v. Haaretz

The Minister of Justice attacked the newspaper following an opinion column that a judge called an "international criminal" • Shaked: "Haaretz newspaper is a mouthpiece of incitement"

By Israeli Hayom - June 10, 2016

The Minister of Justice sharply attacked the Haaretz newspaper and its publisher Amos Schocken in a post she posted on her Facebook page, following an opinion column published in the newspaper calling the Supreme Court Justice Noam Solberg an "international criminal."

Haaretz's wild incitement against Supreme Court justices.

Haaretz turns out not to be a left-wing newspaper for people who feel they are "thinking people." Neither protects the Hall of Justice nor shoes. He is a mouthpiece of incitement. What drives him out of his mind is -- OMG -- that one of the Supreme Court judges lives in a settlement in Judea and Samaria.

Suddenly, when the same judge dares to rule according to his professional positions contrary to the worldview of the country, the "newspaper of justice" does not mince words to discredit a Supreme Court judge ...

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/388383>

Haaretz plumbs 'new low' after calling terror victim a 'racist'

12 Apr 2016

Hezki Ezra

Deputy Defense Minister Rabbi Eli Ben-Dahan (Jewish Home) harshly criticized Haaretz Tuesday, after television and film critic Rogel Alpher called a film shown in memory of terror victim Dafna Meir "racist."

"It seems that there's no limit to the lows Haaretz can reach," Ben-Dahan stated. "Haaretz stopped being Zionist a long time ago."

"As is typical of leftists, Rogel Alpher — instead of dealing with the unique character of Dafna Meir — threw around the usual leftist accusations, 'racism' and 'occupation'," he added.

A Palestinian teenager stabbed Meir, a mother of six, to death in Othniel in January, in front of her children. "Dafna Meir was an icon for the Jewish people, and she will continue to be." ...

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/210775>

"Haaretz" magazine of a radical left " - N12

Lapid. "I canceled the subscription to Haaretz"

MK Yair Lapid continues his harsh attack on Haaretz: In an interview with Ulpan Shishi, he stated that the newspaper "went off the rails," adding: "Freedom of expression does not allow lies and slander. I will not be silent"

News 2 | News | Posted 04/15/16

"When I am interviewed around the world and defend Israel, I am presented with what the Islamists say and I explain that freedom of expression is not a freedom to lie and slander - and this also applies to the Haaretz newspaper," the MK added. "It's in silence. It's not a press, and I say that as someone who has been a journalist for many years."

According to Lapid, "This newspaper is translated into English and distributed all over the world, and people read it and think it's the truth - because it comes from an Israeli newspaper! Please honor the Knights of Freedom and also absorb my freedom of expression," he added.

https://www.mako.co.il/news-military/politics-q2_2016/Article-dac2ab1375a1451004.htm

—

Lapid v. Haaretz: A newspaper that has long since gone off the rails

Lapid's remarks were made in response to an opinion column by Gideon Levy, who claimed that IDF soldiers were shooting helpless Palestinian boys. In response, Lapid said: Haaretz is anti-Zionist, anti-Israeli, and is no longer really a newspaper.

nrg News | 4/14/2016

Tags: Haaretz, Haaretz newspaper, Gideon Levy, Yair Lapid

MK Yair Lapid (Yesh Atid) sharply attacked the Haaretz newspaper on his Facebook page this afternoon (Thursday). In response, Lapid wrote: "This is a monstrous text of a newspaper that has long since gone off the rails. Later on, he also accuses me of this killing, but I am sorry for my honor. Not for the honor of IDF soldiers."

A column published in Haaretz reads: "Nothing bad will happen to you if you kill the body of a Palestinian boy in his escape with three bullets at short range - your commanders and Yair Lapid will applaud you..."

"Anyone who presents our soldiers as indiscriminate killers knowingly lies and also allows their blood. In all the history of the wars, there has not been an army that has done more to maintain the morale of the fighting and the purity of weapons, but Haaretz is not interested," Lapid said in response. "This is a leaflet saturated with extreme self-hatred of the far left, and this article - like many other articles - is a way to train terrorism. For reasons of self-defense," wrote the chairman of the Yesh Atid party...

"They are in a hurry to shout 'Freedom of expression!' 'One hundred percent, but freedom of expression belongs to everyone, and if in his name they are allowed to lie, then of course I am allowed to tell the truth: Haaretz is anti-Zionist, anti-Israeli, and it is no longer really a newspaper," Lapid wrote. <https://www.makorishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/769/470.html>

Haaretz and Hate Speech: The Price of Leniency

Simon Hardy Butler, Mar 26, 2016 (TOI)

"There's freedom of speech, and then there are some things you can't say."

That was a comment made to me by a staffer at the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation after I reported an individual who made a disturbing threat of violence against Jews on Facebook. The remark is telling, because it suggests that even in the Land of the Free, where one is allowed to say almost anything in public, some talk can go beyond the inalienable right to self-expression—and provide authorities with a reason to take action. It suggests that there are standards people must adhere to in civilized society, limits to their liberty, and if they don't follow these rules, they could find themselves dealing with punitive repercussions.

I thought about these concepts recently after reading a comment sent to me by Simon Spungin, managing editor of the English-language version of Israeli newspaper Haaretz—a response to my inquiry as to why there is so much anti-Semitic hate speech on Haaretz's Facebook page and why most of the main offenders haven't been banned from commenting on it ... despite numerous reports to the publication citing specific examples. Here is his reply...:

"Haaretz condemns all forms of hate speech and racism, .. However, the principle of free speech prohibits us from deleting comments – however distasteful – which do not violate our guidelines. Our social media editors act to the best of their ability to delete any comment which does violate these guidelines and to ban those responsible from commenting on our Facebook page."

That's all well and good, but the preponderance of virulently anti-Semitic hate speech on Haaretz's Facebook page—which dwarfs that on the pages of the Times of Israel, the Jerusalem Post and Ynetnews, where such content is much less prevalent—begs the question: How does the publication's editorial team actually determine what should be removed and what is merely "distasteful," and what benchmark of morality is used to determine such criteria? Spungin did not respond to my request for clarification on what Haaretz's guidelines on hate speech are ... as well as why people who have had content removed and, oftentimes, banned for periods of time by Facebook for violating its guidelines via the posting of hate speech are still allowed to comment on Haaretz's page on the site, despite reports to the publication notifying it of these situations? He also did not respond to a request for comment on why some individuals operating multiple Facebook profiles only have certain ones banned from commenting on Haaretz's Facebook page after posting anti-Semitic hate speech, while they're able to use other accounts to continue their offensive remarks with impunity.

The ambiguity of Spungin's statement suggests either one of two conceivable things: There are no established guidelines dictating what is and isn't hate speech at Haaretz, or such guidelines are arbitrarily generated and subject to the whims and vicissitudes of the editorial team. I suspect it's the latter, and the content appearing on the newspaper's English-language Facebook page points to that. How else can one explain the presence of a comment by one "Daniel Lane" recommending to a Jewish individual posting a response to an article on notorious Nazi Josef Mengele that he should be

turned into a “lampshade,” as well as another noting that Jews have a “stranglehold on all of the Western World”? Or the existence of comments from “Leki Don” opining that Israel “is a colonized country of some white European religious extremists” who “claim” to be Jewish while actually being of “Khazarian” ancestry ... the fundament of a long-disproved theory espousing the non-Middle Eastern origins of Jews? Or, for that matter, the myriad other posts demonizing people who share my religion and culture, who blame the Jews for getting expelled from countries in Europe throughout their history, who espouse Holocaust denial, who label Zionism as “filthy,” and who even call for the destruction of Israel and violence against Jews? The fact is, anti-Semitic hate speech is pervasive on Haaretz’s Facebook page, and someone isn’t doing his or her job in mitigating it. One look at any random sampling of the responses to any article on the site will tell an observer that. Anti-Semitic hate speech doesn’t “occasionally” appear on the page, as Spungin claims. It’s constant, and the site is overrun with bigots expressing their prejudice clearly and coherently. Haaretz obviously is not addressing this problem as much as it should.

This brings me to another issue reflecting Spungin’s assertion that its “social media editors act to the best of their ability to delete any comment which does violate these guidelines and to ban those responsible from commenting on our Facebook page.” I’ve reported plenty of anti-Semitic comments via the message system incorporated into the page’s fabric, and on one such occasion, where I suggested that the bigots disseminating hateful comment should be banned owing in part to the fact that they don’t contribute to any civil conversation, I was met with the following response from an unidentified Haaretz social media staffer: “If we banned everyone who doesn’t contribute, we’d be banning 80 percent of the people on our FB page.” Such a reply smacks of specious reasoning; it indicates that the publication would rather have a significant amount of commentary on its page rife with hate speech than a paltry amount containing minimal bigotry. The staffer who provided me with this explanation, by the way, may be the same person who, under the Haaretz name, recently posted a response mocking a long-winded, incoherent comment on the page ... an unprofessional bit of behavior that could have been better spent deleting more offensive content and banning the perpetrators. A quick look at Haaretz’s editorial staff reveals that “Allison Kaplan Sommer” is listed as the English-language version’s social media editor; an email inquiry sent to her, as well as a request made via the Facebook message system on Haaretz’s page on the site as to who was the individual who made the “80 percent” comment, elicited no response at press time, so it could not be determined whether it was one and same person. Nevertheless, it’s clear that the social media editor at the newspaper is not thoroughly checking and mitigating the hate speech on its Facebook page, given the infestation of such commentary there. Again: Someone is not doing his or her job.

Or perhaps he or she is doing it a little too well. In light of Spungin’s vague statement about Haaretz’s guidelines, it’s possible that the social media team is abiding by these parameters—which are so lenient as to allow the horrifically offensive remarks frequently posted on the page. Maybe these guidelines are more rigid than they need be; hate speech on Facebook is extremely creative, and bigots rarely post things such as “I hate Jews” online. Instead, they may say, as “Daniel Lane” did, that someone should be turned into a “lampshade” ... a reference to the Nazi’s villainous practices directed at Jews during the Holocaust. Anti-Semites also frequently disguise their hatred of Jewish culture and proponents of it with attacks on “Zionism,” a sophistic differentiation given the fact that Zionism is the idea that Jews should have a state of their own; if one rejects Zionism, one rejects the idea that Jews

should have a country, and that's a root principle of traditional anti-Semitism. Clearly, however, guidelines on hate speech may vary from publication to publication, and it's obvious that Haaretz's, if they exist, don't reflect reasonable standards. The social media editor of the Jerusalem Post once informed me that anti-Israeli content also may be construed as hate speech, and this is often deleted from the newspaper's Facebook page; as a moderator for the Times of Israel's Facebook page, I'm attuned to the capriciousness of bigots and delete and ban accordingly. The standards I adhere to: Comments expressing any sort of prejudice, ranging from racism to misogyny to homophobia to anti-Semitism and Islamophobia, are deleted, while the offenders may be banned from commenting further on the page if their comments are particularly virulent. Are there guidelines here? Sure. Do they match Haaretz's guidelines? Obviously not, and that's disturbing—especially when one considers the fact that many of the individuals I and the JPost's social media editor have banned from commenting on our respective pages have migrated to Haaretz's in an effort to continue propagating their hate speech. It's apparent that Haaretz marches to a lax drumbeat. Unfortunately, this tactic only hurts its readers.

What can be done about this? If I were in Haaretz's shoes, I'd seriously reconsider its social media strategy. The publication should employ more specific, transparent guidelines against hate speech on its Facebook page that also address the "creativity" of individual expressions of bigotry. The social media team should be more proactive in mitigating such content on the site—with thorough, daily scrutiny of great importance. Because protecting a newspaper's readers and keeping a social media site safe for posters to comment without being harassed or subject to abusive, offensive behavior shows that the publication cares about and respects its clientele, and that it won't tolerate attacks on it. Right now, the quality of Haaretz's Facebook page doesn't suggest as much. It has a long way to go. There's still time, however, to correct it.

The principle of freedom of speech is a valuable one, and I'm fortunate to live in a country, the United States, where this policy is a fundamental part of the nation's heritage. Still, as the FBI staffer once told me, there are some things you can't say, and if those things threaten or cause harm in any way to people, they may be countered by the authorities. Haaretz is such an authority when it comes to the content on its Facebook page, and no amount of spin-doctoring will cover up the fact that it hasn't taken action against most of the threatening, harmful comments on that site. Will it do so in the future? I'm certainly hopeful. There's no reason why it should keep the status quo in the interest of hazy, ill-conceived ideals. And it's for the benefit of its readers that it address anti-Semitic hate speech more dynamically. After all, such intolerance causes harm to others. It's more than just not contributing to the dialogue among disparate parties—it's hurting people, verbally and psychologically, and fomenting an unsafe environment. A good social media team will address that.

Method thinks the potential end result—a Facebook page where anti-Semitism and all other forms of hate speech are minimized to the point of insignificance—is worth it.

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-and-hate-speech-the-price-of-leniency/>

Sick performance at Ha'aretz conference

Performer who made disgusting use of an Israel flag was booed and had to stop his show.

Gil Ronen , Mar 06 , 2016.

A sick performance that was part of the Ha'aretz Israel Culture Conference at the Tel Aviv Museum Sunday was cut short and the "artist" made to leave the stage.

The performer, Ariel Bronz, inserted a flag into a part of his nether anatomy in front of the crowd, which predictably reacted harshly. According to Israel Hayom's Dror Eidar, the flag was an Israeli flag. According to some reports, the artist also threw juiced oranges at the audience after he was booed.

A sick performance that was part of the Ha'aretz Israel Culture Conference at the Tel Aviv Museum Sunday was cut short and the "artist" made to leave the stage.

The performer, Ariel Bronz, inserted a flag into a part of his nether anatomy in front of the crowd, which predictably reacted harshly. According to Israel Hayom's Dror Eydar, the flag was an Israeli flag. According to some reports, the artist also threw juiced oranges at the audience after he was booed.

In a Ha'aretz interview in October, Bronz said that he sees his art as a form of terror and that he sees Israelis as embodying "all that is ugly in the human race."

"I see mountains of stupidity and nothingness gushing inside them every morning as they leave their poor houses and swamp the streets," he elaborated. "Zombies, people with nothing inside them."

In a previous conference in New York, the leftist Ha'aretz accommodated Palestinian Authority (PA) chief negotiator Sa'eb Erekat by taking down an Israeli flag, after he made clear that he would not speak otherwise. The flag had been draped across the stage, behind the speaker's podium.

Erekat demanded that event organizers take the flag down as his price for gracing the event with his comments – and they complied, upon which Erekat agreed to make his prepared speech.

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/208965>

Undeterred by Facts, Leftist Pundit Scorns Historic Owners of Jewish Land He Dubbed 'Palestinian'

By David Israel -

26 Adar I 5776 – March 6, 2016

The willingness to admit mistakes is almost a lost art in Israel's media. In most cases, Israeli opinion makers, when proven wrong, prefer to ignore the whole thing and just move on, nothing to see here, folks. One exception to the rule is Gideon Levy, Ha'aretz's leading anti-Zionist columnist, who used an embarrassing error he had made in his column last week to blithely move the debate's goalposts to an entirely different part of the field, and ram a new ball through. As victories go, this one required double the lying power and did little to promote dialogue inside Israel's society. Of course, Gideon Levy to Israeli dialogue is what Sweeney Todd is to haircuts.

<https://www.jewishpress.com/news/undeterred-by-facts-leftist-pundit-scorns-historic-owners-of-jewish-land-he-dubbed-palestinian/2016/03/06/>

Contempt for the Holocaust in the Haaretz newspaper
Justice Minister Ayelet Shaked accuses the Haaretz newspaper of sponsoring the contempt and incitement of the Holocaust, in articles published in the newspaper.

Chezki Baruch, INN

9 Adar 5776 - Feb 18, 2016

... "This is not just the constant incitement against Education Minister Naftali Bennett. He is strong enough, he will continue on his way and I do not worry," Shaked wrote on her Facebook page. "This is the ongoing contempt for the Holocaust, this is the terrible use that is being made of the Holocaust."

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/316480>

The 'Elders of Zion' reborn at the University of Kent

Jan 27, 2016

Yesterday, 28/01/2016, I was at the University of Kent to hear a talk by Amira Hass titled 'Israel and the Palestinians: Colonialism[sic] and Prospects for Justice[sic]'. The event itself was a collaboration between The Centre for Colonial and Postcolonial Studies at Kent University and the Palestine Centre at SOAS, University of London. One of these universities, SOAS, is already a notorious hotbed for extremism, the other, Kent, seems to be desperately trying to catch-up.

Dr Bashir Abu-Manneh is the head of the centre for Postcolonial studies at Kent, like other academics of his type, it can be seen from his own activity that he has long lost sight of what academia and critical thinking is about. This event, following one just the evening before attempting to create BDS activists on campus, is simply a sign of a deteriorating environment.

Amira Hass is an Israeli columnist at the Haaretz newspaper. For the last 20 years she has lived in the Palestinian areas, originally in Gaza, but more recently moving to Ramallah in the West Bank. Amira is an example of one of those Israelis nobody should have heard of. Standing for politics that receive no support in Israel, Amira's opinions reflect none but a handful of oddballs. Every nation has people like Hass hidden in the shadows. What makes her 'special', what makes her a marketable commodity, are hundreds of millions of people outside of Israel that simply want Israel gone. The audience of Amira Hass are not peacemakers, but warmongers.

... The talk itself was relatively predictable and in 45 minutes, she did not mention Arab violence once. The intifada's, both of which apparently were 'benign', were mentioned in name twice but never detailed. Rather, the violence, all of it, was (supposedly) "Jewish."

In usual fashion, events in Israel were taken out of context, exaggerated, misrepresented, and as one would expect from an activist who long ago forgot how to actually 'report' a news item, important details were either deliberately sidestepped or completely forgotten. Sleight of hand in her description of events with the Bedouin of the Negev, allowed Hass to suggest that it didn't matter which side of the 1967 border was being discussed, the end result for an Arab is always the same. Gross distortion of a

deliberately sinister kind, and an unforgiveable misrepresentation of the simple fact that Arab Israelis are *factually* living as equal citizens in a liberal democracy.

At events like this I always feel sorry for the uninformed in the audience. They are vulnerable to a propaganda exercise that is designed to spread lies and hatred. Although that, I suppose, is the sole purpose of the talk in the first place. I also resent the idea that a university in the UK can give a platform to such distortion without feeling the need to provide an alternative voice.

The argument that a university is there to hear all voices is an acceptable theory, but is simply not one that holds up to inspection. As we have seen recently elsewhere, these anti-Israeli activists disrupt and silence pro-Israeli speakers wherever they are due to speak, and one campus event in the past few weeks, even saw violence.

Free speech only works when it works for everyone. If you hide behind free speech when it suits you, but employ the heckler's veto when it doesn't, you simply engage in a form of 'red fascism', which is precisely the tactic currently being used by anti-Israeli activists. The university, any university, should make permission for these one-sided events conditional on a free speech for all platform. If they do not respect free speech for others, they shouldn't have any themselves.

So the evening went on, and Amira Hass had been discussing Israeli 'war crimes' for 40 minutes, and the majority of the audience were hanging on her every faltering word. When suddenly, without warning, I heard mention of the 'Elders of Zion'. As had occurred with me at a different event when Gerald Kaufman had mentioned 'Jewish money', I got lost between the sentences as I tried to make sense of what I was hearing. Hass was discussing a hidden agenda, a secret group of Jews, plotting and planning beyond the reach of Israeli democracy – by extension, this secret group were to blame for the 'war crimes', for the death of innocent Palestinian children. Hass was spinning tales of a Jewish cabal, of shady secretive control, of unworldly plots and sinister deeds. A road that leads to dead children. Hass was resurrecting a classic historic antisemitic blood libel in a British university.

Hass had stepped back in time to explain the perpetual occupation, to present a reason why after 49 years, no movement had been made towards peace. Without Palestinian violence, Israeli actions become irrational, with Israeli democracy, they become inexplicable, and somehow, somehow, this illogical unreasonable position needed to be explained away. All that is left is conspiracy. This is what she said:

"And I ask myself did the Elders of Zion really sit together at the beginning of the Seventies and then during the nineties, and plan, and have all these military orders, all these changes? I believe that they knew for sure that they don't want to give back the land and in the Nineties, my conclusion is that they wanted to do everything possible to stop(?) the two state solution."

Beyond Israeli democracy, beyond the will of the voters, beyond the desires of peacemakers like Rabin and Peres, there are invisible Jewish decision makers. They planned from the early 1970's, never to let the territories go, they manipulated, they connived, they controlled as puppet masters do. A conspiracy

of a Jewish cabal that places the profit to be won from the occupation above the will of the electorate and the lives of innocent children. And it is called the 'Elders of Zion'.

I missed many of the following comments as I tried to come to terms with what I had just heard, as a Jew in the UK in 2016. In a university. Hass continued about freedom of the press, about how she came to live in Gaza and then I began to find my bearings again as she turned to a question on BDS.

This too was informative. Amira Hass began to promote BDS but with a clear failure to understand its central ideas. She told the crowd that BDS makes Israelis nervous, but suggested identifying strategic targets rather than a strategy of 'random fire in all directions'. Hass suggested petitioning European governments to remove the visa waiver for Israelis, so they would need to apply to travel. She reinforced this by mentioning this is what she already tells foreign diplomats when she gets the chance. And then another stroke of strategic brilliance. She put forward that attacks against Israel as a whole can be seen as antisemitic, so it is far more productive just to target settlements.

This instruction tells you everything you need to know about BDS. As a movement BDS is not about the 1967 occupation, but what they see as the occupation of 1948. The enemy of BDS is the entire state of Israel. But to state this out loud is to invite criticism, to expose the antisemitic underbelly of the entire boycott movement, so it is best to try to hide this goal. Here was Amira Hass in public admitting she is actively promoting BDS, actively petitioning foreign governments and winking and nodding at other activists, showing them the way to avoid the antisemitic label...

<https://david-collier.com/elders-zion-reborn-university-kent/>

Haaretz's Owner Proves Paper Has an Anti-Israel Political Agenda

by Simon Plosker - Jan 25, 2016

... For the boycott, divestment and sanctions (BDS) campaign, Israel is also an illegitimate apartheid state that deserves to be destroyed. If Schocken has adopted the narrative and language of BDS, then, presumably, he is content to see Israel as the pariah amongst the nations. Haaretz then becomes the token Jew, much like those small yet very vocal anti-Zionist (and sometimes even antisemitic) Jews who serve as fig leaves for the BDS campaign. After all, if Jews and even a major Israeli newspaper are in favor of boycotting the Israeli "apartheid state," then this form of assault on Israel's wellbeing must be legitimate in the eyes of the uninformed observer.

Haaretz is striving not for objective and accurate reporting but for promoting a political agenda. It is incumbent, therefore, that the foreign media see Haaretz as a political entity rather than a primary news source. Using Haaretz as a cover for biased reporting is unacceptable.

While Haaretz is a product of Israel's vibrant democracy and free press, it also plays a major role in the demonization of Israel. Amos Schocken's latest opinion piece sadly acknowledges that Haaretz is anything but an unwilling accomplice.

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2016/01/25/haaretzs-owner-proves-paper-has-an-anti-israel-political-agenda/>

Acting editor of "Ovda" to General Ben: "Haaretz is running an aggressive and unethical campaign against the program"

A new clash in a skirmish over a Navy investigation: In a letter sent by Shachar Alterman to the publisher and editor-in-chief, which reached Walla Guild [Branja], he accuses the newspaper of revenge and "hysterical, dishonest discourse of people walking around as if a butter factory is on their heads."

Maya Mena, Sunday, 31 January 2016

...Acting editor of the program, Shachar Alterman, accuses the Haaretz newspaper of campaigning against the program, following an Ezra Navi investigation. Alterman wrote to publisher Amos Schocken and its editor-in-chief...

In a letter sent today to Schocken and White, which reached Walla Branja, Alterman wrote: "My expectations of Haaretz have fallen sharply in the past month..."

Alterman goes on to say: "I suppose you too could not help noticing Haaretz's aggressive campaign in recent weeks against 'Ovda' following the article prepared by Omri Assenheim and giving gas to Ezra Navi and his associates to extradite a Palestinian land trader to preventive security. I just wonder if you signed "This campaign must cross every boundary of journalistic ethics - collegiality has no point in exaggerating empty words - out of passionate intent or out of omission and disregard. And I also wonder which of the two options is more serious."

<https://b.walla.co.il/item/2930483>

Ovda: Catch the leftist for a change

Nava Koren, 18/01/2016

"I hope that Ilana Dayan will not be frightened by the threats of the bullying and violent left in understandable panic, so they will not stop terrorizing 'fact' investigators lest they reveal more details in their possession, about the betrayal and exploits of left-wing activists against IDF soldiers."

"There is no crime, no crime at all that can not be forgiven when 'our-side' commits it. Even if we do not deny that the crime was committed, even if we know that it is exactly the same crime we condemned in some other case, even if we admit intellectually that it is unjustified, still "You can't feel bad. Loyalty is involved, so compassion stops functioning." (George Orwell 'Under Your Nose')!

I have no idea how the contemporary left will be taught in future schools and universities in future generations. One thing I have no doubt, George Orwell like Uri Zvi Greenberg who were good at diagnosing the intellectual left in their generation, will be considered prophets who watched and prophesied about the left in our generation-do not know what I would do without them to understand the contemporary left and what is happening here ?!

On January 7, 2016, Channel 2 aired on Ilana Dayan's popular program 'Ovda', an unusual investigation that reveals for the first time the shame of the far-left organizations whose activists make a living from the blood libel under 'occupation' under the guise of 'human rights' activists. To the left and most to the Israeli media and its researchers.

Except for the chilling testimony of the far-left activist known by Zehava Gal-On: 'Empire' - after all, it is Ezra Nawi, chairman of the Taayush association who testified, among other well-known activities of left-wing activists against IDF soldiers by provocations and libels - for a fee - who also informs and betrays Arabs who trade with settlers, to the Palestinian Authority for torture to death in his literal language: "First Zabor and then Gazanga"!

As long as Taayush activists, Yesh Din, B'Tselem, Breaking the Silence, the New Foundation and Peace Now acted in Israel as they Esau, a knife in the back of the nation and persecuted, slandered, slandered and caught the Jews de-jour : a bird did not tweet and no outcry was heard, not even when Adv. Roni Aloni-Sedovnik, revealed here on the website on September 20, 2010, a chilling article entitled:

"The betrayal of the left in peace activities that were sexually harmed" - including rape, by Arab left-wing activists, and they were silenced by their "Jewish" activists who prevented them from complaining to the police so as not to harm their livelihoods from the "lie industry" and "anti-occupation" enterprises. And all this under the guise of 'peace,' 'Brotherhood of Nations' and 'Human Rights' - 'never happened!'

It was a terrible article, which was supposed to shake the thresholds of the Knights of the Rule of Law, the left-wing feminists who swallowed their tongues and the monopolists on: 'Wisdom', 'Morality', 'Justice', 'Conscience', 'Truth' and 'Peace' '- and the story as I well remember was unanimously silenced as expected from a Bolshevik recruiter recruited on the left - just as the prophets George Orwell had predicted and prophesied:

"Everyone will react in more or less the same way. One sad stab of nationalism and intellectual fairness may disappear, the past can be changed, and the most obvious facts can be denied"!

And Gideon Levy's red response to the above exposure in 'Ovda'!

The exploits of the 'righteous' from left-wing organizations could be learned long ago, the brilliant and evidential number of the undercover on his own behalf, Tuvia Tenenbom, who impersonated the 'German Toby' journalist as the Palestinians prefer and love 'Germans', and as such did a wonderful job, and if it were not for us we would die of laughter. Tennenbom revealed the hypocrisy, stupidity and

ignorance of those left-wing activists who make a living from selective anti-Semitism, and even interviewed Gideon Levy, Uri Avnery, Amos Oz, Merav Michaeli, the useful rabbi Arik Asherman and others in his wonderful book: "Catch the Jew" - a must read!

It was possible to learn how the method works in left-wing organizations, as well as his equally important book by Joan Peters 'book Since and Promoting, by the decent leftist and straight-forward Ben-Dror Yemini, who I think should combine his book, 'The Industry of Lies' with 'From Time Immemorial,' in the Ministry of Education for future generations...

When Gideon Levy swears to Rick 'in the lives of his children'

At the end of the broadcast of "Ovda" investigation, Gideon Levy from the "Haaretz" anti-Semitic newspaper was honored to respond. Despite Ilana Dayan's ridiculous apology to her leftist friends before and after the article aired, Levy did not calm down. He was furious as expected from a common Bolshevik - and not about the horrific interrogation he was angry about, but about Dayan's 'impudence' who dared to broadcast the article at all?

It was not the shocking article that bothered the monopolist about the rights of the wild man in Israel, but the very broadcast that he said: "I was bothered by a few things here, but more than that, with all the appreciation, Ilana, did it bother me that you broadcast this article"? Really! in these words !.

This is how Levy attacked Ilana Dayan in order to protect the "our" people. An article that was supposed to first shock the "beauties of the soul" who boast in vain of "enlightened" values such as: "morality", "conscience", "equality", "truth", "justice", "human dignity and liberty" and other rubbish, nonsense as only the left knows how to sell and can!

The main thing that 'democracy' and freedom of expression 'exalt in the throats of our leftists, but in the territory as we know, is the sword of Bolshevism, hypocrisy, double standards and omission for Jews: in their language, in microphones, in their pens and in their blood!

The despicable attempt of Gideon Levy, who he said used to 'walk around in a van with Ezra Navi', to shake it off and reduce the well-known and overt deeds since the Oslo scam, of those far-left activists to: "one man"? An insult to intelligence! And it's like abandoning a 'wounded' friend in a typical and predictable treacherous act-area. Expected from a leftist fanatic caught in his perversion !.

Levy increased his swearing "in the lives of his children" to convince Ilana that he did not know what would happen to Arabs who traded land with Jews when they were handed over to the Palestinian Authority?

Is there a single citizen, regardless of religion.. who does not know what happens to an Arab suspected of collaborating with the Zionist enemy?

Let alone investigative journalistic material like Gideon Levy? Even if he, to his shame, does not understand a word in Arabic. Is this a journalist who has spent almost his entire life in this field and more than others? Where did you get this Gideon Levy from? And swear by your children?

"A person has to belong to an intelligence in order to believe such things, but an ordinary person cannot be so stupid"! Also in the opinion of George Orwell!

Only they will not tell us that Amira Hass also does not know what happens to Arabs who trade with Jews?

In conclusion, I very much hope that Ilana Dayan will not be intimidated by the threats of the bully and violent left in understandable panic, so they will not stop terrorizing 'Ovda' investigators lest they reveal more details about their betrayal and the exploits of leftist activists against our IDF soldiers. For his sake, and against innocent Jews, but will continue to do its work faithfully without fear and without prejudice towards all without religious, ethnic and partisan distinction — as equals of equal...

By the way, I heard the journalist Ari Shavit in 'Diary Friday' on 1/15/16 warns us against using the word 'traitors' against those far-left activists. And in one breath, like many on the left who automatically remind us of the 'incitement that preceded Rabin's assassination' in order to silence the right, despite the fact that the incitement to Rabin's assassination was done by one man, according to Gideon Levy, by the inciter, openly instigator to the assassination of Rabin who was Gilon's 'champagne' in the Rabin / Peres / Oslo government named Avishai Raviv, and despite the fact that the then attorney general, Menachem Mazuz, investigated this incitement well and concluded that: "I know it is customary to repeatedly mention the assassination of the Prime Minister as an outgrowth of incitement, to this day no one has proved it!" (August 2005) !!

Nor does this fact prevent that Bolshevik left from continuing to slander and incite against the right for no wrongdoing, automatically, and without letting any fact and no conclusion confuse them!

I would like to refer Shavit and his tribe to the Ibn Shushan dictionary to internalize and learn once and for all what the word 'traitor' means: "Breach of trust, a person who acts against his friends, against his people, or against his country and helps the enemy."

On the contrary, Ari Shavit is honored to answer us honestly: Who in our homeland meets this criterion?

Finally, it bothers me that the media has never engaged in research on those malignant associations and organizations called by the left: 'Human Rights' - No way!, and the New Foundation headed by those who work in their eyes "for our benefit" as if... And in the question: To point out one bright spot that worked for Israel in exchange for their activities here and in the world?

One 'bright spot' that 'benefited' our international outreach and 'our good'? Who contributed to our 'peace' and security and 'our good'? Who acted for the belief in the righteousness of our factual way? The public's right to know!

I have noticed, since the establishment and activity of all Israeli left-wing organizations for generations, and the lucrative and profitable 'peace' institutes here and around the world - the world remains against us and even becomes much larger anti-Semitic than it was...

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-108975-00.html>

Not the Israeli left, but Palestinian nationalists

Dror Idar, Monday, January 18, 2016

Black on top of a newspaper around the world, the "Haaretz" newspaper published (again) a bad slander of Israel. Gideon Levi "hurts" for our neighbors and on the way loses his temper and discernment: "Israel executes(sic) without trial, almost every day." And he added: "Any other description is a lie." no less! Now the haters of Israel and the conspirators against her will cite his absurd statement ...

What happened to the Israeli left, that a natural and just act of protecting the life turned into an indictment against us? "execution" means deliberate action. Who put Levi to judge people at the moment of their attack, is the soul free then to check subtleties of proper under laboratory conditions? "They shoot to death indiscriminately - at women, men, girls and boys," Levy wrote, "shoot to kill, punish, consume the rage and take revenge." An accurate description of the Arab barbarism of the past years specializing in the murder of Jews wherever they are. But Levy was referring to the security forces and the people of the House of Israel who face continuous assassination attempts. He brought in the B'Tselem organization as assistance, which "documents" additional cases of "executions". I don't understand, if there is a case of wrongdoing outside of a trial, be respectful and complain to the police. It seems that Levy is making accusations that are "out of court".

Levy claimed the dubious honor of Swedish Foreign Minister Margot Wallström, "one of the few conscientious jobs in the world." We didn't hear the "woman of conscience" from Sweden tweeting anything in the face of hundreds of murders that happened just last week at the hands of jihadist Islam and its metastases around the world.

If someone had managed to kill the abominable knifeman before murdering Dafna Meir hy"d in front of her children at Atniel - isn't it reasonable to assume that the circles of "Haaretz" and the Swedish Foreign Minister would have added him to the list of "executions without trial"?

Because this is the depth of the story of the group of European anti-Semites: they are not interested in benefiting human lives, nor in Muslim rights. Israel is their goal, because in pseudo-liberal circles - from Sweden to ours - an 8th war order has been issued for the Jews' right to their own country and their right to protect their lives. Voluntarily (or not), the Swedish Foreign Minister joined a large camp that fights to the bitter end (and boycotts!) the only stable historical law in the last two hundred years: the return of the Jews home to Zion.

"Execution" is the regular action of those who see the murder of a mother in the eyes of her children as an act of heroism, and receive applause from their society. Parts of the Israeli left have reached such a deep identification with the other side that their actions testify: we are not facing an Israeli left, but Palestinian nationalists.

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/opinion/348723>

Biased and stasis coverage: On Nawi, Levy and Breaking the Silence

In Haaretz, Ezra Nawi's conviction for sodomy against a minor is framed as irrelevant. Gideon Levy's insult from the investigation that was broadcast in fact is a strong expression of the media's bias. "Breaking the silence" are not opponents of a regime, but doers of other regimes

Tal Raphael | 12/1/2016

1. Ezra Nawi

As is well known, in the past Ezra Nawi was convicted of an act of sodomy on a minor, and in 1997 even ran for this offense a sentence of actual imprisonment. For Amira Hass from Haaretz, this is merely an ancient and marginal conviction, which she vaguely calls: "solicitation and the cause of a minor." There is no definition in the law of "minor cause", and "solicitation" is not exactly an offense in itself (solicitation for what?). Apparently, Hess is playing with the terms in order to avoid the legal definition of an "act of sodomy," which may sound threatening to her. She frames the "ancient" conviction as some sort of irrelevant item in Nawi's biography, one that helps attack him for no wrong in his palm. Just like the fact that he wears "headgear that deviates from his nerdy standard of appearance" (yes, so originally).

By the way, Nawi is not clean of convictions to say the least. A few years ago he was convicted of participating in a riot and assaulting a police officer. Nawi appealed, and the judgment on appeal read as follows: "In his (Nawi-TR's) past violent offenses unrelated to his political doctrine. Public, various drug offenses and transportation of "Shavim".

After all this, Amira Hass thinks it is easy to treat him because he is "gay, oriental, aging". Oh, so that's the reason?

2. Gideon Levy

Among right-wing circles, there is often the claim that the media is unbalanced. In order to prove the claim, it is customary to count the number of right-wingers participating in the panel, or to highlight in a red line "convicting" words in biased coverage. But a strong expression of the media's misrepresentation could be found in the astonishment that gripped Gideon Levy at the end of the broadcast of the investigation in "Ovda." "I was bothered by a few things here," he said in the studio. "But more than that, Ilana, with all the appreciation, bothered me that you broadcast this article." In other words, Levy told Dayan, we are old friends. There is an unwritten covenant between us that you have just broken.

He continued to express the insult even in his column in Haaretz: "The story is how the deadly virus of distraction in the propaganda service also penetrated into the almost last outpost of the real press in the tribal bonfire," Levy wrote of "Ovda." "How did a right-wing McCarthyist organization, whose motives are clear (and vile) and whose origins are unknown, manage to easily seduce such esteemed journalists as Ilana Dayan and Omri Assenheim." They are ours, Levy shouts. Although Dayan answered him nicely ("We do not work for you", she wrote in a column published following the review), but the

point lies in Levy's assumption: after all, communication and truth research is a game we all play here, why, Ilana, violated the rules of the game.

3. Stasi

"We do not work for the Stasi and do not respond to the Stasi," Breaking the Silence responded to an investigation into them, in which it was revealed that the organization transferred funds to Nawi and Guy Botvia. The Stasi, the secret police of East Germany operated since the 1950s. Its job was to locate the perpetrators under the government and punish them.

Ostensibly, they will say in "Vouchers", we are brave opponents of a regime and in front of us a thought police. But in practice, these opponents of the regime are not exactly opponents of the regime, but the doers of other regimes, from which they receive a budget and in spite of which they are secretive. The NGO Monitor Institute recently revealed that donors to the Breaking the Silence organization have in the past conditioned the transfer of money on obtaining a certain number of negative testimonies. For example, the aid organization ICCO (funded by the Dutch government) required Breaking the Silence to interview at least 90 soldiers in 2009. Breaking the Silence also signed another contract with the British organization Oxfam (funded by the UK government), which requires them to conduct interviews with "Some That more "soldiers who testify to the performance of" immoral "acts that violate human rights.

In other words, "Breaking the Silence" are working to fulfill the will of these "regimes," to whom they report regularly. If what was revealed in the investigation is true, then in "Breaking the Silence" they paid money to Palestinian activists in order for them to attend the demonstrations. Photographs, which show the reactions of IDF soldiers to rioting demonstrators, are regularly transmitted by a variety of organizations to the authorities of the defending and funding countries. So who is working against whom and on a mission?

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/748/054.html>

(2015)

"Haaretz will compensate with NIS 50,000 due to an article by Rogel Alpher"
Oren Persico 27.03.2017

The Haaretz newspaper will compensate NIS 50,000 for a building contractor mentioned in a publicist article by Rogel Alpher, as was recently decided in the Tel Aviv-Jaffa Magistrate's Court.

The contractor, Yosef Paz, was responsible for renovating a building on Rothschild Street in Tel Aviv, and during the renovation he placed scaffolding around the building. A poster was published on these scaffolding by the 'Im-Tirtzu' ('If You Will') movement, entitled "The People Against the Demons." Following the presentation of the poster, Rogel Alpher wrote an article that was published in the opinion section of Haaretz under the heading "Remove 'Im-Tirtzu' ('If You Will') from Tel Aviv."
<http://www.the7eye.org.il/241650>

Roger Waters, Aggressive BDS Advocate, at Haaretz NY Conference | The Jewish Press

Dec 14, 2015 · Haaretz writer and U.S. editor Chemi Shalev was apparently thrilled to be shown in a picture with Israel-hater Waters..

<https://www.jewishpress.com/news/breaking-news/roger-waters-aggressive-bds-advocate-at-haaretz-ny-conference/2015/12/14>

Ministry of Justice spokesman on Haaretz: "Self-hatred on the verge of anti-Semitism"

Ministry of Justice spokesman Moshe Cohen launched an attack on Haaretz: "Sometimes it seems to you that you are reading a newspaper from the territories." ... Cohen: "Standing behind every word."

Maya Mena, Nov 22, 2015

Ministry of Justice spokesman Moshe Cohen launched an attack against the Haaretz newspaper: "Sometimes it seems to you that you are reading a newspaper from the territories..."

Ministry of Justice spokesman Moshe Cohen attacks the "Haaretz" newspaper, claiming that the newspaper presents anti-Israel positions "on the brink of anti-Semitism", as he put it.

Cohen uploaded on his Facebook page photographs of articles published this weekend in the newspaper "Haaretz", including the articles by Zuhair Andreas under the title "The Shin Bet that has a state", Avraham Burg with the title ". immigration to power" and Yossi Sarid who received the title "Meanwhile in the right : They are all Kahanists."

Cohen added a photo of Hanin Zoavi's photo as it appeared in the newspaper, with the caption given by the editors: "reminiscent of things written by Primo Levy." The photographed cluster was closed by Cohen with a brief reference: "The opinion columns of 'Haaretz' last weekend." how do you say? we did not touch..."

The status of Cohen, whose position is that of a senior civil servant, sparked a debate between supporters and opponents of the positions represented by "Haaretz". At one point, Cohen himself responded, stating: "The articles were full, the issue is the manipulations in the editing: what titles are given, where each article is placed, what is chosen to stand out from the text, etc. Here we clearly see the pointing hand, full of venom and self-hatred, on the verge of anti-Semitism Sometimes it seems to you that you are reading a newspaper from the territories, translated into Hebrew. As if there is no one to complain about the people of Israel."

Later, there was someone who suggested that Cohen go read the Israel Hayom newspaper. Cohen wrote in response: "The obsessive self-flagellation, full of insinuations and statements that imply the

delegitimization of Zionism. Somehow it turns out that the Jew is always the villain, always guilty, will always be presented as a cruel villain. I am not far from you in understanding reality and my political views are with you. I only express them by voting, But I still see here an emotional and ideological identification with our worst enemies, and admit the guilt - it really bothers me, what can I do. Do you really think that the situation here is similar to Germany in the 1930s? Hanin Zoevi is Primo Levi? Well, really."

Even this morning, the discussion on Cohen's Facebook page continues around "Haaretz". Cohen photographed Rogel Alpher's article in which he called on French Jews to stay in France, and concluded: "This morning's newspaper, the last word in the interesting discussion we had here."

<https://b.walla.co.il/item/2908978>

(Habtom Zarhum)

The REAL Lynching

By Donny Fuchs, October 22, 2015

Forensic evidence shows that the initial shooting was the cause of death. So much for the forensic experts of Haaretz!

<https://www.jewishpress.com/indepth/columns/fuchs-focus/the-real-lynching/2015/10/22/>

Forensic Institute: Eritrean Killed by Be'er Sheva Security Guard, Not "Lynch" Mob

By

JNi.Media, October 21, 2015

<https://www.jewishpress.com/news/breaking-news/forensic-institute-eritrean-killed-by-beer-sheva-security-guard-not-lynch-mob/2015/10/21/>

(Defendants acquitted in lynching case in 2015 Beersheba terror attack.

Alex Winston, July 20, 2020

..an investigation revealed that his death was caused by serious internal injuries caused by gunshot wounds to his upper body and not as a result of the beating from the crowd..

<https://www.jpost.com/israel-news/defendants-acquitted-in-lynching-case-in-2015-beersheba-terror-attack-635655>)

Hanan Amior, on the new antisemitic record of the Haaretz newspaper, Hanoch Daum in the morning, Tuesday, October 13, 2015.

<https://omny.fm/shows/tel-aviv-radio/1-3-2015-58>

Jewish Home MK says Haaretz 'incites' against him

12 Aug 2015

Jewish Home MK Bezalel Smotrich files a complaint of incitement against the Haaretz newspaper, Army Radio reports, after a writer described in an op-ed published this morning how he would slap the MK in the face.

The op-ed in question was written by Nissan Shor, a columnist whose pieces often convey social criticism in satirical form. In a piece lamenting the apparent weakness of the Israeli left...

https://www.timesofisrael.com/liveblog_entry/jewish-home-mk-says-haaretz-incites-against-him/

Eli Dukorsky [Mayor of Kiryat Bialik] (@dukorsky) Tweeted (July 25, 2015):

To think that I was a subscriber to the Haaretz newspaper for years. Shame on myself. How much hatred and hostility to the state and its Jewish and democratic values.

<https://twitter.com/dukorsky/status/624933805208395776?s=20>

Yossi Haim @chaimyossi Tweeted:

Shameful report, I am simply overwhelmed by this hatred of Israel, the slanders, the disproportion, the real blindness to the truth, the distortion of the facts ... Last time I read the Haaretz newspaper.

Jun 22, 2015

<https://twitter.com/chaimyossi/status/612994156550451201>

Who should be ashamed. Those who do not attack (the Israeli prime minister for his policies) or those who dare to attack (the Obama finance minister)

Tal Kopel, June 8, 2015

... Before our eyes is a classic example of two-facedness and piety. A senior correspondent for the Haaretz newspaper, Barak Ravid, humiliates, in a live broadcast, another journalist because he did not rush to criticize, immediately, the policy of the elected prime minister; On the other hand, a major commentary column by a prominent reporter for the Haaretz newspaper criticizes American Jews for demonstratively criticizing the policies of an elected president.

<https://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-4666166,00.html>

Does 'Haaretz' have a problem with people of color?

May 5, 2015

Then when Arab television presenter Lucy Aharish was chosen to light a torch for Israel's independence day, she was blasted in the newspaper and accused of not "dressing like an Arab." What does it mean to "dress like an Arab"? Palestinian Arabs dress in a diverse manner, some women wear their hair out, some wear hijab, some wear jeans, others don't. Pictures from the 1920s show Arab women in British Mandate Palestine in diverse types of dress. Maybe to "dress like an Arab" means the same thing as to "dress like a European."

Long-time Haaretz writer Amos Elon was a frequent offender when it came to stereotyping Jews from Arab countries.

Back in 1953 he had gone to Morocco and wondered what effect Moroccan Jews' "uncontrolled fertility would have on the Jewish people's genetic robustness." In a 2004 interview with Ari Sharvit at Haaretz he was still talking about the "political primitiveness" of Jews who immigrated to Israel from Muslim countries.

The Ethiopian protests have brought out the word in stereotypes. Among them was the cartoon published on May 5, 2015...

<https://sethfrantzman.com/2015/05/05/haaretz-has-a-problem-with-people-of-color/>

Haaretz claims that "the occupation is the home of terrorism." And what about reality?

In what universe should one live to claim that Arab terrorism is the result of the Six Day War? Still, this is what a certified academic claims over the pages of the Haaretz newspaper

Hanan Amior, April 6, 2015

... In 1834, when the first lovers of Zion [Chovevei Zion] were just beginning to be born, the Arabs of the Galilee went on a pogrom against the Jews of Safed, in which they raped, looted, burned, destroyed and murdered the Jews of Safed and their property for 33 days. All community property is looted.

According to the book "The Line of Plowing and Fire"

[http://opus.co.il/product_info.php?products_id=1086], which reviews the history of 150 years of conflict (and according to other historians), Arab hostilities against the Jews of the country began only later, in 1860, following the construction of the first Jewish neighborhood outside the Old City walls, Mishkenot Sha'ananim.

According to the historian Hillel Cohen [http://simania.co.il/bookdetails.php?item_id=969110] - who ignores the riots of 1921 [<http://lib.cet.ac.il/pages/item.asp?item=2039>] (1921, 46 years before the "occupation"), which began in Jaffa and spread to other settlements in which dozens of Jews were murdered - the root of the conflict and terrorism appeared later, in 1929, 38 years before the "occupation"), Then, I recalled, the Arabs murdered and wounded hundreds of Jews, mainly in Hebron and Safed, in brutal and horrific acts of terrorism reminiscent of what is happening these days around our borders.

The year 1936 (31 years before the "occupation") can also be defined as the year in which organized Palestinian terror against the Jews of the country was born, as part of the great Arab uprising that erupted in the form of indiscriminate Arab terror following the rise of Eastern European Jews to Germany.

The principle is understandable and one can skip a bit, straight to the establishment of the PLO terrorist organization in January 1964, which aimed at the armed resistance to Israel through terrorism against civilians, three and a half years before the "occupation."

To claim that "the occupation is the creator of terrorism and not the other way around" indicates either ignorance or a severe lack of intellectual integrity.

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%A0%D7%98%D7%A2%D7%9F-%D7%A9%D7%94%D7%9B%D7%99%D7%91%D7%95%D7%A9-%D7%94%D7%95%D7%90-%D7%91%D7%99%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%99%D7%95%D7%A6%D7%A8-%D7%A9%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%98/>

Who do you call Nazis?

Alon Dahan, March 21, 2015

It's scary how much the Nazi David Duke loves certain Jews. Everyone (!) Belongs to the left. None of the "handful" to which I belong and from whom Garbuz and his friends are so reluctant. On the use of comparisons to the Nazis and on the bitter truth of the disqualified

Source: Maraah Magazine website (20/03/2015)

From time to time I get up drenched in sweat and terror, all anxious about my cruel bitter fate. I have heard that intellectuals and in general people who think they are "thinkers," [HA'ARETZ] tend to compare me and my friends to the Nazis, according to at least one newspaper in the country, which is incidentally partly owned, by a Nazi family in the past [http://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340, L-3292130,00.html]. In July 2008, Zehava Gal-On warned that: "I remind you that democracy in Germany has collapsed because it has allowed its enemies on the far right to exploit it, to exploit the democratic mechanisms, and it has undermined its basic powers." It happened just shortly after I heard her and her friends, calling Likud members an "extreme right."

It was a hard blow to my soft underbelly, because I came to understand that if the Likud is "extreme right", then what will people like me say they are right from the Likud, but do not feel so much the burden of the nickname "extreme", certainly not the gray fate of the Weimar Republic laying on their shoulders. Now I understand that I am in fact the "right" that was there. I realized that in the eyes of the left, or parts of it, to think differently from them is no less comparable to a Nazi, or similar to a Nazi, or really a "Nazi."

Interestingly, the National Socialist Party was a combination of right-wing nationalism and left-wing socialism. But it was much more socialist than nationalist, since the vast majority of its seniors were left-wing Marxists, and in its being she remained forceful like Stalin, centralized like Lenin and Marx, militaristic like Trotsky and anti-Semitic like Hitler. In Israel, for political reasons and unlike anywhere else, only the right wing remained and the left-wing infrastructure disappeared from the definition of "National Socialism". Due to the Nazi aspiration for world domination, or at least for the establishment of the European Union [<https://m.news1.co.il/TagDetails.aspx?TagID=8169>], she was ahead of her time even further to the left ...

Blaming "Nazism" for the normative activity of right-wing elements is not uncommon. A lecturer at the Hebrew University named Amiram Goldblum compared... In recent days I have heard from another "man of spirit", Joshua Sobol, that if I am not willing to apologize for my positions, not to support and transfer more assets to a Palestinian Authority that is blindly admired by Hitler and everything he represents, I act like the Nazi Party. Interestingly, leftist logic requires that in order not to be considered Nazis, we are committed to supporting the Palestinian Authority, where hundreds of children proudly bear the second name "Hitler" ("Al-Hayat al-Jadida", 13.4.2000), in which Hitler's "Mein Kampf" is A bestseller ("Al-Hayat Al-Jadida", September 2, 1999), in which opinion articles praise Hitler and Nazism, saying with sorrow that: "If Hitler had won the war, Nazism would have been a sign of honor" ("Al-Hayat Al-Jadida") 18.3.13), an authority headed by a Holocaust denier, based in his book on clear pro-Nazi sources, and considers the Holocaust a lie and a collaborative Zionism of Hitler and a Nazi conspiracy, and in addition, an authority in which a spontaneous salute to guests from Germany is reasonable. The leftist logic of sticking a nickname "Nazi" to anyone who opposes fans of this declared Arab Nazism.

Unfortunately, to date there has not been a single official Arab representative, in Israel or in the PA, who condemns, opposes or thinks in any critical way about Haj Amin al-Husseini, the Palestinian leader, who has declared that Islam and Nazism have the same treatment of Jews [<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dbP2EyF8d34>], conceptual and practical. In his memoirs, Husseini wrote: "Our fundamental condition for cooperating with Germany was a free hand to eradicate every last Jew from Palestine and the Arab world. I asked Hitler for an explicit undertaking to allow us to solve the Jewish problem in a manner befitting our national and racial aspirations and according to the scientific methods innovated by Germany in the handling of its Jews. The answer I received was: "The Jews are yours."

As is well known, Abu Mazen is considered "moderate." He will certainly not be called a "Nazi" by the Israeli left, as Gal-On and Sobol tend to attribute to "truly extremist" people, like me for example. So I thought to myself, here is a revered Mufti here, an ally of Hitler [<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dbP2EyF8d34>], and on the other hand a "moderate" leader as a balancer, so let's see what the "moderate" thinks On the declared Nazi. I searched and found that Abu Mazen tends to praise [<http://www.inn.co.il/News/News.aspx/249578>] Haj Amin al-Husseini [<http://www.e-mago.co.il/Editor/history-3465.htm>] at every possible opportunity, and never objected to any element in the disturbed personality of the aforementioned declared Nazi. Here I was already completely confused.

I have seen that there are many on the left who are waging a battle against people close to me in their views, being a scale representation of a camp that is considered by them to be "Nazi".

Who did Hitler identify with?

There are many accusations from the left, as if everyone who supports his country and his people is a Nazi. For example, Lizzie Sagi from B'Tselem firmly stated ... and hop! (slang)... we are all (LOL) "Nazis." The message on the signs was very patriotic, for the people and the country ... and the fact that it appeared there in Germany, by the Nazis, turned in the eyes of the left all the sane Jews in this country, those who still know how to distinguish between good (Israel ...) and evil (Hamas, fire "F ...), to a kind of Nazis.

If we take such analogies, we will come to interesting conclusions. In an in-depth examination conducted by scholars in the past, Hitler delivered more peace speeches than any other European leader in the history of New Europe. It appears in none other than Uri Avnery who previously wrote a book called *The Swastika*, and there he wrote the things. The picture that emerges from his monumental book is similar, and others have noticed it as well. Hitler, by the way, spoke sympathetically of the "European Union," which he particularly hated ultra-Orthodox Judaism, and it appears in "Mein Kampf" clearly and directly: "Is this a Jew?" He asked (Adolf Hitler, M.K., Hebrew Version, p. 289).

This position of Hitler is very similar to the position of prominent people on the Israeli left, for example: "One can generalize a statement about the ideological settlers who are far worse than any neo-Nazi in Austria" (article in the journal "Kedma Sha'ar HaMizrach", January 2003). ...

The most attacked victim was the ultra-Orthodox public who was perceived as outside the consensus. Therefore, the legitimacy given to the extreme left for the slander of this public goes beyond the bounds of the law, and all under the auspices of freedom of expression [<https://m.news1.co.il/TagDetails.aspx?TagID=3498>] of course. More or less at the same time, the former Minister of Education, Shulamit Aloni, declared that: "The ultra-Orthodox suck from them ..." (Shulamit Aloni, "Davar", 24.12.84). : "When I see the ultra-Orthodox ..." (sculptor Igaël Tumarkin, Tel Aviv newspaper, 1988).

But Hitler did not only hate the ultra-Orthodox and the religious, like Aloni, Tumarkin and the like. Hitler also hated Zionism, and thought that a Jewish nation-state, unlike the state of all its citizens or federations and the like, was a disaster for the world. He claims: "... while Zionism pretends to the world, as if its national aspirations will find satisfaction in the creation of a Jewish state, the Jews are once again deceiving the [sic] foolish Gentiles in a most cunning way ... it will be a land of refuge for crooks [sic] whose guilt has already been proven Apprentices ... "(Mein Kampf. Heb, p. 96).

So what do we have here: Hitler - an extremist secularist, anti-Zionist, hater of the ultra-Orthodox, a speaker of peace speeches, a supporter of the Palestinians, and ... a vegetarian who supports animal rights, not us (at least some of the time). It probably does not sound like a "handful" of Garbuz's., so why do leftists accuse me and those who prostrate themselves on the graves of the righteous .. in a kind of Nazism, or resemblance to Nazism or all that?

In the late 1970s, diaries of Dr. Joseph Mengele were found in Sao Paulo, Brazil. It turns out that the doctor, who was known as "Dr. Death" [<http://www.scotsman.com/news/world/mengele-s-diaries->

reveal-angel-of-death-unrepentant-to-the-end-1-562887], continued to support Nazism as a worldview, and this worldview called on the world to support a "just solution" to the Palestinian problem and to restrain Israel in its actions. He went on to call for practical European involvement in the conflict, a bit like Gideon Levy after the election. Seems like some left-wingers, but it is, that they can not be "similar" to the Nazis. It's just us, the "handful" of Garbuz, Sobol and Amos Oz. At least that's what they passionately claim. By this point I was almost saying desperate. How do I know who's closer to the Nazis - "the dark handful", and I, disgusted, with him, or maybe someone else?

Right and left: Who do the Nazis identify with today?

In light of all these accusations, it was a little strange to hear Gunter Grass, the acclaimed writer and retiree of the Waffen S. S., who talks about Israel endangering world peace, hopes that Bougie and Tzipi will defeat Netanyahu. Well, but Grass is a retired Nazi. Although he is currently anti-Semitic, longing for the end of Israel, as it is a threat to world peace, etc., and supports Bougie and Tzipi from this point of view, he is still a retired Nazi, so why get caught up in pettiness. In general, many leftists identify anti-Semitism with a kind of "supreme morality", without being Nazis.

Out of confusion and embarrassment, I decided to direct my question to a well-known Nazi, perhaps the most famous and important Nazi in the US today, the Great Wizard, head of the White Brotherhood and former head of the Ku Klux Klan, Holocaust denier and former and present Nazi, Dr. David Duke. I wanted him to tell me about Jewish "dissidents," and who the heroes of sane Judaism are in his eyes, so I would know. After all, if a Nazi who understands Nazism praises a Jew, surely there is a problem with this Jew.

You will be amazed. Duke writes a lot for the Palestinians, for world "peace" and arrangements in the Middle East. In addition, he praises many Jews. Scary how much this Nazi loves certain Jews. Everyone (!) Belongs to the left. None of the "handful" to which I belong and from whom Garbuz and his friends are so reluctant. In his articles, he admires Ilan Pappé [<https://www.news1.co.il/TagDetails.aspx?TagID=35711>], from the University of Haifa. He admires Norman Finkelstein and Noam Chomsky, both lovers of Sobol's camp in Israel. He really goes out of his way to praise Uri Avnery, but there is no wonder about it. Avnery Hari is known for using the motifs of the "Protocols of the Elders of Zion" and "Main Kampf", and publishing them, no less, on distinctly antisemitic sites, alongside articles by White Brotherhood spokesmen.

An example of this can be seen in an article published by Avnery, ... a well-known antisemitic motif drawn from the "Protocols of the Elders of Zion" and the "International Jew" of the Nazi car prince, Ford. Would it be surprising to the reader that the foundation set up by Ford [<http://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-63199-00.html>], which was a clear Nazi and Hitler's mentor in a series of ideals, only funds left-wing activities in our country?

But he is not the only one. On the well-known anti-Semitic website, "Counterpunch", I found articles by Shulamit Aloni, along with articles by the great anti-Semites in the United States, including people such as the Nazi David Duke. In an article on the same distinctly anti-Semitic website, Aloni accuses Israel of apartheid policies. Interestingly, it has no criticism of the Palestinian Authority at all. Apparently she

agrees with the official policy of expelling Jews, including Holocaust survivors [<http://www.inn.co.il/Besheva/Article.aspx/4724>], from their homes only because they are Jews, and a conscious creation of "Juden Rein". She lives in peace with the fact that the Palestinian Authority imposes the death penalty [<http://news.nana10.co.il/Article/?ArticleID=746868>] on the sale of real estate to Jews, or educators for the extermination of Jews [<http://www.ynet.com.il/articles/0,7340,L-3037042,00.html>] In short, an authority that upgrades the Nuremberg Laws is fine in its eyes, but Israel in its opinion is an "apartheid regime." This is despite the fact that Arabs choose and are elected in Israel, and buy real estate. " Wherever they want, and so on, while the Palestinian Authority - which creates a purified space from Jews, by Israel and the Arabs, and executes by law (!) Arabs who sold property to Jews - is not considered an "apartheid regime." But why go to the PA? After all, Aloni also ignores the danger of renting an apartment by a Jew in Umm al-Fahm or Sakhnin, which is not possible today.", "Augusta Victoria", "Red Crescent Maternity Hospital" and Alamira Basma Hospital, all of which are Arab hospitals - Jews are not allowed to be hospitalized. This is in Israel in 2015, where all Jewish hospitals have many Arab patients.

I thought to myself that perhaps the fact that some leftists from the leaders of the "peace camp" are revered by the number one Nazi in the US does not indicate that there is a phenomenon here. , Revered by the Israeli left and starring in many antisemitic sites including the antisemitic site "J ... " hosts many Israelis, all (!) from the Israeli left, and also contains links to other antisemitic sites, including distinctly Nazi sites. In one of the links there I found the reference to an article appearing on the site of the Nazi White Brotherhood headed by Tom Metzger. Beyond the unbridled support for the Palestinian narrative, which places the writer, who defines himself as a Nazi, deep in the Israeli left in terms of his views. Notice who praises the article: Uri Avnery, Max Blumenthal, Noam Chomsky, Jonathan Kook, Norman Finkelstein, Amira Hass [<https://www.news1.co.il/TagDetails.aspx?TagID=2721>], Gideon Levy, Ilan Pappé, Henry Sigman, Philip Weiss and more. Note that there is not one right here or God forbid any mezuzah weapon.

I started to think that maybe Sobol was wrong. This can not be, after all, he belongs to a left that is never wrong, and therefore does not apologize, neither for Oslo, nor for the dead, nor for anything. But maybe still, this is a Nazi site, of Nazis who know what Nazism means, completely Nazi, and who praises only leftists? There must be a mistake here, I told myself. After all, in Israel, the left bothers to say that we are the "right-wing danger" that threatens Weimar at the end of its enlightened days, but the Nazis still claim that their allies are only left-wingers. Quite deceptive and even embarrassing.

Since Tom Metzger is relatively new to the role of "chairman" of the Nazis there, at least in terms of accuracy, American "Nazism" represents, so I went back to our "good and familiar" Nazi Duke, and found that he also admires Max Blumenthal. Jews, Israelis, as brave dissidents - all from the left. The treatment of Arabs there is exactly the same as the treatment of them in the leftist camp in Israel, we were: in the White Brotherhood sites in the US and around the world, Palestinians are considered oppressed, conquered, Jews As heroes. Netanyahu is denounced on Duke's website and Metzger's website as a ruthless and capitalist hedonistic monster, who controls the United States and through it - the whole world. Probably copied by Maury Avnery. The left comes out great there.

In an antisemitic and distorted propaganda video, one of many, Duke describes Jewish control over the world media, banks, government and the world. At the end of his speech (minute 9:19), he suddenly creates self-rehabilitation, through the praise he bestows on several Israelis and Jews, all belonging to the Israeli left, including Holocaust survivor Hajo Meyer, who supports a total boycott of Israel, Uri Avnery, Gilad Atzmon, and more. Very interesting.

I would not have uttered a word, if not necessary, but suddenly so many accusations of the left against the right in Nazism, from Amos Oz, who claimed that the hill youth is a nickname for Hebrew neo-Nazi groups, to the occasional keyboard shimmers, on Facebook. You may want to continue with this report, because the same image appears on other antisemitic sites as well. For the purpose of this article, I have collected a great deal of additional material, such as the attitude of Chomsky and Norman Finkelstein, who star in antisemitic and Nazi sites, to Nazism, and Holocaust denial [<http://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-19383-00.html?tag=08-23-48>], and on the other hand the treatment of them on the sites of the Israeli left. I wanted to examine the attitude of the left to Holocaust deniers Ernest Zundel or to Robert Faurison on leftist sites in the country and more. But from the material I uploaded, I preferred to drive in moderation, in that I actually spared my friends on the left and censored much more than I dared to publish. It is also necessary to examine who is fighting the phenomena of Nazism in the country. Hint: They are not leftists.
<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-101061-00.html>

Haaretz Columnist: The Israeli People Must be Replaced | Jewish & Israel ...

Mar 18, 2015 · Haaretz Columnist Gideon Levy: Replace the Israeli People. Are the Israeli people sick?
<https://www.algemeiner.com/2015/03/18/after-netanyahus-electoral-victory-haaretz-columnist-says-the-israeli-people-must-be-replaced/>

Haaretz newspaper apologizing for reporting a false "hate" crime
March 11, 2015

Yesterday's newspaper published an apology from Haaretz for a fire in a mosque that resulted from a short circuit.

—

Is it possible in a smaller font?..
We also want it in English, in a huge font.
<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/190872.shtml>

The Gideon Levy Test

If, according to the Gideon Levy Test, all Israelis are guilty of Jewish terror, despite condemnations from the right and left, what does the same test tell us about the Palestinian people? Reminder: this is a people whose elected leaders support, encourage and fund terror, who often celebrate in the streets when innocents are murdered, and who overwhelmingly (over 80%, according to surveys) support terror attacks.

Poll shows strong Palestinian support for violence [<http://www.timesofisrael.com/poll-shows-strong-palestinian-support-for-violence/> - Dec 9, 2014]

80% of West Bank and Gaza residents back recent wave of lone-wolf attacks; over half support new uprising against Israel.

Aug 9, 2015

<https://www.mideasttruth.com/forum/viewtopic.php?t=11905>

Bennett's wife: I'm scared, the left is violent towards Naftali

HabayitHaYehudi

Bennett's wife: I'm scared, the left is violent towards Naftali

Gilat Bennett on Facebook: "... a punch in the back at the Haaretz newspaper conference last year, violent people with hatred in their eyes who come to his conferences, shout hate speech at him"

Globes Service, 19.02.2015

<https://www.globes.co.il/news/article.aspx?did=1001011602>

Haaretz newspaper in English: A toddler died two years after a stone-throwing *incident* in the West Bank

Rotter News, 18.02.15

<https://rotter.net/forum/scoops1/183855.shtml>

The Writers at Haaretz Hate Israel and Themselves

February 18, 2015

A poll in 2013 showed that Israelis were the 11th happiest people in the world.

Another survey in 2014 showed that among the 10 countries with advanced economies polled, Israel ranked second in satisfaction with how things were going.

Yet another survey last August showed that 86% of Israelis were satisfied (or very satisfied) with their lives.

But not 100%...

The amount of self-loathing shown here is off the charts. But at Haaretz, this is pretty much par for the course.

To be fair, the writers for +972 Magazine are just as filled with self-hate as those at Haaretz.
<https://www.algemeiner.com/2015/02/18/the-writers-at-haaretz-hate-israel-and-themselves/>

Nakba facts.

By Petra Marquardt-Bigman Published: May 19, 2014.

As far as the Palestinians are concerned, the upcoming visit of Pope Francis to Jerusalem is a visit to “Palestine” that provides just another opportunity to present Israel’s creation as a “catastrophe” or “nakba” for Palestinians in general, and Palestinian Christians in particular.

The document promoted for this purpose by the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) Negotiations Affairs Department on Twitter has already been shown by blogger Elder of Zion to be “filled with lies.” One could add much more to the list of inaccuracies and distortions highlighted by Elder. To give just one example, consider this presentation of the “nakba” in Jaffa:

“Jaffa, after resisting days of siege and bombardment by terrorist organizations such as the Irgun, fell on May 14 th. From its 66,500 Palestinian inhabitants (including 16,000 Christians), less than 5,000 were able to stay, including less than 2,000 Christians.”

First it is noteworthy that Jewish development had attracted large numbers of Arab migrants in search of work to cities like Jaffa; indeed, it is well documented that due to “the substantial 1880-1947 Arab immigration [...] the Arab population of Jaffa, Haifa and Ramla grew 17, 12 and 5 times respectively.” Secondly, the claim that “less than 5,000 [of Jaffa’s Arabs] were able to stay” is undermined by the testimony of one of Jaffa’s Arab residents, who described the exodus as motivated by the desire to avoid the fighting when the widespread “belief that the Jews were generally cowards” started to seem questionable. Thirdly, if we assume the PLO’s numbers are correct, it is interesting to note that in terms of the proportions of Jaffa’s Arab population, noticeably fewer Christians than Muslims fled the fighting.* In this context one could also point out that the current enthusiasm of the PLO for Palestinian Christians seems somewhat opportunistic given the fact that the draft constitution of Palestine defines Islam as “the official religion in Palestine” and stipulates that the “principles of Islamic Shari’a shall be the main source of legislation.”

But beyond specific inaccuracies and outright misrepresentations it is no less important to address the fundamental problems with the Palestinian use of the nakba as a major propaganda tool designed to delegitimize Israel. As Ben-Dror Yemini argues in an excellent new column on this subject, the popular notion that supporting the Palestinian “nakba” narrative is somehow conducive to peace and reconciliation is utterly misguided: “Reconciliation is not achieved through propagandist lies that turn the birth of the State of Israel into a crime. Reconciliation is only achieved when the truth wins out.”

Yemini highlights the important point that in the context of its time, the Palestinian “nakba” was a comparatively minor “catastrophe” and that during and after World War II, many millions of people suffered a similar fate:

“Tens of millions in Europe and in Asia experienced [the] same trauma in the same decade, both before and after the war’s end. This is what happened to some 700,000 Palestinian Arabs. And this is what also happened to 850,000 Jews. The Jews had a Nakba, so did the Palestinians, and so did the Germans. There was also a Polish Nakba, and a Hindu Nakba. Nakba was the cruel reality of that time. It was a global Nakba. For every nation, a Nakba.”

Moreover, Yemini rightly argues that the Palestinian “nakba” should be seen in similar terms as the German “nakba:” after Germany lost its war of aggression, “[b]etween 12 and 16 million ethnic Germans were expelled from central European states at the end of the war and in its aftermath. Between 600,000 and two million were killed during those expulsions, which included innumerable pogroms and massacres.” The Palestinians – many of whom viewed the Nazi ally Haj Amin al-Husseini as their leader (as also the previously cited eye witness report from Jaffa in 1947/48 confirms) – were likewise on the losing side of a war of aggression that had been instigated by several Arab states. Moreover, there is no reason to think that “Hitler’s mufti” had given up on his plans for a “final solution” of his “Jewish problem” – plans he had developed in the comfort and luxury provided by the Nazi leadership since he became their guest in late 1941. And it is also relevant in this context that some 30 000 of those Jews whom the followers of al-Husseini despised as “cowards” had volunteered to fight the Nazis by joining the British army.

Astonishingly enough, some of these undeniable historical facts have even been acknowledged in a recent Ha’aretz column. Responding to an editorial in a scathing column, Shlomo Avineri – a regular contributor to the paper – chastised Ha’aretz for “its stunning disregard of quite a few fundamental and indisputable historical facts.” At a time when it is often regarded as taboo to question the factual basis of “narratives,” Avineri asserted with admirable disregard for political correctness that there is such a thing as “indisputable historical truths” and that the “attempt to ignore them is morally flawed.” As he pointed out:

Advertisement

“It is a fact [...] that in September 1939, Germany invaded Poland and not the other way around. It is a fact that on December 7, 1941, Japan attacked the United States and not vice versa. It is also true that what is called the Nakba is the result of a political decision by the Palestinian leadership and the Arab states to reject the United Nations partition resolution, to try to prevent its implementation by force and to attack the Jewish community in the Land of Israel before and after the state’s establishment.” Avineri also criticized the editorial for claiming that it was a “fact that a national and human disaster befell the Palestinians.”

“A disaster? Was the Nakba an earthquake? A tornado? A tsunami? It was the tragic result of an Arab political decision to prevent the establishment of a Jewish state in the portion of the Land of Israel that had been under the British Mandate, just as the expulsion of 12 million ethnic Germans from Poland, Czechoslovakia and Hungary after 1945 was the tragic result of German aggression in 1939 and later in 1941, when it invaded the Soviet Union. In both cases, masses of innocent civilians paid the price of their leaders’ aggression. But if anyone today tried to describe the expulsion of millions of Germans from Eastern Europe as a ‘disaster’ that had nothing to do with the Third Reich’s aggression, he would rightly be called a neo-Nazi.”

Needless to say, so-called “pro-Palestinian” activists are incensed when they are confronted with even the slightest hint that their nakba “narrative” may ignore some inconvenient historical facts.

As this exchange (h/t Nurit Baytch) between Rania Khalek and Alex Kane illustrates, they regard any questioning of the Palestinian view that the “nakba” was a “catastrophe” inflicted by evil (sic) Zionists on completely innocent and peaceful Palestinian civilians as comparable to minimizing the Holocaust with the argument that the Nazis felt the Jews were ruining Germany’s economy. One more reason to conclude it is fully justified to cite Khalek as an example for the bigotry [<http://blogs.jpost.com/content/racism-101-rania-khalek>] that is so pervasive in the BDS movement; and, given the fact that Kane serves as “Assistant Editor” at Mondoweiss, one more reason to conclude that this site is indeed deeply tainted by antisemitism. [<http://warped-mirror.com/2012/12/17/mondoweiss-on-court-jew-elie-wiesel-updated/>].

* * *

* Many more relevant details can be found in: Itamar Radai, Jaffa, 1948: The fall of a city [pdf] [<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/13531042.2011.553064>]. Journal of Israeli History: Politics, Society, Culture, Volume 30, Issue 1, 2011 (pp. 23-43). See e.g.: “Jaffa experienced far-reaching changes during the British Mandate period, which brought about extensive modernization. Rapid economic development led to internal migration, particularly of Muslim Arabs, from villages to the city and migration on a more limited scale from elsewhere in the region. At the beginning of the 1920s, Jaffa had a population of 32,500, of whom 5,000 were Jews; toward the end of World War II the population stood at 102,000, of whom about 71,000 were Arabs. [...] As a result of the intensified urbanization process, high-poverty areas sprang up on Jaffa’s periphery, characterized by densely populated and substandard housing [...] At the end of 1946, 70% of Jaffa’s Arab residents lived in these impoverished neighborhoods and in others like them in the city’s center. For the most part internal migrants, they found work in the city as unskilled laborers and in many cases lodged in these shantytowns only temporarily. Notable among the external migrants were those from the Hawran area in southwest Syria [...] Many migrants felt threatened by the disparity between the conservative way of life and traditional social structure in their mountainous regions of origin and life on the coastal plain, which was amenable to external influences and bore a more cosmopolitan character. Most concretely, the migrants’ sense of being under threat was due to the Jewish presence, and their situation was further aggravated by their chilly reception by the city’s Arabs, many of whom were Christians – a phenomenon the new arrivals had infrequently encountered in the central hills of Palestine.” [...]

“The immediate reaction in Jaffa to the UN partition resolution was indifference tinged with apprehension, tension, confusion, and uncertainty about the future. The British assessment was that the majority of the Palestinian Arabs recognized the leadership of the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem Hajj Amin al-Husayni, but that many, particularly Christians and members of the ‘moderate circles,’ namely the upper middle class, looked askance at the bellicose policy espoused by the Husaynis.”

Radai also mentions the deployment of some 40 Bosnian Muslims in January 1948 – they were actually the veterans of the units al-Husseini had recruited to fight for the Nazis, as described in more detail in Seth Frantzman’s JPost Magazine article “Strange bedfellows.”

[<https://www.jpost.com/magazine/features/strange-bedfellows>].

<https://www.jpost.com/blogs/the-warped-mirror/nakba-facts-363781>

Haaretz Commentator Gideon Levy Arrested for ‘Spitting’ at Israeli Soldiers”

by Dave Bender - Dec 22, 2014

Israeli police on Monday arrested Gideon Levy, the well-known columnist for the left-wing Haaretz newspaper, for allegedly spitting at and cursing Israeli soldiers at a checkpoint near the Palestinian city of Tulkarm, Israel Radio said.

Gideon Levy, who is strongly pro-Palestinian and infamous for his scathing attacks on the IDF and the Israeli right, was attempting to enter Palestinian Authority area A, without a permit, along with photographer Alex Levac.

The two "confronted [the soldiers], causing a provocation and spitting and cursing at them," according to The Jerusalem Post. Haaretz later reported that Levy and Levac had been released following questioning.

Earlier in the day, soldiers caught a Palestinian man in an attempted stabbing attack at the checkpoint. <https://www.algemeiner.com/2014/12/22/haaretz-commentator-gideon-levy-arrested-for-spitting-at-israeli-soldiers%E2%80%8f/>

Sep 2014

Undercover Israeli Playwright Exposes Palestinian Holocaust Denial, Rank Jew-Hatred, Anti-Israel Agenda (VIDEO)

Dave Bender, Algemeiner, Oct 31, 2014

Posing as a German investigative reporter named 'Toby' during a trek through Palestinian Authority areas, a noted playwright and polymath author told Israel's Channel 2 News that he's exposed a toxic brew of Jew hatred in Palestinian society, masquerading behind purported human-rights activities...

"The Palestinian guide who accompanied the group was Ataf Abu Rob, a Palestinian journalist and investigator for B'Tselem who is responsible for several stories published by the [extreme Leftist Haaretz] journalist Gideon Levy. Abu Rob told 'Toby' that the Holocaust is 'a lie. I don't believe it.'

"The senior Fatah commander Jibril Rajob also opened his door after he heard about the book that 'Toby' planned to publish and told him: 'Israel is racist and fascist, it will be isolated like South Africa.' Rajoub's close confidantes whispered to Tenenbom 'We are all German, but the only problem is that we know that General Rommel did not succeed in coming here.'

<http://www.algemeiner.com/2014/08/31/undercover-israeli-playwright-exposes-palestinian-holocaust-denial-rank-jew-hatred-anti-israel-agenda-video/>

--

Holocaust deniers in B'Tselem

Ben-Dror Yemini, YNet, Aug 31, 2014.

Organization is not dealing with human rights, but encourages anti-Semitism. It's not about criticizing Israel's actions or about healing world; it's about Jewish support of fabricated blood libels meant to assist Hamas.

B'Tselem is the main supplier of factual background material thanks to researcher Atef Abu a-Rub who is also a Holocaust denier. The man is responsible for dozens of "researches" and reports about the Israeli abuse in the territories. He is so good at his job that when foreign reporters calls B'Tselem to visit the territories, it is Abu a-Rub who is sent to serve as their tour guide and shows them the area through his eyes.

The problem is not Atef Abu a-Rub; the problem is his operators...

<https://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4565828,00.html>

--

Israeli rights group admits employee denied Holocaust

B'Tselem denounces remarks by Ataf Abu Rub, who said WWII atrocities are 'a lie'; says it is investigating further

By Marissa Newman, TOI, 7 Oct 2014

Tuvia Tennenbaum (left) interviews B'Tselem researcher Ataf Abu Rub (R) (Screen capture: Channel 2)

The Israeli human rights NGO B'Tselem conceded earlier this week that one of its employees denied the Holocaust, retracting an earlier statement which had initially rejected the allegations.

The organization condemned the remarks by researcher Ataf Abu Rub, who was recorded by an undercover freelance journalist in a Channel 2 report several weeks ago as saying, "It's a lie — I don't believe it," in reference to the Nazi genocide of the Jews.

<https://www.timesofisrael.com/israeli-rights-group-admits-employee-denied-holocaust/>

--

B'Tselem Staff Member Denies Holocaust on TV

Incident, which occurred several weeks ago, reexamined after leftist org. initially distanced itself from anti-Semitic remarks.

[Tags: B'Tselem Holocaust Denial]

Shlomo Pitrikovsky, Tova Dvorin , Oct 05 , 2014.

A researcher for the extreme left group B'Tselem has denied the Holocaust leaving the organization scrambling to clean up its image.

Undercover reporter Tuvia Tenenbom recorded the researcher, Atef Abu-Alrub, saying that the Holocaust is a "lie" that he "doesn't believe" during a tour of Bedouin village Khirbet Al-Makhul in Judea and Samaria.

Tenenbom's book *Catch the Jew*, which was released last month, documented the incident.

After an expose by Channel 2 on the remarks in September, B'Tselem spokesman Sarit Michaeli claimed that the remarks were "lies" and taken out of context...

The organization's views have apparently changed, however, and on Sunday, a Facebook post by the group pledged to "seriously examine the issue."

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/185820>

'Haaretz': new heights of hatred - NRG

One newspaper in Israel is convinced for some reason that the defenders of the Abu Hadir murder are a minority among the Jews, in contrast to the "peace-loving" [sic] Palestinians. Someone out there is using strong stuff

Hillel Gershoni 8/7/2014.

<https://www.makorishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/593/891.html>

Exposing militant leftist propaganda

By Ayelet Shaked, July 16, 2014

Do you really want to continue getting your news reports about my country from writers who view the truth as little more than a needless inconvenience?

... Haaretz, unfortunately, may look like The New York Times, but it is far from being a liberal, curious newspaper in the Anglo Saxon tradition. Expecting Haaretz to write about a political opponent like myself in an honest, informative—if critical—manner, is a little like expecting Gideon Resnick to offer an unbiased, honest citation from a pro-Zionist post.

And so, when Haaretz, read by a mere 30,000 Israelis, give or take, says I'm (supposedly) "racist" – I'd look for a more reliable source.

Then, in a second article, Resnick also sneaks in the dumb female bit: "the 38-year-old Shaked is also frequently the target of subtle sexism, at best referred to as 'a young and pretty secular woman.'" And the citation is from – you guessed it, Haaretz. In fact, Electronic Intifada and Haaretz are Resnick's only sources, other than his brutalization of the Elitzur piece.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/op-ed-contributors/exposing-militant-leftist-propaganda-363062>

Racism in the name of anti-racism

By David Bernstein, June 5, 2014

You can't make this stuff up. Ha'aretz published an essay by one Salman Masalha, an Arab citizen of Israel who is a prominent poet, who vehemently objects to the fact that he was subject to additional security questioning at Ben-Gurion Airport. Israel, unlike the United States, openly engages in ethnic profiling for security purposes. But this post isn't about whether or to what extent this is justified.

Rather, it's about an article so thick with irony you can cut it with a knife. In between denouncing brutal Israeli racism and pronouncing that it has no right to exist (so brutal is the racism that he writes this column without apparent fear of repercussion, but that's the secondary irony), Masalha describes the airport security official who questions him:

'Soon, they reappeared, this time with the reinforcement of a muscular man who carried himself like a senior security figure. The muscle, named Ophir, must have thought that the leader of some terror organization had fallen into his hands and that maybe he'd get to expose him and rise in the ranks of nagging and hassling, the sacred "Jewish-democratic" work from the school of Zionism's (sic) racists.

How long have you been living in Jerusalem? Where did you live before that? and Where are you from originally?" he asked, along with other questions.

Ophir was a young, darkish security man, perhaps a descendant of converts from the Arabian Peninsula, perhaps from the Atlas Mountains. But one thing was clear, his black color looked very shabby, tattered and stained with evil.'

Like I said, you can't make this stuff up.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/volokh-conspiracy/wp/2014/06/05/racism-in-the-name-of-anti-racism/>

—

When responding to imaginary racism in real racism

Salman Masalha wrote in Haaretz that the state of "Z.....t evil" "has no right to exist", all because of some questions he was asked at Ben Gurion Airport. And also: why was it important for him to emphasize the "black color" of the security guard?

Yishai Goldflam 06/11/14

In other words, according to Masalha's testimony, he was received at the gates of Ben Gurion Airport kindly, approached with one technical question while waiting in line for check-in, and again, a few moments later, while several other questions were of an informative technical nature. On this minor incident, Masalha was so furious that he came out in a froth against the "racists of Z.....m" from the "rotten kingdom of I....I," the same "men" whose "little heads" brazenly ask him the "usual stupid questions." The same "Ophir." Who seemed to him to be of Oriental descent, described as follows:

Ophir is a young and black security man. Perhaps a descendant of converts from the southern Arabian Peninsula, and perhaps from the Atlas Mountains. But one thing was clear as sun, its black color looked very faded, tattered and stained with evil.

The effluent that he poured on the State of Israel was wiped out by Masalha in a statement that "there is no right to exist" for the state of "Z... evil", which deserves, a Nazi like her, to come next time at the gates of its airport with a yellow badge. And Haaretz found all this worthy of publication.

When the newspaper's publisher, Amos Schocken, was asked on Twitter if he did not think Masalha's tongue was racist ("his black color looks very faded"), he initially said no, as "indistinguishable from the context", but eventually agreed and admitted that it might make sense. Of Masalha, who interpreted his experience in the field as racism towards him, was "to respond in racist language to show that racism can be two-way." In other words, the Haaretz publisher admits that his newspaper gave rise to a racist remark by one of his writers.

Zero kidnappings since 1968

If we extend the discussion beyond the author's racist and extremist language, then Foam goes against the "profiling" method - the selective inspection method used at Ben-Gurion Airport, which concentrates the security check on people whose profile, consisting of their religion, national affiliation or origin, May pose a danger in some data. Since Haaretz has sharply chosen to categorize the method, it is worthwhile to understand what the profiling method is, what its advantages and disadvantages are and what has been done over the years to improve and reduce as much as possible the discomfort and humiliation it may cause Israeli Arabs ...

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%9B%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%91%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%9C%D7%92%D7%96%D7%A2%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%9E%D7%93%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%92%D7%96%D7%A2%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%90%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%99/>

—
Arab racism? Rats!

By Yisrael Medad, June 7, 2014

For decades, Arabs claimed they cannot be anti-Semites.

Why?

Because they are Semites themselves. And there are many who are taken in by that.

The caption reads, "the rats are coming". And one comment reads: "isn't it time for a cat?"

Rats [Nazi Propaganda Film] is a classic anti-Semitic frame.

There is also Salman Masalha's racism defended by Amos Schocken published by his Haaretz. Including this:

'The muscle, named Ophir, must have thought that the leader of some terror organization had fallen into his hands and that maybe he'd get to expose him and rise in the ranks of nagging and hassling, the sacred "Jewish-democratic" work from the school of Zionism's (sic) racists.

'...Ophir was a young, darkish security man, perhaps a descendant of converts from the Arabian Peninsula, perhaps from the Atlas Mountains. But one thing was clear, his black color looked very shabby, tattered and stained with evil.'

So, there is racism in Arab/Muslim society (and Amos S. supports it).

At least we are all clear now about that.

<https://www.jpost.com/blogs/green-lined/green-lined/arab-racism-rats-363738>

—

Promoting Hate Speech: Haaretz Plumbs New Depths

Simon Plosker, June 5, 2014

Haaretz's publisher Amos Schocken recently made clear in an open call for subscribers that he sees his newspaper as a tool to advance a particular politicized agenda. But just how far is Haaretz willing to go? Judging by an opinion piece, Haaretz has crossed the line from legitimate criticism into outright hatred towards the state of Israel.

In his piece... Salman Masalha, an Israeli Arab...

While Masalha accuses Israel of being a racist state,... he may wish to reflect on his own choice of words when describing the senior Israeli security officer whom is the object of his rage:

'Ophir was a young, darkish security man, perhaps a descendant of converts from the Arabian Peninsula, perhaps from the Atlas Mountains. But one thing was clear, his black color looked very shabby, tattered and stained with evil.'

It is astonishing that a newspaper that promotes its "liberal" sensitivities could allow a sentence such as this to get past the editorial process. It does however, reveal that Masalha is driven by the same racism he claims to be a victim of.

Ultimately, were the language of hate in this Haaretz opinion piece to appear in a foreign media outlet, it would provoke outrage. That it appears in an Israeli news source considered by foreign journalists to be the Israeli paper of record gives legitimacy to the language of delegitimization.

Haaretz has a right to criticize Israel but it also has a responsibility not to publish hate speech and the open questioning of Israel's very right to exist. Is it any surprise that some foreign media outlets have few qualms about publishing similar screeds if they can find them in Haaretz?

<https://honestreporting.com/promoting-hate-speech-haaretz-plumbs-new-depths>

Am Haaretz

By Meir Solomon

Tuesday, November 4, 2014 at 9:12 pm | "א חשון תשע"ה

"The pen is mightier than the sword" and "a picture is worth a thousand words"; "Discretion is the better part of valor," which more pertinently can be adapted to the better part of comedy is knowing what NOT to say and when NOT to say it." How does this assortment of aphorisms assemble into coherence? In one small cartoon, worth more than a thousand letters of commendation. This vulgar visual in the wrong hands (it will undoubtedly end up there, as it metastasizes through this global village) will be wielded with more devastation than a sword. The offender, himself a caricature of a cartoonist, could not resist proving just how clever he is and in the process of "in-valorous" indiscretion, cast a cartoon completely devoid of comedy. It will be abused by Muslims, Leftists, Rightists, anti-Semites, anti-Israelists, and conspiracy-theory kooks.

The cartoon is a simple box focused on the relationship between its two subjects, a skyscraper and an airplane. The objects are clearly defined: The skyscraper has an American flag atop its roof; on the fuselage of the plane is written Israel and if that were not clear enough, it is piloted by Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu. I forgot to mention that the building is a pre-9/11 Twin Tower and that the "Israel" plane Netanyahu is piloting is about to crash into it. The cartoon's message is that Netanyahu is a kamikaze terrorist attacking the United States and in the process detonating Israel. This image portrays the heinous accusation that Israel's Mossad was actually behind 9/11, obvious to all except for its

creator who, no doubt, thinks himself funny and clever, insightful. What this “cur-toonist” is, in fact, is its homonym, “INCITEFUL.”

This visual offense was published here in Israel in last Thursday’s Haaretz newspaper, reaffirming that Israel is the one nation in the region with Freedom of Speech and Freedom of the Press, despite baseless accusations to the contrary. Americans and citizens of the democratic West, having grown up with these freedoms as the basic fabric of society, take them for granted, assuming them as a birthright. At times, despite making my living by the grace of these freedoms, I too had been guilty of taking them for granted. Now living in Israel, the only bastion of freedom of speech in this benighted corner of the world, I have come to view these freedoms differently, more sacredly. These freedoms are to be cherished, and by that I mean not abused.

Haaretz newspaper in general and this culpable cur-toonist in particular vomit vitriol and call it a paper, thereby abusing the gift of speech and Freedom of the Press. In past columns I have referred to the loony-Leftist Haaretz and its stable (pun absolutely intended) of writers. The paper proudly claims as its purveyors of perspective the infamous Amira Haas who exhorted Palestinians to exercise “Freedom of Speech” metaphorically by replacing words with stone epithets and hurling them at the Jews of Israel, putting into practice the old adage “sticks and stones may break my (Jewish) bones...” Not to be outdone, Haas’ colleague on the paper is the notorious Gideon Levy, the toast of terrorists. While Amira Haas merely lives among the Arabs in Ramallah, the Palestinian Authority’s putative capital, and exhorts the locals to insurrection, Levy’s articles play persuasively in the international theater. One can hear his tropes accusing Israel of apartheid and ethnic cleansing in the slander brought by the world against the Jewish nation. Most disgracefully, during last summer’s Operation Protective Edge, he accused Israeli fighter pilots of attempted genocide against the citizens of Gaza. His column entitled “Lowest Deeds from Loftiest Heights,” and its quote, “they (Israeli pilots) are now perpetrating the worst, the cruelest, the most despicable deeds,” caused a Member of Knesset to denounce him as an enemy of Israel and a traitor. One does not think Levy was offended by the appellation.

The proof of Israel’s Freedom of the Press is that Haaretz still puts out its daily filth, though its circulation is in freefall. Levy’s scandalous claims over the summer led to many hundreds of cancellations of subscriptions, which may not seem like much but considering Haaretz’s low readership numbers, the bite was felt. And it is with the cancellation of subscriptions and falling readership that “Freedom of Choice” becomes the corrective to Freedom of Speech run amok. It would be unlawful and inappropriate for the government to shut down Haaretz on the grounds that it is an offensive rag, but it would not be inappropriate for the people of Israel to do so by financially ignoring it, which they are. The paper holds little if any credibility in Israel, but in truth does have an audience and influence in the nations of the European Union which are all too happy to imbibe its poison. Let them drink heartily; they never were interested in supporting Jews or Israel anyway.

Haaretz brings to mind the advice of Mark Twain, "Better to remain silent and be thought a fool than to speak out and remove all doubt." But what can you expect from an "Am HAARETZ?"
<https://hamodia.com/2014/11/04/haaretz/>

An all-time low for 'Haaretz'
Ruthie Blum, Nov 2, 2014

On Thursday, Haaretz published a political cartoon that caused a backlash the likes of which make the “chickenshit” story pale in comparison...

On Thursday, Haaretz published a political cartoon that caused a backlash the likes of which make the “chickenshit” story pale in comparison.

The cartoon depicts Netanyahu flying a plane into the World Trade Center.

Not only is it vile in and of itself, but the fact that it appeared while Glick remained in critical condition made it all the more repugnant.

To add insult to injury, cartoonist Amos Biderman defended his drawing.

"The message is that Bibi [Netanyahu] is arrogantly and wantonly destroying Israel's ties with the US and leading us to a disaster on the scale of 9/11," he said. "It was certainly not my intention to insult or upset anyone. I wasn't sufficiently aware of the great sensitivity that 9/11 holds for Americans."

Really. I guess he was equally unaware of the “great sensitivity” that anti-Semitic imagery holds for Jews everywhere.

Apparently, he and his Haaretz cohorts are so insulated by their bubble of chattering- class respectability that they do not notice when they have gone too far.

How do you say “shitstorm” in Hebrew?

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/an-all-time-low-for-haaretz-380588>

—

ADL Calls on Haaretz to Apologize for Offensive Cartoon Equating Israeli Prime Minister with 9/11 Hijackers

Published: 10.30.2014

New York, NY, October 30, 2014 ... The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) today called on the editors of the Israeli daily newspaper Haaretz to retract and apologize for publishing a grossly offensive cartoon depicting Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu as the pilot of an airplane headed toward the Twin Towers. The editorial cartoon, by Amos Biderman, apparently attempts to analogize Mr. Netanyahu's behavior in his diplomatic relations with the U.S. with the actions of the terrorists responsible for the 9/11 terror attacks.

<https://www.adl.org/resources/press-release/adl-calls-haaretz-apologize-offensive-cartoon-equating-israeli-prime>

Equating Israeli Prime Minister with 9/11 Hijackers

New York, NY, October 30, 2014 ... The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) today called on the editors of the Israeli daily newspaper Haaretz to retract and apologize for publishing a grossly offensive cartoon depicting Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu as the pilot of an airplane headed toward the Twin Towers. The editorial cartoon, by Amos Biderman, apparently attempts to analogize Mr. Netanyahu's behavior in his diplomatic relations with the U.S. with the actions of the terrorists responsible for the 9/11 terror attacks.

<https://www.adl.org/news/press-releases/adl-calls-on-haaretz-to-apologize-for-offensive-cartoon-equating-israeli-prime>

—

Israeli leftist newspaper's political cartoon sparks outrage over 9/11 imagery - Yahoo News

Ed Hornick - October 31, 2014

An editorial cartoon in Israel's Haaretz newspaper is drawing criticism for using Sept. 11 imagery to depict U.S.-Israel relations under Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.

In it, a character resembling Netanyahu is seen flying a small plane into a building that resembles a World Trade Center tower. An American flag flies atop the skyscraper.

The cartoon, which appeared Thursday, comes after the Israeli leader was reportedly criticized by an Obama administration official as being a "chickensh**"...

<http://news.yahoo.com/outrage-over-israeli-newspaper-s-cartoon-depicting-9-11-230612840.html>

Father of WTC Victim Blasts Haaretz Over Cartoon Showing Netanyahu as 9/11 Terrorist

by Ben Cohen

Oct 30, 2014.

The father of a young New Yorker who was murdered during the September 11, 2001 terrorist atrocity at the World Trade Center in lower Manhattan has sharply criticized the Israeli newspaper Haaretz for a cartoon published today that displays the Israeli Prime Minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, piloting a plane marked with the word "Israel" into a skyscraper flying the American flag.

Roman Gertsberg, whose 25 year-old daughter Marina was inside the World Trade Center complex when Al Qaeda terrorists crashed two planes into the twin towers, told The Algemeiner, "If this cartoon shows that Israel flies the plane into the World Trade Center, then it is the most ridiculous display I've ever seen. Nobody in their right mind should associate Israel with flying a plane into the WTC. It is very offensive to 9/11 families to distort the historical events of that tragic day in order to bring attention to one political statement or another."

Gertsberg emphasized: "We know who the perpetrators were – Al Qaeda and all their supporters."

The cartoon, by resident Haaretz artist Amos Biderman, has drawn an angry response since being posted online this morning. Many critics expressed surprise and dismay that an Israeli newspaper would fuel the myth that Israel had a role in the 9/11 atrocity, a favored theme of the so-called "9/11 Truth" movement.

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2014/10/30/father-of-wtc-victim-blasts-haaretz-over-cartoon-showing-netanyahu-as-911-terrorist/>

Haaretz Smears American Terrorism Expert with Political Hit Job

While Haaretz attacked Emerson for being a Republican who is friends with Sheldon Adelson, the sources it relied upon did not actually refute that the U.S. pulled the plug on assisting Israel locate a kidnapped soldier.

By Lori Lowenthal Marcus

-

27 Tishri 5775 – October 21, 2014

To be sure, the information provided by Steve Emerson regarding a decision by the U.S. government to stand down on an ongoing attempt to provide the Israeli government with critical information regarding an Israeli soldier whom Hamas claimed it had in its custody during this summer's conflict was shocking.

But perhaps just as shocking – or it should be – was a politically driven smear by Haaretz of Emerson's credentials and his alleged political friendships, suggesting that was all that was driving Emerson's claims.

Although the Haaretz article claimed Emerson was wrong about the facts that he alleged, its portrayal of the U.S. government's refutation was misleading and its focus ugly – that Emerson, a "right-wing Republican" is a "personal friend" of Sheldon Adelson, who is a "formidable patron of the Republican party and Prime Minister Benyamin Netanyahu."

The Haaretz article stated as fact that Emerson "is a controversial figure in the United States" about whom "members of the Muslim community and the left in the United States" (no names, no numbers, just members) "say he is islamophobic." <http://www.jewishpress.com/news/breaking-news/haaretz-smears-american-terrorism-expert-with-political-hit-job/2014/10/21/>

To write in Haaretz. Disqualified article for publication. On the eve of Rosh Hashana

Sep 24, 2014

Peace to you?

About once a week I publish an article in Haaretz, attach a link and the full text .. Recently I was offered a regular column by the newspaper. Every Wednesday.

I checked if this also includes New Year's Eve, i.e. today. They said yes. I sent. It turns out that the article was too difficult for the newspaper's famous pluralism. I enclose it herewith. Please distribute. It is important that he reach tens of thousands to prove that the age of media censorship has passed from the world.

Happy New Year to you and all your family.
Aryeh Eldad

To Write in Haaretz
Aryeh Eldad

About thirty-five years ago, an article with the same headline was published in Haaretz. In which I read: "Haaretz often causes me, and my shoulders, sadness in the face of its political positions - not only in the publicist part, in the editorial articles but sometimes also in the informative part." Haaretz is a non-partisan newspaper, although the general line of His views are clear. Against this background, it is certain that it is unique to place a nest in one predatory bird. But such a "nest", is not in the nature of giving kosher? "

This is what my father, Dr. Israel Eldad A"H, wrote, who wrote for the newspaper for many years. And my concerns today are similar to his. And not because of the articles of Gideon Levy or Amira Hass or Udi Aloni and the like. On the contrary. I'm happy to read them to realize again that you do not have a low degree that does not have a more lower degree. And hopefully even the rest of Haaretz readers... understand what abysses self-hatred leads to. And what is the real face of the Israeli left if it is consistent. Tuvia Tennenbaum defined them beautifully in his book "Captured the Jew": "He loves the Palestinians not because of who they are, because he has no idea who they are at all, but because of what they are not: they are not Jews. They are the enemies of the Jews and that makes them wonderful people."

Over thirty years ago. On December 19, 1983, the Israeli Ambassador to the UN, Prof. Yehuda Blum, met for lunch with Egyptian Ambassador Khalil. Immediately after the dessert he hurried to Jerusalem with a secret telegram: "I told him that... no dissatisfaction with the moves we take can justify the style they take... Khalil replied that things were mainly based on the words of the Israeli press and mentioned in this regard mainly "Haaretz" And "Haolam Haze" ...

The dilemma of whether to continue writing in the paper, and serve as a kind of "fig leaf" for the pluralism of the paper is not precisely because of the opinion pieces in the paper but because of the news, informative part, which makes it a rich newspaper that has so much to read. The news "immersed in poison. And not necessarily the kind that comes to bring out our evil slander in the world, and to serve as a tool in the hands of our enemies like 30 years ago. I am more outraged at the "brainwashing" directed inward. To the Israeli public.

For example: As part of the "Haaretz for Peace Conference," the newspaper published survey results on July 7. When the respondents were presented with the meanings of a peace agreement with the Palestinians (60% of the respondents supported a "Palestinian state alongside Israel"), ie:

"Establishment of a Palestinian state within borders 67 with border amendments, most settlers will be annexed to Israel, Jerusalem will be divided Respondents opposed such an agreement. And yet the headline in the newspaper was: "Despite everything, most Israelis support the establishment of a Palestinian state." The survey revealed the truth, Israelis want a Palestinian state but not at their own expense. Maybe in northern Sinai, maybe in Jordan. But the headline is a brainwashing, which encourages the rapidly shrinking Israeli left because "the majority still supports them." The following headline skews public opinion, appealing to the herd instinct, like an advertisement that says: "Most Israelis buy air conditioners" X.

So should I continue writing in Haaretz? On the one hand: it's fun to annoy leftists. I read talkbacks. Some of them bubble up in rage every time I publish a list in "their" newspaper as a photographer in the hall. Maybe some of them will even cancel the appointment because of me? But on the other hand - I help the newspaper to adorn itself with a pluralistic crown, it is possible to publish any anti-Zionist abomination if I am also published.

I do not deceive myself: I do not convince any leftist to convert to his faith. At most I manage to sow doubts, and maybe reality will do the rest. Happy New Year.

'Haaretz': new heights of hatred - NRG

One newspaper in Israel is convinced for some reason that the defenders of the Abu Hadir murder are a minority among the Jews, in contrast to the "peace-loving" [sic] Palestinians. Someone out there is using strong stuff

Hillel Gershoni 8/7/2014.

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/593/891.html>

Expressions of hatred and incitement against Jews in the Haaretz newspaper

A letter to the Attorney General, Yehuda Weinstein, following expressions of hatred and inflammatory words bordering on anti-Semitic style in the Haaretz newspaper.

Mati David, News1, June 18, 2012.

...How a senior journalist in Haaretz loses control of reason and normative logic and writes a text of hatred and incitement.

His words are not a legitimate expression of the so-called freedom of expression and opinion but rather an expression of an extreme anarchist "worldview", bordering on known anti-Semitic texts, in order to turn Israel into a monster.

His words are a promiscuous and shocking expression of an Israeli Jewish journalist who makes a connection and connection between the Jewish mind of a Nobel Prize recipient, and the murder of a Palestinian by a Jewish soldier in the IDF. He tries to "explain" that there is a connection between the Jewish genius and the disdain for the human life of the non-Jewish Arab...

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/0017-D-73141-00.html>

"A Central Stage for Incitement", a chapter from "The Industry of Lies" by Ben-Dror Yemini
- "The most popular Israeli newspaper in English, 'Haaretz', has in the last decade become one of the pillars of the industry of lies against Israel. The problem is with biases, distortions, lies and encouraging the Palestinians to refuse, further intifada and violence."

Ben-Dror Yemini, Sep 17, 2014.

... Amnon Dankner, who was then the editor of Maariv, decided to examine the story in depth ("Who is the Beast Here," June 25, 2006). The result was embarrassing: the story was not and was not created, but a faint rumor, and the Palestinian family mentioned in the article denied it outright. The Department of Police Investigations at the Ministry of Justice (DIP) contacted Haaretz, B'Tselem, the Palestinian Authority and the victim's family - none of them could provide a shred of proof that something similar to what was described in the article did happen in reality.

The man who published the article in the Haaretz newspaper was Gideon Levy. Levy's status has been rising over the past two decades. As his attitude toward Israel grew and so did the officer, his status increased. Although Levy does not speak Arabic, he is the journalist who covers the territories for Haaretz. Levy's stories are spreading around the world. He is probably the most famous Israeli journalist in the world. He has been invited to many forums, including academics, as an expert on the Palestinian issue. There is usually no person in front of him who can deny the stories he is distributing.

Levy never withdrew from the harsh story...

The children who were not

Sometimes the plot of war crimes is presented casually. Thus, for example, one of the newspaper's regular writers, Yitzhak Laor, claimed that during the First Lebanon War, "the IDF blew up the mosque in Ein al-Hilweh on hundreds of its fortifications, including children" ("There was no deception war", June 5, 2012). ... asked the author for the source of the information ("Children or warriors?"). The author referred the site to Zeev Schiff and Ehud Yaari's book, "War of Deception." But there is no mention of such a description in the book...

Laor previously published in a British magazine ("After Jenin") that in order to destroy with "no gas chambers are needed", and this can be done for the Palestinians by increasing infant mortality. It was a heinous lie. The situation is completely opposite: infant mortality has dropped dramatically under Israeli rule. And here, Laor continues to spread lies, again about children, again about crimes against humanity, in the opinion pages of the Haaretz newspaper.

The massacre that wasn't

Following a long series of severe attacks, in which hundreds of Israelis were killed, and after a particularly severe attack on Seder night at the Park Hotel in Netanya, Israel launched Operation Defensive Shield in the West Bank cities in 2002. One of the most difficult battles was in Jenin. The Palestinians claimed that Israel had committed a massacre and tried to hide 900 bodies. The world

responded with condemnation. Not many days passed, and it turned out that this was, again, a plot. A UN investigation found that 23 Israeli soldiers and 52 Palestinians were killed during the battle, most of them members of terrorist organizations. As a result, more IDF soldiers were injured, and five bereaved families even called for Ben-Eliezer's resignation. One thing is undisputed: the plot of the massacre in Jenin has been completely refuted. never happened and never existed.

But the Haaretz newspaper went on to say: "The war crimes committed in Jenin are known all over the world," one of the writers claimed in 2012. Although the allegations were refuted, also by a commission of inquiry on behalf of the UN, the Haaretz newspaper continued to provide, as usual, a platform for spreading lies.

The compensation that became dispossession

In early 2012, articles about further Israeli abuse of Palestinian Arabs began to appear in the global media and in the virtual space. Injury to innocent and innocent civilians, expropriation, expropriation of property and lands, transfer, humiliation. All of these took place, according to hundreds of publications, under the auspices of the cruel Zionist project. The story, which received extensive coverage, in essence, is about Umm al-Hiran, a so-called Bedouin village, whose very old residents were forcibly dispossessed and removed, according to a decision by the Israeli government, in order to establish a Jewish settlement in its place. Haaretz, again, led the series of articles, with allegations of the "transfer" injustice perpetrated by "people belonging to the upper race and the chosen people."

Any person with human rights dear to his heart would be outraged. But he was not and was not created. Members of the al-Qiaan tribe were transferred from area to area, at their request, due to a tribal conflict, in the 1950s. They settled in the Yatir area, and a few families also migrated to the Hiran area. There is also debate among academics regarding the degree of recognition required of land rights to those who do not meet the conditions of registration of an advanced state. There are claims, some right, about different and weird registration methods. To resolve the dispute, the state established permanent settlements, including infrastructure, electrical systems, paved roads, and provided dunams of land to each family. Each family received compensation for leaving the previous place, including monetary compensation for those against whom there were judgments on eviction. The state used every reasonable means to allow the Bedouin an orderly settlement, granting ownership rights, establishing infrastructure and education systems. Sometimes it is a passage of a few hundred meters, from the previous, unregulated place, to the new, regulated and familiar place.

Most of the tribe's families accepted the arrangement and moved to the nearby settlement of Hura. Each family received an acre of land, monetary compensation, and more. If in a given family a man had three wives, the family received three dunams (although the law in Israel does not allow polygamy). They became the owners of the new complexes, without paying a single penny, neither for the area nor for the telephone infrastructure, electricity or roads.

A small minority of the tribe decided not to join the settlement, but to remain on state lands. In any other country, the authorities would evict the individual invaders and charge them the eviction

expenses. Not so in Israel. Haaretz mobilized to fight for the minority that refused the generous arrangement proposed by the state.

One of the allegations was that it was a "evacuation of Bedouin for the purpose of building a settlement for Jews only." This claim is also based on a lie. The settlement was not intended for Jews only. The only localities intended for members of a particular religion were the Bedouin localities. Every Bedouin was allowed to buy a plot of land in the new settlement, just like the Jews. With one difference. A payment must be made for a plot of land in the new locality of Hiran. In the Bedouin localities - the land is provided free of charge. If there is discrimination it is in favor of the Bedouin.

No expropriation, no expropriation, no evacuation, no transfer was here, but a fair arrangement also in the opinion of most of the members of the al-Qi'an tribe, who moved to the settlement of Hura, and were given the lands registered in their names in the law. The right of every source, including the Haaretz newspaper, to claim that more should be given to the Bedouin. His right to claim that they are deprived. It is permissible to do so, provided that the newspaper presents correct facts. It did not happen. There was not a single article that presented the facts of the truth.

The libel of apartheid

One of the highlights of all time was when the Haaretz newspaper published the following headline: "Most ... support an apartheid..." This was the headline on the front page of the newspaper. If these things were true, they should have been published, but this was one of the most blatant lies published against the State of Israel in recent years. Gideon Levy was the man behind the headline. Within a few days, the information was published in most of the free world newspapers. The lie about apartheid has risen to the highest level.

How was the lie created?... Anyone who reads surveys knows that they should be read carefully. In different surveys, the same questions bring up different results. Sometimes the results are skewed according to the will of the ordering party. In the case before us, this is a survey commissioned by an association whose one head is Avrom Burg...

The Israel Democracy Institute's Democracy Index, for example, indicates that Israeli Arabs are much less tolerant of neighbors than Jews in Israel. According to Gideon Levy, this was supposed to make them racists. But do not worry, Levy retains this title for Jews only. The difference between Israel and other Western countries is that Israel is in constant conflict. Israelis are facing waves of Islamic incitement, and repeated calls for the extermination of Jews and the extermination of Israel. Despite this, most Israelis do not oppose an Arab neighbor and support political rights for Arabs, even according to the results of the distorted survey. This is a certificate of honor for Israelis...

There is more bad news for Levy: the Israel Democracy Institute's Democracy Index from 2012, found that most Arabs do complain about discrimination, but most Israeli Arabs think Israel is sufficiently democratic (44%), or even too democratic (7.8%). Here, Israeli Arabs are able to understand that discrimination is not apartheid, and that Israel is a democracy. Gideon Levy is incapable.

Two days passed, and Levy published another article, in which he boasted that the survey he published "caused a great deal of controversy in the world." There is no doubt that this time it was a correct sentence. Levy not only repeated his false claims, but also boasted that he had managed to spread her fabrications. A few more days passed, and Levy published another article entitled "We were wrong,

but." This was not an apology article. On the contrary: Levy claimed that his mistakes were marginal and the claims about apartheid were all true.

Certificate for anti-Semitism

The Haaretz newspaper is not content with defaming Israel, it also deals with issuing legitimization to anti-Semites. In the summer of 2013, Gideon Levy visited...

<https://www.the7eye.org.il/125862>

News - Opinions - Yaakov Ahimair - nrg

Haaretz is a partner in creating the demonic image of Israel

September 12, 2014

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/621/191.html>

Operation Tzuk Eitan

Not content with 32 casualties: Nehemiah Shtrasler was hungry for ultra-Orthodox blood

While the people of Israel are united around the concern for the safety of IDF fighters in Gaza, journalist Nehemiah Shtrasler chooses to incite against the ultra-Orthodox public. Antisemitic forehead and tone "(Haredi)

Yishai Cohen, Kikar haShabat, 24.07.14.

<https://kikar.co.il/147919.html>

What Haaretz pages become abroad

To the attention of Haaretz,

the following rows you are hopefully going to read, are written in a place where Hebrew is not the official language, where IDF is not the national army, where on Yom Kippur there are cars on the streets as in any other day of the year.

I am writing you from Italy, but it could be from Paris, Antwerp, London, Marseilles. It could be from any other town in the world.

But Israel.

The place you write from.

The place where you look at reality and decide to describe it, in your peculiar way, to readers who live abroad.

To people who, after having read your rows, will decide. If to like us or hate us with all their hearts.

Here, outside from Israel, life is not easy for us.

We deal everyday with international news web sites that dedicate cubital headlines to Israel, calling it with words they don't dedicate even to the worst, disrespectful of human rights, countries of the world.

We read everyday newspapers that dedicate pages and pages to humanitarian problems in Gaza and a rare few rows to the constant threaten, the terroristic menace that Israel is facing since years.

We face schemes that show the number of victims from both sides. As we were in a competition where the part with more casualties, wins.

Here, Israeli reality is manipulated through mediatic strategies. News about Jews are not treated in the same way as news about Darfour. They are not as news about Nigeria or Mosul.

Here, reality becomes different when it is colored with white and blue.

I know history quite well. These, who are reporting the news about Israel around the world hoping that more and more people will condemn it, will be against it and, at the end, will hate me for being a Jew, these people are the children of the children of those who prepared in Spain the AutoDeFe for my ancestors, they are the offspring of those who ran with their horses and swords to cut the beard and the head of my forefathers, they are part of a culture which took Jewish children, women, elderly and men, and melted their bodies in crematoria in order to get forever rid of all Jews .

When all these things are delivered in my life through a non Jewish channel, I turn the page, I digit another website name, I turn off. And I engage my own little campaign.

I try to go around and tell the truth. I want people to know the real Jewish ideals that walk with an Israeli soldier, that make him offer food and shelter to children, though he knows he is at risk of being blown up by an infant adapted explosive belt.

I describe to Europeans, to professors, to other Italian mothers and friends, the moral principles that hold back an Israeli soldier's hand from protecting himself, only because he has just seen his worst enemy hiding behind a woman with a baby, a terrorist protecting himself with a human shield.

But often, when I try to show our real face, to bring truth to surface, to cut the legs to the millions of lies the world is reading and telling, most times I get the same answer.

I get a link to your website, an article of yours.

And from there, the step is too short.

From your message to the next stage.

Where your shouted words against Israel become weapons in the hands of our enemies.

Where your pages become part of 2014 antisemitic libels, where Jews have always that ugly nose.

Where your rows become part of the stones thrown to Synagogues and Jewish shops at the sound of 'death to the Jews'-

Where you become the Jewish justification for the beatings of French Jews, for hating and cursing every man who goes around with a distinctive Jewish symbol, for killing two Israelis in a Belgian museum.

This is the way your news are internationally used.

Antisemitism can be cherished by a Jewish point of view.

Why am I addressing you?

Because you and me, we all descend from one common soul.

We share a common root, a piece of Heaven engraved in our hearts, with all Jews scattered around the world,

We share thousands of years of persecution and hatred.

We share songs. We share food and ideals.

We share life as a the highest goal.

We share history and past.

And hopefully, with G-d's help, a better future, for all of us.

Dear journalist of Haaretz,

the world fears one thing most.

It fears our unity, our capacity to be one, despite different visions, despite different ways of living our religion and identity, despite different languages spoken at home. Because they know.

That when we stay together, when we close our eyes and forget the colors of the flags that are hanging in our homes, when in 40,000 we answer 'amen' to the kadish of a chaya boded's father because we don't want him to feel alone, these are moments that make us stronger and stronger. Stronger than the world.

Stronger than the ancient dream of our enemies.

To see us, one day, G-d forbids, disappear.

This is not an opinion matter. Not anymore.

This is a life matter. Mine and of all the millions of Jews who live abroad.

Please, before writing and publishing, before spreading around news, before adding fuel to the antisemitic fire, take in consideration the collateral effect of every word of yours, think if it will make stronger the seventy wolfs, that surround the Jewish lamb.

I am writing you from Italy. I am writing you from Europe. I am writing you from a place where people come in touch with the Israeli reality, through news, through mass media, through your articles and words too.

You are sitting there, safely and protected, you are among your nation. Maybe people who don't think like you will stop you during an interview, will react with comments to your written rows. You are there, among Jews.

We are not. People here don't know who we are, most of them ignore what being a Jew does mean. They don't mind where we come from, what are our life missions. They often ignore on purpose our signals, our will to let ourselves known. They prefer to imagine, to guess, and, when it comes to inform themselves,

Your words are attached to the stones that are thrown towards Jews in French towns. The way you tell the world the Israeli reality, cutting and pasting some parts according to your vision of the world, is a weapon in the hands of antisemites.

You have a responsibility.

When I discuss with somebody, trying to bring back impartiality in the environment, I am always answered with an article of yours.

Your articles are the proof, for our enemies, that they are true. That they have the right to harm us, to put our lives in danger.

July 23, 2014

<https://gheulacananaruttonemni.com/what-haaretz-pages-become-abroad/>

<https://gheulacananaruttonemni.wordpress.com/2014/07/23/what-haaretz-pages-become-abroad/>

We are defending Israel

Journalists are in charge of the most powerful weapon - the words, and we trust that they will use them wisely - However, with his fingers Gideon Levy conducts a show trial for Air Force pilots

Captain J. Posted on: 15.07.2014

"Newspapers are common man's university"... Over the years we develop a close relationship with them based on trust; And the proof of this is that we entrust to them the most powerful weapon - the words. We trust them to use them wisely, to be moral, human and sensitive. That in the war for consciousness they will not be used to harm the innocent or the uninvolved.

But today one of those journalists used the power given to him in evil, stupidity and cruelty. From his elevated position he saw only black dots running across the white computer screen. Writes and dictates what is truth and what is false, and with his fingers conducts a show trial against Air Force pilots. And poof! Judgment! One word hits the next one, and a few dozen more taps on the old computer keys, and it will already be possible to go home early to the woman and maybe have time to see some nice movie. An honest and innocent press, the moral press in the world.

Gideon Levy was wrong.

We'll state first and foremost that our ultimate goal is to protect the existence of the State of Israel, its independence and the security of its inhabitants. We strive with all our might, every day and every hour to meet this task for the benefit of the Israeli families in the home front, out of a great sense of responsibility and mission.

When we hit a terrorist, we believe the mission was successful - but we are not happy that a mother in Gaza has lost her son. We see every body mutilated, every wounded bleeding, every child terrified, and it snores deep inside us. We are fighting not to deny rights to the other side but to restore to us the right to live in peace and security in the Land of Israel.

<https://www.israelhayom.co.il/article/199853>

Offenders of hate crimes

There is widespread agreement in Israeli society and in the Knesset that action must be taken against hate crimes

Moshe Hasdai, Daaton, July 10, 2014

Guilty of knowingly spreading lies for the purpose of demonization...

The king of the Jewish instigators and criminals of hatred is undoubtedly one, Dr. Ilan Pappé of the University of Haifa.

Knowing him for long: This is the late philosopher Professor Ilan Gur-Ze'ev. He put Pepe on a par with the philosopher Slavoj Žižek and former Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, as prominent figures of the new anti-Semitism. The queen of instigators and hate criminals in Israel is Professor Nurit Elchanan-Peled of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. The Duchess of Hate is the president of Bezalet,

Professor Eva Iluz of the University of Jerusalem. The Duke of Hate was named Professor Lev Greenberg of Ben-Gurion University.

Professor Noam Chomsky serves as the High Priest of the Hall of Hate. His deputy is Norman Finkelstein. The court journalist of the Kingdom of Hate is none other than Gideon Levy. Two journalists and one journalist help him and recommend the renewal of the intifada: Yitzhak Laor, Akiva Eldar, Amira Hass.

The hate newspaper is the tabloid dripping with hate poison and its name is Haaretz.

<http://www.daaton.co.il/Article.aspx?id=2635>

Israeli 'Peace Conference' Marred by Violence, Support for IDF Israeli official defended

Adam Kredo

July 8, 2014

A “peace conference” sponsored by the leftist Israeli newspaper Haaretz devolved into violence on Tuesday when an Israeli government official was heckled and then punched in the back by an attendee, according to reports.

Attendees at the Israel Conference on Peace—which was kicked off with an endorsement by President Barack Obama and features a who’s who of liberal speakers—began shouting hateful slogans and then accosted Knesset member Naftali Bennett after he defended the government’s military action, which was undertaken to stop Hamas terrorists from bombarding civilians with rockets.

Left-leaning government officials who spoke at the conference condemned Hamas’ aggression and said that Israel must take action to defend itself from these attacks, a message not necessarily shared by many in the audience.

Haaretz officials—who publish a paper known for its hostility to Israeli military action, sympathy to the Palestinians, and opposition to the current Israeli government—may have been surprised to see their “peace conference” become a platform for condemnations of Hamas and endorsements of Israeli military strikes on Gaza.

The conference opened as Israeli air raid sirens went off, signaling a Hamas attack on Tel Aviv. This followed the launch by Israel of Operation Protective Edge, a narrowly targeted military campaign that comes in response to weeks of rocket attacks by Hamas...

<https://freebeacon.com/national-security/israeli-peace-conference-marred-by-violence-support-for-idf/>

Naftali Bennett Physically Attacked at 'Peace Conference'

Arutz Sheva - July 8, 2014

Uzi Baruch, Gil Ronen

The Minister of Economics, Jewish Home Chairman Naftali Bennett, was verbally and physically assaulted Tuesday in the course of the Peace Conference sponsored by ultra-leftist newspaper Haaretz.

Bennett was one of the few speakers invited to the conference from outside of the political left. When he walked up on the stage, boos and catcalls of "fascist" and "murderer" were heard from the audience.

Additionally, cries of "Mohammed Abu Khder's blood is on your hands" were heard, a reference to the 16-year-old Arab youth found murdered last Wednesday...

The Jewish Home leader used a phrase familiar to smartphone GPS users when he asked rhetorically if it was not time for the left wing to "recalculate its route." He insisted that he, too, wants "to present a vision of peace" – on the condition that it be a true peace.

"The Jewish people and the state of Israel do not want war," he explained. "Someone here said, withchutzpah, 'you do.' To my regret, I fought in the first intifada, the second one and the Second Lebanon War. No one in this room can moralize to me about wanting peace. No one in the state of Israel wants peace more than I do."

At the end of the speech, as Bennett got down off the stage, a group of roughly 20 to 30 youths tried to physically attack him. One of them succeeded in reaching him and punching him in the back.

Bennett no sooner spun around and said "who hit me?" than he was whisked away from the scene by security....

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/182658>

Arab racism? Rats!

By Y. Medad - June 7, 2014

For decades, Arabs claimed they cannot be anti-Semites.

Why?

Because they are Semites themselves. And there are many who are taken in by that.

Let's present something more, well, stark:

The caption reads, "the rats are coming". And one comment reads: "isn't it time for a cat?"

Rats (starting at 3:40) is a classic anti-Semitic frame.

There is also Salman Masalha's racism defended by Amos Schocken published by his Haaretz. Including this:

'The muscle, named Ophir, must have thought that the leader of some terror organization had fallen into his hands and that maybe he'd get to expose him and rise in the ranks of nagging and hassling, the sacred "Jewish-democratic" work from the school of Zionism's racists.

...Ophir was a young, darkish security man, perhaps a descendant of converts from the Arabian Peninsula, perhaps from the Atlas Mountains. But one thing was clear, his black color looked very shabby, tattered and stained with evil.'

So, there is racism in Arab/Muslim society (and Amos S. supports it)...

<https://www.jpost.com/blogs/green-lined/green-lined/arab-racism-rats-363738>

Promoting Hate Speech: Haaretz Plumbs New Depths

Haaretz's publisher Amos Schocken recently made clear in an open call for subscribers that he sees his newspaper as a tool to advance a particular politicized agenda. But just how far is Haaretz willing to...

Simon Plosker - June 5, 2014

Haaretz's publisher Amos Schocken recently made clear in an open call for subscribers that he sees his newspaper as a tool to advance a particular politicized agenda. But just how far is Haaretz willing to go? Judging by an opinion piece, Haaretz has crossed the line from legitimate criticism into outright hatred towards the state of Israel.

In his piece, "Israeli apartheid exposed at the airport," (behind a pay-wall) Salman Masalha, an Israeli Arab, writes: Many would agree with the principle that a state..

It is astonishing that a newspaper that promotes its "liberal" sensitivities could allow a sentence such as this to get past the editorial process. It does however, reveal that Masalha is driven by the same racism he claims to be a victim of.

Ultimately, were the language of hate in this Haaretz opinion piece to appear in a foreign media outlet, it would provoke outrage. That it appears in an Israeli news source considered by foreign journalists to be the Israeli paper of record gives legitimacy to the language of delegitimization.

Haaretz has a right to criticize Israel but it also has a responsibility not to publish hate speech and the open questioning of Israel's very right to exist. Is it any surprise that some foreign media outlets have few qualms about publishing similar screeds if they can find them in Haaretz?

<https://honestreporting.com/promoting-hate-speech-haaretz-plumbs-new-depths/>

Ben Ezer News - 949

June 5, 2014

Mati David

What is the connection between anti-Semitism, the Palestinian Nakba and Haaretz?

A global survey of anti-Semitism revealed that among Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza it is the highest in relation to the rest of the world. The survey was conducted by the Anti-Defamation League among more than 50,000 respondents in 102 countries around the world. They are more loyal to Israel than to the country in which they live.

Haaretz's editorial dated May 19, 2014, entitled "Recruited anti-Semitism," nullifies the credibility of the poll, belittles the findings, tries to "explain" that it was intended to serve Netanyahu's political interest in refusing to recognize the Nakba and withdraw from the occupation for peace. Haaretz dares to accuse Netanyahu of "exploiting" anti-Semitism for the continuation of the occupation. "Netanyahu, as one of the demagogues, used one of the survey findings to justify the occupation and the non-recognition of the Nakba."

Haaretz accuses Netanyahu of secretly not recognizing the Nakba that prevents peace. Haaretz completely lost the Israeli narrative and connected to the Palestinian narrative. Haaretz has become a full partner in the anti-Israel lie industry. The newspaper became the source of information for Israel's delegitimization in the world.

<https://benyehuda.org/lexicon/hbe/hbe00949.php>

Haaretz Goes Full-Blown Conspiracy

by Michael Rubin

June 4, 2014

Jeffrey Goldberg, a self-reverential and prolific pundit who often writes on Israel-Palestinian issues, set off a mini-firestorm yesterday when he criticized the left-wing Israel daily Haaretz for a commentary headlined, "Why all Israelis are Cowards." But lost in that controversy was an equally significant Haaretz book review entitled, "Was the Iranian threat fabricated by Israel and the U.S.?" The recent review and interview—written and conducted by Haaretz staff blogger and academic Shemuel Meir—treats with great credulity Gareth Porter's recent book *Manufactured Crisis: The Untold Story of the Nuclear Scare*. Porter weaves together his narrative with questionable sources, fuzzy interpretation of sources, and cherry-picked data. The result is a forgettable tale easily refuted by legitimate sources and data Porter chose to ignore.

More curious is what Meir Meir and Haaretz omitted.

While Porter's scholarship should be judged on its own terms, it is also fair to consider the context of Porter's work. Previous work—and the reason why Porter remains an 'independent' scholar—shows a disturbing willingness to subvert scholarly integrity to politics and to give the benefit of the doubt to radical causes. In 1976, he published *Cambodia: Starvation and Revolution* which treated Khmer Rouge sources uncritically. He proceeded to testify in Congress denying the genocide perpetrated by the Khmer Rouge and took to the pages of the *New York Review of Books* to defend murderous dictator Pol Pot. Now, the reality of the Khmer Rouge was well-known at the time and evidence of its atrocities were public and accessible to anyone who wished to put evidence and truth above radical politics.
<https://www.commentary.org/michael-rubin/haaretz-goes-full-blown-conspiracy/>

"Open letter" Innocent or illegitimate criticism?

The open letter from the publisher of Haaretz to the international edition of the online newspaper claims to set a new standard for the newspaper's traditional roles, and unreasonably stretches the cliché "the media is the watchdog of democracy." Unlike a legitimate opinion article, an open letter is not, which by its nature is intended to motivate an active move by readers.

Raphael Buchnik, News1, 27.05.2014

The Hebrew version is quite innocent, surpassing the miracle of "important journalistic achievements" on a national level as a value promoter to encourage more readers to "join the expanding community of digital readers of the country." The letter addressed to the readers of the international edition is of a different nature, proactive in nature and not in vain.

Schocken writes: ... "By purchasing an appointment, you will become a partner in an ongoing effort to shape Israel's face as a liberal and constitutional democracy that respects the values of pluralism and human and civil rights. It will become a partner with active support for a two-state solution and the Palestinians' right to self-determination, in a way that will allow Israel to remove the burden of territorial occupation and control over another people."

To read and not believe.

The publisher of Haaretz does not make a serious effort to market his newspaper (the digital edition in this case), but uses the stage in a trending manner to 'convert' to his political views using methods that are legitimately doubtful. Moreover, the focus of the message on a predominantly international target audience (Jews and non-Jews alike) is consistent with Haaretz's consistent course of action through its English edition, which brings out the slander of the Israeli government towards the people and the world.

In other words, Amos Schocken considers himself a campaigner in the full sense of the word, and as the leader of a campaign containing an ideological platform whose purpose is to condense international pressure on Israel in the context of the peace process with the Palestinians. The use of the words "active support" is not a trivial matter, and one can identify in them signs bordering on the call for incitement.

The Haaretz publisher's open letter therefore claims to set a new standard for the newspaper's traditional roles, and unreasonably stretches the cliché "the media is the watchdog of democracy."

Needless to say, Haaretz does not miss an opportunity to blame the Israeli government for its actions, and takes a blatant critical line toward the IDF and the defense establishment while systematically preferring versions of the hostile side of Israel.

The paper seems to be the flagship theme of assimilating the message that there are two “disconnected” entities from each other; The State of Israel, on the one hand, and the Government of Israel, on the other.

The obvious question is whether it is legitimate that what is not achieved at the ballot box will be achieved in ways that are doubtful-democratic?

<https://m.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-92795-00.html>

Haaretz Proclaims Political Agenda | Hamodia.com

May 13, 2014 · HR cited Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy for manipulating an opinion poll to “prove” that Israel was an apartheid state; and correspondent Amira Hass who “brazenly defended Palestinian stone throwing even if it could cause Israeli fatalities.”

“Schocken’s appeal reveals that Haaretz is striving not for objective and accurate reporting but for promoting a political and campaigning agenda. It is incumbent, therefore, that the foreign media see Haaretz as a political entity rather than a primary news source..

<http://hamodia.com/2014/05/13/haaretz-proclaims-political-agenda/>

Haaretz ‘Fabricated’ Story About ‘Settler Attack’ in Hevron - INN

Ari Soffer

May 19, 2014

Far-left Israeli newspaper Haaretz has been accused of fabricating an “attack” by Jews celebrating Lag Ba’omer against one of its journalists in Hevron, in an attempt to incite against Jewish residents of the ancient city.

The article claimed that “settlers” celebrating Lag Ba’omer on Saturday night chose to light their customary bonfire in the middle of a “Palestinian olive grove”, and alleged that a group of Jewish celebrants then “assaulted” a Haaretz journalist who was at the scene as he tried to film it. [...]

But Arutz Sheva blogger and Canadian indigenous rights activist Ryan Bellerose, who was visiting Hevron as part of a solidarity and fact-finding mission—and happened to be present at the bonfire—expressed his shock at the story, which he said simply never happened.

The event did not take place in an olive orchard of any description—either Jewish or Arab—but rather in a park close to a Jewish-owned house, and officials including police and firemen were present throughout the celebration, Bellerose told Arutz Sheva. ...

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/180788>

Ha'aretz reveals its mission has nothing to do with journalism
What is the mission of a newspaper?

May 13, 2014.

One might think that it is to help people stay informed, or to report the day's events objectively.

The New York Times describes its goal as "to cover the news as impartially as possible ...and to treat readers, news sources, advertisers and others fairly and openly, and to be seen to be doing so."

Various journalism outlets and professional societies describe their codes of ethics to include truthfulness, accuracy, objectivity, impartiality, fairness and public accountability.

How about Haaretz? What is its mission?

Here, in a remarkable article where Haaretz is begging for money, we find out:

Two years ago our website, Haaretz.com, introduced its subscription-based readership and many thousands of subscribers signed up. They enjoy full access to all Haaretz content. I want to urge you today to join them and purchase a subscription to Haaretz, Israel's leading source for news and opinion.

By doing so, you will become a partner in the ongoing effort to shape Israel as a liberal and constitutional democracy that cherishes the values of pluralism and civil and human rights. You will become a partner in actively supporting the two-state solution and the right to Palestinian self-determination, which will enable Israel to rid itself of the burdens of territorial occupation and the control of another people.

Haaretz, which as far as I can tell has no written code of ethics, has here provided a mission statement that has nothing to do with journalism and everything to do with advocacy.

Whether one supports Haaretz' editorial stance or not, this explicit description of Haaretz as being primarily a political actor should cause anyone to be against supporting it.

Let Haaretz register as an NGO or an Israeli political party, and let it ask for money to support its views. But don't call it a newspaper.

Judging from this article, Haaretz doesn't even define itself that way. It is a newsletter for a political organization, one that is begging for more members.

<https://elderofziyon.blogspot.com/2014/05/haaretz-reveals-its-mission-has-nothing.html>

The Nakba according to the Haaretz newspaper

Shlomo Avineri, 04 May 2014

For a long time I have not read such a confused article as the editorial in Haaretz on April 29 ("recognizing the Nakba"): it uses a mixture of correct and just claims, with half-truths and a blatant disregard for several basic historical facts that are not debatable.

On the one hand, the article makes a statement that seems wise and sensitive: "The controversy over Israel's responsibility for the emigration, deportation and displacement of hundreds of thousands of Palestinians in the War of Independence is a matter for historians" - what could be fairer than that? But one does not have to be a historian to know clearly that in the future there will also be more than one school in this debate, and the proposal to "leave it to historians" is in fact an evasion of dealing - here and now - with undisputed historical truths. Even the cautious language (I refrain from saying "washed") of this sentence, which speaks of "immigration, deportation and displacement" and refrains from using the word "escape" which was probably part of the complex reality of the War of Independence, already indicates that the article does not exactly leave the decision to historians .

There are some facts in history that should not really be left to historians, and the attempt to ignore them is flawed from the moral aspect - which is, and rightly so, the pulsating spirit behind the editorial. It is a fact - and should not be "left to historians" - that it was Germany that invaded Poland in September 1939 and not the other way around; It is a fact that on December 7, 1941, it was Japan that attacked the United States and not the other way around; The Jew in Israel and later the State of Israel. There is no word on this in the article.

Recognize the Nakba

Thus, in fact, this editorial presents the context of the establishment of the State of Israel in exactly the way it is presented in the Palestinian and Arab political discourse - while ignoring the political and historical reality of the years 1947–1948. Usually the partition decision is simply not mentioned in the Arab discourse, just as the violent opposition to its realization is not mentioned. Perhaps this denial can be understood from the Arab side: but in Haaretz? For those who have forgotten, or do not know, I suggest contacting the newspaper's archive and reading its headline from November 30, 1947, and the daily reports in the following months, on the manifestations of Arab violence and the beginning of armed Arab resistance to the establishment of Israel - first by Arab militias in Israel. And then through the coordinated invasion of Arab armies with the end of the British Mandate on 15 May 1948. There is not a word about all of this in the article, just as the Arab discourse prefers to simply erase these historical facts from memory.

After the editorial leaves the question of Israel's responsibility to historians, it goes on to state that "there is no doubt that a national and personal catastrophe befell the Palestinians." disaster? Was the Nakba an earthquake? Tornado? A tsunami? It was a tragic result of an Arab political decision to prevent the establishment of a Jewish state in part of Mandatory Israel, just as the expulsion of 12 million ethnic Germans from Poland, Czechoslovakia and Hungary after 1945 was a tragic result of German aggression in 1939 and later in 1941, in the invasion of the Soviet Union. Here and there masses of innocent civilians paid the price for the aggression of their leadership: but anyone who tries today in Germany to describe the deportation of millions of Germans from Eastern Europe as a "disaster" unrelated to the aggressive policies of the "Third Reich".

To the credit of the Palestinian public, it must be said that members of the Palestinian Communist Party, which at the time numbered several hundred members, accepted the partition plan and opposed the Arab aggression, as this was the position of the Soviet Union at the time. Later, some of them joined the MKs, as did members of the Communist parties in Egypt and Iraq, many of whom were imprisoned by the governments of their countries. But all of these were only a handful...

this editorial in Haaretz ... only chose to describe the Palestinians - and not the Jews - as victims who have no responsibility for their actions or the actions of their leaders.

One can certainly understand - even if not justify - the general Palestinian and Arab opposition to the Zionist enterprise: this is the nature of national conflicts, although this opposition had more murderous and terrorist aspects than in other national movements. Palestinian terrorism against Jewish civilians is not a result of the post-1967 years of occupation, but rather accompanies the events of 1936 and the Arab uprising of 1936. However, ignoring this fact and leaving it to the historians is also a distortion of history. It is part of the concrete historical consciousness, both of Jews and of Arabs.

Just as an intelligent discussion of the Arab-Israeli conflict must be freed from the sense of self-righteousness that accompanies part of Zionist ideology, so must it be freed from the sense of sacrifice and absolute justice that accompanies Palestinian nationalism. Even Israeli decisions and policies that had serious consequences in 1948 should not be "left to historians"... The point is that the reality is always complex, and it should indeed be taught in Israeli schools - but not the one-sided Palestinian narrative now adopted by the Haaretz Editorial.

<https://www.haaretz.co.il/opinions/.premium-1.2312213>

Sturmer & Shtrasler - big difference?

Rotter, 15.04.14

<https://rotter.net/forum/ihaveasay/16420.shtml>

blood libels Haaretz version

The blood libels are alive and kicking, on the pages of the English edition of the newspaper, which insists on being the devil's advocate and presenting the Jewish state as a criminal against humanity, North Korean version

Menachem Rahat, INN, 26 Adar 2 5744 - 28.03.14

The next two weeks for the better, were considered the most horrific in the lives of Israeli communities in medieval Europe. The blood libels concocted by disgusting anti-Semites against the 'murderers of God' reached their peak during this period.

On the eve of the Jewish holiday, the body of a Christian baby was found, which immediately became a justifiable ground for accusing Jews of murdering the baby and opening a wave of riots against them.

We believed in Thomas that the age of atrocities had passed from the world. but no. The blood plot is alive and well, and only changed form. Instead of accusing the Jews of murdering Christian babies, the world blames the Jews, for the murder [as if] of Palestinian children and for the conditions and harassment of a people invented out of nothing. And most serious: the blood libels - which by their dictionary definition are "a false story with antisemitic characteristics" - are invented here in Israel by Satan's lawyers, for example the Haaretz newspaper (Al-Arad, Bala'az); for example, B'Tselem Palestinian rights activists And the trampling of Jewish human rights.

North Korea in Silwan

The platform will be shorter than demonstrating all the details of the country's pro-Palestinian propaganda. Here is an example: An article by Prof. Nurit Peled Elchanan, from the Hebrew University: "The Silence of the Sheep in the Academy" (22.2), is intended to convince the reader that the democratic regime in Israel is [as, so she claim] fascist, cruel, oppressive, criminal against humanity. Peled tells English readers, among other things, that in the village of Silwan, located right at the foot of the Old Jerusalem wall, which has become a hotbed of stone-throwing and Molotov cocktails, the worst thing happens: "In Silwan, small children North Korea is here.

These chilling claims were printed without any reservation, without any saying "according to them," as might be expected from a professional, ethical press. Peled did not bring the source to the horror story. The lie was presented as factual truth.

Haaretz-Bluff

Let us leave for a moment the freedom of choice that the land has taken for itself in spreading this despicable lie, and turn to another claim of the land, that the whole world is against us (Zvi Barel, 10.3.14). It turns out that this is also a gross lie. There has never been such a renaissance in Israel's international status. Leaders of nations enter and leave here at a dizzying pace, signing economic and scientific agreements for hundreds ...

The "Peresspectiva, Accuracy and Credibility in the Israeli Media" website ... will examine, among other things, Peled's horror story. Hanan Amior, a peresspectiva researcher, asked her where she drew her convicting information from and was surprised to find that the instigator relied, she claimed, on the B'Tselem report - a far-left anti-Israel extremist organization, also from devil's advocate. Haaretz established it a factual truth, without any doubt or hesitation, to the report of the far-right movement, Kahana Chai, for example, and published it without examination or reservation.

Thus far the first stage of the blood libel from the house of Haaretz. But Amior was not content with presenting the 'reliable' sources of Peled and Haaretz. He went to the report itself and found that they had 'processed' and exacerbated its data. This is how international ratings are obtained. As for the beatings, not soldiers but policemen. In short, one big bluff, from the seminary of Haaretz-Bluff.

What is a Palestinian activist?

Anyone who follows 'Presspectiva' will find that the industry of lies from House-of-Ha'aretz is working non-stop to cause heavy image damage to Israel in the world. The European or American reader does not have the tools to deal with the lies of Haaretz critically. And when the accusations are translated into English from an Israeli newspaper, a supposed reputable one (no one abroad assumes that this is a serial must), they are perceived as a confession: Here the Israelis themselves acknowledge [supposedly]... their country is the darkest What we wanted to prove. No one would imagine that this is the case Considering that this is an Isra-bluff.

Sometimes the newspaper's impudence and lies rise to incredible heights. Not only do they spread horror stories translated from Hebrew around the world, but they also add another antisemitic touch only in the English edition. The lie industry. For example, a presspectiva (in Gideon Shaviv's column) revealed that while in the printed newspaper it was reported on February 27: "A Palestinian was killed in the West Bank; IDF: he intended to carry out an attack soon," the English edition reads. Did he deserve the death penalty? "

The terrorist, who also admitted that he was a terrorist from the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, and that he barricaded himself in his house and refused to leave, became such an innocent 'Palestinian activist' in the English edition, something like a neighborhood activist, a kind of clean-hearted Palestinian. A few days later, Haaretz claimed in another article in Hebrew and English that "the IDF executed the Palestinian activist who refused to surrender himself from scratch."

The producers of the blood libels from the house of Haaretz, work non-stop. The horrific bluffs designed to blacken the world the face of the only liberal democracy in the region, live and kick all year, with no minimal ethics, over the pages of the despicable campaigner in the service of the enemy, who insists on defining itself as a newspaper.

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/518191>

Haaretz style blood libels

Menachem Rahat, News1, 03/28/2014

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-91276-00.html>

Israeli P. tweeted:

UN WATCH exposes Haaretz newspaper's methods of deception: lies in the headline and the truth in the news (article)....

Mar 24, 2014

<https://twitter.com/ispkr8/status/448077527920439296>

<https://m.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=821547327861761>

How satire in "All Lies" trashed the newspaper "Haaretz"

2014-12-24

In the opinion section of the "Haaretz" newspaper, the satire surpasses any reality. At least this is the impression that arises from the following story.

In early February 2014, "Haaretz" took seriously a satirical poster published on the All Lies website, which used Meir Kahane's character and positions in the Yesha Council's campaign against the peace initiative of the US Secretary of State, John Kerry.

The original campaign of the Yesha Council included posters with photographs and quotes of consensual Israeli leaders such as Menachem Begin, Yitzhak Rabin, Abba Eben and Ariel Sharon, alongside a picture of Kerry. Each poster featured a quote from the Israeli leader which supposedly proves that if he had been alive, he would have opposed for the peace initiative of the American Secretary of State.

The Yesha council did not think of using a controversial figure like [Meir] Kahana in the campaign, but "Haaretz" journalist Niva Lanir actually thought it was reasonable.

In an article by Lanir, published on the editorial page of the newspaper on the ninth of February 2014 under the title "Whoever Speaks of Incitement", it is claimed that the Yesha Council recruited for the campaign a (true) quote from Kahana...

Lanir's erroneous assertion was based on a satirical poster condemning the campaign published three weeks earlier on the All Lies website. "Only a person who is filled with hatred towards the settlers can believe that the Yesha Council would publish such an ad," said Danny Dayan, the former chairman of the Yesha Council, who was the first to comment on Lanir's error on his Facebook page...

"Haaretz" journalist Niva Lanir, who was quick to state in her article that the Yesha Council was responsible for the satirical poster, had to apologize for the mistake and the newspaper published a correction clarifying that Lanir's article contained incorrect information.

"I didn't imagine that there was no possibility that the Yesha Council would use Kahana as part of a campaign," Lanir told the "Seventh Eye" website. If any of the Jewish settlers in Judea and Samaria were hurt by this, I apologize for that. I assume that some of them do not regret it."

<https://www.allies.org/blog/archives/42709>

McCarthyism made by Haaretz.

Ben-Dror Yemini, 24/01/14.

One newspaper in Israel called for the dismissal of a lecturer whose opinions are contrary to his own -
One newspaper in Israel, the same newspaper, is running a campaign full of lies against the right of management to investigate a student's complaint

A citizenship teacher, Adam Verta, apparently received the honor no public figure had before him: two editorials in one week. Newspaper readers from around the world who are interested in Israel, and whose language is only English, think that this is the most serious difficult case that is stirring Israel.

Another proof of moral decline. Another landmark in the path of fascism and McCarthyism.

https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/app/index.php?do=blog&encr_id=f2b4c1b55be76d1e6d7b777256e a0370&id=4839

Commentator Calls for 'Funerals in Bnei Brak' | News 7 - Arutz Sheva

Feb 16, 2014 — Commentator Calls for 'Funerals in Bnei Brak'

Controversy on Channel 2 as commentator says he wants to see dead soldiers from Bnei Brak.

Maayana Miskin

16.02.14

A Channel 2 commentator has sparked controversy with a call to see "funerals in Bnei Brak." The commentator, Nechemia Shtrasler, was adamant that he wants to see hareidi men serve – and die – as combat soldiers...

Shtrasler has made similar controversial remarks in the past. Two years ago he wrote a column for Haaretz in which he insisted, "We need to get rid of civilian service and force everyone to serve in the military.... That is the only way to achieve equality and to revitalize Israeli society, and it will happen only when all the hareidi Jews enlist in the IDF and military funerals are held in Bnei Brak and Mea Shearim."

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/177504>

Nehemiah Shtrasler complains: "Impossible that funerals do not leave out of Bnei Brak"

Nehemiah Shtrasler, who serves as an economic commentator for the Haaretz newspaper and a commentator on Channel 2, complained that no funerals leave the ultra-Orthodox city: "It is impossible that funerals did not leave Bnei Brak" (press)

Zvika Gronich | 16 Adar I 5774 16.02.14

<https://www.kikar.co.il/135850.html>

Candidly Speaking: Debasing the Warsaw Ghetto uprising

Dec 25, 2013 — It has inflicted, and continues to inflict enormous damage on Israel. A most blatant example of Haaretz's self-hating approach was its vitriolic...

This unquestionably distorted interpretation of events typifies the historical revisionism to which Haaretz is predisposed, not only with regard to post-Zionism but now also to Jewish history. That such an article is given prominence in an Israeli daily newspaper with a wide Internet English readership reflects adversely on us all...

Indeed, its online edition now represents one of the principal sources of fodder for global hatred against the Jewish state by the hostile international media and anti-Israeli politicians. It has inflicted, and continues to inflict enormous damage on Israel.

A most blatant example of Haaretz's self-hating approach was its vitriolic campaign...

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/columnists/candidly-speaking-debasing-the-warsaw-ghetto-uprising-336158>

Bella Hadid spreads lies about Prime Minister Naftali Bennett

The American model who is known for her hatred of Israel is spreading fake news against Prime Minister Naftali Bennett.

Yonatan Gottlieb, 5 Tammuz 5781. 15.06.21

The American model Bella Hadid, the daughter of a Muslim father who was born in Nazareth and moved to the USA, continues to spread fake news, this time with Prime Minister Naftali Bennett in the spotlight.

Hadid uploaded to her Instagram account, which is followed by over 43 million people, a quote Bennett allegedly said in the past. "I have killed a lot of (Hadid lied) Arabs in my life, and there is no problem with that," he is quoted as saying.

But, this is a false quote that was never said by him. When this statement was attributed to him in 2013 in the Haaretz newspaper, he responded: "For the avoidance of doubt, the words were not said. At the cabinet meeting, I suggested that we stop endangering our soldiers and go out of our way to capture terrorists alive, because then the state releases them anyway. A terrorist who endangers the lives of our soldiers during its operation, it must be eliminated."

<https://www.inn.co.il/news/495915>

Yesterday it was published in Haaretz newspaper... - Naftali Bennett - Naftali Bennett | Facebook

Yesterday it was published in Haaretz newspaper that I said: "I have killed a lot (as it were) of Arabs in my life, and there is no problem with that" and also "If you catch terrorists, you should just kill them."

For the avoidance of doubt: the things were not said.

At the government meeting, I suggested that we stop endangering our soldiers and go out of our way to capture terrorists alive, because then the state releases them anyway. A terrorist who endangers the lives of our soldiers during his actions should be eliminated.

Haaretz is allowed to think differently.

I will live with it...

Aug 8, 2013

https://www.facebook.com/NaftaliBennett/posts/608009285887410?locale2=he_IL

Media Comment: The self-perception of 'Haaretz'

By Yisrael Medad, Eli Pollak

Published: June 12, 2013

Haaretz, which presents itself as providing "extensive and in-depth coverage," distributed a letter last week from its publisher Amos Schocken, who wrote: "We have maintained our commitment to provide our readers in Israel and abroad with the most relevant and professional news, opinion and analyses. We have enlisted top-notch reporters, editors and writers and have significantly expanded our unique, English-language coverage.... We have continued to serve, we believe, as an indispensable cornerstone of Israeli liberalism and democracy and to stand firm against shortsighted and often dangerous winds of the day."

...A few recent examples of the problem with Haaretz, as listed by CAMERA's local agent Presspectiva, include:

- In mid-May, for two consecutive days, the English edition of Haaretz falsely reported that Jewish prayer is permitted on the Temple Mount.

- On March 31, Gideon Levy falsified the number of Gazan Arab deaths during Operation Cast Lead, sourcing it to Amnesty International – which didn't report it.

- On June 6, a teenage girl who had been raped by Arabs when she was 13 (and who was involved in the recent controversy involving Judge Yeshaya) was erroneously called a "Palestinian" in the English translation, although she is Israeli and Jewish.

- And lastly, the paper had to correct a grievous translation error: it mistakenly reported on April 23 that a judge in the trial of administrative detainee Samer Issawi agreed that the appearance of the prisoner could be compared to that of a Holocaust survivor.

What the judge was shocked about was Issawi's own claim that he should be compared to a Holocaust survivor.

Despite gross inaccuracies such as these; basing editorial columns on misrepresented data; unreliability and political bias, the paper is still widely quoted by the state-funded public broadcasting radio and television outlets, especially the early morning programs. They in turn rarely, if at all, include the subsequent corrections, thus multiplying the damage to the media consumer.

The German investor group DuMont Schauberg purchased 25% of the paper's ownership shares in 2006. Has this foreign involvement influenced the paper's downplaying the issue of European funding for left-wing NGOs? Haaretz serves as a major platform for some of them, even though past experience has shown that too often their information is based on shoddy research and overzealous identification with the subject matter.

In 2011, the paper announced that the former Russian oligarch Leonid Nevzlin, who immigrated to Israel in 2003, had joined Haaretz as a partner, acquiring 20% of the company's share capital. Is Haaretz still a local paper? In the past few months, Haaretz has experienced growing financial difficulties.

Undoubtedly, Shoken's letter is part of the effort to retain its subscriber base. Last September 23, The Jerusalem Post informed us that Haaretz employees had called a partial work stoppage which shut down the paper for around two hours. Employees were facing layoffs, and demanded answers about their scope.

Some senior staff has left recently, including Akiva Eldar and Doron Rosenblum. Could it be that they were thinking of receiving their pensions while there's still money around to pay for it? It is not unreasonable to guess that stricter adherence to professional journalistic ethics would contribute to a more solid future for Haaretz.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/columnists/media-comment-the-self-perception-of-haaretz-316329>

Zionist Conspiracy

Wednesday, May 29, 2013

Pseudo-Research by the Left about "Discrimination"

Pseudo-Research at the IDI about "Discrimination"

By Steven Plaut

I am sure that you all recall the "research" at the Hebrew University from a few years back that claimed to find "evidence" showing that the reason Israeli soldiers do not rape Arab women is because the Jews are such racists. That "research" was done by a graduate student, Tal Nitzan, in sociology. Her

thesis supervisor was Eyal Ben-Ari. If his name is familiar it was because he was later convicted of raping his own Hebrew University students and was dismissed. I guess he was just trying to prove he was not racist. (see [<http://www.isracampus.org.il/third%20level%20pages/HebrewU%20-%20Eyal%20Ben-Ari%20-%20the%20non-rapist.htm>])

Well if you enjoy reading about "research" in Israel that derives conclusions that directly contradict the evidence, then you will love the latest "research" coming out of the Israel Democracy Institute or IDI. This is a leftwing "think tank" that promotes the political agenda of Israel's remaining Left. The new "research" was written by one Tanya Steiner, who is the IDI's point-gal for churning out "research" about inequality in Israel. Steiner also is the IDI's promoter of affirmative action programs in Israel. The new "research" in question was supervised by Prof. Mordecai Kremnitzer, who is a senior IDI honcho and also a professor or law at Tel Aviv University ([<http://en.idi.org.il/about-idi/idi-staff/management/mordechai-kremnitzer/>]).

The new IDI "research" by Steiner (with input from Kremnitzer) concerns the activities of Israel's Commission on Equal Opportunities in Employment. This is a relatively new regulatory body set up supposedly to promote equality in the workplace. The Commissioner is sent complaints by people who feel they have been victims of discrimination. Steiner's "research" on the commission appears as a chapter in a propaganda book that has been published by Macmillan called "Palestinians in the Israeli Labor Market." You will not be surprised to hear that the book has nothing to say about Palestinians in the Israeli Labor Market. Rather it discusses Israeli Arabs in the Israeli labor market. It adopts the now trendy rhetorical invention by Israel's far Left of referring to Israeli Arabs as Israeli Palestinians. That book also includes chapters written by some of the worst far-leftist Marxist sociologists in Israel.

A Hebrew synopsis of the "research" appears in a report in Haaretz' Marker today (in Hebrew this is at [themarket.com/career/1.2032423]). The main "finding" of Steiner is that Israel is such a racist and discriminatory place that only 3% of all of the complaints from people claiming to be victims of discrimination come from Israeli Arabs (who are around 18% of the workforce)! Really!

Yes, it turns out that only a tiny proportion of complaints sent to the Commissioner on Equal Opportunity in Employment in 2011 (the year investigated) were submitted by Arabs. A full 46% were sent in by Jewish women, the rest by others (she does not report how many were sent in by Orthodox Jews!). Only three of the complaints received in the entire 2011 year by the commission about alleged discrimination against Arabs were deemed worthy of investigation. Now an ordinary citizen might be tempted to interpret this as a great success for Israel and for Israeli Arabs, where the numbers indicate how rare are cases where there are even allegations of discrimination against Arabs in the workplace.

Ah, but not for the IDI and for Tanya Steiner. Her "research" concludes that the low numbers just show how hopeless Israeli Arabs regard their dire circumstances, where they do not even think it is worthwhile filing complaints about the massive universal discrimination against them. In short, the absence of complaints about discrimination against Arabs in Israel proves how widespread and awful is discrimination against Arabs.

And so Steiner and Kremnitzer join Nitzan Tal from the rape thesis in the grand new club of pseudo-researchers in Israel who prove their thesis by citing evidence that shows that their thesis is completely bogus.

2. Oh dear, it is getting so complicated to be sensitive and politically correct on Israeli college campuses these days.

Take the University of Haifa (please!). It just became the first university in Israel to decide to shut down for all the holidays of Israel's non-Jewish minorities. So it will not hold classes or exams on Christian, Moslem, or Druse holidays. The local tenured Left had been pushing for this for years, as well as demanding that Christmas trees be displayed all around the campus in late December and that all signs on all buildings appear not only in Hebrew and English but also in Arabic (never mind that Hebrew and English are the only languages of instruction).

As you can imagine, this creates a number of logistics problems. What happens during Ramadan? Will the campus shut down for a full month while Moslems fast during daylight hours? And remember that Ramadan can fall any time of year because the Islamic calendar is divorced from the solar cycle.

But the really serious problem the University of Haifa will have involves Christmas. The problem is this: which Christmas? For universities in the west where all Christians are Catholic or Protestant, the problem is simple - you make it December 25. But remember that the Christian community in Israel is a dazzlingly diverse crew. And different churches hold Christmas on different days using different calendars. The Russian Orthodox hold Christmas in mid-January and there are plenty of such Russian Orthodox folk in Israel. I think the Greek Orthodox hold theirs on a different date in January and there are also plenty of Greek Orthodox in Israel. Then there are the Copts, the Armenians, the Caucasus Georgians, the Assyrians, and a dizzying list of other traditions, each with their own dates and calendars.

If the University of Haifa ONLY shuts down on December 25, would not all these other groups of Christians have legitimate grievances and sue for discrimination? And if the university shuts down for ALL possible dates of Christmas, the whole semester might as well be cancelled.

And we have not even asked about Kwanzaa. Surely there are "Black Hebrews" in Dimona who celebrate that! And Festivus, for people who watch the Seinfeld show. And how come atheist or pagan holidays do not count? How about the solstices or Wiccan celebrations?

Ah, the dilemmas of the sensitive!

<http://zioncon.blogspot.com/2013/05/pseudo-research-by-left-about.html?m=1>

Haaretz: Preoccupation with Holocaust memory prevents peace

The editor of Haaretz criticizes and "accuses" two senior commanders of the IDF of being active partners in controlling the memory of the Holocaust in the IDF, and of sending delegations ("witnesses in uniform") of permanent personnel to the extermination camps in Poland.

06/23/2013 | Mati David

The Chief of Staff in Auschwitz. Harming the peace? [Photo: Flash 90]

This is the title of the article by Aluf Benn, the editor of Haaretz, published on June 3, 2013. The editor of Haaretz criticizes and "accuses" the IDF, the education system and the politicians of dominating the memory of the Holocaust in the public discourse and in determining Israel's foreign and security policy, which in his opinion is the reason that harms the achievement of peace!

The editor of Haaretz criticizes and "accuses" two senior commanders of the IDF of being active partners in controlling the memory of the Holocaust in the IDF, and of sending delegations ("witnesses in uniform") of permanent personnel to the extermination camps in Poland.

Do they harm the peace?

Lt. Col. Benny Gantz, Chief of the General Staff, and Amir Eshel, Commander of the Air Force Both are sons of Holocaust survivor mothers. Both symbolize the connection between the Holocaust and the Resurrection. Both participated in ceremonies and events commemorating the Holocaust in Auschwitz.

Do they harm the peace?

The Haaretz editor criticizes and accuses them of working to achieve the following goals:
"Turning the commander into a loyalist in his unit and his surroundings for the inheritance of the memory of the Holocaust". (A.B).
"Strengthening the commander's feeling for the IDF, the State of Israel and the Jewish people." (A.B.)

Are these goals detrimental to peace?

Benny Gantz's words at the March of Life 2013 - "We have learned our lesson. Ready to stand up to those who seek our harm. I, as the commander of the IDF, am marching here in the Israel People's March of Life. I am marching as the son of my mother, Malka Gantz, who was forced to run in the death march to the Bergen-Belsen camp, where her father was murdered. I am marching as the son of my father, the late Nachum Gantz, who was a refugee in the Holocaust.

Do his words harm peace?!

General Amir Eshel's speech from his plane over Auschwitz - the words were broadcast from a plane, during a symbolic and historical flight of Air Force planes over the Auschwitz camp, in a memorial ceremony for the victims of the Holocaust. A flight of pride and confidence. "We, the pilots of the Israeli Air Force, in the name of the horror camp, have risen from the ashes of the millions of victims,

carry their silent cry, salute their heroism and promise to be a protector of the Jewish people and the country of Israel."

The editor of Haaretz has lost normative reason and rational logic of understanding the historical decree that requires the preservation of the memory of the Holocaust. According to his "logic", as written in his article, the control of the memory of the Holocaust in the public, political and military discourse in our lives prevents the achievement of peace! Whereas forgetting the memory of the Holocaust in our lives guarantees the chance for peace?! Will forgetting the Holocaust lead to peace?!

The editor lost the understanding of the historical order of Holocaust remembrance to guarantee our lives and our future arising from the content of the memorial signs, which are placed in the two extermination camps in Poland. "Our fate is a warning to you", in the Majdanek camp. "Never again", in the Treblinka camp.

To prevent the control of the memory of the Holocaust (for the sake of peace?!) we will have to do: The conclusion, according to Haaretz's editor, is that we will have to carry out the following actions in order to achieve peace: stop the youth delegations to the March of Life. Stop the IDF delegations to Poland. Prevent the stories of the members of the ethnic groups in schools. Reduce the speeches of the leaders of the country and the IDF on the issues of the Holocaust. To cancel visits by Israelis and guests from abroad to "Yad Vashem". To neutralize the memory of the Holocaust, in the discussions and considerations of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Ministry of Defense in making decisions.

Do the navy officer's words also harm the chances of peace?

According to Haaretz's editor's "logic", the moving words of the Navy officer, who congratulated Shimon Peres on his birthday on behalf of the IDF, harmed the chances of peace! This is because her words also dealt with the memory of the Holocaust in her family. Amir Eshel is engaged in controlling the memory of the Holocaust in the IDF, against the spirit and opinion of the Haaretz editor.

The editor of Haaretz claims in his article, "When did we start to fear the Holocaust" (3.6.13) that peace cannot be achieved because of the dominance of the memory of the Holocaust in the public, political and military discourse in Israel!

However, Haaretz's editorial entitled: "Enough to rewrite history" (May 19, 2013) claims exactly the opposite. Ha'aretz and its editor demand that Israel recognize and control the Palestinian Nakba in the public discourse, which is the condition for the chances of peace in their opinion. The newspaper accuses Israel and its education system of rewriting history, of ignoring the (pending) Palestinian disaster, of creating the refugee problem, and of refusing to acknowledge the problem, without which there will be no peace.

This is the "logic" of Haaretz and its editor for "the sake of peace"

They support the control of the memory of the Palestinian Nakba in our lives.

But we are opposed to the continued control of the memory of the Holocaust in our country.

In conclusion:

Every sane Jewish and Israeli person deserves to respond and denounce Haaretz newspaper and its editor, who in their opinion "controlling the memory of the Holocaust" over our lives harms the peace process and its achievement.

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-84731-00.html>

Haaretz's Descent Into Clickbait

Sad.

David Frum.

Published Apr. 23 2013.

TheTower.org is emerging as an indispensable new English-language source on Israel and the Middle East.

Today's post on the descent of Israel's once-eminant Haaretz newspaper into a click-seeking sensationalist website is another must read:

On October 23, 2012, an article by Haaretz's notoriously scandal-prone columnist, Gideon Levy, was published as the main story on the front page of the newspaper with the outrage-provoking headline..

Yet a careful look at the survey on which the article was based revealed that neither the headline nor Levy's analysis were supported in any way by the poll's actual data. Following public criticism, Haaretz was forced to publish an apology five days later, as well as a correction, in small letters tucked away at the bottom of a page, that read...

<https://www.thedailybeast.com/haaretzs-descent-into-clickbait/>

Trashing Israel Daily

by Joshua Muravchik, June/2013

That Haaretz is critical of the government is an old story: "Golda Meir once said that the only government that Haaretz ever supported was the British Mandate," Remnick wrote. But since the early 1990s, when management of the paper was assumed by Amos Schocken, it has moved further to the left—so much so that it has made itself a liability to the ceaseless struggle for survival of "the land" that its name evokes.

The author of the column exhorting stone-throwing was Haaretz's leading correspondent in the Palestinian territories, Amira Hass. Her writings, together with those of two other reporter-columnists, Gideon Levy and Akiva Eldar, constitute the paper's signature. Hass has chosen to make her home

among the Palestinians for the better part of two decades; three years in Gaza, the balance in Ramallah. In a profile in the Independent, ...

Every family? Given the hundreds of documented cases of “honor killings”; of murders of alleged “collaborators,” usually without proof; of killings and torture of Fatah members by Hamas and vice versa; given, too, the notorious brutality of the Palestinian security forces; the lionization of suicide bombers and others who take the lives of Israeli civilians; and the mass, spontaneous demonstrations of joy over the news of the September 11 attacks on America—given, in short, the abundant displays of egregious inhumanity, one can only conclude that Hass sees what she wants to see or what her ideological blinders lead her to see. This is not a sound qualification for a journalist.

Unsurprisingly, Hass’s reports have been challenged many times on the facts, often for taking Palestinian accounts at face value and also for twists of her own invention, as in her references to “Jewish-only roads” in the West Bank. There are no “Jewish-only” roads. Due to terrorist attacks, some West Bank roads are restricted to cars with Israeli plates, but these are open to all Israelis including Arabs and other non-Jews. Barring Palestinian vehicles from certain arteries may be harsh, but it is not racist, as Hass wanted readers to believe.

The second of the paper’s trio of columnists, Gideon Levy, boasts that "Noam Chomsky once wrote to me that I was like the early Jewish prophets." But whereas the prophets’ hallmark was righteousness, and their goal was to summon Israel back to the true path, Levy’s hallmark is self-righteousness, and the Israel he describes is beyond redemption...

Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting in America (CAMERA), has documented numerous factual errors in Levy’s reporting. He tripled the number of Palestinian casualties on one occasion, halved the number of Israeli victims on another, characterized Hezbollah fighters as “civilians” on another, and so on. Late in 2012, Levy embarrassed himself and his newspaper with a story that, in his telling, confirmed his dark take on Israelis. Haaretz carried a page-one headline: "Survey: Most Israeli Jews Support

...Hass and Levy are unabashed about their ideological slant; Akiva Eldar, the third of Haaretz’s exemplars, expresses open pride. During the second intifada, the three were taken to task by Nahum Barnea, a columnist for the Israeli newspaper Yedioth Ahronoth, for failing the “lynch test.” That is, Barnea charged that they were unable to condemn Palestinian actions unambiguously, even when Palestinians lynched Israelis, as they had done to two reservists who got lost in Ramallah. He likened their devotion to the Palestinian cause to John Reed’s devotion to Bolshevism, saying their support was “absolute,” adding: “It does not derive from feelings about human rights but instead other motives. Some are prisoners of love of Palestine. Some of their hatred of Zion....They have a mission.”

In an article in the Nation in 2008, Eldar invoked Barnea’s accusation, and rather than deny it, he reveled in it...

When observers call Haaretz “liberal,” they are using that label in an expansive way, as when American journalists apply it to the likes of the unrepentant former Weatherman Bill Ayers....

But Haaretz under Schocken's direction and exemplified by Hass and Levy and Eldar represents something else again: the Israeli version of what has been called in America the "adversary culture." In Israel, in addition to Haaretz, it includes also such "new historians" as Ilan Pappé and Avi Shlaim, such advocacy groups as B'Tselem and Breaking the Silence, and such public intellectuals as Uri Avnery, Avraham Burg and Meron Benvenisti. This culture rests on a worldview that finds one's own country to be the embodiment of all that is wrong and evil...

<https://www.commentary.org/articles/joshua-muravchik/trashing-israel-daily/>

News - Opinions - Ben Dror Yemini nrg - the newspaper that went insane

Nov 3, 2013

The Haaretz newspaper published a series of articles about the "dangerous" Minister of Education, who intends to force Holocaust studies from first grades, thus encouraging "nationalism and xenophobia."

The true story is completely reversed * The same newspaper also published a devious headline hinting at a connection between Zionist and Nazi propaganda * Ismail Haniyeh sends congratulations to human rights organizations on aid to Hamas

When humanism becomes fascism

In recent weeks, a campaign has been conducted, over the pages of Haaretz, regarding Holocaust studies in Israel...

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/520/623.html>

The Haaretz cartoonist supports anti-Semitism

Gil Kellner, Srugim news, 06.08.13.

Amos Biderman was asked by surfers why the settlers in his paintings have long noses and explained "When I see ... Dov Lior and his ilk, suddenly the anti-Semitic option seems completely sane to me."

Ha'aretz cartoonist Amos Biederman shows empathy for anti-Semitism.

At the conclusion of a weekly section in which 'Haaretz' surfers ask the newspaper's reporters questions about their work, it was Biderman's turn to answer and most of the questions dealt with the inspiration for his drawings and the technical manner in which he draws

A surfer named Yossi asked Biederman: "Have you noticed that some of your cartoons are purely anti-Semitic? Settlers will always have a long nose, like the way you draw Elkin."

(Here is a screenshot from the Haaretz website today: [https://www.srugim.co.il/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/1146714_559289607464689_2106695806_n-460x116.jpg])

<https://www.srugim.co.il/?p=52304>

The Downfall of Haaretz

The Tower rings the death knell

Adam Chandler. April 23, 2013

This week, in the inaugural edition of The Tower, a magazine funded by the Israel Project, Erez Tadmor sounded Haaretz's death knell—something some of us have been doing incrementally over the past few years...

Once known for its bold reporting, scandals plagued the paper, including a recent story in which columnist Amira Hass essentially condoned Palestinian rock-throwing. Tadmor posits this:

Alongside the financial crisis and the wide-ranging cuts in staff and salaries, many Haaretz employees believe there is an additional reason for the paper's decline in quality: A strategic decision by editor-in-chief Aluf Benn to refocus the elite paper to reach a much wider audience in order to maximize traffic, and the attendant advertising income. "Aluf Benn's managerial strategy," says another source inside Haaretz, "is that the paper, and the website in particular, should publish stories that are as bold and provocative as possible. Everyone knows that publications like Yediot Aharonot or YNet [Yediot's online edition] do this, but in the past Haaretz had different norms." In the source's view, the publication of the controversial Amira Hass piece was a deliberate attempt to draw traffic through sensational reporting.

<https://www.tabletmag.com/sections/news/articles/the-downfall-of-haaretz>

The damage of a Haaretz libel | Daniel Frank | The Blogs

Mar 10, 2013

Haaretz is a left wing media outlet that makes its bias and ideology abundantly clear. The paper is very critical of the Israeli government, religious institutions, the IDF and many other integral components of the country. This is supplemented by a very firm stance in support of the Palestinian cause. Although Haaretz is a Zionist publication, there is a large amount of overlap between the criticisms made by the Zionist left and the non-Zionist anti-Israel worldview making its audience a mixture of those who like and dislike Israel (outside of Israel, Haaretz is most popular in Norway and Ireland).

Within Israel, Haaretz is considered a small and minor newspaper that has a readership of less than 6% of the public. Internationally, Haaretz's readership is still not at the level of publications such as the Jerusalem Post and Ynet, but it fares much better than it does domestically. However, Haaretz (English edition) manages to be one of the most influential voices of Israel.

Haaretz's audience includes many journalists and opinion makers from different parts of the world. As a result of this, when Haaretz reports a controversial story, the tone/message/thesis of the original article (by Haaretz) has a huge influence on the type of opinion journalists from abroad will take on that issue. Unfortunately, many stories on Haaretz are over-sensationalized and highly misleading. When these

types of articles are displayed, journalists from all over the world are quick to spread the “controversial news” to their local region.

Similarly, when people unfamiliar with Israeli politics read these types of articles, they are quick to absorb the narrative pushed by Haaretz and end up forming a distorted view of what is going on within the country. Depending on how controversial and catchy the headline may seem, many of these readers will forward the column to their friends, twitter accounts and Facebook feeds. Even worse than this are the people who are simply anti-Israel to begin with and come to Haaretz to find ammunition for their criticisms (hatred) of the state.

In the recent months, Haaretz has published a number of articles that were incorrect, highly misleading, inciting and in simple terms, should not have been approved by the editors. The damage done to Israel’s image from these articles has been immense...

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/the-damage-of-a-haaretz-libel/>

‘Haaretz’ Corrects Forced-Contraception Story.

Over a month too late, an explosive story loses its bite.

By Adam Chandler.

March 08, 2013

There was no shortage of outrage following a report by Haaretz in late JanuaryThe first problem with the story: No Israeli official ever acknowledged there was such a practice at all; it was denied by Joint Distribution Committee, which ran the clinics, and the Health Ministry...

After an Israeli committee was established to investigate the matter, a second story ran in Haaretz last week repeating much of its original reporting. Then, earlier this week, came this correction...

<https://www.tabletmag.com/sections/news/articles/haaretz-corrects-forced-contraception-story>

Haaretz "Fixes" Misleading Story About Ethiopian Women

by Zach Pontz, Mar 7, 2013.

Haaretz has issued a correction vis-a-vis a report it published five weeks ago that set off a rush to condemn Israel.

The original report accused government officials of injecting Ethiopian women with the long-acting contraceptive Depo-Provera in order to decrease their birth rates...

Only after the Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting in America (CAMERA) spotted the misinformation repeated in another article published in Haaretz last

week [http://www.camera.org/index.asp?x_context=2&x_outlet=55&x_article=2411] did the Israeli newspaper fix the article. Remarkably, the Hebrew version of the same article did include the updated information. However Haaretz failed to change it in the language most likely read by its international readers.

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2013/03/07/haaretz-fixes-misleading-story-about-ethiopian-women/>

Setting The Record Straight

Campus Watch corrects false allegations made against it.

Response to:

From the Diary of a Self-Hating Jew

by Daniel Monterescu

Haaretz

April 4, 2013

Categories:

False allegations of attacking professors who criticize Israel

Falsely alleged dossiers on professors

False allegations of being a Zionist organization

Campus Watch Responds:

Daniel Monterescu, assistant professor of urban anthropology at the Central European University in Budapest, has taken to the pages of Haaretz to attack Campus Watch on the false premise that CW sponsors "blacklists."...

For the record (a broken one, it seems, given the frequency with which we must correct this baseless charge), CW does not issue "blacklists"; Monterescu will search our website in vain for any such list. Nor has CW ever accused anyone of being a self-hating Jew--a charge as offensive as it is absurd.

But the story doesn't end there. In a bizarre twist, the catalyst for his mischaracterization of CW, and the subject of his op-ed, was a face-to-face attack on him by leftist European (not Middle Eastern) anti-Semitic academics attending a conference in Turkey. A drunk Frenchman called him a "sionard," which Monterescu explains is, "a French slang combination of Zionist ('sioniste') and idiot ('connard')."

Sacre bleu! What to make of a leftist Israeli professor teaching in Hungary who, when called a Zionist idiot by European peers at a Turkish conference, attacks CW? Monterescu believes the attack on him, "attests to the spread of a racist left-wing discourse in European university circles, a mixture of right-wing anti-Semitic propaganda with self-righteous post-colonial discourse."

With apologies to Hayden White, et al.: he who metacritiques aberrant discourses in the (unintentional) ironic mode should take care not to represent himself as qualifying for his own narrow-minded liste de merde.

(Posted by Winfield Myers)

<https://www.meforum.org/campus-watch/correction/94>

Amira Hass's freedom of incitement

When a Haaretz reporter encourages Palestinians to throw stones, she ignores the deaths of Asher Palmer and his son Jonathan and incites murder

David Ben Zion 3/4/2013

Night. Returning from a cousin's wedding. The hall had light and dancing, music and serenity. But now sitting in the car on the way back home, close here, a few minutes from the big city, Dad talking quietly with Mom, adult matters, and suddenly boom! The empty one next to me, usually my baby brother sits there. This time he stays home with a babysitter, Miracle. Arriving home.

We snatched a stone again.

"Throwing stones is a right and a duty," Amira Hass wrote this morning in an article in Haaretz. Ms. Hass, what are you talking about in Gd's name?

... Asher Palmer and his son remember the blessing, who were killed by a stone that hit their car, nothing will answer to our sorrow, but the punishment of the stone throwers should be aggravated as much as possible.

And Amira Hass, she must pay fairly for her boldness and impudence, but not the prison will be a punishment but a broad protest and disregard from now on for all the nonsense that a pen will emit.

<https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/online/1/ART2/457/358.html>

—

Amira Hass Syndrome

Amira Hass is not as dangerous to the Jews as she is to the Palestinian Arabs. It aroused more hatred and hid the beautiful things that were happening in the country between Jews and Arabs

04/04/2013 | Tzipora Barabi

<https://m.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-82590-00.html>

Not freedom of expression - lawlessness

The nickname of Amira Hass in the Haaretz newspaper "Incitement" would be a mockery of Rash. She has basically cut her self out from the Israeli audience. It is time for the Attorney General to take off his gloves and deal with this treacherous abandonment

04/04/2013 | Dror Eder

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-82592-00.html>

Incitement to murder in a newspaper for "thinkers"

A Palestinian conviction for throwing stones at a car and causing the deaths of Asher Palmer and his infant son Yonatan in two murders obliges the law enforcement authorities to refer to an article published by Amira Hass in Haaretz, in which she claimed that stone-throwing by Palestinians is a Palestinian right and duty as incitement. For the murder of Israelis *, the police must summon for publication the publisher Amos Schocken, the editor of the newspaper Alof Ben and Amira Hass * Will the law enforcement authorities face Haaretz?

03/04/2013 | Itzik Wolf

<https://m.news1.co.il/BringHtmlDoc.aspx?docid=82582&subjectId=3>

–

Israeli journalist is accused of inciting violence after backing Palestinian stone-throwers

Amira Hass receives hate mail for claiming Palestinian schools should teach children how to 'resist occupation'

Harriet Sherwood Jerusalem

Sat 6 Apr 2013

A prominent Israeli journalist, Amira Hass, has been subjected to a wave of hate mail and calls for prosecution for incitement to violence since writing an article defending the throwing of stones by Palestinian youths at Israeli soldiers.

Hass, who has lived in and reported on the occupied Palestinian territories for 20 years, argued that "throwing stones is the birthright and duty of anyone subject to foreign rule", and suggested that Palestinian schools should offer "basic classes in resistance".

The opinion piece, published in the liberal Israeli daily Haaretz, for whom Hass works, drew outraged reaction on the internet and from media commentators...

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/apr/06/israeli-journalist-amira-hass>

–

Amira Hass rises on the Arab network

Mida | 04/04/2013

The Arab media is really enthusiastic about Amira Hass' columns. Especially when she writes about the right to throw objects at Jews

Palestinian media have shown great enthusiasm in the face of the radical manifesto in which a Haaretz journalist justifies throwing stones in protest against the occupation

In a column published yesterday (3.4) in the newspaper and on the Haaretz website, journalist Amira Hass explained her doctrine of the "right and duty" of Palestinians to throw stones and even increased the suggestion that "in Palestinian schools, students should be given basic classes in resistance."

The timing of Hass' provocation was perfect: a day before the publication of her column, a member of the Palestinian security forces was accused of intentionally causing death, after throwing stones at the car of the late Asher Palmer and killing him and his son Jonathan.

It was not long before Hess won a slew of condemnations across the media and social networks, both right and left, and even for a lawsuit filed by the Yesha Council against her and against the editor of the Haaretz newspaper, Aluf Ben.

This is the Israeli side, but there is also an Arab side: Hess's translated article began to spread like wildfire in the Arab electronic media. The PLO website, which is affiliated with the PLO, was the first to publish an article entitled "Israeli newspaper: "Stone-throwing - mandatory", with main quotes from Hass' column. Following this publication, the dam was breached and the news spread to various sites throughout the Arab media, from the sites of the Palestinian news agencies, to the Fatah website, to the Palestinian Al-Yum website affiliated with Islamic Jihad. To the hearts of Arabs around the world: The news crossed borders and also reached Lebanese news sites and the Ba'ath site in Syria.

This is how Hess fulfilled her dream, and became a popular "Arab" heroine for one day.

<https://mida.org.il/2013/04/04/%D7%A2%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%A8%D7%94-%D7%94%D7%A1-%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%A8%D7%A9%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%A2%D7%A8%D7%91%D7%99%D7%AA/>

—

Demonstration at Ha'aretz Against Amira Hass

Apr 7, 2013

Activists from the Petach Tikva headquarters of the Samaria (Shomron) and Binyamin Residents' Councils demonstrated, Sunday, at the Tel Aviv offices of the Ha'aretz daily in response to a column by the newspaper's Amira Hass, in which she called stone throwing "the right and the duty of anyone living under foreign rule". The demonstrators hung a giant banner on the building which included pictures of infants Yehonatan Palmer, who was killed with his father, when terrorists smashed the windshield of their car in the Kiryat Arba' area of Judea, and Adelle Biton, who has been sedated and on artificial respiration...

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/Flash.aspx/265732>

—

Yesha Council Leaders Accuse Haaretz Newspaper of Incitement to Violence

by Zach Pontz

Apr 3, 2013

Yesha (West Bank) Council head Avi Ro'eh and Chairman Ron Shachner have sent a letter to Jerusalem Police accusing Israel's Ha'aretz daily newspaper of incitement to violence.

An editorial published by one of the paper's correspondents, Amira Hass, who lives in Ramallah, defends Palestinian stone-throwing and encourages other forms of violence against Israelis. "Throwing stones is the birthright and duty of anyone subject to foreign rule. Throwing stones is an action as well as a metaphor of resistance," she writes...

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2013/04/03/yesha-council-leaders-accuse-haaretz-newspaper-of-incitement-to-violence/>

Eichler & Ze'ev Lash Out at Latest Shtrasler Opinion Piece

February 12, 2013

In his latest assault against Torah Jewry, Haaretz columnist Nechemia Shtrasler on Monday 2 Adar 5773 published his latest piece... in which he rips into the chareidi tzibur in Eretz Yisrael.

"The latest derogatory term is 'white tribe,' which refers to an amorphous group comprising Jews of Ashkenazi origin who live in north Tel Aviv, who deeply despise the chareidim, in a primal, racist way, despite the innocence of ultra-Orthodox Jews. After all, the chareidim are good, moderate, tolerant and loving people, whose only desire is to live quietly near secular Jews without imposing anything on them. It's the "white tribe" that comes and causes an unnecessary commotion, trying to exclude and humiliate the chareidim just because they are black."

<https://www.theyeshivaworld.com/news/headlines-breaking-stories/156513/eichler-zeev-lash-out-at-latest-shtrasler-opinion-piece.html>

Haredi MKs on Shtrasler: instigator and liar. Hates haredim out of ignorance

Israel Cohen, Feb 12, 2013.

Haaretz commentator Nehemiah Shtrasler sharply attacks the ultra-Orthodox again. .. The ultra-Orthodox MKs do not remain indebted and respond to Shtrasler through Kikar haShabat: "Their hatred of the ultra-Orthodox stems not only from ignorance, but from ideology and hostility."

<https://www.kikar.co.il/111071.html>

"Haaretz" lies in English and defames Israel in the world

My Israel, Jan 16, 2013

On Saturday, the High Court issued a restraining order to evacuate the Palestinian outpost between Jerusalem and Ma'ale Adumim. The Attorney General appeared in the High Court, explained the state's considerations and * after * the security consideration was clarified and the High Court agreed, the outpost was evacuated.

In Haaretz in Hebrew they did not mention this and in the English version they simply lied (and not for the first time). According to an article in an English newspaper (and on the website), the eviction was made * despite * a court restraining order.

<https://www.facebook.com/MyIsrael/photos/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%91%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%92%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%AA-%D7%95%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%9D-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%9C-%D7%91%D7%A2%D7%95%D7%9C%D7%9D->

%D7%91%D7%92%D7%A5-%D7%94%D7%95%D7%A6%D7%99%D7%90-
%D7%91%D7%A9%D7%91%D7%AA-%D7%A6%D7%95-%D7%9E%D7%A0%D7%99%D7%A2%D7%94-
%D7%9C%D7%A4%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%99-
%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%90%D7%97%D7%96/539609349390930/

Haaretz changes tack on major story that alleged widespread 'apartheid' attitudes in Israel
Newspaper publishes small 'clarification' of widely quoted article, changes headlines online; top Israeli
pollster calls key question 'skewed'; critic says vital question omitted from poll

By Elhanan Miller

30 Oct 2012

<https://www.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-changes-tack-on-major-story-that-alleged-widespread-apartheid-attitudes-in-israel/>

An open letter to the organizers of the poll about Israel that reverberated around the world

By Maurice Ostroff, The Jerusalem Post, October 30, 2012

The poll was reported by columnist Gideon Levy in Haaretz under the misleading headline...

Unfortunately the manner in which the poll was conducted and interpreted has achieved the diametric opposite. For example according to Haaretz, Arab MK's said that the survey verifies their sense of increasing radicalization of Israelis and the marginalization of Arab citizens to the fringes of Israeli society; hardly promoting the understanding and dialog that your fund aims to promote. Their conclusions would be acceptable if based on facts but their prejudicial views are unjustified since they result from flaws in the poll as explained below.

Worse still, rather than promoting peace, the reaction to the poll incites hatred of Israel, making peace with our neighbors more difficult. It promoted a viral international negative reaction by media who, without bothering to analyze the poll results, hastily and irresponsibly reproduced Gideon Levy's misinterpretation.

<https://www.jpost.com/blogs/2nd-thoughts/an-open-letter-to-the-organizers-of-the-poll-about-israel-that-reverberated-around-the-world-365213>

—

Haaretz, Gideon Levy, and the Israel apartheid canard

But Haaretz published this week one of the biggest manipulations in the history of incitement against Israel

Oct 26, 2012

... and it turns out that the famed reporter and commentator may have committed full-fledged fraud. For instance, on the issue of separate roads for Israelis in the West Bank, 50% of respondents answered that having separate roads is “not a good situation but there is no alternative,” while another 17% said it was just “not good.” What appears in Levy’s version? Only “no alternative.” The “not good” response was simply omitted. And there are other examples there.

Baron of the Falsehood Industry indeed...

<https://blogs.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-gideon-levy-and-the-israel-apartheid-canard/>

Haaretz 'Apartheid' Survey is False and Biased

by Tom Nisani / Tazpit News Agency

October 30, 2012

Analysis: Haaretz 'Apartheid' Survey is False and Biased Oct. 30, 2012.- A recent survey presented by “Haaretz” newspaper claimed that the majority of Jews in Israel advocate the establishment of an apartheid ... <http://www.algemeiner.com/2012/10/30/analysis-haaretz-apartheid-survey-is-false-and-biased/>

Journalistic Sham: Haaretz Acknowledges False 'Apartheid' Survey

30 Oct 2012 — The Haaretz newspaper. A recent survey presented by “Haaretz” newspaper claimed that the majority of Jews in Israel advocate the establishment..

<https://www.algemeiner.com/2012/10/30/journalistic-sham-haaretz-acknowledges-false-apartheid-survey/>

An open letter to Gideon Levy - The Jerusalem Post

By Maurice Ost Ostroff.

Oct 17, 2012

[...]

In your September 23 2010 interview, published in Huffington Post you made the defamatory accusation that Yitzhak Rabin's peace agreement with Arafat was a scam (that is a swindle or fraudulent deal). Don't you see the similarity between this type of baseless accusation and the defamation of your late father?...

Don't you see the similarity between the Haaretz headline "J.. are capable of acting like neo-Nazis" (Meron Rappaport Sep.16, 2007) and the allegation that your late Dad cooperated with Nazis?

I refer to your November 12, 2006..

<https://www.jpost.com/blogs/2nd-thoughts/an-open-letter-to-gideon-levy-365256>

Another day, another Haaretz diatribe.

| 10 October 2012

Iraqi Jews sightseeing in Babylon, 1950s

Another day, another article in Haaretz attacking Danny Ayalon's campaign for the rights of Jewish refugees from Arab countries. Oudeh Barashat's effort is hard to beat, however – for ignorance, arrogance and sheer dishonesty. My comments are in italics...

<https://www.jewishrefugees.org.uk/2012/10/another-day-another-haaretz-diatribе.html>

The court ruled: "Haaretz" will compensate Anat Kam Kamm, who was convicted of espionage and providing confidential information, sued Blau and the editor-in-chief of "Haaretz" Amos Shocken for damages she suffered after she was exposed as a journalistic source. It was determined that the newspaper will pay an initial sum of NIS 75,000, after which the full compensation will be determined.

Gilad Morag Published: 12.27.18

The District Court in Tel Aviv ruled today (Thursday) that Anat Kamm will be paid NIS 75,000 by the Haaretz newspaper, for legal expenses and attorneys' fees. The amount of the full compensation you will receive will be determined later.

Kamm sued "Haaretz", the journalist Uri Blau, the editor-in-chief Amos Shocken and another senior official in the news system for NIS 2.6 million, demanding compensation for damages she claims she suffered after she was exposed as a journalistic source.

<https://www.ynet.co.il/news/article/5434606>

–

Journalist Blau gets 4 months community service

'Haaretz' reporter sentenced for aggravated espionage, after pleading guilty to obtaining classified information from Anat Kamm.

By Yonah Jeremy Bob, Sep 3, 2012

<https://www.jpost.com/National-News/Journalist-Blau-gets-4-months-community-service-283660>

Haaretz reporter convicted of holding secret documents

Uri Blau, who received thousands of confidential IDF files from former soldier Anat Kamm, likely to face four months of community service

By Stuart Winer, 24 Jul 2012

Uri Blau, the Haaretz journalist who used stolen classified IDF documents in reports accusing the army of defying a High Court ruling against targeted killings, pleaded guilty on Tuesday to the charge of possessing classified information without intent to harm state security. (photo credit: Yossi Zeliger/Flash90)

A journalist accused of holding secret documents was found guilty by the Tel Aviv's Magistrate's Court as part of a plea bargain Tuesday morning.

Haaretz reporter Uri Blau pleaded guilty to holding secret material but without an intention to damage the state. According to the terms of the plea, he will likely be sentenced to four months imprisonment that will be commuted to community service.

The sentence still must be approved by the body that oversees community service sentences.
<https://www.timesofisrael.com/haaretz-reporter-convicted-of-holding-secret-documents/>

"On the three and four sins of Haaretz"

The radical left-wing newspaper has cooked up so much that even decent personalities on the far left shun it

23rd of Cheshvan 5773 - 08/11/12

Menachem Rahat

The post-Zionist newspaper Haaretz has recently surpassed itself in its efforts to discredit the State of Israel and its Jewish citizens.

If until recently, Haaretz only allocated its opinion section to conduct a crusade against the Israeli policy accepted by most of the people living in Zion, on the Palestinian issue, and demarcated a clear line between opinion and news (as is customary in the world, requiring an ethical distinction between News and Views) , Views]), here is the recent fact that the Haaretz will work for its pro-Palestinian propaganda campaign both the news pages and even its headlines.

Within a week, Haaretz published two huge headlines, which are an 'image attack': both were apparently intended to serve Palestinian propaganda by disseminating distorted and / or false information, under the guise of impartial journalistic news. They are doing everything they can to tarnish Israeli policy and the people of Zion, who largely support it.

<https://www.inn.co.il/Articles/Article.aspx/10772>

Candidly Speaking: Concerning 'self-hating Jews'

By Isi Leibler, June 13, 2012

Ironically, his interview with Maya Sela appeared in the English Internet edition of the Israeli daily Haaretz, which is probably the most important global media platform promoting the rantings of Jews demonizing Israel.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/columnists/candidly-speaking-concerning-self-hating-jews>

Dr. Roi Petel v. Haaretz

The newspaper is obliged to publish a clarification emphasizing that the published photo has no direct connection to the article it was intended to air...

It is decided that the Haaretz newspaper must publish within 7 days the decision and with a proper highlight - a clarification - in which it will be said that the picture of the Trudy Birger Dental Clinic (DVI) published in the Haaretz newspaper on February 12, 2012, has nothing to do with new articles from the HMO...

Given today 6 May 2012

<http://www.moaza.co.il/BRPortal/br/P102.jsp?arc=350802>

Teens Charged Over Thrill Kill in Israeli City of Ramle

Eight teens indicted in the killing of 51-year-old Ramle man.

Yaniv Kubovich, Apr. 6, 2012

Eight teens were indicted on Thursday in connection with the murder of a Ramle man, who was shot while walking his dog last month. The court heard that the boys - who are charged with murder, manslaughter and obstructing justice - followed George Sa'adi, 51, while he was walking his dog late at night in Ramle's Jawarish neighborhood.

According to the indictment, the divorced father of five encountered three of the accused, who started teasing him, but he ignored them and headed off. Before he returned along the same route, the youths had called five of their friends, one of whom had a pistol.

This time, a confrontation ensued, during which the defendants allegedly cursed Sa'adi, hurled racial abuse at him and shouted slogans in favor of rocket fire from Gaza. Two of them, the court heard, kicked the dog and threw stones at it.

As Sa'adi was trying to escape, one of the defendants took out a pistol and said he wanted to shoot him. Two defendants allegedly asked their friend not to, while others encouraged him. Then, the indictment continues, one of the defendants asked the victim whether he was armed and asked him to lift his shirt to prove that he wasn't.

When he did, the defendant who allegedly held the pistol, shot Sa'adi in the torso, and he fell to the ground. The shooter then fired another two bullets, one of which hit the dog and killed it. Sa'adi, with his last bit of strength, managed to crawl and dragged himself onto Zayit Street, where he collapsed.

An old friend of his found him there, bleeding, and called an ambulance and the police.

Magen David Adom brought Sa'adi to Assaf Harofeh Hospital in critical condition. He was rushed into surgery, but died on the operating table.

[George Sa'adi, a 51-year-old Ramle man who was shot to death while walking his dog on March 11, 2012.]

—

[Linda, 29.12.2017.

If this had been Jewish teens killing an Arab man Haaretz would have said racist attack as this should be.]

[Rick, 29.12.2017.

A little help here readers, were the assailants Palestinians or Jews].

<https://www.haaretz.com/1.5211872>

Haaretz continues to report on the lynching in Haifa in part

Yishai Goldflam, 27.02.12

After pushing the lynching in Haifa to page 10 of the newspaper, the Haaretz newspaper continues today with the unbalanced coverage and lack of the violent event.

None of the daily newspapers missed the police zigzag regarding determining the background to the incident.

Maariv wrote today:

The police, who initially determined that it was "bullying in its name", announced in court: "The nationalist direction is not being denied."

This is how Yedioth Ahronoth opened the report from today:

Misidentification or almost lynching on a nationalist background? In the morning, the police announced yesterday that the apparent background to the attack on two IDF soldiers in Haifa is bullying.

Israel Hayom reported:

A police spokesman contributed to the fog surrounding the case, saying in a court hearing that the attack had nationalist implications. During it, the attackers chanted in condemnation of the Jews and even engraved the word "PLO" on the head of one of the soldiers.

Makor Rishon was the most blatant. Under the main heading:
Conflicting versions in the police regarding the attack on the soldiers in Haifa

The newspaper continued:

Contrary to the police version from Saturday night, which determined that it was a nationalist incident, on Sunday the police claimed that it was an act of bullying. In court, the police prosecutor ruled that the assault was nevertheless of a nationalist background.

The picture emerging from the four newspapers is quite clear - the police are not really closed on the background to the attack, and all options are being examined.

However, a completely different picture emerges from the report in Haaretz, the headline of which is:
The judge likened the attack of the two soldiers to a lynching in Ramallah, the police claim that the background is non-nationalist.

If you look for the later police claim, you will not find it in the body of the report:

... While the police officially announced yesterday morning that this was not a nationalist motive but purely bullying, the judge compared the case to a lynching in Ramallah. While the soldiers who were attacked claim that it was a motive on a nationalist background, the police are investigating a suspicion that it is revenge after the suspects were attacked in their home by unknown individuals. Therefore, the police believe that the suspects were involved in the attack, but apparently misidentified. Throughout Haaretz's report, there is no mention of contradictions arising from the police themselves, and the fact that in a discussion on extending the detainees' detention, the police representative noted that the nationalist symbol also exists in the incident.

If so, a news consumer who only reads the Haaretz newspaper (we assume that there are quite a few such people) knows that there was a quarrel between Jews and Arabs in Haifa, he knows that this is not such important news because the report appeared yesterday towards the end of the newspaper Hubble, he knows that the Jews claim that this is an attack on a nationalist background, and he knows that the police have officially announced that this is not an attack on a nationalist background but on "bullying". Well, says the reader of Haaretz, just brats. You can browse to the next item.

That news consumer, who trusts the newspaper to give him reliable information, has no idea at all that he is not getting the full picture. Journalism at its "best."

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%9E%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%99%D7%9A-%D7%9C%D7%93%D7%95%D7%95%D7%97->

%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%A0%D7%A5-
%D7%91%D7%97%D7%99%D7%A4%D7%94-%D7%91%D7%90%D7%95%D7%A4%D7%9F/

The Truth About the Battle for Netzer | Israel National News - Arutz Sheva
Feb 17, 2012 — Jewish activists describe the battle for control of lands in Gush Etzion, refute Haaretz
“lies and propaganda.”
<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/news/152867>

Haaretz: Neeman called us "Der Sturmer"

INN, Jan 5, 2012

The newspaper "Haaretz" claims this morning that the Minister of Justice Ne'eman compared him in
closed conversations with ministers to the Nazi party "Dr. Sturmer"
<https://www.inn.co.il/news/231341>

Nehemiah Shtrasler in the surreal article: "The disease [sic] is ultra-Orthodox education" - JDN News
Jan 3, 2012 -
Eli Ben David, 8 Tevet 5772 - 03.01.12.

Haaretz's economic commentator opens his mouth and states: The ultra-Orthodox are a symptom of a
[sic] general [sic] illness "The disease [sic] should be treated, not a symptom" • And what does
Rabinowitz think of Shtrasler?
<https://www.jdn.co.il/archive/%25D7%2593%25D7%25A2%25D7%2595%25D7%25AA/25286>

Parents of a 4-year-old girl from Beit Shemesh: A photographer from the Haaretz newspaper spat on our
daughter

Peeked and hit: Haredi parents from Beit Shemesh claim that Haaretz photographer Alex Liebek, who
came to photograph in the city last night, spat at their daughter. In a call to Kikar-HaShabbat, Liebek
denies that he spat and claims that he only shouted. "I shouted at her, what's up? What do you want?
What is not allowed to shout at children? I did not spit on her, I am not a person who spits." A
complaint has been filed. First publication (in Israel)
Yishai Cohen, 2 Tevet 5772. 28.12.11

<https://www.kikar.co.il/87114.html>

Haaretz: The Paper for Thinking People?

by Efraim Karsh, December 16, 2011

Of the countless threats of Arab violence in the run-up to the November 29, 1947 Partition Resolution and in its wake, none has resonated more widely than the warning by Abdul Rahman Azzam, the Arab League's first secretary-general, that the establishment of a Jewish state would lead to "a war of extermination and momentous massacre which will be spoken of like the Mongolian massacre and the Crusades."

Unfortunately, the longstanding failure to trace the original document in which the threat was made has given rise to doubts regarding its veracity, and by implication - the murderous Arab intentions: not least since the historical truth has been erased from public memory by decades of relentless pro-Arab propaganda.

Small wonder, therefore, that when the missing document was recently found, with an annotated full translation published in the Middle East Quarterly, which I edit, Haaretz columnist and self-styled "new historian" Tom Segev, who had spent a good part of the past two decades turning the saga of Israel's birth upside down, went out of his way to whitewash Azzam's threat and downplay its significance. "There is something pathetic about this hunt for historical quotes drawn from newspapers," he wrote, without disputing the threat's contents or authenticity. "Azzam used to talk a lot. On May 21, 1948, the Palestine Post offered this statement by him: 'Whatever the outcome, the Arabs will stick to their offer of equal citizenship for Jews in Arab Palestine and let them be as Jewish as they like.'" He then quotes Ben-Gurion's alleged description of the League's Secretary-General as "the most honest and humane among Arab leaders."

Azzam might have talked a lot, but there was no contradiction whatsoever between his public threats and private assertions. He privately told his Jewish interlocutors that their hopes of statehood would meet the same calamitous fate as the crusading state, and he reiterated this prognosis in the newly-discovered document. A week before the pan-Arab invasion of Israel on May 15, Azzam told Sir Alec Kirkbride, the powerful British ambassador to Amman: "It does not matter how many [Jews] there are. We will sweep them into the sea." Even the actual Palestine Post report, from which Segev chose to bring a misleadingly truncated quote, had Azzam describe the Arab-invaded State of Israel as "a bridgehead into Arab territory" (that is, a crusader-like alien implant) that must be fought and destroyed for "otherwise they will be fighting us here, in Transjordan, and elsewhere in the Arab State."

It is true that Azzam was prepared to allow survivors of the destroyed Jewish state to live as Dhimmis, or second-class citizens, in the "Arab Palestine" that would arise on its ruins (after all, his statement was made in a memo to the UN seeking to justify "the first armed aggression which the world had seen since the end of the [Second World] War," to use the words of first UN Secretary-General Trygve Lie). But this

can hardly be considered an indication of moderation. If anything, it affords further proof, if such is at all needed, that the gap between "the most honest and humane among Arab leaders" and the basic Jewish aspiration for national-self determination was as unbridgeable in 1948 as it is now.

But the story doesn't end here. For Mr. Segev didn't content himself with distorting the contents and significance of a key historical document but also sought to besmirch those who brought it to public attention by claiming that they lifted it from Wikipedia, to which it had supposedly been uploaded by one Brendan McKay - a professor of computer science at the Australian National University in Canberra.

This claim is not only false but the complete inversion of the truth. There was no trace of the newly-found document in Azzam's Wikipedia entry at the time of the document's publication in the Middle East Quarterly. On the contrary, noting the long-misconceived May 14, 1948, as the threat's date - it was actually made on October 11, 1947, in the run-up to the partition resolution...

<https://www.gatestoneinstitute.org/2669/haaretz-newspaper>

This is how Haaretz eliminates "controversial" judges. Solberg as an example

Kalman Liebskind, Nov 9, 2011.

In recent years, Haaretz has developed a practice for treating a public employee that does not fit the newspaper's line. First he is marked as a target for elimination, later anonymous "factors" are cited who criticize him and at the end he is given the title "controversial", which will accompany him from now until forever.

When it comes to judging the model is even simpler. One of his "problematic" decisions is found out of a thousand, for example one in which a right-wing man is found guilty or a guilty Palestinian, and he is declared a "problematic" judge. And once the label is affixed to it, there is no washing powder to clean him. Released only by death.

This is what happened to Kfar Saba Magistrate's Judge Nava Bechor, who dared to state that (non Israeli) Palestinian witnesses were unreliable. This is also what happened to Judge David Gadol. A few days after deciding something that did not match the newspaper's editorial line, readers were given a paparazzi photo, which documented him coming out of morning prayer with his prayer shawl in his hand, just to help them understand the source of his decisions.

When Judge Malka Aviv released a settler from detention, Akiva Eldar "revealed" to his readers the reason: Thirty years earlier, she was one of the founders of an agricultural moshav in the Jordan Valley. "Settler," I mean.

When Judge Philip Marcus ruled on something the gay community did not like, the Haaretz editorial (staff) crowned him "one of the most controversial judges in the justice system." When he applied for promotion, the newspaper mentioned that he was an "ultra-Orthodox judge who lives in the Har Nof

neighborhood of the capital, the neighborhood where Rabbi Ovadia Yosef lives." As far as Haaretz is concerned, living in Rabbi Ovadia's neighborhood, where another 35,000 people live besides the judge and the rabbi, is a contagious disease. Woe to the "wicked" and woe to his neighbor. By the way, if we are already influenced by neighbors, I wonder what says about Akiva Eldar the fact that in the past he lived in the same building with the man of the underworld, Asi Abutbul.

Haaretz recently launched, not for the first time, a campaign against the appointment of District Court Judge Noam Solberg to the Supreme Court. "An alarming appointment," the editorial stated. What is so worrying? The fact that the judge is a "settler from Gush Etzion." And because even Haaretz understands that this is not a sufficient reason to disqualify a judge, the newspaper notes that Solberg "provoked criticism due to rulings in defamation cases, which could restrict press freedom" and that he was "responsible for a series of judgments that provoked public controversy." Freedom of expression, in this case, is the villains' last resort. Mishael Cheshin had far more harsh and principled rulings than Solberg when it came to freedom of expression, and yet Haaretz never dared to call him a "problematic judge" or claim he had no place in the Supreme Court.

Haaretz also fails to explain what criticism exactly solves Solberg's ruling. Again and again he repeats the same four examples, out of hundreds or thousands of the judge, describes them in a line and a half and hopes that we will believe him that these are problematic rulings and that no one will bother to check them. I bothered. These are judgments that usually caused a stir, especially in the corridors of Haaretz, and that bringing them teaches more about the newspaper than about Solberg.

Here is an example. "In 2001," writes Haaretz secretary, "Solberg acquitted three K. movement activists of accusing a riot in court during the trial of MK Ahmad Tibi and injuring one of his aides." However, it is not worth ignoring the facts. "I am afraid that Tibi's testimony is difficult to trust," he stated.

To try to portray Solberg as a glutton of Arabs, Haaretz repeatedly mentions that he was acquitted of killing a Border Police officer who shot and killed a Palestinian. This plot was led two years ago by Akiva Eldar. In a charlatan text of one who was convinced that no one but himself would read the verdict, he presented the decision to his readers, adding to it things that were not in it and removing from it what he was not comfortable quoting.

To this day, in an attempt to make the acquittal puzzling, Haaretz insists on not telling the background to that shooting, which took place minutes after a police force withdrew from an inflamed Arab crowd near Issawiya. During the violent riot, a police car was attacked with stones and iron rods, as the rioters tried to snatch the gun of one of the policemen and they were forced to shoot in the air for fear of their safety.

One police officer testified about a "punch to the abdomen" and "knocks on the vehicle, planks and irons."

"Someone strangled me," said another policeman, "they tried to pull the gun out of me." "They threw stones," a third witness testified.

"I felt they wanted to lynch us," another police officer testified.

The deceased arrived at the scene in his car at a gallop and hit one of the policemen, who fell on the road. He got out of the vehicle, ran towards the policeman and then got back in the car. The policeman called him to stop and when he did not stop he shot him.

Solberg believed the policeman who thought, in light of the events, that the man intended to run over him again. Eyewitnesses said that was what they too believed.

Akiva Eldar, who as mentioned published the story in the past, "forgot" to tell then that the Supreme Court also upheld Solberg's ruling, just as he forgot to elaborate on his personal account with Solberg. A few years ago, during one of his flop series, Eldad quoted a text allegedly said by Aryeh King, an activist for the promotion of Jewish settlement in Jerusalem, in an interview with a Jerusalem local newspaper. But it turned out that these quotes, an act of the devil, were never said. King sued Elder and Haaretz and Judge Solberg ordered them to pay for Elder's negligent work.

Haaretz emphasized this week, not for the first time, that Solberg served under Advocate Pleia Albeck, one of the former State Attorney's Office officials, "who was considered one of the instruments of establishing settlements in the territories," but forgot to mention the warm recommendations given to him by three former legal advisers. Eliakim Rubinstein, Yosef Harish and Michael Ben Yair, the latter, by the way, serves as a member of the public council of B'Tselem.

I have no idea if Noam Solberg is a good judge and if he is suitable for the top. What is clear is that there is no connection between the hunter who is being treated in Haaretz and the press.

https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/app/index.php?do=blog&encr_id=79974780b5e0d394fddbd1a00f4f21d3&id=2973

Haaretz and Gidi Weitz published a "scoop" that was revealed in News1

How to steal scoops of others.

Haaretz newspaper published this morning a so-called "exposure" about "the connection between Judge Danziger, Lahiani and CEO of Union Bank" * but this is not an exposure, but a recycling of information first revealed in February 2010 on News1 Without shame and without account, enjoy the success * By the way, they mislead the newspaper readers as if they were the first to reveal the triple connection

25/08/2011 | Yoav Yitzhak

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-63384-00.html>

Haaretz newspaper will apologize to Danny Dayan - Kipa

Uri Polak, 18/09/11. Nineteen in Elul

The Press Council forced the Haaretz newspaper to apologize to the chairman of the Yesha Council, due to incorrect quotations published on its behalf and based on a leak from WikiLeaks documents.

<https://www.kipa.co.il/%D7%97%D7%93%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%AA/%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%A0%D7%A6%D7%9C-%D7%91%D7%A4%D7%A0%D7%99-%D7%93%D7%A0%D7%99-%D7%93%D7%99%D7%99>

Larry Derfner Should be Debated, Not Fired

By Barry Rubin

Monday, August 29, 2011

The Jerusalem Post columnist Larry Derfner was fired today because he wrote on his blog a statement many readers saw as justifying Palestinian terrorism against Israelis. As so often happens when people focus on a single sentence of an article, they're missing the point, or at least the most important point. It also throws away what President Barack Obama calls a teachable moment.

The issue here is not "left" versus "right" but rather what is true and what is not.

In addition, columnists should not be continued in their jobs if their writing is not interesting or is factually inaccurate, not because they write something that people don't like.

All too often nowadays the response to disagreement is to try to destroy people on the other side of the argument, to delegitimize them with name-calling and to silence them. That's not the way democratic debate is supposed to work. If you think someone is wrong then answer the substance of the statements being made.

I don't think Derfner should have been fired. Rather the point is that people should have answered what he said. Like Gideon Levy of Haaretz he is still arguing the line that terrorism is basically Israel's fault. They hate us and want to kill us because we haven't made enough concessions and because we are oppressing them. That's the issue, not "justifying" terrorism.

Of course, Derfner's position implies that if Israel ended the "occupation" and accepted a Palestinian state, terrorism, incitement, and hatred would stop. Many people throughout the world think the same thing.

That is a point worth debating. Since 1993, Israel has been trying out that theory and it has proven to be false. Unfortunately, and I wish things were different, we learned that the Palestinian leadership doesn't want compromise and is unable to deliver it.

There are two problems here. First, the Palestinian leaders seek to wipe Israel off the map and are not in favor of a lasting, stable, and peaceful two-state solution. We know this by reading their words in Arabic, watching the institutions they direct, and observing their actions.

Second, the Palestinian leaders--including those like Prime Minister Salam Fayyad--who do want negotiated compromise solution are too weak to bring it about. They fear their own people who they've been inciting toward extremism for years; the hardline mainstream within Fatah; and, of course, their Hamas rivals.

So, no, giving more territory; accepting a Palestinian state unconditionally; letting terrorist attacks on Israel go unanswered by retaliation; and so on will not solve the problem. I genuinely wish it were otherwise. It would be far better if Israel's left-wing was correct and there was an easy and quick way to achieve full peace through a two-state solution this week.

Unfortunately, this wishful thinking is wrong and we have seen massive evidence to that effect. That's why the vast majority of Israelis--including those who in the past voted for people like Shimon Peres, Yitzhak Rabin, and Ehud Barak to be prime minister (for example, me), also know this is true.

Let's examine the issue. Derfner says that the "denial of independence" to the Palestinians is so bad that it's helping drive them to try to kill us.

1. But wait! Didn't they used to say that it was the occupation that is helping drive them to try to kill us?

But now there's no "occupation" (except in 20 percent of Hebron and east Jerusalem) and they are still trying to kill us!

And guess what? If they get independence they will still try to kill us because it will be Israel's existence and the status of the "pre-1948" Palestinians that is "so bad that it's helping drive them to try to kill us."

2. There's another way to look at this, too. If denying them independence is, "so bad that it's helping drive them to try to kill us."

Thus, if they obtained independence would they be so grateful, so happy, or so busy building up their country that they would stop trying to kill us?

No. We know--even many of the most dovish and leftist of us--that they will continue to try to kill us from a better strategic position that would make it more likely they would succeed. So what good would that step do? And that is precisely why Israelis are not eager to support independence without any preconditions.

Indeed, a few minutes after writing this piece, I noticed that Palestinian Authority leader Mahmoud Abbas has stated that even if the UN recognizes the independence of Palestine, he and his government will still demand that all Palestinians who lived within Israel's borders before 1948 or any descendants of such people can demand to go live in Israel and Israel must let them in. Or there cannot be peace. [source: <http://www.jpost.com/DiplomacyAndPolitics/Article.aspx?id=235789>]

You see, there's no end to this.

What happens when two weeks after independence there are more cross-border attacks? What happens if incitement continues? What happens if Hamas or radical Fatah forces seize power? What happens if the state of Palestine invites in foreign Arab forces or imports missiles or forms an alliance with Egypt or other sttes? I said "if" but I mean "when."

And the lack of an agreed and defined border, as well as the presence of Hamas rule in the Gaza Strip (which the Fatah-led leadership of Palestine would support against Israel) would guarantee tension and a likely crisis before long and periodically thereafter.

At that point, if Israel were to retaliate for an attack or act against a build-up of military forces against itself, that would constitute international aggression in the eyes of many, including a majority in the UN General Assembly. Nobody would help Israel deal with this threat, including the current government of the United States,

To leap into such a situation in the hope--without evidence--that they would then "stop trying to kill us" is insane. No Israeli government would do it and that's the correct decision.

3. And who is "them" when we discuss the Palestinians? Because obviously "them" doesn't apply to Hamas (the group that happens to run almost half of the Palestinian territories) or to many other Palestinian groups and leaders that aren't Islamist. It doesn't even apply to most of Fatah.

In short, Derfner's formulation is nonsense. And to understand why it is nonsense is the essential point to understanding the conflict, the failure of the "Peace Process," and the Middle East.

But that doesn't mean he should be fired.

<http://rubinreports.blogspot.com/2011/08/larry-derfner-should-be-debated-not.html?m=1>

—

Larry Derfner Will Not Be Rattling The Cage Anymore at the Jerusalem Post

August 29, 2011

Larry Derfner's regular column in the Jerusalem Post , entitled "Rattling the Cage", was meant to provoke readers. (While we notified the Jerusalem Post in the past about some of his factually incorrect material, CAMERA generally did not bother to monitor Derfner's over-the-top column.) But after the recent multiple terror attacks against Israeli civilians near Eilat, Derfner went even further in trying to provoke controversy: He essentially justified Palestinian terrorism in a blog post entitled "The awful, necessary truth about Palestinian...

http://blog.camera.org/archives/2011/08/larry_derfner_will_not_be_ratt_1.html

—————

Terra Incognita: Baseless hatred of the haredim

Aug 10, 2011 — Oh, and of course, they are ignorant donkeys who hate Zionism and are ... Nechamia Stressler [Shtrasler], the usually level headed...

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/columnists/terra-incognita-baseless-hatred-of-the-haredim/amp>

The wonderful story of an Israel hater, who claims that a dark entity, probably Israel, disguised himself as his identity. And the truth? * Citizenship studies and the Palestinian narrative * The law to prevent foreign political interference

17/06/11

The campaign for the upcoming Turkish flotilla continues. According to the bon-tone in some of the world media, as well as the Israeli one, this is a delegation of angels of peace. Followers of the nations of the world. It is not important and it is not clear if the flotilla will arrive. After all, "humanitarian aid" is a fiction. The dehumanization of Israel is the main thing.

As part of the campaign, this week the Swedish author Henning Mankell published an article on a full and respectable page in 'Haaretz': "How I got rid of the fake Henning Mankell". He claims there that someone faked his identity, and sent mail messages according to which he, so to speak, does not want Israelis to be able to read his writings. And he, Mankell testifies to himself, is an enlightened man who wants dialogue. In the name of this enlightenment, he goes to the trouble of turning Israel into an apartheid state, and also accuses Israel of murder. The things were written mainly to explain Mankell's joining and support in the previous Turkish flotilla, and also in the one that is going to arrive and it is doubtful that it will arrive. After all, this is a great humanist.

Before we examine the claim of forgery, which will clarify who the liar is, it is necessary to mention that the patrons of the rafts are the people of the IHH. Upon their return to Turkey, they rushed to Iran, to announce at a press conference, together with Ahmadinejad: "We are here today with the determination to build a Middle East without Israel and America, and to renew our commitment to continue on the path of the Mawi Marmara."

[http://www.memri.org/report/en/0/0/0/0/0/5024.htm#_edn2]. On their ship of hatred they screamed "Khaybar Khaybar al-Yahud Jaish Muhammad Sa-Yeud" - the anthem of the extermination of the Jews of Islam. [<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b3L7OV414Kk>] And to remove doubts, one of the heads of the organization published an article entitled: "Allah is great, it's time to eliminate Israel". But the writer Mankell doesn't see, doesn't hear, doesn't know. He will join these racists, who declare Johnsaid to the State of Israel, and will call his activity "true humanism". Because useful idiots never die. They just change.

Not just an idiot. Also a liar. In the same article he implies that Israel is behind the emails according to which he does not want Israelis to read his books. Well, it turns out that the same Mankell gave an interview immediately after the end of the first flotilla in which he participated. The things were also published in YNET and Walla. But who knows, the Israeli Mossad may have planted these interviews, and it's not him at all. So we have to go on. Well, Mankell wrote a particularly venomous diary for the British "Guardian", about his experiences from the previous flotilla. Among other things, he stated there, that he is "considering ensuring that nothing I write will ever be translated into Hebrew again". The Mossad may be successful in falsifying emails and interviews, but it is a bit hard to assume that the Mossad also took over the British newspaper.

So the picture becomes clearer: not a lie, not a forgery, not a plot by the Israeli Foreign Ministry and not by the almighty Mossad. The idea is Mankell's own. If Menkel were to write this week: the idea was mine but I repeat myself - let's go. But he did something else. He turned his own idea into a lie propagated in his name by the dark forces. The hints are sent towards Israel.

Let's assume for a moment Lemankel. Let's also consider the fact that "Haaretz" gives a platform to an article that is seasoned with sayings like "the victory of reason" and "humanism", when these are at the service of the IHH and Hamas. We are already used to that. The thing is that 'Haaretz' is a twin or a family member of 'The Guardian'. Whoever published Mankell's article could have done a short and simple test. It did not happen. What does this say about the writer who joins the flotilla whose leaders preach the destruction of Israel? What does this say about that newspaper "for thinking people" that gives a platform, without examination, to the industry of lies?

"History teaches us that liars end up being exposed," Meakell writes in the same article. Even liars are right sometimes. Menkel the liar was exposed. The problem is that lies, sometimes, win. If you don't fight them, they win.

https://www.makorrishon.co.il/nrg/app/index.php?do=blog&encr_id=f2b4c1b55be76d1e6d7b777256ea0370&id=2535

How to refine the word "Nazi"

(26.4.11)

The Arab-Israeli journalist Zohir Andreas published a very scathing article against the State of Israel in an Arab newspaper, including a comparison to the Nazi regime. Haaretz translated the article, omitting and changing most of the harsh expressions that appear in it, and thus presented its readers with objects of knowledge and Hebrew speakers with a washed and fur article that does not faithfully reflect the author's intention (very unpleasant to Israeli ears).

Efrat Roth-Halevi | 04/26/11

<https://presspectiva.org.il/%D7%9B%D7%99%D7%A6%D7%93-%D7%9C%D7%A2%D7%93%D7%9F-%D7%90%D7%AA-%D7%94%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%9C%D7%94-%D7%A0%D7%90%D7%A6%D7%99/>

Press Council: Haaretz violated the Code of Ethics in articles about Danny Dayan

The two tribunals of the Ethics Court ruled that the newspaper violated sections 8 and 6d.

In the Press Council's Ethics Court

Case 39/2011

...

Subject:

Mr. Danny Dayan vs

Haaretz newspaper ...

...revolved around a news item and an article, which were published prominently on the front page of the Haaretz newspaper, on Thursday, April 7, 2011.

<http://www.moaza.co.il/BRPortal/br/P102.jsp?arc=234346>

(2011)

Thought-emotional elements at the end of a series of mutual appeals:
Itamar B"Z 19.07.2017

...The announcement, which will be published in one of the coming weekend issues, will not be titled "Apology," as Judge Ehrenberg ordered; Instead, it will bear the title "Amendment in the matter of Natan Levy." It will be written that from Levinson's article "it could be understood that Natan Levy, who lives in Kiryat Arba, served as a GSS agent alongside Avishai Raviv" (a statement that the newspaper's representatives also denied during the appeal), and also that the Jerusalem Magistrate's Court ruled that "There is no evidence and it has not been proven that Natan Levy served as a GSS agent, and ordered the newspaper to pay NIS 20,000 in compensation. The system wants to clarify that it had no intention of harming Natan Levy in the publication that was made."
<http://www.the7eye.org.il/256843>

Haaretz will compensate a resident of Kiryat Arba he described as a GSS agent who failed to pay NIS 20,000 and will publish a clarification • Prosecutor: To be considered properly derogatory, but reduced the amount of compensation due to the plaintiff's statements condemning the GSS
Itamar B"Z 07.11.2016

The Haaretz staff². will pay compensation to a resident of Kiryat Arba who was described in the article as a GSS agent, the Jerusalem Magistrate's Court ruled last week. Levy did not deny that he was active in an organization set up by a GSS agent, he claimed that he never acted on behalf of the General Security Service and even refused to cooperate with him.

The article for which the lawsuit was filed was published on November 4, 2011 on the occasion of the 16th anniversary of the assassination of Yitzhak Rabin. Along with the description of the experiences of Avishai Raviv, the agent known as "Champagne" and who heard Miguel Amir about his intention to assassinate Rabin, a number of figures who came into contact with Raviv were also mentioned, including Natan Levy.
<http://www.the7eye.org.il/224622>

IDF source:

The report in Haaretz - a lie and a falsehood

Sources in the IDF were surprised to read the report in the Haaretz newspaper that about 100 female soldiers had left the second Hakafot after being required to move to a fenced compound.

INN, Uzi Baruch
25 Tishrei 5772, 23.10.11

This is a false report that aims to discredit..
<https://www.inn.co.il/news/227496>

Haaretz Criminals

A satanic plot by the Haaretz newspaper to prove that the real government in the State of Israel is not the government elected in the government compound in Jerusalem. Those who really control our lives, in all areas, are nasty media people who operate within a criminal framework to its name.

04/03/2011. Dudu Elharar

Two candidates for the post of National Security Adviser were prime minister until Tuesday. Maj. Gen. (Res.) Amos Gilad and Maj. Gen. (Res.) Yaakov Amidror. The first is secularly enlightened and the second, alas for the eyes, wears a kippah and observances. I can only imagine how Yaakov Amidror woke up yesterday morning, maybe even woke him up to run to the Haaretz newspaper to find a headline on the front page that could ruin his world: "General Amidror: You should put a bullet in the head of a soldier who does not attack."

Well, General Amidror did not say these things. Clear and simple! did not say. Haaretz knowingly lies. Why? To discredit and frustrate a religious Jew. The Haaretz newspaper knows very well that if you throw slime on the wall, even if it does not stick - it will leave a dirty mark. Amidror denied what was attributed to him, explained what exactly he said a year ago, and claimed that if there is an example of taking something out of context - it is the example. It will now be difficult for the prime minister to elect him to the post of national security adviser, and General Amos Gilad's chances have improved immeasurably.

Haaretz could have clarified things with the general before they were published, but what? It could have spoiled the plot to stumble a God-fearing Jew secretly and openly, and the Haaretz newspaper would continue to be published in a country where the National Security Adviser prays to the God of Israel three times a day, wraps himself in a tallit, and wraps leather straps on his left hand. This is not journalistic news! This is clearly a satanic plot by Haaretz to prove that the real government in the State of Israel is not the government elected in the government compound in Jerusalem. Those who really control our lives, in all areas, are nasty media people who operate within a criminal framework to its name.

But Haaretz is not content with that. Already yesterday, he presented a "polo-up": "Mitzna on the words of Amidror: Gaddafi also shoots those who do not attack." That is, Gaddafi and Amidror in one boat. Beauty. This is the logic of people who think they are thinking and all their thoughts are revered

in ignorance as the last gossipers. disgusting! The portrayal of an IDF general alongside a tyrannical and murderous dictator is nothing but slander in the full sense of the word.

If anyone still doubts that this was a nasty plot by the Haaretz newspaper, journalist Gideon Levy comes (3.3.11) and openly admits: "Twice" my colleague, Haim Levinson. " Twice!

<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-57320-00.html>

On Hate - Posted on December 17th, 2010

Response to Alon Idan, "Everyone hates everyone", Haaretz supplement 17.12.10

Hatred between groups in Israeli society is one of the most difficult problems in Israeli society, and it is worth devoting a huge article to them, as the Haaretz supplement did. But what a deep dive into the districts of hatred in Israeli society is, if the article completely ignores the disease of settler phobia, the terrible free hatred of the settlers, or as the haters Ltd. used to call them, the despicable nickname "terrorists", which culminated in the artists' boycott of over 300,000 citizens Israelis living in Judea and Samaria? This is not an hatred of an underdog, but a hatred of those who see themselves as mainstream of Israeli society, if not "Lords of the Land." Gideon Levy and others.

In the same issue of the Haaretz supplement, the columnist Neri Livna relied on a dubious quote she heard from some of her wanderers about something that Tabenkin once said, to make it clear that she agrees with the horrible statement that she does not believe in God, "because if he were God he would be send fire that will burn all the rab.... " Arieli's wet dream is that all (!) Rabbis will burn. Oh, what would have happened in the country, rightly, if the word rabbis had been replaced by "Arabs," in some negligible talkback. Therefore, there is no need for an Idan questionnaire to make an "in-depth dive into the districts of hatred in Israeli society." It will be much easier and more convenient for him to browse through the supplement in which he writes.

<https://heitner.wordpress.com/2010/12/17/%D7%A2%D7%9C-%D7%94%D7%A9%D7%A0%D7%90%D7%94/>

'Haaretz' reporter lands in Israel, returns stolen files

Dan Izenberg, October 25, 2010

Uri Blau to be questioned under caution, faces charges of possessing secret material; lawyers sign agreement, return 1,500 army documents.

<https://www.jpost.com/Israel/Haaretz-reporter-lands-in-Israel-returns-stolen-files>

UK Jews slam 'Haaretz' columnist for book tour

Jonny Paul, Aug 18, 2010 —

Last year the SPSC hosted what it claimed was a Holocaust Memorial Day commemoration with a Hamas follower who supports suicide bombings.

It also fabricated a story that it had successfully persuaded a number of Scottish companies to boycott Israeli water company Eden Spring...

"If you are judged by the company you keep, Gideon Levy has aligned himself with some rather unsavory fellow travelers... It is no surprise that anti-Israel Haaretz columnist Gideon Levy is touring the UK to spread his hatred and misinformation..."

<https://www.jpost.com/jewish-world/jewish-news/uk-jews-slam-haaretz-columnist-for-book-tour>

A matter of credit

Haaretz's columnists again find themselves included in lawsuits against the newspaper....

Oren Persico 05.12.2010

Last week, the Walla website reported that a defamation lawsuit had been filed in the Tel Aviv Magistrate's Court against the Haaretz newspaper. In this, of course, there is nothing out of the ordinary. But a review of the list of defendants shows that in this case, in addition to the Haaretz newspaper and the photographer who took the photo for which the lawsuit was filed, Uzi Behar, the editor of the page where the photo appeared, was also personally sued.

How did the plaintiff's inability know who the editor was at the desk that went through the page where the photograph that was the subject of the lawsuit appeared? Very simply, they looked at the bottom of the page. It will be recalled that since the change of senior editors at Haaretz and the entry of Avi Zilberberg into the position of head of the newsroom (he is currently the newspaper's deputy editor), there has been a change in Haaretz's policy. The names of the page editors began to appear at the bottom of the new pamphlet pages, each page and the editor who edited it, as was the custom in this paper in the past.

"In less than a decade, everyone was fed up - especially the editors themselves, who found themselves squabbling in the courts to testify in lawsuits about what was happening on their 'pages' - and it was stopped in the early 1990s, with a sigh of mutual welfare," the former Haaretz editor wrote. Hanoch Marmari, for the newspaper's previous attempt to give credit to the columnists.

<http://www.the7eye.org.il/13019>

Nehemiah Shtrasler, who taught you math?

There is a small problem Nehemiah. If you restrict the ultra-Orthodox birth, a "two states for two peoples" solution will no longer be required because one of them will disappear.

R' Aharon Levy, Kikar haShabat, Nov 8, 2009.

<https://www.kikar.co.il/%D7%A9%D7%98%D7%A8%D7%A1%D7%9C%D7%A8-%D7%9E%D7%99-%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%9E%D7%93-%D7%90%D7%95%D7%AA%D7%A-%D7%97%D7%A9%D7%91%D7%95%D7%9F.html>

How Haaretz concocted a conflict

By Kalman Liebskind, November 12, 2010

— On July 10, 2008 Ha'aretz's main section contained a small story. Not small, tiny. 93 words to be precise. "The district committee," stated the article, "has approved for public hearing the establishment of 920 housing units in the Har Homa neighborhood of Jerusalem." The industrious journalist also included the city's reasons for having chosen to promote the project: "this will serve as a housing alternative for young couples, after the controversial Safdie project was struck down." Back then Ha'aretz did not believe that construction in East Jerusalem was a major story. This article was not even referenced in the front page, which was devoted to a kindergarten teacher who had abused children, a doctor who had been admitted to a psychiatric hospital and then committed suicide, and the important legal ruling that "prostitutes will receive minimum wage from their pimps."

More than two years have passed since this meeting of the district committee in Jerusalem. The committee's members, who, as reported by Ha'aretz, approved the plan, have not held a single meetings since 2008. Since that time, the plan has gone through several technical changes. It took nearly two years for the Housing Ministry to approve changes sought by the committee, such as changing the entrance level into the houses, the height of the supporting walls, and parking places.

A few days ago advertisements were published in the newspapers inviting anyone opposing the plan, to do so. Apparently Ha'aretz decided to be the first to voice its objection. Ha'aretz knew that nothing new had occurred. Assuming that they remember what they themselves published two years ago, the paper should have been aware that this was a project that had already been approved. But two years ago Prime Minister Netanyahu was not on his way to the United States, and this time he was. So Ha'aretz must have thought that this itself warranted the recycling of a story, as if it had never been published, while attempting to create a false image of some news event in the making.

Ha'aretz knows well that Barack Obama will not point out their journalist charlatanism. To the contrary. He and the Arabs serve as excellent partners to Ha'aretz's pyromaniac games. Schocken Publishing tosses the match, while Obama and Saeb Erekat pour the gasoline on it.

This is not political journalism. This is false journalism. Journalism that presents a reality that does not in fact exist. A series of journalists recycled this piece of nonsense without even going to the Interior Ministry to see what this was all about. Some reported new tenders in Har Homa, of which there were none. Amos Schocken knows that even when he concocts stories of this kind he is walking on solid

ground. That the papers in Israel love Netanyahu and construction in Jerusalem about as much as he does. That no one will expose him in his shame. And so he goes about and transforms his paper into a machine constantly charged with inciting the entire world against us. All means are justified as he is prepares to ignite the entire Middle East, just so long as Netanyahu is caught in the flame. One can hardly avoid the impression that Ha'aretz has chosen to forgo the journalistic experience and has become an actual player on the political field. [...]

<https://israelbehindthenews.com/2010/11/12/how-haaretz-concocted-a-conflict/>

Posted: Friday 05.11.10 Post subject:
Haaretz is lying to its readers [Nakim]

While Haaretz has a circulation of about 7% among Israeli readers, it has a circulation of 100% among foreign reporters, thus critically influencing the information to which they are exposed and which they disclose to their readers / viewers. For the most part, it is enough to say in English "according to the Haaretz newspaper" in order to create credibility and credibility among a Western audience.

18:57 (04/11/10) Lilach Moalem

Most of the foreign journalists who sit in Israel and report to the media around the world about what is happening in our places open the day with the reading of the Haaretz newspaper, in English, of course. In doing so, they seek to learn about the mood in the country and even sometimes choose the topic of their articles for that day. This fact is more important than it sounds.

Beyond the spirit of the Haaretz newspaper - in the form of its editorials - which certainly affects the reporters, there is great importance in translating the articles from Hebrew to English. On November 3, 2010, Avi Issacharoff published a dramatic article about its importance. News headline:

" Hamas admits for the first time: more than 600 people killed in Cast Lead were armed"

According to the report, Hamas Interior Minister Fathi Hamad detailed the armed casualties in an interview with Al-Hayat newspaper:

"On Sunday of the war, Israel attacked police headquarters and killed 250 martyrs from Hamas and other factions. This was in addition to 200-300 al-Qassam Brigades operatives and 150 security personnel. The rest of the dead were from the people."

This confession by the Hamas interior minister is important in that it confirms the IDF's version that 709 gunmen were killed during Cast Lead. On the Goldstone Report.

However, the foreign reporter or any other English speaker does not know all of the above even if he read the Haaretz newspaper in English today. This is because the main headline of that article (signed by the Haaretz Service and not by Avi Issacharoff) She:

Report: Hamas admits for the first time that it lost 200-300 people in the war in Gaza

Or in English:

Report: Hamas admits for first time losing 200-300 men in Gaza war

How is it possible? Well, in the body of the article, the figure of 200-300 al-Qassam Brigades operatives appointed by the Hamas interior minister has disappeared. This is not an omission of a sentence, otherwise the title would have been similar to the one in Hebrew. This is a complete article written without significant data. In addition, beyond the dramatic difference in data, the word "armed" has been replaced by "people."

What adds to the stupidity of knowing the English is the fact that in its body it is explained that the current number matches the IDF Spokesman's number. However, as mentioned, the IDF is talking about 709 gunmen and not 200-300.

Do the editors of Haaretz in English underestimate their role to such an extent that they do not even bother to check what they are writing? Do they not know mathematics? Are they just not smart? Or maybe they do not want their English-speaking readers to get the right information - a much more serious thing?

In any case, do not be surprised if you read something like this in a newspaper abroad in the coming days: "Some are trying to claim that Hamas admitted that 700 gunmen were killed in the war in Gaza, but according to Haaretz it is only 200-300 people. As human rights organizations have long argued."

<http://www.nakim.org/israel->

[forums/viewtopic.php?t=268495&s=%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F_%27%27%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5%27%27_%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8_%D7%9C%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%99%D7%95](http://www.nakim.org/israel-forums/viewtopic.php?t=268495&s=%D7%A2%D7%99%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9F_%27%27%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5%27%27_%D7%9E%D7%A9%D7%A7%D7%A8_%D7%9C%D7%A7%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%99%D7%95)

UK Jews slam 'Haaretz' columnist for book tour - The Jerusalem Post

By Jonny Paul

Aug 18, 2010 · Gideon Levy has aligned himself with anti-Israel groups.

Promoting his new book ... Levy is speaking at three events in Scotland this week – in Dundee, Glasgow and Edinburgh – with the radical fringe group Scottish Palestinian Solidarity Campaign (SPSC)...

Last year the SPSC hosted what it claimed was a Holocaust Memorial Day commemoration with a Hamas follower who supports suicide bombings.

It also fabricated a story that it had successfully persuaded a number of Scottish companies to boycott Israeli water company Eden Springs...

The Board of Deputies of British Jews questioned Levy's choice of partners.

"If you are judged by the company you keep, Gideon Levy has aligned himself with some rather unsavory fellow travelers," said Jon Benjamin, chief executive of the Board of Deputies. "The SPSC has a history of extreme anti-Israel histrionics and Rod Cox's descriptions on this tour of the situation in Gaza stretch credibility, with third hand accounts of weapons that target children. Mr. Levy should understand that his enemy's enemy may not be his friend."

<https://m.jpost.com/jewish-world/jewish-news/uk-jews-slam-haaretz-columnist-for-book-tour/amp>

(2010)

Complaint of Prof. Gerald Steinberg, President of NGO Monitor, Department of Political Science, Bar-Ilan University, against Haaretz Online

Appellant: Haaretz Online System

Complainant: Prof. Gerald Steinberg

Discussion summary

At the hearing held on February 6, 2010, in the presence of the appellant's representatives - Adv. Tal Lieblich and Mr. Liron Maroz, editor of the Haaretz Online website - and in the presence of the complainant - Prof. Gerald Steinberg and his attorney, Adv. Assaf Shaham - the appellant's representatives decided Motav's recommendation, to dismiss the appeal filed on their behalf.

The tribunal relied on the appellants' decision to publish the following notice on the Haaretz website:

Clarification

Haaretz's website system clarifies: The report on the discussion in the EU Subcommittee on Human Rights, which took place on June 23, 2010, was missing, and there were disruptions in the preparation of this report.

The publication will be made in accordance with section 51 (b) of the Press Council's Regulations.

Given on February 7, 2011

... Agrees with Adv. Yigal Borochofsky that if the report from the hearing in Europe was made entirely on the basis of reports from interested parties, it would have been correct to state this in the body of the article...

<http://www.moaza.co.il/BRPortal/br/P102.jsp?arc=128475>

Column One: The Haaretz spy scandal

Haaretz provides Israeli affirmation for anti-Israeli attitudes.

By Caroline Glick.

April 16, 2010.

Over the past two weeks Israel has been rocked by a major espionage scandal in which the Haaretz newspaper plays a central role. To understand the significance of the scandal, it is worthwhile to preface a discussion of it with a look at a smaller story Haaretz developed this week.

On Sunday, Haaretz's Amira Hass reported that in January, the IDF published a new military order that paves the way for the mass expulsion of illegal aliens from Judea and Samaria. The story sported the disturbing headline, "IDF order will enable mass deportation from West Bank."

In a follow-up on Monday, Hass reported that 10 self-described human rights organizations (all funded by the New Israel Fund) sent a joint letter to Defense Minister Ehud Barak asking him to rescind the order. She noted, too, that, "the international media also has taken great interest in the story."

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/columnists/column-one-the-haaretz-spy-scandal>

'Haaretz' could not be more wrong – or misleading

In its attempts to portray IDF soldiers as violators of judicial rulings and war criminals, the newspaper would not let itself be distracted by the actual facts.

By Ben-Dror Yemini, April 20, 2010

In its attempts to portray IDF soldiers as violators of judicial rulings and war criminals, the newspaper would not let itself be distracted by the actual facts.

Many in the media say that what Anat Kamm uncovered was an important revelation. The IDF, they claim, violated High Court of Justice orders, and conducted targeted killings while violating judicial guidelines. The IDF, they continue to assert, committed war crimes, and there is no journalist out there who would have remained silent, were he or she to receive documented proof of this.

Let us put aside the thousands of documents that have nothing to do with the leaks she gave to Haaretz journalist Uri Blau and which contain military information with no journalistic value. And let us put aside the fact that the IDF was forced to alter its military plans due to the stolen information. And the fact that the possession of such material constitutes a criminal offense, which an Israeli paper is aiding.

Let us deal with the heart of the matter this time. Were the documents revealed and brought before the public indeed proof that the IDF violated judicial orders? The headline, at the time, was "The chief-of-staff and IDF leadership authorized killings of wanted and innocent men." The word "innocent" appears

almost 20 times in the article in which the documents were published. The impression is that the IDF has been committing war crimes, an impression Haaretz intentionally attempted to create.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/op-ed-contributors/haaretz-could-not-be-more-wrong-or-misleading>

'Haaretz fiddled with Obama poll'

Pollster says paper used figures misleadingly to make Obama look good.

By Gil Stern Hoffman

March 22, 2010

Haaretz misled readers to give the impression that an overwhelming majority of Israelis see US President Barack Obama as “fair and friendly” toward the country, the newspaper’s pollster, Tel Aviv University professor Camil Fuchs, said on Sunday.

Both the English and Hebrew editions of Friday’s Haaretz led with the headline “Poll: Most Israelis see Obama as fair, friendly toward Israel.”

The English edition elaborated near a picture of Obama that “69% say Obama is fair and friendly.”

The story itself gives no numbers, but the lead says “A sweeping majority of Israelis think his treatment of this country is friendly and fair.”

The English edition contains no graphic distributing the actual numbers, either online or in print.

The print and online versions of the newspaper’s Hebrew edition included a graphic indicating that just 18 percent of respondents considered Obama “friendly” toward Israel, 3 percentage points fewer than the 21% who called the president “hostile” to the Jewish state.

<https://www.jpost.com/Israel/Haaretz-fiddled-with-Obama-poll>

Haaretz newspaper presents: "Double Standard"

Amitai Puderbeutel - News1 -

Aug 10, 2010

Haaretz: "All due respect to Palestinian smugglers in violation of the law, the law is not above morality";
And in the same breath: "But the rule of law is more important than the life of a policeman"
<https://www.news1.co.il/Archive/003-D-50835-00.html>

Police investigating Ha'aretz reporter

Hammerman described illegally helping Palestinian teens into Israel.

By Lahav Harkov, June 18, 2010

Israel Police is investigating Ha'aretz reporter Ilana Hammerman for helping three Palestinian girls illegally enter Israel and disturbing a police officer, The Jerusalem Post learned on Friday.

Hammerman wrote an article entitled "If there is a heaven" in which she described how she brought 18-year-old Palestinian girl Aya and her two cousins into Israel without permits, for "a day of fun" in Tel Aviv, during which she lied to an undercover police officer.

<https://www.jpost.com/Israel/Police-investigating-Haaretz-reporter>

Jewish Agency Meetings: Haaretz Gets it Wrong
June 24, 2010

Haaretz Daily Newspaper
Letter to the Editor

Re: False Information Appearing in Today's Paper

I was very surprised to read Nir Hasson's article in today's Haaretz English edition and its translation of the Hebrew edition.

The article states that the Jewish Agency is planning to divert funds to programs in the Diaspora at the expense of educational and welfare programs in Israel, according to the new Strategic Plan just passed at its Board of Governors meeting.

This statement is misleading to your readers and is a mis-representation of the facts. The Board of Governors of the Jewish Agency indeed approved a new Strategic Plan but it did not include any decision on diverting funds or cancellation of projects and there certainly was no decision on diverting funds from youth villages, as appeared in the article.

Not only does the article contain a false statement, it also does damage to the Jewish Agency, in terms of our relationships with our donors but most importantly – it is detrimental to the youth studying in our youth villages.

I request that your newspaper, which has the reputation of truthfully representing the facts, will correct this false statement at once in both the English and Hebrew editions.

Sincerely yours, Richie Pearlstone
Chairman of the Board of Governors
Jewish Agency for Israel

<https://ejewishphilanthropy.com/jewish-agency-meetings-haartz-gets-it-wrong/>

Head to head: Margie v. Shtrasler The confrontation

Haaretz published a table showing the level of salaries of religious council employees - Ministry of Religions: If the newspaper does not apologize for publishing the incorrect data, a libel lawsuit will be filed - Is the libel lawsuit on the way? - all the details

Yossi Katz, Behadrei, 27 Tevet 5770, 13/01/2010
<https://www.bhol.co.il/news/113914>

The purity of shame

Hanoch Marnari (Haaretz editor 1990-2004), The 7 Eye, Dec 10, 2009.

Today, when I know more about the way in which the Palestinians reflected their disaster, and from the distance of the years and the experience gained during them, I can also openly accept the film of the German journalist Esther Shapira. Today it is clear to me that we bought that story (that the IDF supposedly killed Muhammad a-Durrah), too quickly, and cheaply. We were skeptical of the IDF's investigation. We showed impatience with tests conducted by those who were perceived as obsessive. A picture, even a video picture, may be the perfect lie. We should have made every effort to investigate the truth in the case. After all, it was our job to tell what exactly happened. And if Not us, we should have openly accepted everyone who is willing to poke a pin in this myth.
<https://www.the7eye.org.il/41806>

Haaretz' articles seen as 'nuisance'

Eric Fingerhut, Sep 7, 2009 — Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu called two top aides to Obama "self-hating Jews." All of these reports appeared in Haaretz.

Are inaccurate media reports hurting US-Israel relationship?
<https://www.jpost.com/israel/haaretz-articles-seen-as-nuisance>

Haaretz: Journalistic ethics and integrity

Charges of killing Palestinian civilians - a mere hearsay

To the Letters Editor
Haaretz

March 28 2009

Journalistic ethics and integrity

The headline to Anshel Pfeffer's article: How IDF testimonies led to the 'Haaretz blood libel' (Haaretz March 27) is artfully deceptive. The rambling discussions at the Oranim Academic College as reported in Haaretz, cannot under any circumstances be described as "testimonies" with the implication that they were declarations under oath. To the contrary, no objective reader can disagree with Melanie Phillips' description of the selected extracts of the soldiers' discussions as anything but innuendo, rumor and hearsay, demonstrably wrenched out of context. Pfeffer's attack on Phillips demonstrates a dismal lack of understanding of what she wrote in her March 22 blog "The Ha'aretz blood libel".

The last sentence in Pfeffer's article succinctly sums up Haaretz's confused concept of ethical journalism. He wrote "if we were to ask ourselves, before publishing every report, how it will be used by Israel's ill-wishers, that would surely be the ultimate capitulation to anti-Semitism". Haaretz may well be unconcerned about, and even relish, the effect of its articles on Israel's enemies, but it should be concerned about infringing good old-fashioned journalistic guidelines like those of the US Society of Professional Journalists (SPJ) that include "Seek truth and report it", "Minimize harm", and "diligently seek out subjects of news stories to give them the opportunity to respond to allegations of wrongdoing."

In particular the SPJ urges journalists to question the motives of sources and to distinguish between advocacy by biased sources such as Danny Zamir and factual news.

- Maurice Ostroff

<http://takeapen.org/Takeapen/Templates/ShowPage.asp?DBID=1&LNGID=1&TMID=84&FID=1677>

The Ha'aretz Blood Libel
March 23, 2009.
by Melanie Phillips, in the Spectator

[spectator.co.uk/print/melaniephillips/3464331/ the-haaretz-blood-libel.html]

[Melanie Phillips laments the Ha'aretz blood libel.

<https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/just-in-case-you-missed-them-23-march-2009>]

On his eponymous BBC TV show this morning, I listened open-mouthed as Andrew Marr invited Tory foreign affairs spokesman William Hague to express his views about the pretty appalling looking reports coming out of Israel where members of the Israeli Defence Force who were involved in the Gaza operation have talked about effectively being told to shoot at civilians. Hague replied: "Well those are absolutely appalling stories... "

Of course Hague was careful to say the truth of this evidence was not yet known. But there is no evidence. So far, there is simply nothing to prove or disprove from these reports of the soldiers' discussion carried in Ha'aretz last week, here and here — just innuendo, rumour and hearsay, demonstrably (read the second account) wrenched out of context and refracted through the patent prejudice of the soldiers' instructor Danny Zamir, an ultra-leftist who had previously been jailed for refusing to guard settlers at a religious ceremony and who said of the soldiers who spoke at the meeting in question that they reflected an atmosphere inside the army of 'contempt for, and forcefulness against, the Palestinians.'

So what are these "pretty appalling looking reports and absolutely appalling stories?"

There are precisely two charges of gratuitous killing of Palestinian civilians under allegedly explicit orders to do so. One is what even Ha'aretz made clear was an accidental killing, when two women misunderstood the evacuation route the Israeli soldiers had given them and walked into a sniper's gunsights as a result. Moreover, the soldier who said this has subsequently admitted he didn't see this incident — he wasn't even in Gaza at the time — and had merely reported rumour and hearsay.

The second charge is based on a supposedly real incident in which, when an elderly woman came close to an IDF unit, an officer ordered that they shoot her because she was approaching the line and might have been a suicide bomber. The soldier relating this story did not say whether or not the woman in this story actually was shot. Indeed, since he says 'from the description of what happened' it would appear this was merely hearsay once again. And his interpretation was disputed by another soldier who said: "She wasn't supposed to be there, because there were announcements and there were bombings. Logic says she shouldn't be there. The way you describe it, as murder in cold blood, that isn't right."

So two non-atrocity atrocities, then. What else?

Soldiers mouthing off — in conversations of near-impenetrable incoherence — that instructions to kill everyone who remained in buildings designated as terrorist targets after the IDF had warned everyone inside to get out amounted to instructions to murder in cold blood. There cannot be an army in the world which would not issue precisely such instructions in such circumstances, where Hamas had boasted it had booby-trapped the entire area.

Gloating graffiti left in the houses of presumed terrorists.

Tasteless T-shirts emblazoned with motifs crowing about killing, condemned immediately by the IDF.

R.. distributing to soldiers psalms and religious opinions about the conflict.

That's it. Not one single verifiable actual incident of intentional killing of civilians. No evidence whatever of any such rogue incidents — let alone any order by the IDF to tear up its actual rules of engagement which forbade the deliberate targeting of civilians. Talk by one soldier about the IAF having killed a lot of people before the soldiers went in contradicted by another who said:

"They dropped leaflets over Gaza and would sometimes fire a missile from a helicopter into the corner of some house, just to shake up the house a bit so everyone inside would flee. These things worked. The families came out, and really people [i.e., soldiers] did enter houses that were pretty empty, at least of innocent civilians."

Funny sort of unethical military behaviour, that goes to some lengths to empty houses of civilians before storming them. Indeed, the soldiers' discussion contains more such material totally contradicting the impression of gross violations of ethics...

This is what instructor Danny Zamir described as 'contempt for, and forcefulness against, the Palestinians.'

No mention of any of that in the world's media, is there? Do you think Andrew Marr or William Hague read those bits? Do me the proverbial. All they've picked up and run with is the lazy and malicious boilerplate carefully spun by Ha'aretz: rumour and hearsay about two incidents related by two soldiers (one of whom wasn't even in Gaza) — one an accidental killing, the other maybe not a killing at all — plus some wild mouthing-off by soldiers, some unpleasant graffiti, ditto T-shirts, plus some leaflets by unidentified rabbis making statements that carry no weight with the IDF or reflect Israeli policy whatsoever.

On that basis, however, it's proof positive for the likes of Andrew Marr, William Hague, the New York Times, Guardian, Independent, BBC and Uncle Tom Israelbasher and all, that yes!! Israel is now shown (unless specifically disproved — and how do you disprove something for which no evidence is offered whatever?) to have been committing atrocities after all in Gaza; and so has now forfeit what remains of its moral authority, which was already hanging by a thread as a result of all the previous blood libels, and almost certainly its right to exist at all.

This is not just bigotry. It is medieval witch-hunt territory. And it's global.
<http://www.think-israel.org/mar09bloged.html#mar09.127>

Letter to Haaretz Editor: MISLEADING TITLE

Letter to the Editor

To: Ha'aretz
Letter Editor, July 24, 2008

Sub: MISLEADING TITLE:

The headline "Soldier filmed shooting bound Palestinian..." (July 21) creates the impression that the soldier shot to kill and the man was killed. This very false impression is reflected by some talkbacks until this moment.

Only when you read the text, as few people do, we see that the Palestinian suffered minor injury. In Paragraph 10 only "Abu-Rahama said he sustained wounds to his left foot", in Para 11: "doctor who examined him found... 'very slightly wounded with swelling to a toe on his right foot' ". The article is full of similar discrepancies, like the soldier shoots once "at point blank", then "only centimeters away", then "aiming at his legs" - when in fact we see the soldier in the film firing from one meter distance, at the ground before the Palestinian's feet. (A direct hit from one meter would have done much more harm than a swollen toe.)

The shooting is obviously culpable and deserves severe punishment.

But why to add tendentious inaccuracies? Worst, your headline is indeed misleading, unduly inciting hatred, as demonstrated by many talkbacks. It deserves your honest expressed correction.

Respectfully,

Endre MOZES

Chairman, Take-A-Pen

<http://takeapen.org/Takeapen/Templates/ShowPage.asp?DBID=1&LNGID=1&TMID=84&FID=1116>

Good Lynch, Bad Lynch - Haaretz Displays its Integrity - Israel National News

Jun 20, 2008 — Suddenly, this week the "T" word appears on Haaretz' front page

AH, Haaretz, the Palestinian newspaper printed in Hebrew, the daily whose idea of journalistic "pluralism" is based on Brezhnev's Pravda, the newspaper in which Israel (and America) are always wrong and the Islamofascists are always right, the newspaper of Post-Zionism and Post-Judaism, where Israeli survival is an archaic idea whose time has past.

Haaretz, or Al-Ard in Arabic, has for many years adopted the quaint custom of anti-Semitic newspapers elsewhere in referring to suicide bombers and mass murderers of Jews as "activists" and "militants." You know, like the people who march to defend the self-esteem of dolphins. Yet suddenly, this week the "T" word appears on Haaretz' front page. "T" as in terrorist...

How come? Well, the news story concerns Eden Natan-Zada, a mentally ill Israeli soldier (actually a deserter) who shot up Shfaram in October 2005 and killed several Druse and Arabs. Shfaram is about 40 minutes outside Haifa. He was then attacked by locals in the crowd who lynched him, killing him.

Ever since, the Israeli Attorney General's office has been mulling over whether to prosecute the members of the mob who killed the by-then-disarmed Natan-Zada. This week, the prosecution decided not to prosecute. Every second word referring to Natan-Zada in the Haaretz articles about the decision refers to him as a "terrorist." He of course was not, although he was a killer, and probably was not legally sane.

This is newsworthy because there have been cases in which Arab terrorists were apprehended live after they murdered Jews and who were then summarily executed by those who captured them. In every one of these cases, those who dispatched the terrorists were prosecuted. The most famous incident being the Bus 300 affair.

Now after the Natan-Zada incident, I called for the prosecution NOT to indict those who killed the perp. I also insisted that killing terrorists should never be considered a crime, even when Jews kill captured Arab terrorists, and that the decision not to prosecute Natan-Zada should be regarded as case precedent for ALL who kill terrorists, even when the lynchers are Jews. I thought that those who executed the terrorists in the Bus 300 affair should have been given medals. I am all in favor of lynching terrorists captured immediately after they commit mass murder.

Now Haaretz is also in favor of such lynching, but only when the perp is a Jew and the victims Arabs. Haaretz is NEVER in favor of punishing Arab terrorists who murder Jews, and of course opposes the death penalty for Arab terrorists.

Which brings us to the Haaretz editorial in the very same issue (June 16) in which it cheers the decision by the AG not to indict the killers of Natan-Zada.

In the very same issue, it runs an editorial demanding that a Jewish farmer in the Negev who shot Arab burglars who had broken into his small ranch be indicted! In January 2007 one Shai Dromi shot two Arabs who had broken into his homestead, trying to steal his sheep, and he killed one and injured the other.

The Attorney General prosecuted him. The Knesset decided to take an uncharacteristic stand against this case of prosecutorial politization and judicial tyranny by starting to pass (it already passed its "first reading") a special law, known in the media as the Shai Dromi Law, declaring that people who kill or injure burglars and intruders into their homes will not be prosecuted. The farm lobby took time off from lobbying for cheap water and subsidies to back the bill.

Haaretz of course is outraged! This law would be nothing less than a "license to kill." What about their Miranda rights?

I cite the editorial:

'The new law will lead to killing to no avail, and could include people accidentally harming members of their own family. True, a man's home is his castle, and he has to be granted the right of self-defense therein, but it is not permissible to shed the blood of someone who enters the house, even if he is a burglar. The place of thieves is in prison, but they must not be turned into the victims of executions. Nor is it reasonable to extend the rights granted to a person in his home to his yard, store or flock as well.'

So when is it okay in Haaretz' opinion to kill intruders? When they are Jewish "intruders" in "Palestinian lands," of course!

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/Blogs/Message.aspx/2868>

Antisemitism in Haaretz (2): Is it enough to show a "family resemblance" to Der Stürmer ("The Assailant")? of course not.

Apr 20, 2017.

And when there were allegations against the generous use of this propaganda term, Schocken had an explanation. Here is an article he published in his newspaper back in July [Jun] 2008:

"Among circles..." That is: to use the insult of "apartheid" against Israel, there is no need for there to be any identity between the regime in Israel and the regime in South Africa called by that name. Suffice it to say that the use of the word constitutes, in Schocken's opinion, "an absorbing and understandable media headline in large parts of the world, and is therefore useful for conveying the message."

<https://mafyah.wordpress.com/2017/04/20/%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%98%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%91%D7%94%D7%90%D7%A8%D7%A5-2-%D7%94%D7%90%D7%9D-%D7%93%D7%99-%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%A8%D7%90%D7%95%D7%AA-%D7%93%D7%9E%D7%99%D7%95%D7%9F/>

—

Haaretz newspaper incites again

Submitted by Israel Bar-Nir, on Wed, 07/02/2008

The "Haaretz" newspaper, in its last weekend edition, returned and incited against the State of Israel. An editorial signed by, no less and no more, Amos Shocken, the editor of the newspaper, bears the title Israel the Apartheid[sic] State.

Although this is not new, it is important to emphasize again and again that this title is false and the whole thing is not intended except for the purpose of incitement for its own sake. In choosing the title of the article, Shocken imitates a well-known "Israeli fan", former US President Jimmy Carter. Shocken knows very well that Israel is not an apartheid state and that there is no similarity between the legal system in the State of Israel and the apartheid regime that prevailed in South Africa and that to claim otherwise is a blatant lie. So, How does he nevertheless justify his devious statement? He expresses the

justification for the baseless accusation in this way: "There should not be an identicalness between the characteristics of apartheid in South Africa and the practices of discrimination concerning civil rights practiced in Israel, so that it is possible to call Israel an apartheid state." This is an excuse not so original. He learned this excuse from Carter, who responded in a similar way to the sharp criticisms he received about his latest literary work. And really, why would there be an identicalness? If there is no need to be an identicalness, it gives an opening to any tongue-twister to freely lash out as he sees fit, to facilitate those who do not understand on their own (after all, despite the arrogance expressed in the motto "a newspaper for thinking people", it is not at all certain that the vast majority of Haaretz newspaper readers are indeed composed of people who are capable of thinking), the editor of the Haaretz newspaper explains himself what was the motive that caused him to issue The literal reflection he chose "The word apartheid is the title of a catchy and understandable line in large parts of the world." This is a really good reason. It really suits a person who claims to be the editor of a newspaper for thinking people. It is worth noting here that Carter also used an almost identical wording to justify the name he chose for his literary work.

Is there discrimination against minorities in Israel? Who has he ever claimed not to be? Is there one of the approximately 200 member states of the United Nations in which one degree or another of discrimination against the minorities living there is not practiced? Sometimes the discrimination is enshrined in law and sometimes, to borrow from Shoken's statement, it is just a practice of discrimination, but discrimination always exists because it is its nature of man. With the exception of a few exceptions whose number does not exceed the fingers of one hand, in none of these countries are the minorities in question hostile minorities, let alone minorities that deny the very existence of the state. It is a bit difficult to say that about the Arabs of Israel.

There is no similarity between the legal system in the State of Israel and the apartheid regime that prevailed in South Africa and to claim otherwise is a blatant lie.

What is that "practice of discrimination" that turns Israel into an apartheid state in Shoken's eyes? What bothers him is a section in the citizenship law that prohibits Arabs from the territories of the Palestinian Authority who have married Arab residents of Israel to come and live with their spouses in the State of Israel. When the law was enacted in 2003, as Shoken knows how to tell us, it was based on security arguments, when he adds in a tongue-in-cheek tone "at the risk of introducing terrorists into Israel through marriage ties" as if this claim really has no and never had any basis. With the arrogance characteristic of an "enlightened" liberal, Shokan continues and states decisively that "the security reasoning is eye catching". A simple calculation can illustrate that if there is eye contact here it is all in Shoken's "court". There are approximately 110,000 Arabs living in the territories of the Palestinian Authority who wish to join their spouses in the State of Israel (I am not an Arab for the exact number, but this is what I saw from Uri Avneri the last time he wrote on the subject). If we assume that only 1 percent of them support terrorism (this is a very conservative estimate, because in reality the percentage is much higher), this means that among these brides/grooms there are at least 1,100 who support terrorism. If we assume that only one percent of these supporters of terrorism are willing to translate their support into practical measures (this is also a very conservative estimate, because in reality the percentage is much higher), this means that among these brides/grooms there are at least 11

who are willing to commit terrorist acts, including suicide attacks (And things have happened before - we know of at least one case in which this actually happened).

In the opinion of the genius from the newspaper "Haaretz", the section in the law designed to prevent the almost certain entry of 11 (most likely many more) active terrorists into the territory of the State of Israel turns the State of Israel into an apartheid state, and the possibility, in fact almost a certainty, of this happening he defines as " Deception". But exemption for nothing is impossible. Our Shokan has an original idea of how the State of Israel should deal with a risk which, in his opinion, is just an eye catch. "Examining the security risk in allowing the entry of a Palestinian man or woman into Israel is an individual action" (I am not clear what exactly "individual action" is, although I assume it is intended to refer to one or another individual) and the responsibility of the security forces. Even if I suspected that these words of Shokan were said in good faith, this approach is only eye catching. I cherish and respect the principle of innocence, according to which every person is presumed innocent until proven guilty, but to this day I have not come across a lawyer or a judge who could give me a satisfactory explanation of how the guilt of a suicide bomber could be proven.

After all the swans about blindfolding and whether or not there were security considerations that led to the introduction of this section into the law, Shokan finally gets to what really bothers him in the citizenship law. To prevent the Arabs from changing the demographic structure of the State of Israel, something they are trying to achieve by exercising the right of return under the guise of "family reunification". What's wrong with that? Which country in the world does not use immigration and citizenship laws to determine who can and who cannot live in its territory? Why does the honorable gentleman from the editors of the newspaper "Haaretz" think that what is allowed to other countries and peoples is apartheid when it is done by the Israeli government? And again, with the exception of a few exceptions whose number does not exceed the fingers of one hand, none of the countries of the world is in a situation where the minorities living in it They see its very existence as a "Tragedy.." and strive to destroy it from the inside. It's a bit hard to say that about the Arabs of Israel.

The main mantra in the brainwashing we receive from the left side of the political spectrum is how important it is for them to maintain the Jewish character, or image, of the State of Israel. It is a bit hard to believe that in the concept of "Jewish character", any of these guys refers to the religion and/or the tradition of Israel. On all the occasions when the topic comes up in conversations and discussions on forums that I have with friends and acquaintances from the enlightened left circles, they talk about a Jewish "majority" (in most cases the exact phrase is "solid majority", or "clear majority", or "clear majority", or another expression has a similar meaning). Since I am not one of the public that regularly reads "Haaretz" newspaper, I probably do not belong to what is called in the circles of the enlightened elite people think, but it seems to me that there is a connection between demographics and the demand for a Jewish "majority". The question of exactly how many percentages is a "majority" (or "solid Jewish majority", or "clear Jewish majority" - decide for yourself), never gets an answer (these guys from the enlightened elite are weak in the calculation). Also to the question of how to guarantee that this situation (a situation of a Jewish majority, or of a solid Jewish majority, or of a clear Jewish majority - decide for yourself) will remain this way for a long time, I never received an answer. The section in the Citizenship Law, against which the editor of the "Haaretz" newspaper is so indignant, is intended precisely to give the answer to these questions -- this section is intended to prevent the Arabs from

exercising their right of return through the back door. This is Shoken's real time. He opposes the idea that the State of Israel will have a Jewish character. This, of course, does not prevent him from hiding behind the demand for "Jewish character" when he writes articles justifying the intention to destroy the enterprise of Jewish settlement in Judea and Samaria.

To the best of my knowledge, there is no clause in the Palestinian Authority's citizenship laws that prohibits Arab residents of Israel from participating in their elections/elections in the territories of the Palestinian Authority. If they move there they will enjoy all the same rights that the State of Israel denies them and no one will prevent them from living together a long life happily and richly. If it is really so important for them to live together, there is nothing preventing them from exercising the right to family reunification in the territories of the Palestinian Authority. Is it just a case that there are no "buyers" for this idea among the Arabs?

In conclusion, it is worth noting that in Egypt, our neighbor, as well as in Saudi Arabia, there are laws that prevent any Palestinian married to a citizen of that country from receiving the country's citizenship. These laws apply to every Palestinian regardless of where he lives and what his status is, not only to those living in the territories of the Palestinian Authority, as well as to the descendants of such marriages. To the best of my knowledge, similar laws are also found in the law books of other Arab countries. Even there (at least in Lebanon and Jordan), the reasoning is - lo and behold - demographic. Furthermore, there is no red carpet waiting for those who just want to come and live in one of those countries.

<https://www.e-mago.co.il/Editor/actual-2356.htm>

HA'ARETZ, THE LIE OF THE LAND by Andrea Levin - Think-Israel

Oct 8, 2007

... Although Ha'aretz bills itself as "an independent newspaper with a broadly liberal outlook," many of the opinion writers and some reporters espouse views of the extreme left, and factual accuracy is often sacrificed to their political predilections. Reporter Amira Hass, for example, has just been ordered by the Jerusalem Magistrate's Court to pay \$60,000 in damages to the Jewish community of Hebron for her false and incendiary report that Jewish residents there had abused the corpse of a dead Arab shot by Israeli Border police in a violent incident. The allegations were disproved by multiple televised accounts of the event.

The same reporter's stories, replete with distorted and inaccurate charges that Israel is an "apartheid" state, steals Palestinian water, callously targets Palestinians over the age of 12 with sniper-fire, and generally subjugates Arabs out of sheer viciousness, are posted on countless anti-Israel websites. The commentaries of Hass and a score of other Ha'aretz writers (Gideon Samet, Gideon Levy, Akiva Eldar, Baruch Kimmerling, Ze'ev Sternhell, Joseph Algazy, Danny Rubenstein, Moshe Reinfeld and many more) appear alongside those of Noam Chomsky, Hanan Ashrawi, Edward Said and other favorites of such websites (eg: cesr, pmwatch, globalsolidarity, liberate-palestine).

Indeed, a look at such sites and the content of the Ha'aretz articles posted suggests that Ha'aretz writers are in the vanguard of those making the Palestinian case against Israel.

<http://www.think-israel.org/levin.haaretz.html>

Shame on 'Haaretz'

Isi Leibler, November 6, 2007

Many policies promoted by paper are supportive of Israel's adversaries.

We frequently boast that notwithstanding its limitations, the Israeli media is unfettered by government intervention and could serve as a role model for a free press in any democracy. As in most Western countries, Israeli journalists are inclined to the Left and substantially outnumber the more conservative-minded. In fact, one constantly hears complaints that to hold right-wing views is a major stumbling block in obtaining promotion in the media world. But that is not unique to Israel. The majority of Israelis who read a newspaper on a daily basis read one of the tabloids. In that sense, the broadsheet Haaretz stands alone. It presents as a serious liberal newspaper and aspires to assume the mantle of a Hebrew-language counterpart to The New York Times. Despite a limited circulation, it is extraordinarily influential and read by most opinion makers. Its news coverage and access to inside information exceeds that of the tabloids. However, whereas it carries superb pieces on culture and society, with especially insightful articles on religious issues, its frequent endorsement of radical policies does tend to increasingly link Haaretz with fringe rather than mainstream opinion. Indeed, many would even argue that a considerable proportion of Haaretz editorials and op-ed columns are politically off the wall. Its op-ed and magazine articles demonizing Israel and inclined toward post-Zionism are increasingly being quoted by Arabs and anti-Israeli propagandists. In fact, a man from Mars observing the level of the newspaper's frequent vitriolic condemnations of Israeli governments could understandably be misled into believing that some Haaretz writers are consciously acting as propagandists for the Palestinian cause.

CURRENT EDITOR David Landau ..

Since he assumed the role of editor at Haaretz, the newspaper's traditional bias relating to the Israel-Palestinian conflict has intensified. Landau concentrates much of his wrath on religious Zionists, regarding those who settled across the Green Line as messianic lunatics and the greatest threat to Israel. This obviously makes him a darling of the ultra-Left. Today Landau allegedly even refuses to correct articles containing blatantly false information if they conflict with his political agenda.

According to the Web site of the highly respected American Jewish media watchdog organization CAMERA, not only did Landau decline to consider its complaints regarding alleged falsehoods published in Haaretz, he even went on record informing the JTA that "as a matter of principle" he had instructed his staff not to respond to criticism from CAMERA because they were a "McCarthyite" organization.

NEEDLESS to say, this casts an ugly shadow on a daily newspaper purporting to represent the highest levels of journalistic integrity.

It is now widely accepted that many policies promoted by Haaretz are effectively supportive of Israel's adversaries. In fact, Nahum Barnea, the distinguished Yediot Aharonot columnist, went so far as to describe senior Haaretz journalists Gideon Levy, Amira Haas and Akiva Eldar as failing to pass the "lynch test" - i.e., even failing to condemn Palestinians when they murdered two Israelis in a lynch mob in Ramallah at the onset of the second intifada. More recently, consistent with frequent Haaretz depictions of Israel as a racist entity, the paper's chief Arab affairs expert, Danny Rubinstein, told a UN body that Israel was indeed an apartheid state. Of course, behind this torrid situation stands the publisher of Haaretz, Amos Schocken, who is personally convinced that Israel does indeed practice apartheid.

BUT IT was only recently that Landau threw away all semblance of journalistic integrity and publicly confessed to crossing the ultimate red line that distinguishes reputable journalism from propaganda. According to The Jerusalem Post, at the recent Russian Limmud Conference in Moscow, Landau, one of the few non-Russian-speaking participants, dropped a bombshell. He stunned those present by boasting that his newspaper had "wittingly soft-pedalled" alleged corruption by Israeli political leaders including prime ministers Ariel Sharon and Ehud Olmert, when, in the opinion of Haaretz, the policies of those leaders were advancing the peace process. When participants challenged him concerning the morality of such an approach, Landau responded with the extraordinary assertion that "more immorality happens every day at a single roadblock [in Judea and Samaria] than in all the scandals put together." He then unashamedly assured those present that Haaretz was ready to repeat the process in order "to ensure that Olmert goes to Annapolis." Even former Bolsheviks in the audience must have gasped at such views, openly stated, which incorporated all the hallmarks of the Stalinist era. It is surely scandalous for the top editor of what purports to be a reputable and prestigious daily newspaper to publicly proclaim - and take pride in - having deliberately "soft-pedalled" and possibly even covered up acts of corruption by senior political leaders in order to promote his own political agenda, and, moreover, boast that his paper would continue to do so in the future. Could one, for instance, visualize The New York Times suppressing information about an American president involved in corruption out of a desire to promote the administration's foreign policy objectives? No newspaper of integrity in the world would tolerate an editor making such an outrageous statement.

THE ISRAELI Press Council code of ethics contains clauses explicitly condemning such practices. Article 40 (and 16a): "A newspaper or a journalist shall not refrain from publishing information where there is a public interest in its publication, including for reasons of political, economic or other pressures." Article 7: "Mistakes, omissions or inaccuracies which are in the publication of facts must be corrected speedily." If in the face of such violations of their charter by the editor of one of their most prestigious newspapers the Press Council fails to publicly condemn such behavior, it should be dissolved and the public must demand an accounting. Exploiting a newspaper as a propaganda vehicle for a clique of leftist ideologues willing to do anything, including suppressing or "soft-peddling" information about potentially criminal actions in order to pursue a private agenda must not be tolerated in a country which purports to adhere to ethical and democratic norms of conduct.

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/op-ed-contributors/shame-on-haaretz>

Women protest against Ha'aretz prostitution ads

JPost.com Staff, Aug 16, 2007 — The Center for Combating Trade in Women was holding a protest in front of Ha'aretz offices in Tel Aviv, Thursday evening, for continuing to publish advertisements allegedly encouraging people trafficking. The center had already filed a report in June demanding an investigation into the paper and its owner, Amos Schoken. The advertisements in question offer the services of prostitutes, while other ads call for women to work in prostitution in Israel or abroad.
<https://www.jpost.com/israel/women-protest-against-haaretz-prostitution-ads>

[Letters to the editor - Jan.2007]

Jeanne d'Arc would have been ashamed

In Response to "Tali D'Arc" by Gideon Levy (Haaretz, TV Review, 4.1)

It is outrageous that Gideon Levy did not ask a few simple questions before crowning Tali Fahima with a title not hers. Why didn't Fahima choose to "protect her body" with an old woman searching among the rubble of her home for pieces of her life? Why did she not choose to "protect with her body" an orphaned child lying injured in the hospital? Why did Fahima not choose to "protect her body" on a starving elderly Palestinian?

For reasons reserved with her, Fahima chose to "protect her body" with an armed man who could, with the help of the weapon in his hand, protect his body even without it.

Had Levy asked himself these questions, he would not have been ashamed of Jean d'Arc's work.

Rachel Yahav.

<https://www.haaretz.co.il/opinions/letters/1.1377587>

[Letters to the editor - Jan.2007]

Out of the realm of auditing

In Response to "Tali D'Arc" by Gideon Levy (Haaretz, TV Review, 4.1)

The daily section "Television" is intended to present a professional review of the broadcasts of the various channels from yesterday. Writing the column by different participants allows the reader to enjoy diverse perspectives.

However, Gideon Levy used the column for an unintended purpose: he published an incendiary publicist article, which has nothing to do with television criticism. To Levy, Tali Fahima is probably Israel's national heroine, a kind of Jean d'Arc. In contrast, for example, former Defense Minister Shaul Mofaz is issuing a scandalous libel.

Levy has a weekly publicist column in the newspaper, in which he can write his opinions. Please leave the TV review column to those who criticize it for its name.

Galil Elyashiv, Kfar Saba. <https://www.haaretz.co.il/opinions/letters/1.1377049>

Neve Gordon can't take criticism

Nov 8, 2006 — ... professor is denied free speech by a self-hating Israeli academic...

<https://www.jpost.com/opinion/op-ed-contributors/neve-gordon-cant-take-criticism/>

(The German publisher Alfred Dumont, one of the owners of Haaretz - Europe, died.

The 88-year-old Dumont headed the German media empire "Dumont Schauberg", which publishes some of the most important newspapers in Germany. Amos Schocken: A brilliant publisher who was committed to Israel and Haaretz.

Ofer Aderet, 31.05.2015.

German publisher Alfred Neven Dumont, who headed the German media empire "Dumont Schauberg", which publishes some of the most important newspapers in Germany and owns 20% of Haaretz, died yesterday (Saturday) in Germany at the age of 88.

Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken said that "his death is a loss not only to his family and the newspaper group he headed, but also to Haaretz and me personally.")

--

Haaretz's new German partner served in the Nazi service

The German press concern DuMont Schauberg, which acquired 25% of the ownership of the Haaretz group, collaborated with the Nazis and received a high decoration from Goebbels, such as the one given to the head of the Gestapo. Haaretz publisher, Amos Schocken in response: Today's owner has no Nazi past and should not be held responsible for his father's actions.

The new German partner of the Haaretz worked in the Nazis Service The German Press Cardon, which acquired 25% of the Haaretz, cooperated with the Nazis and received from Goebbels high decoration, like the one that was granted to the head of the Gestapo...

Dr. Kurt Neven-Dumont was a member of the Nazi Party from '37. Publishing newspapers, like all newspapers allowed to appear at the time, disseminated Nazi propaganda. In August, 1944, the Ministry of Propaganda of the Nazi Reich, headed by Dr. Josef Goebbels, for awarding a very important decoration to Kurt Neven-Dumont (like him also received the head of the Gestapo Heinrich Himmler) for

the fact that in extremely difficult conditions, under the heavy bombing of the Allies, he continued to publish his newspapers.

Some historians and journalists claim that the house management has acted to prevent various publications about the problematic past of the family and society. Dumont-Schauberg chiefs also denied investigators free access to the company's archives. Only recently has the publishing house commissioned an independent German historian to investigate the subject.

"This is one of the only private publishing houses whose work was not banned under the Nazis," says German historian Ingo Niebel. "In fact, from '34, they were part of the Nazi regime and supported its actions."

Eldad Beck, Cologne

Aug 16, 2006

<https://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-3292130,00.html>

WOOING THE HAMAS by Steven Plaut - Think-Israel

Jan.30.2006

The Israeli daily Haaretz, represented best by its anti-Israel leftist fanatic Gideon Levy, is celebrating the victory of Hamas as a great moral victory.

<http://www.think-israel.org/plaut.woothingamas.html>

Dear Mr. And Mrs. Corrie

Posted by Steven Plaut, October 10, 2005.

By now your open letter to the world, "A Call to Action; Rachel's Words Live", has been reprinted in many different media outfits, including the viciously anti-Jewish Counterpunch magazine, in the Guardian, and was even published in Hebrew in Israel's far-leftist anti-Zionist daily Haaretz.

You begin your epistle by recalling that your daughter was "killed by an Israeli bulldozer", but you neglect to mention the circumstances under which she was so killed (nor the fact that she died from her injuries while under Palestinian medical care). You then add, "She had been working in Rafah with a nonviolent resistance organisation, the International Solidarity Movement, trying to stop the demolition of Palestinian homes and wells." She was not. She was trying to prevent the demolition of tunnels used to smuggle weapons for Palestinian terrorists seeking to murder Jewish civilians. The ISM openly endorses Palestinian "armed struggle" against Jewish children and civilians and openly collaborates with terrorists. It has hidden wanted terrorists and their weapons in its offices. It is an accomplice in murder. Two Arabs who entered Israel under ISM auspices blew up a Tel Aviv pub and murdered Jews. Lying is not the best way to drum up sympathy for your dead daughter.

Your daughter was in Rafiah to serve as a human shield to defend and promote Palestinian terrorism. The International Solidarity Movement is a pro-terrorist, pro-violence anti-Semitic organization that your daughter chose to join. You pretend that your daughter died trying to protect an "innocent house". You are lying again. That "innocent house" was camouflage for a not-so-innocent terrorist smuggling tunnel and the residents of that innocent house knew all about the tunnel.

Your daughter was in a war zone as a belligerent, as one who had - perhaps wittingly and perhaps not - recruited herself on behalf of a genocidal movement of Arab fascists seeking to destroy Israel and murder as many Jews as possible. Your daughter died while interfering with an anti-terror operation carried out by an army in a land in which she had no business being at all, after having lied upon entering that foreign country at the instructions of her ISM superiors. Your demand that I feel your pain at the loss of your daughter, yet your daughter died as part of her efforts to prevent Israel from destroying tunnels into Gaza from Egypt through which explosives are smuggled for the purpose of murdering my children. Your daughter conscripted herself as an aid for those seeking to murder my children.

The raison d'etre of the ISM is to assist Palestinian terrorists murder Jewish children, and to prevent all Israeli efforts to fight that campaign of murder. Your daughter foolishly put herself in harm's way by challenging a large bulldozer and placing herself in a position where the operator could not see her. You know damned well that the bulldozer operator was not seeking to harm your daughter. And you know damned well that no harm would have fallen her had she not decided to "play chicken" with the machine, about as responsible a form of behavior as running across unlit segments of LA freeways at midnight.

You write, "We had not understood the devastating nature of the Palestinians' situation." Of course you have never expressed any interest in the devastating nature of the Jews' situation. The Jews have been battling Arab fascism and terrorism for a hundred years, before, during, and after the Nazi Holocaust of six million Jews. Your daughter was allied with those seeking to continue the perpetration of nazi-like atrocities against randomly selected Jews. You smugly praise the propaganda play about your daughter in London, which ignored all the other Rachels, the Jewish women victims of terror in Israel, who were murdered by the genocidal terrorists with whom your daughter's ISM friends collaborate.

Your daughter, and apparently you as well, never had any understanding of the Middle East conflict. The Middle East conflict is NOT about the right to self-determination of Palestinian Arabs, but rather is about the right to self-determination of Israeli Jews. For a century the Arabs have attempted to block any expression of Jewish self-determination, using violence, armed aggression, and terrorism. The Arabs today control 22 countries and territory nearly twice the size of the United States. They refuse to share even a fraction of one percent of the Middle East with Jews, even in a territory smaller than New Jersey.

The Arab countries invented the imaginary Palestinian "people" and their imaginary "plight" after 1967 as a propaganda ploy in imitation of the German campaign on behalf of Sudeten self-determination in the 1930s. Just like the struggle for "Sudeten liberation" was nothing more than a fig leaf for the German aggression aimed at annihilating Czechoslovakia, so the struggle for "Palestinian liberation" is

nothing more than a jihad to destroy Israel and its population. And it is in support of that goal that the ISM operates, even taking its operational orders from the terror leaders.

Your write, "Clearly, our daughter has become a positive symbol for people." I am afraid you are mistaken. Your daughter has become a symbol for dangerous foolhardiness. She committed suicide as an empty gesture meant to assist murderers and terrorists.

You want the world to mourn for your daughter, who died as part of her efforts to assist those trying to murder my children. You demand on the pages of anti-Semitic propaganda web magazines that the world mourn your daughter, but you do not have a single word of sympathy for the families of the thousands of innocent victims of the terrorists with whom your daughter chose to ally herself.

Signed, Steven Plaut.

Steven Plaut is an American-trained economist, a professor of business administration at Haifa University and author of "The Scout"..

The original article contains live links to additional material. It is archived at

<http://moonbatcentral.com/wordpress/?p=1514>

<http://www.think-israel.org/oct05bloged.html#oct05.78>

Self-Hating Israelis. [They don't really hate themselves, they hate other Jewish Israelis]
Israel National News (Arutz 7) | Feb. 9, 2005 | Shalom Freedman

... There has been much written and said about the 'self-hating Jew'. But little has been written and said about one particular kind of self-hating Jew, one unfortunately increasingly important and disturbing: the self-hating Israeli

One great locus of Israeli self-haters is its most prestigious daily newspaper, Haaretz. The long list of Israeli self-haters is headed by Gideon Levi and Amira Hass. Their identification with Israel's enemies is total, and they have been known to invent and distort facts, do anything and everything possible, to absolve the Arabs and blame Israel in disputed incidents. Another vicious hater of Israelis is the writer Yitzhak Laor, whose reputation was built in part on a blood-libel poem featuring Israelis baking matzot from Arab children's blood.

But the list is very long indeed and is frequently expressed not only in what is said, but also in its tone. What joy is in the tone of these writers whenever anything goes wrong for Israel. And how eagerly do they rush to proclaim an Israeli defeat and disaster. In fact, one of their favorite tactics is predicting disaster constantly. Their hope is that wishing will make it so.

Recently, a long-time Arafat-booster, Danny Rubinstein, exulted about the Palestinians preparing their victory celebrations when Israel withdraws from Gaza. Any long-time reader of Rubinstein knows how much he longs for Palestinian victories and Israelis defeats. Another very big Israeli self-hater is Meron Benvenisti. He is somewhat unusual, however, as he hates everybody, both of the Right and the Left, and considers himself to be the only one who is ever right about anything. Akiva Eldar, a diplomatic

correspondent who for years found peaceful intentions in Hafez Assad and Yasser Arafat, and always blamed Israel for any failure in negotiations, loves to throw around the word "racist" when he refers to Israeli society.

Haaretz is always ready to open its paper to academic Israel self-haters. Ze'ev Sternhall and Baruch Kimmerling frequently tell us why the Israelis are the stupidest, cruelest, most inhumane people in relation to the kind, peace-loving and gentle-souled Palestinian Arabs.

<http://web.archive.org/web/20050209140808/https://freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1339079/posts>

Letter to HAARETZ : Who Killed the Arab girl?

Feb 5, 2005

To: letters@haaretz.co.il

Subject: READER'S LETTER: Who Killed the Arab girl?

Date: Saturday, February 05, 2005 10:04 PM

To HAARETZ' Editor

You may consider my letter for publication as a reader's letter, but I'd appreciate even more if you accept my remarks, take corrective actions and inform me about it.

Sub.: Who Killed the Arab girl

On Monday (31Jan) you reported, based on Palestinian claims what you never checked with the IDF, that the previous day a 10-year-old Palestinian girl was killed by Israeli tank fire at an UNWRA schoolyard in Rafah. It became a world scoop within minutes. Next day the Palestinians arrested a Palestinian suspect in the little girl's murder, who shot into the air during a religious celebration and hit her. Your modest corrections of the previous horrible blood libel were hardly noticed by the world.

Many times Israel has been blamed by you and half of the world for brutally killing Palestinians, like the 12 year old Mohammad al-Dura [Durrah] at the start of the armed intifada, or the Jenin "massacre" - that never was, only to be proven false when all the facts become known. Each time several articles of Haaretz and the world media fueled hatred of 'the brutal Israel'. But when the accusations turned out to be false the clarifications were not loud and clear.

Much of the world's condemnation and even hatred towards Israel today have grown out of Haaretz's harsh Israel-criticism. You are of course entitled to your views. However good journalism would require that when the facts justify it, like in the case of this poor Arab girl, you apologize honestly and very loudly, not in 10-words-corrections-on-page-10. In your correction you should turn all your fire against the misinformers or liars who poison the souls of Palestinians, Europeans and more, and thus are an obstacle to peace. You should take disciplinary actions against journalists and editors whose unfounded or unchecked writings besmirch both Israel and Haaretz's reliability.

Respectfully, E.(Andre) Mozes.

<http://takeapen.org/Takeapen/Templates/ShowPage.asp?DBID=1&LNGID=1&TMID=84&FID=810>

HA'ARETZ Awarded Take-A-Pen's Liar Of The Month For May 2004
30 May 2004

HA'ARETZ is the liar of the month for May 2004 at Take-A-Pen, for its 'achievements' in Amira Hass' article "One step ahead of the bulldozer".

The title 'Liar of the month, published on our site <http://www.take-a-pen.org/>, is being translated into 15 languages. This is the first time the title goes to an Israeli media organ.

It is not easy to be an outstanding or #1 liar in any month in today's brutally biased media, over which we, Take-A-Pen!, maintain a constant watch in several languages.

HA'ARETZ has been a runner up for this 'title' several times in the past. Many readers and media-activists have written objecting to HA'ARETZ articles which they claim frequently quote and promote unfounded and even libelous accusations and stories, without questioning their validity. Letter writers complain that by lending the prestige of 'Israel's leading newspaper' to anti-Israeli lies and libels, HA'ARETZ gives them undeserved credit and earns wider distribution for them than they would otherwise achieve, contributing greatly to the upsurge in hatred against Israel and Jewry.

Before reaching our final decision to award the title to HA'ARETZ, we scrutinized the May 14 and 21 weekend editions.. We selected three major assaults on true and responsible journalism, and sent 3 separate politely written readers' letters, supported with factual information, in order to give the newspaper a fair chance to reply.. The response we received to all three letters was NIL, indicating a lack of interest by Ha'aretz in establishing the truth by sincere self-examination.

Three instances of bad reporting in one month were bad enough, but HA'ARETZ finally won the title based on the worst of the three, a libelous lie in an article by Amira Hass: "One step ahead of the bulldozer". See the Reader's letter below that we sent to HA'ARETZ. You can judge for yourself whether the journalist and the editor did or did not their duty, fully or even partially, to check such libelous claims before publication.

To consider HA'ARETZ a liar is no fun for us, we feel very sad about it. We'd be happiest to see a change in Ha'artez's policy and see "Israel's Leading Newspaper" show a sense of balance, avoiding publication of unsubstantiated allegations, misleading headlines and staged Palestinian photographs..

We will gladly cooperate with Ha'aretz by sharing our views for comment before publishing them or, where advisable, even without publishing them. If Ha'aretz wishes to correct or comment on anything

we have written we will gladly publish their submissions as we did in the case of a recent response by the Guardian newspaper of London.

Sincerely, Endre Mozes
Chairman, Take-A-Pen

The Award winning article

Amira Hass in "One step ahead of the bulldozer" (May 21) cites in length the story of Mansur from Rafah suggesting that IDF bulldozers intended to kill his family by destroying their house on top of them - after their neighbors hardly "fled for their life". The 50 members of the Mansur family, the story-teller says, fled exactly 1 second before sure death, on a by-chance-there iron ladder.

Neither the journalist nor Ha'aretz editors asked one single question on the fantastic story, though every child in Israel knows that no bulldozer driver is allowed to operate for a minute without another person checking its route, even on a minor maintenance work. All know that Tzahal gives several warnings before any necessary house demolition and an officer makes it sure that no civilians are caught inside.

Distributing such libelous sensations may earn dubious rating to Ha'aretz, particularly among 'good old' Jew-haters or new Israel haters, but this time they earned the title "Ha'aretz Is The Liar of the Month at Take-A-Pen" (in May 2004)

(Read below the Reader's Letter sent on it to Ha'aretz - and remained unanswered)

READER'S LETTER:

To: Ha'aretz Letter Editor

24 May 2004

Subject: Does IDF deliberately kill civilians?

Dear Editor!

Does IDF deliberately kill civilians? Amira Hass's "One step ahead of the bulldozer" (May 21) strongly suggests 'Yes'. Ninety percent of this article cites the story of Mansur from Rafah, how a "large bulldozer rumbled over neighbors' home, and ...residents fled for their life". The Mansur family escaped death more closely, because the driver "blocked the entrance". "We were just a second from being killed - 50 persons, children, the elderly, women, all of us with our backs to the wall". Their life by mere luck "was saved by an iron ladder" - where 50 people descended, seemingly in that one second left.

Hass did not ask the story-teller one single question! Like: 'Were you not warned to leave the house?'. Only at the end, does she quote IDF briefly that "claims made by local residents about the demolition of houses are not correct." And so, unchallenged by the journalist or the editor, one more blood libel against Israel can take off.

Today many people in Europe believe that IDF deliberately kills civilians, even children.

The Swedish 'Expressen' called Rafah 'an Israeli massacre', while in the same place the US killing 40 at a wedding in Iraq an "accident". When asked, the editor said he knew the IDF deliberately targeted civilians. Rev. Margaret Oaken, Deputy Chairman of the Danish parliament, said in a conference that the Israeli Army murdered knowingly three times more Palestinian children than the Palestinians did by explosive belts.

Europeans wouldn't go so far based solely on Palestinian sources. They may remember how Saeb Erekat lied that 520 Palestinian civilians were massacred in Jenin, while a UN's committee later found 52 killed, out of them 47 young male combatants. But when 'Israel's leading newspaper' is telling Europeans that IDF intends to kill civilians, why shouldn't they believe?

Respectfully,

Endre Mozes, Haifa

<http://www.takeapen.org/Takeapen/Templates/showpage.asp?DBID=1&LNGID=1&TMID=84&FID=823>

A CASE WHEN HAARETZ DID ADMIT THEY HAD BEEN WRONG

On the 24th July 2003

Ha'aretz published a typical horror story about IDF behaviour entitled "Anyone who walked by, kicked."

On the 25th Haaretz had to admit it was totally wrong and published a correction in which the IDF proves that Haaretz's story was completely false.

Unfortunately Haaretz's allegations are eagerly repeated in foreign media and on the web, while the corrections - if issued at all - are ignored or too late to undo the damage to Israel's image.

The correction and the original articles are copied below. The title of the correction was Ha'aretz July 25, 2003

Checkpoint horror story false

By Amira Hass

Beaten Palestinian says it was PA security forces, not IDF If you read the articles you'll notice how cautious Haaretz's language is in the correction and how outgoing and uninhabited it had been in the accusations. Just watch that Hass, as usual, never asks any question of his only witness to check the reality of his story. In honest journalism, dear Haaretz, all this should be the other way round.

Ha'aretz July 25, 2003

Checkpoint horror story false

By Amira Hass

Beaten Palestinian says it was PA security forces, not IDF

A Palestinian who claimed that he was held and beaten for 30 hours at an Israel Defense Forces checkpoint now admits that it was actually the Palestinian security services who held him and beat him.

For four days, over and over, Afif Barghouti, 31, told family, friends and journalists of how Israeli soldiers had held him at the Qalandiyah checkpoint for some 30 hours, blindfolded and with his hands tied, and beat him. They did not even let him go to the bathroom, he said. He also told the story to an attorney friend, who hurried him to the hospital in Ramallah for a check-up. That was on Sunday, July 20, shortly after the soldiers had allegedly released him.

The Palestinian press ran prominent photos of his bruised and battered back, accompanied by his story. According to these reports, he had tried to pass through the checkpoint on his way to a plastering job in A-Ram. His identity card also contained his membership card in Fatah, and that, combined with the name Barghouti, was enough to make the soldiers decide to hold him and abuse him, he said. (Another Barghouti, Marwan, is a senior Fatah official currently on trial in Israel for alleged involvement in the murder of dozens of Israelis.)

There was certainly no doubt that Barghouti had been beaten. His back was red from the blows, his head bore a round burn mark where a lighted cigarette had been stubbed out on his skin. His hands were swollen, and he had trouble moving both his hands and his head.

Haaretz English Edition published his story yesterday ("Anyone who walked by, kicked,") along with the IDF Spokeswoman's response, in which the army said that it was looking into the allegations, and if they were found to be true, they would be "handled with the utmost severity." The IDF "views with severity any behavior that involves humiliation of or violence toward the Palestinian population," the spokesman added.

But army officials have now told Haaretz that their investigation has revealed the allegations to be false. They said that from the moment they first learned of the allegations - from the media - last Sunday, sector commanders had begun interrogating all soldiers and officers who could have been involved in the affair, even bringing soldiers on leave back to base for this purpose. They also made intensive efforts to locate Barghouti, so that he could attempt to identify the soldiers who had abused him and finally succeeded, thanks to the numerous interviews he granted, including to the Israeli media. For two days, he refused to meet with the IDF investigators, but finally agreed to come to Qalandiyah to reenact what had happened. There, the officials said, it became clear, "on the basis of the interrogation and the testimony he gave, that his initial version did not match the reality on the ground, and it is evident that the story was not true."

When confronted with the IDF's response, Afif Barghouti admitted to his lawyer friend that he had made the whole story up.

What really happened, he said, was that on Saturday, Palestinians he recognized as working for the Palestinian security services had seized him, held him for almost two days and beaten him. He said that they suspected him of being an Israeli collaborator, to which he responded: "I don't work with the Israelis and I don't work with the Palestinians."

His friend said that he cannot understand why Barghouti invented the Qalandiyah checkpoint story.

A senior official in the Preventive Security Service in Ramallah told Haaretz yesterday that the service has no record of Barghouti ever being suspected of collaborating with Israel. The service has no idea who beat him or why, he said, but it intends to summon him for questioning to find out.

Dr. Said Zeedani, director-general of the Palestinian Independent Commission for Citizens' Rights, said that his organization investigates many complaints that Palestinian citizens were abused by the Palestinian security services, and will investigate Barghouti's claim as well. However, he stressed, the commission also investigates many complaints of abuse by Israeli soldiers that turn out to be true. "There are a few cases of people who make things up, but these cases cannot be allowed to divert attention from the humiliations and physical injuries that occur at Israeli army checkpoints," he said.

He said that people who do invent stories do so for a variety of reasons, including a desire for revenge, a desire to impress someone and a desire to remove suspicions of being a collaborator

The Original article:

'Ha'aretz July 24, 2003

Anyone who walked by, kicked

By Amira Hass

The following are two complaints passed on by H a a r e t z to the IDF Spokesman's Office in the Central Command at the beginning of the week, to get reactions with regard to the behavior of soldiers at the Qalandiyah checkpoint south of Ramallah and at the mobile checkpoint in the Nablus area.

Three hours of kneeling A., who is 34 (the full details of the complainant have been given to the IDF Spokesman), is a plasterer by profession and lives in Ramallah. His family is from a village northwest of the city. Last week he was offered work in the A Ram neighborhood, south of the Qalandiyah checkpoint.

According to A., for the last two years he was nowhere near the checkpoint and was unaware of the rules and regulations governing it. On Saturday, July 19, he went to the checkpoint. He gave the soldier his identity card. Folded into the card was his membership card in the Fatah movement, which includes his clan name Barghouti which does not appear in his ID card. He says that when the soldier noticed that name in the card, the soldier asked if A. was related to Marwan Barghouti. A. explained he was not directly related and that they come from different villages. The soldier answered something like "so what, Marwan Barghouti lives in Ramallah now," and ordered A. to stand aside. Afterward, the soldier blindfolded him with a piece of cloth and led him to a hill overlooking the checkpoint. There, he was handcuffed behind his back and told to kneel on the ground with his eyes blindfolded and his hands handcuffed behind his back.

According to A. he was held in that position, under the sky, until the next day, July 20, until four in the afternoon more than 30 hours. He reckons he was held next to a building that serves as an outhouse. The entire time the soldiers ignored his requests to use the toilet and told him to "do it in your pants." They also ignored his request to loosen or remove the handcuffs. On the Shabbath, they allowed him to drink water once, around two in the afternoon. One soldier held a plastic cup and watered him that way.

Every once in a while, he said, people who walked past would kick him. But when night fell, and there were no more people going through the checkpoint, some of the soldiers got together and in an organized manner beat him. He felt them using fists and a stick on his neck and back. In addition, he felt them putting out burning cigarettes on his head (A. is bald). He said he shouted to please take off the handcuffs, which cut off the blood to his hands.

That night he received a sandwich to eat and for the coming hours another cup of water. The next day, judging by the voices, he could tell the soldiers had been replaced. The new soldiers did not beat him. One asked where he was from and where were his ID papers. He answered, "You have the papers." No other soldier related to him during all that Sunday, July 20.

A. knew how to tell the time according to the muezzin from the nearby mosque. Around 4:30 in the afternoon, one of the soldiers used a radio to make contact with someone, said "there's nothing on him," and then removed the blindfold and handcuffs, ordering him to go home. His ID card was returned to him, but not the Fatah ID card.

He gave this testimony to H a r e t z about two-and-a-half hours after he was released, at the Ramallah hospital where he was examined. He had difficulty moving his hands after being handcuffed for so many hours. The palms of his hands were very swollen and he had difficulty grasping objects. His upper back was covered with fresh bruises. Round burns marked his head.

First dance, then hop Four soldiers got out of a jeep or an APC in the hilly area northwest of Nablus and for 10 hours held seven passengers of a taxi and the driver, humiliating them.

On Sunday July 20, around 7:30 A.M., G., one of the passengers (all the details without names have been given to the spokesman) left Nablus on his way to the village where his family lives north of Nablus. It is a 20-kilometer trip, involving lengthy walks by foot and taxi tides over hilly dirt roads that bypass checkpoints that Palestinians are usually not allowed to pass through.

G. got into a taxi with seven other people. Soon after, around 11 in the morning, in the area between the villages of Dir Sharf and Nakura, the taxi encountered a jeep and an APC. Soldiers got out of one of the vehicles. The taxi was confiscated, and the driver was told to pick it up at the army camp at Shavei Shomron. Four soldiers remained to guard the eight people, and the jeep and APC continued on their way.

The soldiers collected the ID cards and one of the soldiers put them in his pocket. According to one of the Palestinians, during the entire time they were held, there was no examination of the ID cards and their owners, through the radio.

According to one of the passengers, during the hours, about once every half hour, the soldiers made the eight people do all sorts of tasks. Dance, hop on one foot, repeat various slogans in Hebrew, stand up, sit down, stand up and sit down, over and over. Around 4:30 in the afternoon, they were allowed to walk back to Nablus.

The IDF says: "The claims are being fully examined in a context that included questioning of the soldiers and officers who serve in the place by the commander of the zone. For that purpose, the complainant has been invited in to provide evidence and describe the details of the event and the examination will continue."

These are very serious complaints about behavior that has no place in the IDF. The IDF regards with severity any behavior that involves humiliation and violence toward the Palestinian population. The subject will continue to be examined in the most in-depth manner and to the extent that the complaints turn out to be true, the matter will be handled with full severity."

<http://www.takeapen.org/Takeapen/Templates/showpage.asp?DBID=1&LNGID=1&TMID=84&FID=821>

Blood Libel at Haaretz?

Prof. Steven Plaut

June 24, 2003 - INN

Haaretz is Israel's most radically Far Left and Post-Zionist newspaper. Its notion of 'pluralism' is to run a single non-Leftist article (often by Moshe Arens) for every 100 or so Leftist articles that it runs. It features among its stable of Near-Solid-Pink commentators such illuminati as Amira Hass and Gideon Levy, people who have never heard of any Palestinian atrocities committed against Jews worth denouncing, or that were not the understandable response to 'occupation'. My colleague at the University of Haifa, Arnon Sofer, has suggested that the paper change its name to Al-Ard, 'Haaretz' in Arabic. Its editorials are generally more pro-Arab than those in Al-Ahram or Al-Jazeera. So I guess the running of an anti-Jewish blood libel in the paper should not come as too big of a surprise....

<https://www.israelnationalnews.com/Articles/Article.aspx/2432>

(2002/6/8)

Menashe Dror Tzadik's complaint against Haaretz

The court ruled that Haaretz violated the Code of Ethics by publishing a laconic article on the Supreme Court ruling, in which petitioner won his claim for compensation against Haaretz...

Menashe Dror Tzadik (hereinafter: the complainant) filed a complaint with the Press Council of the Press Council (hereinafter: the Court) against the Haaretz newspaper (hereinafter: "Haaretz" or the newspaper) on October 10, 2008 for a photo and two articles published by the newspaper. In Haaretz, on February 22, 2002, the first article on June 2, 2006 and the second on September 1, 2008. The first article is a picture of the complainant, a member of the ultra-Orthodox sector, who set up a holy book distribution booth next to an advertisement. And a young man looking at her hidden organs at the Dizengoff Center in Tel Aviv (hereinafter: the photograph)...

(Tel-Aviv, Jan, 2010)

<http://www.moaza.co.il/BRPortal/br/P102.jsp?arc=47099>

Privacy protection:

Haaretz photographer Alex Liebek will pay monetary compensation for an offensive photo of an ultra-Orthodox Jew

27/01/2004 | Issue No. 39 | halemo

Haaretz photographer Alex Liebek, who travels around the country and takes surprising photographs for his "Haaretz Shelnu" section, will pay NIS 25,000 compensation to an ultra-Orthodox person who was photographed against his will and in a false manner with a poster of a girl in shorts and legs spread in the background.

Prosecutor: An ultra-Orthodox Jew

Menashe Dror Tzadik is a 37-year-old ultra-Orthodox Jew who does not work for a living but distributes holy books in secular centers in the city of Tel Aviv. Menashe has a religious appearance, has a beard and wigs and is easy to identify because he belongs to the ultra-Orthodox sector.

Defendant: Photographer Alex Liebek

<https://halemo.net/edoar/0039/0004.html>

Menashe Dror Tzadik v. Haaretz Publishing Ltd. If it were not for the promise given to the applicant, which was violated, then in my opinion the applicant's hand was on the bottom. Were it not for the promise, I would have 'increased the hand' of freedom of expression both on the invasion of privacy and on the invasion of the applicant's good name "

Principles of the ruling

In the Supreme Court

RAA 6902/06

Before: Honorable Vice President A. Rivlin

The Honorable Justice A. Grunis

The Honorable Justice Y. Alon

The independent media unites and we need you with us! Support us now so we can continue to open a critical, independent and independent eye Support the Seventh Eye

Applicant: Menashe Dror Tzadik

VS

Respondents: 1. Haaretz Publishing Ltd. et al.

On behalf of the applicant: Adv. David Shuv; Adv. Sheli Peled

On behalf of the respondents: Adv. Tal Lieblich; Adv. Tom Neumann

The applicant, an ultra-Orthodox, stood next to a stand he set up to distribute holy books near the Dizengoff Center. The stall stood next to the store where a revealing advertisement image of a young woman was placed. At one point the applicant noticed that Respondent 4 was trying to photograph him. In response to the applicant's objection to the photograph, Respondent 4 assured him that the photographs would be for personal use only. But the picture was published in the column of Respondent 4 in the newspaper "Haaretz". The plaintiff's claim to the Magistrate's Court, claiming that the publication of the photograph violated his privacy, was accepted. The District Court accepted the appeal...

The appeal is granted in the aforesaid sense. The judgment of the District Court is set aside. The judgment of the Magistrate's Court, after its aforesaid amendment, shall return to its merits. The respondents will bear the legal expenses as well as the applicant's attorney's fee in the amount of NIS 10,000.

<http://www.the7eye.org.il/verdicts/20454>

Prof. Tabenkin's response to an article in Haaretz: Conspiracy? Was never mentioned
Aug 8, 2002

I'm angry at you for exploiting me for the sake of further defaming the medical establishment. If until now I thought there might be something in your articles (which only a small part I read) then it is now clear to me why you are so hated on the medical establishment - and now adding another to the list, I do not hate, but certainly in you for the way you distort everything.

But, as mentioned, I'm mostly angry at myself for being tempted to be interviewed, probably the desire to appear in smart blind media. But since you promised a positive interview and about me - not against who he is - for some reason I believed you.

I have never had a particularly positive opinion of the Haaretz newspaper but after twice being hit I understand that journalistic ethics is the weak part of this newspaper.

I demand the publication of an apology for both the conspiracy theory, the negligence and the class action lawsuit..

Sincerely, Prof. Hava Tabenkin.

<https://www.e-med.co.il/emed/new/usersite/content.asp?CatID=6&ContentID=7520>

Dahlan threatens: defamation lawsuit against Haaretz

The head of the Gaza Preventive Facility is demanding NIS 5 million from the newspaper following two reports published about him. This is the first time a senior Palestinian official has threatened a defamation lawsuit against an Israeli newspaper. Haaretz: The complaint is being investigated

Efrat Weiss

Updated 22:02, 30/05/2002

The head of the preventive apparatus in Gaza, Muhammad Dahlan, is threatening to sue the Haaretz newspaper for publishing defamation and harming his status and good name.

In a letter sent this evening (Thursday) to Haaretz publisher Amos Schocken and the newspaper's editor-in-chief, Hanoch Marmari, Dahlan claims that two recently published articles in the newspaper defamed him and harmed his status. Dahlan threatens to file a defamation lawsuit against Haaretz and demands compensation. Of five million shekels.

Dahlan referred to an article that appeared in the newspaper two weeks ago in which it was said that he smuggled his family members abroad for fear of their lives, against the background of power struggles between him and Jibril Rajoub. The US administration. "

Dahlan denies what was said in the news. He claims that the publicity according to which he smuggled his family members abroad was false, and certainly did not meet with senior US administration officials.

This is the first time a senior Palestinian Authority official has threatened to file a defamation lawsuit against the Israeli media. It was learned that his associates were pointing the finger of blame at his opponent, Jibril Rajoub, who was allegedly responsible for the publications.

<https://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-1919573,00.html>

When the English version of HaAretz differs from the Hebrew version

By Yitzhak Sokoloff, March 29, 2002

[Note from David Bedein: Ever since HaAretz pioneered an English edition for its daily Hebrew paper, with English translations overseen by David Landau, many astute readers who know both languages have noted that the English language version tends to sanitize the PLO.

David Landau was the co-author (with Shimon Peres) of The New middle East, and has served as the bureau chief of the Jewish Telegraphic Agency in Israel for the past 25 years, and has also worked for many years as the Israel correspondent for The Economist. Previous critiques of Landau's JTA editorial policies can be found on this site...

<https://israelbehindthenews.com/2002/03/29/when-the-english-version-of-haaretz-differs-from-the-hebrew-version/>

The court: Haaretz will pay NIS 250,000 to Hebron settlers

The settlers filed a defamation suit following an article published by Amira Hass. Haaretz did not file a letter of defense - and the lawsuit was accepted. Settlers: "We won." Haaretz: The statement of claim has not reached us - so we will seek to set aside the ruling.

Anat Ro'ah - June 7, 2001

<https://www.ynet.co.il/articles/0,7340,L-801928,00.html>

—